

# SI231b: Matrix Computations

## Lecture 7: LU Factorization with Pivoting

Yue Qiu

[qiuyue@shanghaitech.edu.cn](mailto:qiuyue@shanghaitech.edu.cn)

School of Information Science and Technology  
ShanghaiTech University

Oct. 9, 2021

# Recap: LU Factorization with Partial Pivoting

Step  $k$  of LU factorization

1. row exchange:  $\tilde{A}^{(k-1)} = P_k A^{(k-1)}$
2. Gaussian elimination:  $A^{(k)} = M_k \tilde{A}^{(k-1)}$

In general, the procedure follows

$$M_{n-1}P_{n-1}M_{n-2}P_{n-2}\cdots M_1P_1A = U.$$

Denote

$$\tilde{M}_{n-1} = M_{n-1},$$

$$\tilde{M}_{n-2} = P_{n-1}M_{n-2}P_{n-1}^T,$$

$$\vdots = \vdots$$

$$\tilde{M}_k = P_{n-1}P_{n-2}\cdots P_{k+1}M_kP_{k+1}^T\cdots P_{n-2}^TP_{n-1}^T$$

**Note:**  $\tilde{M}_k$  has the same structure with  $M_k$  (recall the structure of  $M_k$ )

# A Simple Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 1, 1st row  $\longleftrightarrow$  3rd row of A, then perform Gaussian elimination

$$\tilde{A}^{(0)} = P_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 7 & 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(1)} = M_1 \tilde{A}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & & \\ -\frac{1}{4} & & 1 & \\ -\frac{3}{4} & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 7 & 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ & -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} \\ & \frac{7}{4} & \frac{9}{4} & \frac{17}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

# A Simple Example

Step 2: 2nd row  $\longleftrightarrow$  4th row of  $A^{(1)}$ , then repeat Gaussian elimination

$$\tilde{A}^{(1)} = P_2 A^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} \\ \frac{7}{4} & \frac{9}{4} & \frac{17}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ \frac{7}{4} & \frac{9}{4} & \frac{17}{4} \\ -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(2)} = M_2 \tilde{A}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & \frac{3}{7} & & 1 \\ & \frac{2}{7} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ \frac{7}{4} & \frac{9}{4} & \frac{17}{4} \\ -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ \frac{7}{4} & \frac{9}{4} & \frac{17}{4} \\ -\frac{2}{7} & \frac{4}{7} \\ -\frac{6}{7} & -\frac{2}{7} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, it's your turn to give  $P_3$ ,  $M_3$  and the final  $P$ ,  $L$ , and  $U$

## A Simple Example

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{bmatrix}}_P \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix}}_A = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \frac{3}{4} & 1 & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{2}{7} & 1 & \\ \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{3}{7} & \frac{1}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_L \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 & 9 & 5 \\ & \frac{7}{4} & \frac{9}{4} & \frac{17}{4} \\ & & -\frac{6}{7} & -\frac{2}{7} \\ & & & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}}_U$$

In practice, the permutation matrix  $P$

- ▶ is not represented explicitly as a matrix or the product of permutation matrices
- ▶ an equivalent effect can be achieved via a permutation vector

**Note:**  $|\ell_{ij}| \leq 1$  for  $i \geq j$

# Computations of the L Matrix

Following the aforementioned procedure,

where  $PA = LU$ ,

- ▶  $P = P_{n-1}P_{n-2} \cdots P_1$  is again a permutation matrix (why?)
- ▶  $L = \left( \tilde{M}_{n-1} \tilde{M}_{n-2} \cdots \tilde{M}_1 \right)^{-1}$  is a lower-triangular matrix with unit diagonals

$$\tilde{M}_k = \tilde{P}_{k+1} M_k \tilde{P}_{k+1}^T = I - \tilde{P}_{k+1} \tau^{(k)} e_k^T = I - \tilde{P}_{k+1} M_k \quad \text{why?}$$

$$\text{Here } \tilde{P}_{k+1} = P_{n-1} P_{n-2} \cdots P_{k+1}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_k^{-1} &= I + \tilde{P}_{k+1} M_k \\ L &= I + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tilde{P}_{k+1} M_k \end{aligned}$$

# An Alternative Approach for LU Factorization with Partial Pivoting

For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and a permutation matrix  $P_1$

$$P_1 A = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a_{11}^{(0)} & v^T \\ \hline u & A'_1 \end{array} \right] = \underbrace{\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1/a_{11}^{(0)} u & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right]}_{L_1} \underbrace{\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a_{11}^{(0)} & v^T \\ \hline 0 & A'_1 - 1/a_{11}^{(0)} u v^T \end{array} \right]}_{U_1}$$

Then repeat the above procedure to  $A'_1 - 1/a_{11}^{(0)} u v^T$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} P'_2 \left( A'_1 - 1/a_{11}^{(0)} u v^T \right) &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a_{22}^{(1)} & w^T \\ \hline s & A'_2 \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1/a_{22}^{(1)} s & I_{n-2} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a_{22}^{(1)} & w^T \\ \hline 0 & A'_2 - 1/a_{22}^{(1)} s w^T \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & P'_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , we obtain (next page)

# An Alternative Approach for LU Factorization with Partial Pivoting

$$P_2 P_1 A = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \frac{1}{a_{11}^{(0)}} P'_2 u & \frac{1}{a_{22}^{(1)}} s & I_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}}_{L_2} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(0)} & & v^T \\ & a_{22}^{(1)} & w^T \\ & & A'_2 - \frac{1}{a_{22}^{(1)}} s w^T \end{bmatrix}}_{U_2}$$

- ▶ following the above notations,  $L = L_{n-1}$ ,  $U = U_{n-1}$
- ▶  $P_k$  only acts on the first  $(k-1)$  columns of  $L_k$
- ▶ algorithm style, suitable for computer implementation

## Remark:

- ▶ Gaussian elimination tells **why** you can perform an LU factorization, and when does it exist
- ▶ the recursive approach tells **how** you can compute the LU factorization on a modern computer



## Example

Please compute an LU factorization with partial pivoting using the method introduced in the last page for

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 3 \\ -3 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

# LU Factorization with Complete Pivoting

## LU with complete pivoting:

In matrix form, at each stage before Gaussian elimination

- ▶ permutation of rows with  $P_k$  on the left
- ▶ permutation of columns with  $Q_k$  on the right

$$M_{n-1}P_{n-1}M_{n-2}P_{n-2}\cdots M_1P_1AQ_1Q_2\cdots Q_{n-1} = U.$$

By

- ▶ using the same definition of  $L$ ,  $P$  with LU factorization with partial pivoting,
- ▶ denoting  $Q = Q_1Q_2\cdots Q_{n-1}$ ,

the LU factorization with complete pivoting can be represented by

$$PAQ = LU$$

Too computationally expensive, why?

## LU Factorization without Pivoting:

```
U = A, L = I;  
for k = 1 : n-1  
    for j = k+1 : n  
         $\ell_{jk} = u_{jk} / u_{kk}$   
         $u_{j,k:n} = u_{j,k:n} - \ell_{jk} u_{k,k:n}$   
    end  
end  
U = triu(U)
```

Operations count:

►  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{2}{3}n^3\right)$  flops

Please give your own explanation

## LU Factorization with Partial Pivoting:

```
U = A, L = I, P = I;  
for k = 1 : n-1  
    select  $i \geq k$  to maximize  $|u_{ik}|$   
     $u_{k,k:m} \leftrightarrow u_{i,k:m}$  (exchange of rows)  
     $\ell_{k,1:k-1} \leftrightarrow \ell_{i,1:k-1}$   
     $p_{k,:} \leftrightarrow p_{i,:}$   
    for j = k+1 : n  
         $\ell_{jk} = u_{jk} / u_{kk}$   
         $u_{j,k:n} = u_{j,k:n} - \ell_{jk} u_{k,k:n}$   
    end  
end  
U = triu(U)
```

Operations count:

►  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{2}{3}n^3\right)$  flops, **flops count of partial pivoting?**