

Advanced Macroeconomics
On business cycle theory (incomplete)

(Lecture Notes, Winter Term 2010/11, Thomas Steger, University of Leipzig)

1 A simple stochastic growth model

1.1 The model

Consider the following stochastic Ramsey model (Heer and Maussner, 2005, p. 35)

$$\max_{C_t} \{E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(C_t)\} \quad (1)$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t - C_t \quad (2)$$

$$B_t = B_{t-1}^\gamma e^{\varepsilon_t}, \quad A_t = A_{t-1} e^\lambda \quad (3)$$

$$K_0 = K_{00}, \quad A_0 = A_{00} \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t E_t (\mu_t K_t) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the time index, E_0 the expectations conditional on information in period $t = 0$,¹ $0 < \beta < 1$ a subjective discount factor, C_t consumption in period t , K_t the stock of capital, B_t a stochastic technology parameter, ε_t is an independently and identically normally distributed random variable with $E(\varepsilon_t) = \varepsilon$ and $V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ a parameter that captures the degree of persistency of technology shocks, A_t captures (exogenous) technological progress (approximately) at rate $\lambda \geq 0$, L_t labor input (considered exogenous here), $\delta > 0$ the depreciation rate, respectively.

The timing of events within every period is as follows: First, the shock materializes and then the agent decides on consumption. This problem differs from the deterministic model in two respects:

- Output at each period t depends not only on K_t but also on the realization of a

¹The phrase "period t " describes the time interval $[t, t + 1)$.

stochastic variable A_t . The timing of events then implies that, in period t , the agent decides upon C_t knowing the realization of A_t .

- As a result of uncertainty about the future, the agent decides only upon C_0 at $t = 0$ and postpones the decision on $\{C_1, C_2, \dots\}$. At $t = 1$ the agent then decides upon C_1 knowing K_1 and B_1 and so on. An alternative solution strategy lies in the formulation of contingent plans (see Groth, 2010).

At $t = 0$, K_0 and B_0 are given and the agent decides upon C_0 . To solve the above problem we employ the method of Lagrangian multipliers which requires to set up the following Lagrangian function (e.g., Chow, 1997)

$$\mathcal{L} := E_0\left\{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(C_t) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \mu_t [B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_t - C_t - K_{t+1}]\right\}$$

The associated first-order conditions involve $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_0} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K_1} = 0$ (together with the dynamic budget constraint). To form these partials let us write the relevant parts of the Lagrangian explicitly as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : &= E_0\{\beta^0 u(C_0) + \beta^0 \mu_0 [B_0 K_0^\alpha (A_0 L_0)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_0 - C_0 - K_1] \\ &+ \beta^1 u(C_1) + \beta^1 \mu_1 [B_1 K_1^\alpha (A_1 L_1)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_1 - C_1 - K_2] + \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

The first order conditions $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_0} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K_1} = 0$ can now be expressed as follows

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_0} = E_0\{u'(C_0) - \mu_0\} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K_1} = E_0\{-\mu_0 + \beta^1 \mu_1 [\alpha B_1 K_1^{\alpha-1} (A_1 L_1)^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta]\} = 0$$

Since C_0 , K_1 and, hence, the multiplier μ_0 are non-stochastic we may write

$$u'(C_0) = \mu_0$$

$$\mu_0 = \beta^1 E_0\{\mu_1 [\alpha B_1 K_1^{\alpha-1} (A_1 L_1)^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta]\}$$

At $t = 1$, K_1 and B_1 are given and the agent decides upon C_1 . To solve this problem we set up the following Lagrangian function

$$\mathcal{L} := E_1 \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} u(C_t) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} \mu_t [B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_t - C_t - K_{t+1}] \right\}$$

The associated first-order conditions involve $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_1} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K_2} = 0$ (together with the dynamic budget constraint). To form these partials let us write the relevant parts of the Lagrangian explicitly as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : &= E_1 \{ \beta^0 u(C_1) + \beta^0 \mu_1 [B_1 K_1^\alpha (A_1 L_1)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_1 - C_1 - K_2] \\ &+ \beta^1 u(C_2) + \beta^1 \mu_2 [B_2 K_2^\alpha (A_2 L_2)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_2 - C_2 - K_3] + \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

The first order conditions $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_1} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K_2} = 0$ can now be expressed as follows

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_1} = E_1 \{ u'(C_1) - \mu_1 \} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K_2} = E_1 \{ -\mu_1 + \beta^1 \mu_2 [\alpha B_2 K_2^{\alpha-1} (A_2 L_2)^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \} = 0$$

Since C_1 , K_2 and, hence, the multiplier μ_1 are non-stochastic we may write

$$u'(C_1) = \mu_1$$

$$\mu_1 = \beta^1 E_1 \{ \mu_2 [\alpha B_2 K_2^{\alpha-1} (A_2 L_2)^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \}$$

Continuing this way, one finds that, since K_t must be optimal at t , the plan for choosing $\{C_0, C_1, \dots\}$ and $\{K_0, K_1, \dots\}$ must solve the system

$$u'(C_t) = \mu_t$$

$$\mu_t = \beta E_t \{ \mu_{t+1} [\alpha B_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \}$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_t - C_t$$

Eliminating the shadow price μ_t yields

$$u'(C_t) = \beta E_t \{ u'(C_{t+1}) [\alpha B_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \}$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t - C_t$$

In summary, the evolution of the economy is governed by the following dynamic system

$$u'(C_t) = \beta E_t \{ u'(C_{t+1}) [\alpha B_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \}$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t - C_t$$

$$B_t = B_{t-1}^\gamma e^{\varepsilon_t}, \quad A_t = A_{t-1} e^\lambda$$

$$K_0 = K_{00}, \quad A_0 = A_{00}, \quad B_0 = B_{00}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t E_t (\mu_t K_t) = 0$$

1.2 Formation of first order conditions: general remark

Observe that the set of first order conditions results from

$$\mathcal{L} := E_0 \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(C_t) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \mu_t [B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t - C_t - K_{t+1}] \right\}$$

together with (where we assume that labor is endogenous)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L_t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K_{t+1}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\beta^t \mu_t)} = 0$$

This statement suppresses the expectations operator!

1.3 Deterministic dynamic system and pseudo steady state

Let us ignore shocks for the moment, i.e. assume that $\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon \forall t$. Assuming furthermore $u'(C_t) = \ln C_t$, the corresponding deterministic system can then be written as follows:

$$\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} = \beta [\alpha B_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta]$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t - C_t$$

$$B_t = B_{t-1}^\gamma e^\varepsilon, \quad A_t = A_{t-1} e^\lambda$$

Next we turn to the steady state growth rate. Since $0 < \gamma < 1$ the technology parameter B exhibits a stationary solution $\tilde{B} = e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\gamma}}$. The growth rate of A_t is given by $\hat{A} = e^\lambda - 1$. It can be easily shown that the steady state growth rate is characterized by

$$\hat{Y} = \hat{K} = \hat{C} = \hat{A} = e^\lambda - 1$$

Next we define normalized variables $k_t := \frac{K_t}{A_t}$ ($K_t = A_t k_t$) and $c_t := \frac{C_t}{A_t}$ ($C_t = A_t c_t$) such that the Euler equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_{t+1} c_{t+1}}{A_t c_t} &= \beta [\alpha B_{t+1} (A_{t+1} k_{t+1})^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \\ \implies \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} &= e^{-\lambda} \beta [\alpha B_{t+1} (k_{t+1})^{\alpha-1} L_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The capital accumulation equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t+1} k_{t+1} &= B_t (A_t k_t)^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) A_t k_t - A_t c_t \\ A_{t+1} k_{t+1} &= B_t A_t k_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) A_t k_t - A_t c_t \\ \implies k_{t+1} &= e^{-\lambda} [B_t k_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) k_t - c_t] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Turning to the determination of the steady state we first notice that the long run value of B , denoted as \tilde{B} , results from $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}^\gamma e^\varepsilon$ to read $\tilde{B} = e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\gamma}}$. Moreover, the

steady state of system (6) and (7) is defined by $\tilde{k} = k_t = k_{t-1}$ and $\tilde{c} = c_t = c_{t-1}$. Applying this steady state condition yields

$$\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} = 1 \implies \tilde{k} = \left(\frac{\alpha \tilde{B} L_{t+1}^{1-\alpha}}{e^\lambda / \beta - 1 + \delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{k} = e^{-\lambda} \left[\tilde{B} \tilde{k}^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \tilde{k} - c_t \right] \implies \tilde{c} = \tilde{B} \tilde{k}^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \tilde{k} - \tilde{k} e^\lambda \quad (9)$$

2 A basic RBC model

Consider the following basic RBC model (cf. Brunner and Strulik, 2004, p. 82)

$$\max_{C_t, L_t} \left\{ E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\ln C_t + \eta(1 - L_t)] \right\} \quad (10)$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t - C_t \quad (11)$$

$$B_t = B_{t-1}^\gamma e^{\varepsilon_t}, \quad A_t = A_{t-1} e^\lambda \quad (12)$$

$$K_0 = K_{00}, \quad A_0 = A_{00} \quad (13)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t E_t (\mu_t K_t) = 0 \quad (14)$$

To solve this dynamic optimization problem we set up the following Lagrangian function

$$\mathcal{L} := E_0 \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\ln C_t + \eta(1 - L_t)] + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \mu_t [B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t - C_t - K_{t+1}] \right\}$$

The set of first order conditions must now be complemented by a static efficiency condition of the form $\frac{\partial u(C_t, L_t)}{\partial L_t} = \mu_t \frac{\partial F(K_t, L_t)}{\partial L_t}$ or

$$\eta = \mu_t (1 - \alpha) B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{-\alpha} A_t$$

The complete set of first order conditions then reads as follows

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = \mu_t$$

$$\eta = \mu_t(1 - \alpha)B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{-\alpha} A_t$$

$$\mu_t = \beta E_t \{ \mu_{t+1} [\alpha B_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \}$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)K_t - C_t$$

Eliminating the shadow price one gets

$$\eta = \frac{1}{C_t}(1 - \alpha)B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{-\alpha} A_t$$

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{1}{C_{t+1}} [\alpha B_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta] \right\}$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)K_t - C_t$$

2.1 Deterministic dynamic system and pseudo steady state

Let us ignore shocks for the moment, i.e. assume that $\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon \forall t$. The corresponding deterministic system can then be written as follows:

$$\eta = \frac{1}{C_t}(1 - \alpha)B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{-\alpha} A_t$$

$$\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} = \beta [\alpha B_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} (A_{t+1} L_{t+1})^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta]$$

$$K_{t+1} = B_t K_t^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)K_t - C_t$$

$$B_t = B_{t-1}^\gamma e^\varepsilon, \quad A_t = A_{t-1} e^\lambda$$

As before, the steady state growth rate is given by $\hat{Y} = \hat{K} = \hat{C} = \hat{A} = e^\lambda - 1$. Defining normalized variables $k_t := \frac{K_t}{A_t}$ ($K_t = A_t k_t$) and $c_t := \frac{C_t}{A_t}$ ($C_t = A_t c_t$), the first

order condition $\frac{\partial u(C_t, L_t)}{\partial L_t} = \mu_t \frac{\partial F(K_t, L_t)}{\partial L_t}$ may be expressed as

$$\eta = \frac{1}{A_t c_t} (1 - \alpha) B_t (A_t k_t)^\alpha (A_t L_t)^{-\alpha} A_t$$

$$\eta = \frac{1}{c_t} (1 - \alpha) B_t k_t^\alpha L_t^{-\alpha}$$

The steady state in terms of normalized variables is then determined by

$$\eta = \frac{1}{c} (1 - \alpha) \tilde{B} k^\alpha L^{-\alpha} \quad (15)$$

$$1 = e^{-\lambda} \beta \left[\alpha \tilde{B} (k)^\alpha L^{1-\alpha} + 1 - \delta \right] \quad (16)$$

$$k = e^{-\lambda} \left[\tilde{B} k^\alpha L^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) k - c \right] \quad (17)$$

Solving (16) for L gives

$$L = \left(\frac{e^{\lambda/\beta} - 1 + \delta}{\alpha \tilde{B}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} k \quad (18)$$

Plugging this result into (15) gives

$$\eta = \frac{1}{c} (1 - \alpha) \tilde{B} k^\alpha \left(\frac{e^{\lambda/\beta} - 1 + \delta}{\alpha \tilde{B}} \right)^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k^{-\alpha} \quad (19)$$

$$\eta = \frac{1}{c} (1 - \alpha) \tilde{B} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda/\beta} - 1 + \delta}{\alpha \tilde{B}} \right)^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \quad (20)$$

$$c = \frac{(1 - \alpha) \tilde{B}}{\eta} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda/\beta} - 1 + \delta}{\alpha \tilde{B}} \right)^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \quad (21)$$

References

- [1] Brunner, M. and H. Strulik, A simple and intuitive method to solve small rational expectations models, Journal of Economics, Vol. 82, 2004, 71-88.
- [2] Chow, G.C., Dynamic Economics: Optimization by the Lagrange Method, OUP, 1997.

- [3] Groth, C., Lecture notes in macroeconomics, (mimeo) 2010.
- [4] Heer, B. and A. Maussner, Dynamic General Equilibrium Modelling, Springer, Berlin et al., 2005.