# On the Relationship of Complexity Metrics With Cognitive Load and Visual Behavior: A Multi-Granular Eye-Tracking Analysis

Appendix: List of metrics

## Complexity metrics

### Essential complexity

Table 1 presents a subset of the metrics that have been associated to model-related characteristics in [Mendling2008] and [Mendling2012].

Category	Description	Name/symbol	References	
FROM [Mendling2009]				
Size	The number of nodes in the model (e.g., tasks, gateways, events).	Size, diameter (Diam)	Mendling2008, Sanchez- Gonzalez2010, Mendling2012	
Density ('Connection' in [Mendling2012])	Relates the number of edges (possible flows) to the size of the model.	Coeff. of connectivity (Conn. Coeff.), average degree of a connector (Avg d <sub>c</sub> ), maximum degree of a connector (Max d <sub>c</sub> )	Mendling2008, Mendling2012	
Partitionability ('Modularity' in [Mendling2012])	Considers the relationship of subcomponents to the overall model	Separability ( $\Pi$ ), Sequentiality ( $\Xi$ ), depth ( $\Lambda$ ), Structuredness ( $\Phi$ )	Mendling2008, Figl2011, Mendling2012	
Connector interplay	Considers the interactions and effects of the different connector types	Connector Heterogeneity (CH), Control Flow Complexity (CFC)	Cardoso2006, Mendling2008, Mendling2012	
Cyclicity (merged in 'Complex behavior' in [Mendling2012])	Counts the number of nodes for which a cycle exists then provide the ratio of this number to the total number of nodes of the model.	Cyclicity (CYC)	Mendling2008, Mendling2012	
Concurrency (merged in 'Complex behavior' in [Mendling2012])	Explores the possible concurrent paths of a model. The Token split metrics counts the control tokens associated with the control (e.g. AND or OR) designed in the model	Token split (TS)	Mendling2008, Mendling2012	

Table 1 - List of metrics addressing essential complexity.

### Accidental complexity

Table 2 summarizes a list of metrics provided by [Bernstein2015] and [Burattin2017] (detailed formulas can be found in the cited studies) with name and the description of each feature category:

Categories	Description	Name / Symbol	Reference	
			(support the features)	
From [Bernstein2015]				
Edges style	A measure of the style of the edges	%simpleEdges (%sE),	[Purchase1997],	
	as the ratio of simple (default) or	%brokenEdges (%bE)	[Schrepfer2009],	
	'broken' (with breaking points)		[Effinger2010]	
	edges to the total number of edges.			
Crossing edges	Ratio of the number of crossing	%totalCross (%tC)	[Purchase1997],	
	edges to the total number of edges		[Schrepfer2009],	
			[Effinger2010]	
Angles	Ratio of the number of orthogonal	%orthogonalSegments (%oS)	[Purchase1997],	
	segments to the total number of		[Effinger2010]	
	segments.			
	Orthogonal segments are parts of			
	edges which are aligned with a grid			
	layout of the model.			
Symmetry in blocks*	Symmetry of the elements'	%symmetricalPatterns (%sP)	(See note on symmetry in	
	arrangement inside a block of the		blocks afterwards)	
	model.			
From [Burattin2017]				
Consistency flow	Measure how the flow (the general	Metric based on behavioral	[Effinger2010]	
	direction) in the model can change	profiles (M-BP)		
	or not its general direction.			

Table 2 - List of metrics addressing accidental complexity proposed by [Bernstein2015] and [Burattin2017]. (\*) Authors in [Bernsetin2015] propose the concept of symmetry in blocks as a category of visual features that affect positively the reading/understanding of models, but did not provide any quantification.

#### References

Mendling2008 J. Mendling, "Detection and Prediction of Errors in EPC Business Process Models," in Ausgezeichnete Informatikdissertationen 2007, Dagstuhl, Germany, April 2008, D. Wagner, Ed., Germany: Springer,

Dec. 2008, pp. 211–218.

- Mendling2012 J. Mendling, L. Sánchez-González, F. García, and M. L. Rosa, "Thresholds for error probability measures of business process models," Journal of Systems and Software, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 1188–1197, May 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2012.01.017.
- Sanchez-Gonzalez2010 L. Sánchez-González, F. García, J. Mendling, and F. Ruiz, "Quality Assessment of Business Process Models Based on Thresholds," in On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems: OTM 2010, R. Meersman, T. Dillon, and P. Herrero, Eds., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 78–95. doi:

10.1007/978-3-642-16934-2 9.

- Figl2011 K. Figl and R. Laue, "Cognitive Complexity in Business Process Modeling," in Advanced Information Systems Engineering, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 452–466. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-21640-4 34.
- Cardoso2006 J. Cardoso, "Process control-flow complexity metric: An empirical validation," in 2006 IEEE International Conference on Services Computing (SCC06), IEEE, Sep. 2006. doi: 10.1109/scc.2006.82.
- Bernstein 2015 V. Bernstein and P. Soffer, "Identifying and Quantifying Visual Layout Features of Business Process Models," in Enterprise, Business-Process and Information Systems Modeling, K. Gaaloul, R. Schmidt, S. Nurcan, S. Guerreiro, and Q. Ma, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 200–213. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-19237-6 13.
- Burattin2017 A. Burattin, V. Bernstein, M. Neurauter, P. Soffer, and B. Weber, "Detection and quantification of flow consistency in business process models," Software & Systems Modeling, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 633–654, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10270-017-0576-y.
- Purchase1997 H. Purchase, "Which aesthetic has the greatest effect on human understanding?," in Graph Drawing, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1997, pp. 248–261. doi: 10.1007/3-540-63938-1\_67.
- Schrepfer2009 M. Schrepfer, J. Wolf, J. Mendling, and H. A. Reijers, "The Impact of Secondary Notation on Process Model Understanding," in *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 161–175. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-05352-8\_13.
  - Effinger2010 P. Effinger, N. Jogsch, and S. Seiz, "On a Study of Layout Aesthetics for Business Process Models Using BPMN," in Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 31–45. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-16298-5\_5.