

Fig.R 1: Cross-Attention map of global relation query, subject query, and object query in Decoder.




Fig.R 2: Ablation study of β in loss function.




Fig.R 3: Analysis of bad cases.



Fig.R 4: Mismatched relation triplets examples.

Table.R 1: Experimental results of OvR-SGG setting on PSG test set.

Method	Joint Base+Novel			Novel (Rel)		
	R@20	R@50	R@100	R@20	R@50	R@100
SGTR CVPR'22	-	14.2	18.2	-	-	-
PGSG CVPR'24	-	18.0	20.2	-	-	-
OvSGTR ECCV'24	15.14	17.76	19.50	5.32	6.93	8.08
INOVA (Ours)	16.69	20.01	21.71	6.78	8.78	9.70

Table.R 2: Experimental results of OvR-SGG setting on the VG test set. * and * denote pretrained with MegaSG data and VG caption data.

Method	Joint Base+Novel			Novel (Rel)		
	R@20	R@50	R@100	R@20	R@50	R@100
OvMotifs MMM'25	-	25.77	30.57	-	8.74	22.89
OvSGTR* ECCV'24	21.09	27.92	32.74	16.59	22.86	27.73
OvSGTR* ECCV'24	20.96	28.19	32.98	15.30	23.39	28.97
INOVA* (Ours)	22.00	29.22	33.77	26.90	34.64	39.68

Table.R 3: Experimental results of Fully-supervised Closed-World setting on VG test set.

Method	R@20	R@50	R@100	R@20	mR@50	mR@100
SGTR CVPR'22	-	24.6	28.4	-	-	-
VS CVPR'23	27.3	36.0	40.9	4.4	6.5	7.8
OvSGTR ECCV'24	27.0	35.8	41.3	5.0	7.2	8.8
RAHP AAAI'25	-	34.25	40.40	-	7.21	10.45
OvMotifs MMM'25	-	30.9	36.9	-	7.0	9.0
INOVA (Ours)	27.63	36.40	42.01	5.31	7.51	9.12

Table.R 4: Experimental results of Weakly-supervised setting on VG test set.

Method	Supervision	R@20	R@50	R@100
LSWS CVPR'21	COCO Caption	-	3.85	4.04
SGNLS ICCV'21		-	3.80	4.46
Li et al MM'22		-	6.40	7.33
VS CVPR'23		6.04	8.15	9.90
OvSGTR ECCV'24		6.88	9.30	11.48
LLM4SGG CVPR'24		-	8.91	10.43
INOVA (Ours)		-	11.61	14.33
VS CVPR'23	VG Caption	10.98	15.51	19.75
OvSGTR ECCV'24		16.36	22.14	26.20
LLM4SGG CVPR'24		-	18.40	22.28
INOVA (Ours)		18.93	24.70	28.49

Table.R 5: Experimental results of OvR-SGG setting on VG test set trained with VG caption. † denotes based on the VS framework

Method	Joint Base+Novel			Novel (Rel)		
	R@20	R@50	R@100	R@20	R@50	R@100
VS CVPR'23	-	7.61	9.60	-	4.06	5.58
INOVA† (Ours)	5.53	8.95	12.28	3.23	6.15	9.03

Table.R 6: Comparison of Large Model utilization under OvR-SGG setting on VG test set. ‡ denotes counter-action generation with **Pattern**.

Method	Large Model	Joint Base+Novel		
		R@20	R@50	R@100
VS CVPR'23	GLIP	-	15.50	17.37
OvSGTR ECCV'24	Grounding DINO	-	20.46	23.86
RAHP AAAI'25	GPT-3.5-turbo, Grounding DINO	-	20.50	25.74
INOVA (Ours)	Llama2, Grounding DINO	17.49	23.22	27.40
INOVA‡ (Ours)	Grounding DINO	17.36	22.98	27.14

Table.R 7: Ablation study on the large model size under OvD+R-SGG setting on VG test set.

Method	Large Model	Size	Joint Base+Novel		
			R@20	R@50	R@100
INOVA (Ours)	Llama2	7B	13.50	18.88	23.19
INOVA (Ours)	Qwen2.5	0.5B	13.64	18.99	23.43
INOVA‡ (Ours)	Pattern (Python Lib)	-	13.36	18.56	22.64
OvSGTR ECCV'24	Grounding DINO-T	174M	10.02	13.50	16.37
INOVA (Ours)	Grounding DINO-T	174M	12.61	17.43	21.27
OvSGTR ECCV'24	Grounding DINO-B	224M	12.37	17.14	21.03
INOVA (Ours)	Grounding DINO-B	224M	13.50	18.88	23.19

Table.R 8: Inference costs under OvD+R-SGG setting on VG test set.

Method	Inference Costs (s / I)	Joint Base+Novel		
		R@20	R@50	R@100
OvSGTR ECCV'24	2.2231161964684725	10.02	13.50	16.37
INOVA (Ours)	2.2574067325145006	13.34	18.76	23.01

