## SI231B - Matrix Computations, Spring 2022-23

# Homework Set #4

Prof. Ziping Zhao

### **Acknowledgements:**

- 1) Deadline: 2023-04-23 23:59:59
- 2) Please submit your assignments via Gradescope.
- 3) You can write your homework using latex/word or you can write in handwriting and submit the scanned pdf.

# Problem 1. (20 points)

- 1) Suppose A is a positive definite matrix. Prove that there exists a matrix B such that  $A = B^2$ . (10 points)
- 2) Prove that if we require B to be positive definite, then B is unique. (10 points)

# **Solution:**

- 1) **A** is it diagonalisable by an orthogonal matrix. That is  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^T$ , with  $\mathbf{U}$  orthogonal and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  diagonal. Since **A** is positive definite, all entries of  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  are positive hence have a square root. Denote the diagonal matrix of square roots by  $\sqrt{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U}\sqrt{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\mathbf{U}^T$ , then it is easy to check that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}^2$ .
- 2) Suppose  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ .

$$(\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{C}^2)\mathbf{x} = (\lambda^2 \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}^2)\mathbf{x} = (\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{C})(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

 $\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{C}$  is positive definite, so  $(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Therefore B and C have the same eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$ .

### Problem 2. (20 points)

For any graph G with vertex set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , a walk from vertex u to vertex v (not necessarily distinct) is a sequence of vertices, not necessarily distinct, such that  $w_{i-1}$  and  $w_i$  are adjacent, and  $w_0 = u$  and  $w_k = v$ . In this case, the walk is of length k. A is the adjacency matrix of G. Prove that the (i,j) entry of  $\mathbf{A}^k$  is the number of walks from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  of length k.

(Hint: You can use mathematical induction.)

**Solution:** The proof is by induction on k. For k=1,  $a_{ij}=1$  implies that  $v_i \sim v_j$  and then clearly there is a walk of length k=1 from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . If on the other hand,  $a_{ij}=0$  then  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are not adjacent and then clearly there is no walk of length k=1 from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . Now assume that the claim is true for some  $k \geq 1$  and consider the number of walks of length k+1 from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . Any walk of length k+1 from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  contains a walk of length k from  $v_i$  to a neighbour of  $v_j$ . If  $v_j \in N(v_j)$  then by induction the number of walks of length k from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  is

$$\sum_{v_p \in N(v_j)} \mathbf{A}^k(i,p) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \mathbf{A}^k(i,\ell) \mathbf{A}(\ell,j) = \mathbf{A}^{k+1}(i,j).$$

### Problem 3. (20 points)

Given

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1) Show that **A** is positive definite. (10 points)
- 2) Find the Cholesky factorization of A. (10 points)

# **Solution:**

1) Consider all leading principal minors of A, we have

$$\det(^{(1)}\mathbf{A}) = 10 > 0$$

$$\det(^{(2)}\mathbf{A}) = \begin{vmatrix} 10 & 5 \\ 5 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 5 > 0$$

$$\det(^{(3)}\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{A}) = 3 > 0$$

2) Follow the Gaussian elimination,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{13}{5} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{U}$$

Then, 
$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U} = \left(\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}\right)^T\left(\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}\right) = \mathbf{G}^T\mathbf{G}$$
, and

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{10} & \frac{5}{\sqrt{10}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix}$$

### Problem 4. (20 points)

Prove the following propositions:

- 1) Suppose matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is positive definite, show that all diagonal entries  $a_{ii} > 0$ . (6 points)
- 2) Let  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}$  and  $a^2 < b$ . Show that  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive definite. (7 points)
- 3) Let matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$  is positive definite, show that  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$  is positive definite. (7 points)

### **Solution:**

1) Since A is positive definite,  $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} > 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_i$  for the *i*-th diagonal entries, we have

$$0 < \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_i^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_i = a_{ii}$$

Thus  $a_{ii} > 0, i = 1, ..., n$ .

- 2) The determinant of the first leading principal minors  $\det(^{(1)}\mathbf{A}) = 1 > 0$ , the determinant of the second leading principal minors  $\det(^{(2)}\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{A}) = b a^2 > 0$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive definite.
- 3) Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  are positive definite, we have

$$\mathbf{z}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^T & \mathbf{y}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} > 0.$$

#### Problem 5. (20 points)

1) Given a real symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{S}^n$  and suppose  $\mathbf{M}$  satisfies the following condition

$$m_{ii} \ge \sum_{j \ne i} |m_{ij}| \quad \text{for all } i,$$
 (1)

prove that M is PSD. (7 points)

- 2) Given a real symmetric PSD matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ , does  $\mathbf{M}$  always satisfy the condition in (1)? (Note: Necessary explanations are needed.) (5 points)
- 3) Given a real symmetric PSD matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ , Prove that  $\mathbf{M}$  always satisfies the following condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii} \ge \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_{ij}.$$

(8 points)

#### **Solution:**

1) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} x_{i} x_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii} x_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2m_{ij} x_{i} x_{j} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{j \neq i} |m_{ij}|) x_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2|m_{ij}| |x_{i}| |x_{j}|$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |m_{ij}| (x_{i}^{2} + x_{j}^{2} - 2|x_{i}| |x_{j}|) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |m_{ij}| (|x_{i}| - |x_{j}|)^{2} \ge 0$$

2) No. A counterexample is the following real symmetric PSD matrix

$$\mathbf{I}_4 + \mathbf{1}_4 \mathbf{1}_4^T = \left[ egin{array}{ccccc} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} 
ight].$$

So the condition in (1) is just a sufficient condition for a real symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{S}^n$  to be PSD.

3) For a real symmetric PSD matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ , we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}) \ge \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{M}) = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}, \ \forall \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1.$$
 (2)

Define  $\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1}_n$ , which satisfies  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1$ . We have

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n m_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2m_{ij} \right).$$

Based on (2), we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii} \ge \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} 2m_{ij} \right),$$

which implies  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii} \ge \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_{ij}$ .