

MLT Homework 3

Ana Borovac
Jonas Haslbeck
Bas Haver

October 2, 2018

Question 1

Show the following monotonicity property of VC-dimension: For every two hypothesis classes if $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$ then $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}') \leq \text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H})$.

Solution

Let assume:

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}') = |C'|$$

So, the restriction of \mathcal{H}' to C' is the set of all functions from C' to $\{0, 1\}$ (let's denote it with F). Since $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$, we know that $F \subset \mathcal{H}$. From this we can conclude, that \mathcal{H} also shatters C' , but there may exist a larger subset of \mathcal{H} that can be shattered, so:

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}') \leq \text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H})$$

Question 2

Given some finite domain set, \mathcal{X} , and a number $k \leq |\mathcal{X}|$, figure out the VC-dimension of each of the following classes (and prove your claims).

Subquestion 2.1

$\mathcal{H}_{=k}^{\mathcal{X}} = \{h \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{X}} : |\{x : h(x) = 1\}| = k\}$. That is, the set of all functions that assign the value 1 to exactly k elements of \mathcal{X} .

Solution

Claim: $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{=k}^{\mathcal{X}}) = \min\{k, |\mathcal{X}| - k\}$.

Proof: Let C denote a subset of \mathcal{X} such that $|C| = \min\{k, |\mathcal{X}| - k\}$.

In the “worst” case we want to label all elements of C with 0. In order to do that we need at least k elements in a set $\mathcal{X} \setminus C$. From there we can conclude that the biggest subset of \mathcal{X} which can be shattered by $\mathcal{H}_{=k}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is of size $|\mathcal{X}| - k$.

On the other hand if we want to label all elements of C with 1, the size of C can not be bigger than k .

If we combine both explanations above, we get:

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{=k}^{\mathcal{X}}) = \min\{k, |\mathcal{X}| - k\}$$

Subquestion 2.2

$$\mathcal{H}_{at-most-k} = \{h \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{X}} : |\{x : h(x) = 1\}| \leq k \text{ or } |\{x : h(x) = 0\}| \leq k\}.$$

Solution

Claim: $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{at-most-k}) = \min\{2k, |\mathcal{X}|\}$

Proof: Let's analyse the case that we do not want to happen. We do not want to have a subset C where one of labelings contains $> k$ elements that are labeled 0 and $> k$ elements labeled 1. So, subsets bigger than $2k$ can not be shattered by $\mathcal{H}_{at-most-k}$. From this and the fact that VC-dimension can not be bigger than $|\mathcal{X}|$ we conclude:

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{at-most-k}) = \min\{2k, |\mathcal{X}|\}$$

Question 3

Let \mathcal{X} be the Boolean hypercube $\{0, 1\}^n$. For a set $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we define a parity function h_I as follows. On a binary vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$,

$$h_I(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \bmod 2.$$

(That is, h_I computes parity of bits in I .) What is the VC-dimension of the class of all such parity functions, $\mathcal{H}_{n\text{-parity}} = \{h_I : I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$?

Solution

By both proving that the VC-dimension of the parity functions is both bounded from above and from below by n , we will get to the conclusion that the VC-dimension is equal to n .

First note that the Boolean hypercube is a finite set and therefore, as is stated in section 6.3.4 in the book,

$$\text{VC-dim}(\mathcal{H}_{n\text{-parity}}) \leq \log_2(|\mathcal{H}_{n\text{-parity}}|) = \log_2(2^n) = n.$$

So we now have $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{n\text{-parity}}) \leq n$.

We also know that in $\{0,1\}^n$ there are n different unit vectors e_i which have a one at the i -th spot and a zero everywhere else. For any collection of these unit vectors, excluding the one containing all the unit vectors, we can choose I to be corresponding with one of the unit vectors (for example $I = 1$ when the unit vector e_1 is in the collection), to obtain 1 and choose I corresponding to a unit vector which is not in the collection to obtain 0. Now when all unit vectors are in our collection, we can choose an $I = \{1\}$ to obtain a 1 and $I = \{1, 2\}$ to obtain a zero. So for every collection of unit vectors we can obtain both a 0 and a 1. Since there are n of these unit vectors we have $\text{VC-dim}(\mathcal{H}_{n\text{-parity}}) \geq n$ and so $\text{VC-dim}(\mathcal{H}_{n\text{-parity}}) = n$.

Question 4

VC-dimension of Boolean conjunctions: Let $\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d$ be the class of Boolean conjunctions over the variables x_1, \dots, x_d ($d \geq 2$). We already know that this class is finite and thus (agnostic) PAC learnable. In this question we calculate $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d)$.

1. Show that $|\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d| \leq 3^d + 1$.
2. Conclude that $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}) \leq d \log 3$.
3. Show that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d$ shatters the set of unit vectors $\{e_i : i \leq d\}$.
4. (**) Show that $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d) \leq d$.
Hint: Assume by contradiction that there exists a set $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_{d+1}\}$ that is shattered by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d$. Let h_1, \dots, h_{d+1} be hypotheses in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d$ that satisfy

$$\forall i, j \in [d+1], h_i(c_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For each $i \in [d+1]$, h_i (or more accurately, the conjunction that corresponds to h_i) contains some literal l_i which is false on c_i and true on c_j for each $j \neq i$. Use the Pigeonhole principle to show that there must be a pair $i < j \leq d+1$ such that l_i and l_j use the same x_k and use that fact to derive a contradiction to the requirements from the conjunctions h_i, h_j .

5. Consider the class $\mathcal{H}_{\text{mcon}}^d$ of monotone Boolean conjunctions over $\{0,1\}^d$. Monotonicity here means that the conjunctions do not contain negations. As in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{con}}^d$, the empty conjunction is interpreted as the all-positive hypothesis. We augment $\mathcal{H}_{\text{mcon}}^d$ with the all-negative hypothesis h^- . Show that $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{mcon}}^d) = d$.

Solution

1. Since having a literal twice in a product gives 1 for every x_i , it does not contribute anything when multiplying this with other literals. So first

consider the possibilities where there is no literal used twice. Then for any $i \in [d]$ we have the possibilities of using a literal giving x_i , one giving \bar{x}_i , and of course the possibility of not using any literal of x_i in your product. Having these three options for every $i \in [d]$ results in a maximal amount of 3^d functions. Combined with the possibility of having a literal twice only, so not multiplied by any other product (of course multiplying it with twice any literal can be done, still not contributing anything to our final value), will result in a label 1 and is in the class as well. This concludes the task and gives us $|\mathcal{H}_{con}^d| \leq 3^d + 1$.

2. Now since the all-positive function does only give us 1 as a result, it can not be in our set determining the VC-dimension. So the problem is equivalent to finding the VC-dimension of a set of size 3^d , which is finite. So the VC-dimension is smaller than the log over the size, and thus we can conclude $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}) \leq d \log 3$ immediately.
3. If all of the unit vectors are labeled 0, we can use all-negative hypothesis. If all of the unit vectors are labeled 1, we can use all-positive hypothesis. Now, let's assume that e_1, \dots, e_k ($1 \leq k < d$) are labeled 1 and others are labeled 0. Corresponding hypothesis would be:

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{x_{k+1}} \cdots \overline{x_d}$$

We can find such hypothesis for every labeling. From this we conclude that \mathcal{H}_{con}^d shatters the set of unit vectors.

4. Assume that there exists a set $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_{d+1}\}$ that is shattered by \mathcal{H}_{con}^d . Let h_1, \dots, h_{d+1} be hypotheses in \mathcal{H}_{con}^d that satisfy

$$\forall i, j \in [d+1], h_i(c_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In every conjunction that corresponds to h_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$) there exists a literal l_i which is false on c_i and true on c_j for each $j \neq i$. Since we only have d variables, there exists a pair of literals that uses the same x_k . Without loss of generality we can assume that the literals l_1, l_2 use x_k . Now we have two possibilities:

- $l_1 = l_2$: From definition it follows that conjunction that corresponds to h_1 contains a literal l_1 which is false on c_1 and true otherwise. Analogously holds for corresponding conjunction to h_2 . Since $l_1 = l_2$ we come to a contradiction with definition; conjunction that corresponds to h_1 is also false on c_2 .
- $l_1 = \bar{l}_2$: Let say that c_3 is true on l_1 , so then it is false on l_2 . We again come to a contradiction with our definition, corresponding conjunction to h_2 is false on c_2 and also on c_3 .

From that we can conclude:

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{con}^d) \leq d$$

5. We would like to show that it holds $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{mcon}^d) \leq d$ and $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{mcon}^d) \geq d$.

- $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{mcon}^d) \geq d$: We would like to find a set of d points which can be shattered. We define points a^1, \dots, a^d as:

$$a_j^i = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If all the points are labeled 1, we can use all-positive hypothesis. If just the i -th point is labeled 0, we use $h(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$. We continue the same way. Let say that points a^1, \dots, a^k ($1 \leq k \leq d$) are labeled 0 and others are labeled 1. Corresponding hypothesis would be:

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \cdots x_k$$

We can find hypothesis for every labeling, that means that \mathcal{H}_{mcon}^d shatters the set $\{a^1, \dots, a^d\}$ and therefore $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{mcon}^d) \geq d$.

- $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{mcon}^d) \leq d$: We can repeat the proof from the 4th point above, just that we do not have a case where $l_1 = \bar{l}_2$.

Now we can conclude $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{mcon}^d) = d$.