Comb sort - Summary

By: Jackie Xu

○ History

- Originated from Bubble sort (1950s)
- Developed by Włodzimierz Dobosiewicz in 1980
- Re-discovered and optimized by Stephen Lacey and Richard Box in 1991

Our Contract of Section

- Method of sorting: Exchanging
- Based off of: Bubble sort (behaves like Bubble near the end)

○ Time complexity

- Efficiency
 - Best case: O(n)
 - Worst case: O(n²)
 - Average case: O(n log n)
- Memory
 - O(1) No extra memory needed during sorting process

o Pros

- Easy to write Uses simple structures (Decisions, while loops, arrays/lists)
- Memory efficient Does not need to reserve more memory during the sort
- On average more efficient than Bubble, Selection and Insertion sorts
 - It improves Bubble sort by the eliminating "turtles" in the beginning

o Cons

Unstable sort - It cannot sort lists/arrays with complex objects (multiple attributes) (Eg. Cards)

○ Key terms / variables

- "turtles" Small values at the end of a list that tends to slow down a sorting method
- Shrink factor A number that is used to determine the gap value in a Comb sort (ideally 1.3)
- gap size The number of offsets from the current counter, crucial in a Comb sort
- stable sort A sort that will guarantee that all the attributes in a list will be sorted
 (Eg. Suits and numbers in a list of cards will be properly sorted)
- unstable sort A sort that will NOT guarantee that all the attributes in a list will be sorted (Eg. Suits in a deck of cards may not be properly sorted, only the numbers)

O When to and when not to use

- USE when sorting a list of primitive variables (Eg. floats, integers, chars...)
- DON'T use when sorting a list of objects with multiple attributes (Eg. Cards)

Pseudocode