

# Analysis Markdown: Critics : Study of TV Pilots

A. L. S.

The following outlines the analysis, which was performed using R. Each section below corresponds to code for the corresponding section in the paper. The `dplyr`, `reshape2`, `xtable`, `gridExtra`, `ggplot` libraries are used liberally throughout.

## Introduction

First, the data is read in from its `*csv` file. The first few rows are displayed below.

```
x<-read.csv("Critics.csv")
head(x)
```

##	Show	Critic	Acting	Curiosity	Script	Characters	Originality
## 1	Masters of Sex	Anne	7	9	7	7	10
## 2	Getting On	Anne	7	3	5	5	9
## 3	Better Call Saul	Anne	8	10	7	7	8
## 4	Broadchurch	Anne	10	10	9	9	3
## 5	Enlightened	Anne	7	6	7	6	7
## 6	The Affair	Anne	6	8	7	8	7

Next, a total score called “Overall” is computed for each row.

```
x<-x %>% mutate(Overall=apply(x[,3:7],1,sum))
```

Relative weights are assigned to a vector, `p`, normalized, and then used to calculate the weighted total score, to be used in Section 3.2. This data frame is then melted in order for different manipulations. The first few rows of the melted data frame, `xmelt`, are shown, as well as a preview of its structure, where `Critic` takes on two values and `Feature` is one of the five features.

```
p<-c(1,2,1,1,.5) # relative weights for Section 3.2
p<-p/sum(p) # normalized weights
x$OverallWeighted<-sapply(lapply(1:nrow(x),function(i) x[i,3:7]*p),sum)
xmelt<-melt(x,id=c("Show","Critic"))
names(xmelt)[3:4]<-c("Feature","Score")
head(xmelt)
```

##	Show	Critic	Feature	Score
## 1	Masters of Sex	Anne	Acting	7
## 2	Getting On	Anne	Acting	7
## 3	Better Call Saul	Anne	Acting	8
## 4	Broadchurch	Anne	Acting	10
## 5	Enlightened	Anne	Acting	7
## 6	The Affair	Anne	Acting	6

```
str(xmelt)
```

```
## 'data.frame':   196 obs. of  4 variables:
## $ Show   : Factor w/ 14 levels "Better Call Saul",...: 9 6 1 2 4 11 7 3 12 13 ...
## $ Critic  : Factor w/ 2 levels "Anne","Avery": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ Feature : Factor w/ 7 levels "Acting","Curiosity",...: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ Score   : num  7 7 8 10 7 6 8 3 8 8 ...
```

Figure 1 is produced by the following code.

```
pdf(file="Critics_overall.pdf",width=15)
go<-ggplot(filter(xmelt,Feature=="Overall"), aes(x=Show,y=Score,fill=Critic))
go<-go+geom_bar(col="black",stat="identity",position="dodge",width=.75)
go<-go+theme(text = element_text(size=14),
             axis.text.x=element_text(angle=45,hjust=1),axis.title.y=element_text(vjust=1.3))
go<-go+scale_fill_manual(values=c("indianred1","lemonchiffon1"),
                        labels=paste(levels(xmelt$Critic),": ",
                                     round(filter(sdf,Feature=="Overall")$m,1)," +/- ",
                                     round(filter(sdf,Feature=="Overall")$s,1),sep=""))
go<-go+labs(x="TV Pilot", y="Total Score (out of 50)")
go
dev.off()
```

This produces the box plot in Figure 2. Factor levels are reversed so they appear in alphabetical order from the top down.

```
xmeltrev<-xmelt
xmeltrev$Show<-with(xmeltrev,factor(Show,levels=rev(levels(Show))))
pdf(file="Critics_boxplot.pdf")
gobox<-ggplot(filter(xmeltrev,Feature!="Overall"), aes(x=Show,y=Score,fill=Critic))
gobox<-gobox+geom_boxplot(width=0.5)+theme_bw()+coord_flip()
gobox<-gobox+scale_fill_manual(values=c("indianred1","lemonchiffon1"))
gobox
dev.off()
```

## Hypothesis Testing to Investigate Differences in Critics

The following vectors are formed for ease of use in the hypothesis tests. Each vector isolates the critic and only uses the total score, Overall. A sample of Avery's total scores is printed.

```
Anne0<-x[x$Critic=="Anne","Overall"] #
Avery0<-x[x$Critic=="Avery","Overall"]
nav<-length(Avery0) # number of shows for Avery
nane<-length(Avery0) # number of shows for Anne
Avery0
```

```
## [1] 46 39 47 48 41 47 34 35 41 46 38 44 43 47
```

First, the test for unpaired sets with unequal variances. Both outputs from the `t.test` function are shown as well as the direct calculation.

```
# not paired, unequal variances
t.test(Avery0, Anne0, var.equal=F)
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: Avery0 and Anne0
## t = 3.9535, df = 21.583, p-value = 0.0006957
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 4.47711 14.38003
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 42.57143 33.14286
```

```
s<-sqrt(sd(Avery0)^2/nav+sd(Anne0)^2/nanne) # standard error
tstat<-(mean(Avery0)-mean(Anne0))/s # t-statistic
dfree<-(sd(Avery0)^2/nav+sd(Anne0)^2/nanne)^2/
      (sd(Avery0)^4/nav^2/(nav-1)+sd(Anne0)^4/nanne^2/(nanne-1)) # degrees of freedom
pval<-pt(tstat,dfree,lower.tail=F)*2 # p-value
cint<-(mean(Avery0)-mean(Anne0))+c(-1,1)*qt(.975,dfree)*s # confidence interval
dfree;tstat;pval;cint;
```

```
## [1] 21.58295
```

```
## [1] 3.953497
```

```
## [1] 0.0006957321
```

```
## [1] 4.47711 14.38003
```

Next, the unpaired test with equal variances.

```
# not paired, equal variances
t.test(Avery0, Anne0, var.equal=T)
```

```
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: Avery0 and Anne0
## t = 3.9535, df = 26, p-value = 0.0005277
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 4.526404 14.330739
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 42.57143 33.14286
```

```
s<-sqrt(((nav-1)*sd(Avery0)^2+(nanne-1)*sd(Anne0)^2)/(nanne+nav-2) *
(1/nanne+1/nav)) # combined variance
tstat<-(mean(Avery0)-mean(Anne0))/s # t-statistic
pval<-pt(tstat,nav+nanne-2,lower.tail=F)*2
cint<-(mean(Avery0)-mean(Anne0))+c(-1,1)*qt(.975,nav+nanne-2)*s # confidence interval
dfree;tstat;pval;cint;
```

```
## [1] 21.58295
```

```
## [1] 3.953497
```

```
## [1] 0.000527722
```

```
## [1] 4.526404 14.330739
```

The last hypothesis test is for paired sets.

```
#paired
t.test(Avery0,Anne0,paired=T)
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: Avery0 and Anne0
## t = 5.5811, df = 13, p-value = 8.905e-05
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 5.778889 13.078254
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 9.428571
```

```
s<-sd(Avery0-Anne0)/sqrt(nanne)
tstat<-mean(Avery0-Anne0)/s # paired
pval<-pt(tstat,nanne-1,lower.tail=F)*2
cint<-mean(Avery0-Anne0)+c(-1,1)*qt(.975,nanne-1)*s # paired
dfree;tstat;pval;cint;
```

```
## [1] 21.58295
```

```
## [1] 5.581085
```

```
## [1] 8.90456e-05
```

```
## [1] 5.778889 13.078254
```

## Distribution of Scores for Features

Next, the means, standard deviations, and fractional uncertainties are calculated for each feature. Then the dat is reshaped (dcast) for Table 1.

```
sdf<-as.data.frame(summarise(group_by(xmelt,Critic,Feature),
  m=mean(Score),s=sd(Score),frac=s/m))
sdf1<-dcast(sdf,Feature~Critic,value.var="m")
sdf2<-dcast(sdf,Feature~Critic,value.var="s")
names(sdf1)[2:3]<-c("Anne_mean","Avery_mean")
names(sdf2)[2:3]<-c("Anne_sd","Avery_sd")
sdfdcast<-cbind(sdf1,select(sdf2,-Feature))
sdfdcast<-sdfdcast[,c(1,2,4,3,5)]
```

This outputs the latex code for Table 1.

```
print(xtable(sdfdcast),include.rownames=F)
```

This produces Figure 3.

```
pdf(file="Critics_dist.pdf",width=11)
g<-ggplot(xmelt,aes(x=Score,fill=Critic))
g<-g+geom_histogram(color="black",binwidth=1)
g<-g+facet_wrap(~Feature,scales="free")
g<-g+labs(y="Number of Counts")+theme_bw()
g<-g+scale_fill_manual(values=c("darkseagreen1","seagreen4"))
g
dev.off()
```

Next is the code for Figure 4. Because of the different ranges for the x-axis, this plot is formed in two steps. First is for all features separately, then for the total score (Overall). These plots are then knitted together with `grid.arrange`.

```
cols<-brewer.pal(8,"Blues")
pal<-colorRampPalette(cols)
g1<-ggplot(filter(xmelt,Feature!="Overall"),aes(x=Score,fill=Feature))
g1<-g1+geom_histogram(color="black",binwidth=1,breaks=seq(0,10,1))
g1<-g1+facet_wrap(Critic~Feature,nrow=2,ncol=5,scales="free_y")
g1<-g1+labs(y="Frequency")+theme_bw()
g1<-g1+theme(axis.title.x=element_text(hjust=.65))
g1<-g1+scale_fill_manual(values=pal(5),guide=F)
g1<-g1+scale_x_continuous(breaks=seq(0,10,2))
g1<-g1+scale_y_continuous(breaks=seq(0,14,2))

g1a<-ggplot(filter(xmelt,Feature=="Overall"),aes(x=Score,fill=Feature))
g1a<-g1a+geom_histogram(color="black",binwidth=1)
g1a<-g1a+facet_wrap(Critic~Feature,nrow=2,ncol=1,scales="free_y")
g1a<-g1a+labs(y="",x="")+theme_bw()
g1a<-g1a+scale_fill_manual(values="mediumorchid",guide=F)
g1a<-g1a+scale_y_continuous(breaks=seq(0,3,1))

pdf(file="Critics_splitCritic.pdf",width=13)
grid.arrange(g1,g1a,nrow=1,widths=c(3.2,.8))
dev.off()
```

In order to calculate the p-values for the matrix of hypothesis tests, the data is separated by critic and only scores for individual features are kept. Then the feature pairs are looped over, each time calculating the

p-values with `t.test`, and adding it to a data frame for each critic. The resulting data frame for Anne is shown.

```
xAnne<-x %>% filter(Critic=="Anne") %>% select(-Overall,-OverallWeighted,-Show,-Critic)
xAvery<-x %>% filter(Critic=="Avery") %>% select(-Overall,-OverallWeighted,-Show,-Critic)

featAnne<-data.frame()
featAvery<-data.frame()
for (i in 1:5){
  for (j in 1:5){
    featAnne<-rbind(featAnne,cbind(names(xAnne)[i],
                                   names(xAnne)[j],t.test(xAnne[,i],xAnne[,j])$p.val))
    featAvery<-rbind(featAvery,cbind(names(xAvery)[i],
                                   names(xAvery)[j],t.test(xAvery[,i],xAvery[,j])$p.val))
  }
}
featAnne
```

```
##          V1          V2          V3
## 1    Acting    Acting          1
## 2    Acting  Curiosity 0.775495236395331
## 3    Acting    Script 0.46196400870266
## 4    Acting  Characters 0.641290778802611
## 5    Acting  Originality 0.233993427544372
## 6  Curiosity    Acting 0.775495236395331
## 7  Curiosity  Curiosity          1
## 8  Curiosity    Script 0.725488953311352
## 9  Curiosity  Characters 0.925237293921935
## 10 Curiosity  Originality 0.446892069881033
## 11    Script    Acting 0.46196400870266
## 12    Script  Curiosity 0.725488953311352
## 13    Script    Script          1
## 14    Script  Characters 0.755537576835056
## 15    Script  Originality 0.645599251321352
## 16  Characters    Acting 0.641290778802611
## 17  Characters  Curiosity 0.925237293921935
## 18  Characters    Script 0.755537576835056
## 19  Characters  Characters          1
## 20  Characters  Originality 0.430697017771925
## 21 Originality    Acting 0.233993427544372
## 22 Originality  Curiosity 0.446892069881033
## 23 Originality    Script 0.645599251321352
## 24 Originality  Characters 0.430697017771925
## 25 Originality  Originality          1
```

In order to manipulate these data frames, they are reorganized by `dcast` and converted to numbers. Then code is run to determine if any of the pairs have a p-value that is less than the significance level of 0.05. The minimum value for each critic is also calculated. This could have been calculated directly from the data frames above, instead of melting the dcasted data frame, but this is just an illustration of how data can be reshaped.

```
featAnne<-dcast(featAnne,V1~V2)
```

```
## Using V3 as value column: use value.var to override.
```

```
featAnne[, -1] <- apply(featAnne[, -1], c(1, 2), as.numeric)
featAvery <- dcast(featAvery, V1 ~ V2)
```

```
## Using V3 as value column: use value.var to override.
```

```
featAvery[, -1] <- apply(featAvery[, -1], c(1, 2), as.numeric)
sum(apply(featAnne[, -1], 1, function(i) any(i < 0.05)))
```

```
## [1] 0
```

```
sum(apply(featAvery[, -1], 1, function(i) any(i < 0.05)))
```

```
## [1] 2
```

```
min(melt(featAnne)$value)
```

```
## Using V1 as id variables
```

```
## [1] 0.2339934
```

```
min(melt(featAvery)$value)
```

```
## Using V1 as id variables
```

```
## [1] 0.03478625
```

There are 0 values for Anne and 2 values for Avery that are below 0.05. (Avery's values are degenerate, as in they came from the same pair of features). The minimum value for Avery is 0.035 and 0.23 for Anne.

Figure 5 is next. The data from the data frames above first need to be converted into matrix form in order to be plotted.

```
matAnne <- as.matrix(featAnne[, -1])
matAvery <- as.matrix(featAvery[, -1])

pdf(file="Critics_pvals.pdf", width=15)
par(mfrow=c(1, 2))
par(mar=c(5, 5, 5, 5))
cols <- brewer.pal(5, "BuGn")
pal <- colorRampPalette(cols)
image.plot(matAvery, col=pal(40), xlab="Feature", ylab="Feature", axes=F)
title(main="Critic = Avery")
axis(1, at=seq(0, 1, length.out=5), labels=names(featAnne)[-1])
axis(2, at=seq(0, 1, length.out=5), labels=names(featAnne)[-1])

par(mar=c(5, 5, 5, 5))
cols <- brewer.pal(10, "PuBu")
pal <- colorRampPalette(cols)
image.plot(matAnne, col=pal(40), xlab="Feature", ylab="", axes=F)
title(main="Critic = Anne")
axis(1, at=seq(0, 1, length.out=5), labels=names(featAvery)[-1])
axis(2, at=seq(0, 1, length.out=5), labels=names(featAvery)[-1])
```

## Tallying the Scores

The top six shows are then selected. First, scores per critic are isolated, arranged by total score (Overall), and then ranked. The same is done for the weighted scores. Then the data frames for each critic are merged into one, Overall.

```
rk<-seq(1:14)
Anne<-x %>% filter(Critic=="Anne") %>% select(Show,Overall,OverallWeighted) %>%
  arrange(desc(Overall)) %>% mutate(Rank=rk)
Anne<-Anne %>% arrange(desc(OverallWeighted)) %>% mutate(RankWeighted=rk)
Avery<-x %>% filter(Critic=="Avery") %>% select(Show,Overall,OverallWeighted) %>%
  arrange(desc(Overall)) %>% mutate(Rank=rk)
Avery<-Avery %>% arrange(desc(OverallWeighted)) %>% mutate(RankWeighted=rk)
Overall<-merge(Anne,Avery,by="Show")
```

The names of the data frame are changed and then the summed totals per critic are calculated, as well as the mean ranks for non-weighted and weighted features.

```
nms<-names(Overall)
nms<-gsub("x", "Anne", nms); nms<-gsub("y", "Avery", nms)
names(Overall)<-nms
Overall<-Overall %>% mutate(Total=apply(Overall[,grep("Overall\\.", nms)], 1, sum),
  meanRank=apply(Overall[,grep("Rank\\.", nms)], 1, mean),
  TotalWeighted=apply(Overall[,grep("OverallW", nms)], 1, sum),
  meanRankWeighted=apply(Overall[,grep("RankW", nms)], 1, mean))
Overall<-Overall[,c(grep("Weighted", names(Overall), invert=T), grep("Weighted", names(Overall)))]
head(Overall)
```

##	Show	Overall.Anne	Rank.Anne	Overall.Avery	Rank.Avery
## 1	Better Call Saul	40	4	47	2
## 2	Broadchurch	41	2	48	1
## 3	Empire	16	14	35	13
## 4	Enlightened	33	8	41	9
## 5	Friday Night Lights	29	12	47	4
## 6	Getting On	29	11	39	11

  

##	Total	meanRank	OverallWeighted.Anne	RankWeighted.Anne
## 1	87	3.0	8.363636	3
## 2	89	1.5	9.000000	1
## 3	51	13.5	3.363636	14
## 4	74	8.5	6.454545	8
## 5	76	8.0	6.090909	11
## 6	68	11.0	5.000000	12

  

##	OverallWeighted.Avery	RankWeighted.Avery	TotalWeighted	meanRankWeighted
## 1	9.545455	2	17.90909	2.5
## 2	9.818182	1	18.81818	1.0
## 3	7.181818	13	10.54545	13.5
## 4	8.181818	9	14.63636	8.5
## 5	9.545455	3	15.63636	7.0
## 6	7.727273	11	12.72727	11.5

Next, the data frame is rearranged based on total score and rank in various ways. Then the result is printed to a latex table for Table 2.



```
Overall<-select(Overall,Show,Total,meanRank,TotalWeighted,meanRankWeighted)
Overall<-Overall %>% arrange(desc(Total))
Overall<-Overall %>% arrange(meanRank)
Overall<-Overall %>% arrange(desc(TotalWeighted))
Overall<-Overall %>% arrange(meanRankWeighted)
```

```
print(xtable(Overall),include.rownames=F)
```

## Outliers and Refinements to the Algorithm

The Broadchurch data is isolated, and the quantiles are calculated for each critic. This is formed into Table 3.

```
broadchurch<-xmelt %>% filter(Show=="Broadchurch")

qavery<-quantile(filter(broadchurch,Feature!="Overall" & Critic=="Avery")$Score)
qanne<-quantile(filter(broadchurch,Feature!="Overall" & Critic=="Anne")$Score)
q<-rbind(qavery,qanne)
q
```

```
##          0%          25% 50%    75% 100%
## qavery  8 9.863636   10 10.00   10
## qanne   3 9.000000    9  9.75   10
```

```
row.names(q)<-c("Avery","Anne")
print(xtable(q))
```

```
## % latex table generated in R 3.1.2 by xtable 1.7-4 package
## % Sun May 31 19:01:28 2015
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
## \hline
## & 0\% & 25\% & 50\% & 75\% & 100\% \\
## \hline
## Avery & 8.00 & 9.86 & 10.00 & 10.00 & 10.00 \\
## Anne & 3.00 & 9.00 & 9.00 & 9.75 & 10.00 \\
## \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}
```

## Results with Weights

The results for the weighted features:

```
Overall<-Overall %>% arrange(meanRankWeighted)
head(Overall)
```

```
##          Show Total meanRank TotalWeighted meanRankWeighted
```

## 1	Broadchurch	89	1.5	18.81818	1.0
## 2	Better Call Saul	87	3.0	17.90909	2.5
## 3	West Wing	88	3.5	17.72727	3.5
## 4	Masters of Sex	86	4.0	17.18182	5.0
## 5	The Affair	83	4.5	16.81818	5.0
## 6	Friday Night Lights	76	8.0	15.63636	7.0

## Correlations Between Features

The following is for Figure 6.

```
pdf(file="Critics_actingvsscript.pdf")
g4<-ggplot(x,aes(x=Acting,y=Script))
g4<-g4+geom_point(aes(color=Critic,shape=Critic),size=5,alpha=0.8)
g4<-g4+theme_bw()+geom_smooth(aes(color=Critic),method=lm)
g4<-g4+scale_color_hue(l=30)
g4
dev.off()
```

Figure 7 is built with the pairs function.

```
pdf(file="Critics_pairs.pdf")
pairs(select(x,-Show,-Overall,-Critic),panel=panel.smooth,col=3+(x$Critic=="Anne"))
dev.off()
```