

# CS244 Theory of Computation

## Homework 3

Due: November 27, 2022 at 11:59pm

Name - ID

You may discuss this assignment with other students and work on the problems together. However, your write-up should be your own individual work and you should indicate in your submission who you worked with, if applicable. You should use the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X template provided by us to write your solution and submit the generated PDF file into Gradescope.

I worked with: (Name, ID), (Name, ID), ...

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  if not otherwise specified.

### Problem 1

- (a) (5 points) Consider the problem of testing whether a pushdown automaton ever uses its stack. Formally, let  $\text{PUSHER} = \{\langle P \rangle \mid P \text{ is a PDA that pushes a symbol on its stack on some (possibly non-accepting) branch of its computation at some point on some input } w \in \Sigma^*\}$ . Show that PUSHER is decidable.
- (b) (5 points) Say that a variable  $A$  in CFG  $G$  is **usable** if it appears in some derivation of some string  $w \in L(G)$ . Given a CFG  $G$  and a variable  $A$ , consider the problem of testing whether  $A$  is usable. Formulate this problem as a language like (a) and show that it is decidable.

### Problem 2

Let a  $k$ -PDA be a pushdown automaton that has  $k$  stacks. Thus a 0-PDA is an NFA and a 1-PDA is a conventional PDA. You already know that 1-PDAs are more powerful (recognize a larger class of languages) than 0-PDAs.

- (a) (5 points) Give an example to show that 2-PDAs are more powerful than 1-PDAs.
- (b) (10 points) Show that 3-PDAs are not more powerful than 2-PDAs.  
(Hint: Simulate a Turing machine tape by using two stacks.)

### Problem 3

Let  $A$  be a language.

1. (10 points) Show that  $A$  is Turing-recognizable iff  $A \leq_m A_{\text{TM}}$ .
2. (10 points) Show that  $A$  is decidable iff  $A \leq_m 0^*1^*$ .

## Problem 4

The Recursion Theorem tells us that a machine can gain its description and reproduce itself.

- (a) (5 points) Give a Python program that prints itself out, in the spirit of the recursion theorem and the construction of **SELF**.
- (b) (10 points) Consider the fixed-point theorem: If we have an algorithm that transforms a program to another program, there is always going to be some programs whose behaviour is unchanged by the transformation. Formally, show that for any computable function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ , there is a TM  $R$  such that  $L(R) = L(S)$  where  $f(\langle R \rangle) = \langle S \rangle$ .

## Problem 5

(20 points)

Let  $SET\text{-}SPLITTING = \{\langle S, C \rangle \mid S \text{ is a finite set and } C = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\} \text{ is a collection of subsets of } S, \text{ where the elements of } S \text{ can be colored red or blue so every } C_i \text{ has at least one red element and at least one blue element}\}$ . Show that  $SET\text{-}SPLITTING$  is NP-complete.

## Problem 6

(20 points)

In *three-valued logic* (TVL) we may assign values T, F, or X to variables. A **TVL-clause** has the form  $(x, y) \neq (u, v)$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are variables and  $u, v \in \{T, F, X\}$ . A **TVL-formula** is a collection of TVL-clauses. An **TVL-assignment** of T, F, or X to the variables satisfies a TVL-clause if it doesn't violate the inequality, i.e., the pair  $(x, y)$  must not equal the pair  $(u, v)$  in the assignment. It satisfies a TVL-formula  $\phi$  if it satisfies all of  $\phi$ 's TVL-clauses. Let  $TVL\text{-}SAT = \{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \text{TVL-formula } \phi \text{ has a satisfying TVL-assignment}\}$ . Show that  $TVL\text{-}SAT$  is NP-complete.