

# Leveraging Disagreement for Structured Output Prediction in NLP

Daniil Ignatev

22.02.2024

# Introduction to Structured Output Prediction in NLP

- ▶ Output is mostly binary tree-like.
- ▶ Key tasks: Dependency parsing, Constituency parsing, RST parsing.
- ▶ Objective: Improve accuracy and reliability of parsing techniques.

# Parsing Techniques: A Comparative Overview

- ▶ Linearized trees: Transforming tree structures into a bracketed format.
- ▶ Parser actions: Sequential actions to construct parse trees.
- ▶ Bottom-up generation with LLMs: Leveraging large language models for labeling natural language spans; building from bottom to top.
- ▶ Unaccounted for: array-like linearization strategy.

# Challenges in Structured Output Prediction

- ▶ Hard to learn from soft labels due to potential misalignment between EDUs (for RST); Using a soft loss for generation can lead to problematic results.
- ▶ Alternative ways to integrate disagreeing annotations: perspectivist adaptation / adaptation to data flaws.

# Dissecting RST Parsing Complexities

- ▶ Disagreement in segmentation and tree structuring: mostly human error.
- ▶ Node labeling challenges: Dealing with underspecified relations and vague rules.
- ▶ Disagreements on different levels belong are of different kinds.

# Preliminary experiments

- ▶ Methodology: Adapting Sheng et al. (2008) for RST parsing with mixed annotation approaches.
- ▶ Incorporation of special tokens to signify annotation styles.
- ▶ Data: Utilization of the RST-DT dataset, enriched with double annotations.

# Experiment Metrics

ID	Special Tokens	Double Annot.	Metrics	
			Dev	Test
A	No	No	S: 0.9004, N: 0.7981, R: 0.6880, F: 0.6796	S: 0.8958, N: 0.7892, R: 0.6727, F: 0.6640
B	Yes	Yes	S: 0.9058, N: 0.8018, R: 0.6919, F: 0.6809	S: 0.8813, N: 0.7647, R: 0.6464, F: 0.6367

**Table:** Experiment metrics showing the impact of using special tokens and double annotations on model performance.

Note: Metrics cover Segmentation (S), Nuclearity (N), Relation (R), and Full (F) scores to provide a comprehensive view of parsing accuracy and improvements.

# Pending Work

- ▶ Training LLM adapters for contrastive / collaborative decoding
- ▶ Possible modification: Learn brackets collaboratively and labels contrastively
- ▶ Qualitative analysis of experiments



Thank You for Your Attention