

# SI231b: Matrix Computations

## Lecture 22: State-of-the-art Iterative Methods: Krylov Subspace Methods

Yue Qiu

[qiuyue@shanghaitech.edu.cn](mailto:qiuyue@shanghaitech.edu.cn)

School of Information Science and Technology  
ShanghaiTech University

Nov. 23, 2022

The Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, and SOR iteration are all *stationary iteration*, i.e., they all have the form

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{c},$$

where neither  $\mathbf{B}$  nor  $\mathbf{c}$  depends on  $k$ .

The convergence of stationary iteration cannot be guaranteed and often slow once converged (**recall Lecture 21**).

**Start-of-the-art** iterative methods belong to the category of **Krylov subspace methods**, where the approximate solution is searched in a **low-dimensional subspace**

$$\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) = \text{span} \left\{ \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{b} \right\}.$$

## Motivation: Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

For  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , its characteristic polynomial is given by

$$p_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = \lambda^n + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1\lambda + c_0,$$

where  $c_0 = (-1)^n \det(\mathbf{A})$ .

The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem states that

$$p_A(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}^n + c_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1\mathbf{A} + c_0\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}.$$

For nonsingular  $\mathbf{A}$ , this in turn gives

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = -\frac{(-1)^n}{\det(\mathbf{A})} \left( \mathbf{A}^{n-1} + c_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^{n-2} + \cdots + c_1\mathbf{I} \right)$$

Therefore, the solution for  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = -\frac{(-1)^n}{\det(\mathbf{A})} \left( c_1\mathbf{b} + c_2\mathbf{Ab} + c_3\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{b} + \cdots + \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{b} \right)$$

**Krylov subspace methods** compute the approximated solution from the **low-dimensional subspace**

$$\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) = \text{span} \left\{ \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{Ab}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{b} \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) \subset \mathcal{K}_2(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) \subset \mathcal{K}_3(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$$

The Krylov subspace methods compute the iterative solution **successive** from  $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_2(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ ,  $\cdots$  with **better approximation** of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ .

Better or optimal approximation often refers to some sort of **projection**, Krylov subspace methods are also called **Krylov projection** methods.

Krylov subspace methods can be distinguished in **four different classes**,

- ▶ **Ritz-Galerkin** approach: construct  $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$  so that the residual  $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k \perp \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ ;
- ▶ **minimum residual norm approach**: compute  $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$  so that the norm of the residual  $\|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k\|_2$  is minimal over  $\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ ;
- ▶ **Petrov-Galerkin** approach: find  $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$  so that the residual  $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k$  is orthogonal to some other  $k$ -dimensional subspace;
- ▶ **minimum error norm approach**: determine  $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbf{A}^T \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$  so that  $\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}\|_2$  is minimal.

Widely used Krylov subspace methods include

- ▶ conjugate gradient (CG) [1952]:
  - for symmetric positive definite systems;
  - Ritz-Galerkin type.
- ▶ minimal residual (MINRES) [1975]:
  - for symmetric indefinite systems;
  - minimum residual norm approach.
- ▶ generalized minimal residual (GMRES) [1986]:
  - for non-symmetric systems;
  - minimum residual norm approach.

**Motivation:** for symmetric positive definite (SPD) matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , the residual  $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k$  should be orthogonal to  $\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ .

Some facts:

1. orthogonal residuals:  $\mathbf{r}_i^T \mathbf{r}_k = 0$  for  $i < k$ 
  - $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) \rightarrow \mathbf{r}_k \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ ;
  - $\mathbf{r}_i \in \mathcal{K}_{i+1}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) \subset \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ .
2. conjugate ( $\mathbf{A}$ -orthogonal) update directions:  $(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i-1})^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}) = 0$  for  $i < k$ .

Can you show/prove this?

# Conjugate Gradient Iteration Algorithms

With the key properties introduced before, we have the CG iteration

## CG Iteration:

```
x0 = 0, r0 = b, d0 = b  
while ||rk|| > tol & k < max_iter  
     $\alpha_k = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{k-1}^T \mathbf{r}_{k-1}}{\mathbf{d}_{k-1}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{d}_{k-1}}$     step size of solution update  
    xk = xk-1 +  $\alpha_k$  dk-1  
    rk = rk-1 -  $\alpha_k$  A dk-1  
     $\beta_k = \frac{\mathbf{r}_k^T \mathbf{r}_k}{\mathbf{r}_{k-1}^T \mathbf{r}_{k-1}}$     step size of search direction update  
    dk = rk +  $\beta_k$  dk-1    new search direction  
    k ← k + 1  
end
```

Facts:

$$\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}\}$$

## Optimality of CG

Let CG be applied to an SPD system  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_k$  is the unique point in  $\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$  that minimizes  $\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ , and the convergence of CG is monotonic, i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}} \leq \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Here  $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}$  for arbitrary  $\mathbf{z}$ .

Can you prove this?

## Convergence rate of CG

Let CG be applied to an SPD system  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  has 2-norm condition number  $\kappa$ , then

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}}}{\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}}} \leq 2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \right)^k$$



## Motivation:

CG only applies to symmetric positive definite problems, and the residual may not be monotonic decreasing.

At iteration  $k$ , we shall search  $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$  such that  $\|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k\|_2$  is minimal, i.e., we should solve

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k\|_2.$$

Denote  $\mathbf{K}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{b} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$  as the Krylov matrix, since  $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{c}$  with  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_k$  is given by solving the least square problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{c}} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{c}\|_2.$$

However, this scheme is numerically unstable due to the ill-conditioning of  $\mathbf{K}_k$  (recall the **power method**).

Instead of using  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\dots$  as basis, we compute an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k\}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{Q}_k = [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k]$ , then the least square problem becomes

$$\min_{\mathbf{c}} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_k\mathbf{c}\|_2.$$

To summarize, we need

1. compute the orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k$  of  $\mathcal{K}_k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ ;
2. compute the QR factorization of  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_k$  to solve the least square problem.

Starting from  $\mathbf{q}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}$ , the above two steps

1. QR factorization of the Krylov matrix  $\mathbf{K}_k$ ;
2. QR factorization of  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_k$ .

can be done **simultaneously** by the **Arnoldi iteration**  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_k = \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k$ .

$$\mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \mathbf{q}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \mathbf{q}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & \cdots & h_{1k} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & \cdots & \vdots \\ & h_{32} & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & h_{k,k-1} & h_{k,k} \\ & & & & h_{k+1,k} \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k}$$

The Arnoldi iteration can be computed in a stable manner using modified Gram-Schmidt method,

## Arnoldi Iteration:

```
q1 =  $\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}$ 
for k = 1, 2, ...
    v = Aqk
    for j = 1, 2, ..., k
        hj,k = qjTv
        v = v - hj,kqj
    end
    hk+1,k = ||v||2
    qk+1 =  $\frac{\mathbf{v}}{h_{k+1,k}}$ 
end
```

**Note:** the Arnoldi iteration is a **long-term** recursion, i.e, the new vector should be orthogonal projected onto all previous basis vectors.

From the Arnoldi iteration  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_k = \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k$ , we observe that

$$\mathbf{Q}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_k = \mathbf{H}_k,$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_k$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix obtained by removing the last row of  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k$ .

The eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{H}_k$  are called *Ritz values* or *Arnoldi eigenvalue estimates*, which are good approximation of the  $k$  largest eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  [Trefthen & Bau 97].

When  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric, the Arnoldi iteration then becomes the *Lanczos iteration* that gives

$$\mathbf{Q}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_k = \mathbf{T}_k,$$

where  $\mathbf{T}_k$  is a tridiagonal matrix. Therefore, the Lanczos iteration is a *short-term* recurrence.

At step  $k$ , the least square problem

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{\mathbf{c}} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_k\mathbf{c}\|_2 &= \min_{\mathbf{c}} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Q}_{k+1}\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k\mathbf{c}\|_2 \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{c}} \|\mathbf{Q}_{k+1}^T\mathbf{b} - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k\mathbf{c}\|_2 \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{c}} \left\| \|\mathbf{b}\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1 - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k\mathbf{c} \right\|_2,\end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathbf{e}_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ .

The least square problem is of size  $(k+1) \times k$ , and solving this least square problem using QR factorization takes only  $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$  flops. (how and why?)

The convergence of GMRES is complicated to analyze, we omit the details but just give two useful conclusions:

- ▶ The GMRES converges monotonically, i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{r}_{k+1}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{r}_k\|_2$$

- ▶ GMRES gives exact solution (without rounding-off error) at most  $n$  iterations, i.e.,  $\|\mathbf{r}_n\|_2 = 0$

You are supposed to read

- ▶ Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*, *Johns Hopkins University Press*, 2013.

Chapter 11.2, 11.3.

- ▶ Lloyd N. Trefethen and David Bau III. *Numerical Linear Algebra*, *SIAM*, 1997.

Lecture 33, 35, 38

Our final exam takes places

- ▶ **when:** Dec. 19, 2022 from 15:00 to 17:00;
- ▶ **where:** Teaching Center 101;
- ▶ **what:** SI231b Matrix Computations;
- ▶ **how:** one page cheat-sheet of A4 size is allowed.

If you are interested to be a **TA**, you are welcome to contact me.

You are welcome to write **useful suggestions/comments/feedback**.

**DO REMEMBER** to submit your final report in time!