1. (6 points) Multiple Choices

Each of the following questions has <u>one or more</u> correct answer(s). Please choose all the correct answers. If your answer is a non-empty strict subset of the correct answers, you will receive 1pt. Write your answers in the table below.

(a)	(b)	(c)

- (a) (2') Which of the following sorting algorithms are comparison-based?
 - A. Insertion-sort B.
- B. Merge-sort
- C. Bubble-sort
- D. Quick-sort
- (b) (2') As for time complexity, which of the following statements are true?
 - A. Randomized quick-sort (that is, to choose pivots randomly) runs in $O(n \log n)$ time in worst case.
 - B. Insertion-sort has the best time complexity on a sorted array among all sorting methods.
 - C. Bubble-sort, if modified with certain tricks, could run in $\Theta(n)$ time if there are O(n) inversions.

Bubble-sort (stops immediately when no swap happens) runs in O(n) time if the array to be sorted has O(n) inversions.

- D. Merge-sort has a worst-case runtime that is asymptotically better than the worst-case runtime of quick-sort.
- (c) (2') Which of the following statements are true?
 - A. A sorting algorithm is <u>stable</u> if its worst-case time complexity is the same as its best-case time complexity.
 - B. Merge-sort requires $\Theta(\log n)$ extra space when sorting an array of n elements.
 - C. Insertion-sort is stable.
 - D. Quick-sort only uses O(1) extra space.

2. (2 points) Inversions

Suppose we are performing merge-sort on an array. At a certain step, we need to merge two sorted subarrays $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \rangle$ and $\langle b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 \rangle$ into one. Assume that these elements are distinct. Suppose the result is

$$\langle a_1, b_1, b_2, a_2, a_3, b_3, a_4, b_4, b_5, a_5 \rangle$$
.

From this you can infer that the number of inversions in the original array is at least ______.

3. (6 points) Merging Linked-lists

Liu Big God has found an interestingly designed linked-list library in his grandfather's computer. The library was developed over 30 years ago, and provides interfaces that are quite different than what we

see in lectures. It mainly contains a List class, which represents a singly-linked list (assuming the data it stores are ints), with the following operations supported (suppose l is a List and x is an int).

- cons(x, 1) returns a List obtained from 1 by inserting x to the beginning of it.
- l.car() returns the first element of l. Runtime-error if l contains no elements.
- l.cdr() returns a List consisting of all the elements of l except the first. Runtime-error if l contains no elements.
- l.null() returns true if l contains no elements, false otherwise.
- List::nil is a List with no elements.

Curious about how this List works, Liu Big God is trying to perform merge-sort on it (in ascending order). Please help him with the merge procedure, which merges two sorted Lists into one.

```
List merge(const List &x, const List &y) {
   if (x.null())
    _____;
   if (y.null())
    _____;
   int xh = x.car(), yh = y.car();
   if (xh < yh)
    return _____;
   else
    return _____;
}</pre>
```

- (a) (2') Fill in the first two blanks, which handle the cases where one of the given Lists is empty.
- (b) (4') Fill in the rest two blanks, which finishes the work in a **recursive** way.

Please note that:

- One statement for each blank.
- Only the five operations listed above are available. It is not allowed to use other operations like push_front, pop_front or insert_after.
- All the implementation details of List are private. Direct access to nodes, data or pointers will lead to compile error.
- You don't need to worry about the time complexity of cons and cdr.
- 4. (2 points) Guess the average score ($\in [0, 16]$) of this quiz.
 - 4.