# MLT Homework 5

Ana Borovac Jonas Haslbeck Bas Haver

October 15, 2018

# Question 1

## Subquestion 1.1

Consider a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ , where for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is finite. Find a weighting function  $w : \mathcal{H} \to [0,1]$  such that  $\sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} w(h) \leq 1$  and so that for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , w(h) is determined by  $|\mathcal{H}_{n(h)}|$ .

### Solution

Since we have a countably infinite union of finite sets, we know that the number of elements is countably infinite. Therefore, we can number them as:

$$h_1, h_2, \ldots$$

If we pick weights as:

$$w(h_i) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{|H_{n(h_i)}|}}\right)^i; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

the sum of the weights in the worst case would be, when  $|H_{n(h_i)}| = 1$  ( $\forall i$ ):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w(h_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i = 1$$

### Subquestion 1.2

Define such a function w when for all  $n \mathcal{H}_n$  is countable (possibly infinite).

#### Solution

Countably infinite union of countable sets is again a countable set, so we can choose the same weighted function as before.

## Question 2

In this question we wish to show a No-Free-Lunch result for nonuniform learnability.

## Subquestion 2.1

Let A be a nonuniform learner for class  $\mathcal{H}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $\mathcal{H}_n^A = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : m^{NUL}(0.1, 0.1, h) \leq n\}$ . Prove that each such class  $\mathcal{H}_n$  has a finite VC-dimension.

#### Solution

Because  $\mathcal{H}$  is nonuniform learnable, it is a union of agnostic PAC learnable hypothesis classes (Theorem 7.2). That is,  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n^A$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_n^A$  is agnostic PAC learnable for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Because each  $\mathcal{H}_n^A$  is agnostic PAC learnable, it also has a finite VC-dimension, by the Fundamental Theorem of Statistical Learning (Theorem 6.7).

### Subquestion 2.2

Prove that if class  $\mathcal{H}$  in nonuniformly learnable then there are classes  $\mathcal{H}_n$  so that  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n$  and, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}_n)$  is finite.

#### Solution

Let define:

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \{ h \in \mathcal{H} : m^{\text{NUL}}(0.1, 0.1, h) \le n \}$$

It is obvious that  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n$ . From previous point we also know that  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}_n)$  is finite.

#### Subquestion 2.3

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a class that shatters some infinite set. Then for every sequence of classes  $(\mathcal{H}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  such that  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n$ , there exists some n for which  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}_n) = \infty$ .

#### Solution

## Subquestion 2.4

Construct a class  $\mathcal{H}_1$  of functions from the unit interval [0,1] to  $\{0,1\}$  that is nonuniformly learnable but not PAC learnable.

#### Solution

## Subquestion 2.5

Construct a class  $\mathcal{H}_2$  of functions from the unit interval [0,1] to  $\{0,1\}$  that is not nonuniformly learnable.

#### Solution

## Question 3

Prove the Symmetrization Lemma (= double sample trick): For any  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $n\epsilon^2 \geq 2$ ,

$$P_n\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}(R(f)-R_n(f))\geq\epsilon\right]\leq P_{2n}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}(R'_n(f)-R_n(f))\geq\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right]$$

where R is the risk,  $R_n$  empirical risk for the sample  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  and  $R'_n$  empirical risk for ghost sample  $Z'_1, \ldots, Z'_n$ .

#### Solution

This question was solved with the help of [1]. Denote  $f^*$  a function that maximize  $(R(f) - R_n(f))$ . First, we would like to prove: If  $(R(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \epsilon)$  and  $(R(f^*) - R'_n(f^*) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2})$  then  $(R'_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ .

$$\epsilon < R(f^*) - R_n(f^*) 
= R(f^*) - R'_n(f^*) + R'_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*) 
\le R'_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

So,  $(R'_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*)) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . If we write that with indicators:

$$I\left[R(f^*) - R_n(f^*) > \epsilon, R(f^*) - R'_n(f^*) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] \le I\left[R'_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right]$$

Now, we are going to compute expected value over  $Z'_1, \ldots, Z'_n$ :

$$I\left[R(f^*) - R_n(f^*) > \epsilon\right] P_n\left[R(f^*) - R_n'(f^*) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] \le P_n\left[R_n'(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right]$$

With Chebishyev inequality we get:

$$P_n\left[R(f^*) - R'_n(f^*) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] \ge 1 - \frac{4Var(f^*)}{n\epsilon^2} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{n\epsilon^2} \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore:

$$I\left[R(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \epsilon\right] \le 2P_n \left[R'_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right]$$

Last, we again compute expectation but this time over  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ :

$$P_n[R(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \epsilon] \le 2P_{2n}\left[R'_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*) \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right]$$

# References

[1] Han Liu John Lafferty and Larry Wasserman. Concentration of measure. www.stat.cmu.edu/~larry/=sml/Concentration.pdf. Online; accessed 14 October 2018.