

Table B1: Phishing dataset. Performance (%) of different methods under noise. We generate noise by randomly replacing the results of different rows in the base clusterings with other clusters. It can be observed that our method still exhibits satisfactory performance even at high noise levels.

	ARI					NMI					Purity				
Method	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%
CEAM	5.9 \pm 2	5.9 \pm 2	5.8 \pm 2	1.3 \pm 2	0.0 \pm 1	6.0 \pm 2	4.8 \pm 2	4.7 \pm 2	1.1 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	59.9 \pm 2	60.1 \pm 2	60.7 \pm 2	57.1 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2
CES ² L	3.7 \pm 3	6.7 \pm 3	7.7 \pm 4	0.5 \pm 2	0.2 \pm 1	4.7 \pm 3	7.1 \pm 4	6.3 \pm 3	0.6 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	59.0 \pm 3	61.1 \pm 3	62.0 \pm 4	56.1 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2
CES ² Q	5.1 \pm 4	5.6 \pm 3	5.7 \pm 3	1.0 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	5.3 \pm 3	5.6 \pm 4	5.2 \pm 3	0.9 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	59.5 \pm 3	59.9 \pm 3	60.5 \pm 3	56.6 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
LWEA	-0.4 \pm 2	-0.2 \pm 2	0.0 \pm 2	0.0 \pm 1	0.0 \pm 1	0.2 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 2	0.2 \pm 2	0.3 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	55.5 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2	55.6 \pm 2	55.7 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2
MKKM	7.7 \pm 3	0.9 \pm 2	3.9 \pm 2	1.2 \pm 2	0.0 \pm 1	7.9 \pm 3	2.8 \pm 3	3.9 \pm 3	1.0 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	61.3 \pm 3	56.7 \pm 3	59.3 \pm 3	56.9 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2
SMKKM	7.3 \pm 3	7.6 \pm 3	3.3 \pm 3	0.5 \pm 2	0.2 \pm 1	7.1 \pm 3	7.1 \pm 3	3.7 \pm 3	0.6 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	61.2 \pm 3	61.5 \pm 3	58.6 \pm 3	56.1 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2
SEC	4.5 \pm 4	3.4 \pm 3	8.4 \pm 5	1.0 \pm 3	0.1 \pm 2	5.5 \pm 4	4.1 \pm 3	7.0 \pm 4	1.1 \pm 3	0.1 \pm 2	59.7 \pm 4	58.8 \pm 3	62.7 \pm 5	56.6 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
TRCE	8.6 \pm 2	5.1 \pm 2	6.9 \pm 3	1.1 \pm 2	0.0 \pm 1	8.3 \pm 2	5.0 \pm 2	5.7 \pm 2	1.1 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	62.1 \pm 2	59.7 \pm 2	61.4 \pm 3	56.8 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
CESHL	-0.4 \pm 3	0.0 \pm 2	0.3 \pm 3	0.3 \pm 2	0.0 \pm 1	0.2 \pm 2	0.5 \pm 3	0.8 \pm 3	0.3 \pm 2	0.0 \pm 1	55.5 \pm 2	55.7 \pm 2	56.0 \pm 3	55.9 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
SCCABG	3.7 \pm 3	7.2 \pm 4	8.0 \pm 4	0.5 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	4.7 \pm 3	7.4 \pm 4	6.9 \pm 3	0.6 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	58.5 \pm 4	61.4 \pm 4	62.3 \pm 4	56.0 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
AWEC	5.1 \pm 3	4.2 \pm 3	9.1 \pm 3	0.8 \pm 3	0.1 \pm 2	5.4 \pm 3	4.7 \pm 3	7.3 \pm 3	0.8 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	59.7 \pm 3	59.1 \pm 3	63.1 \pm 3	56.5 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
ECCMS	-0.3 \pm 3	-0.2 \pm 3	0.3 \pm 3	0.2 \pm 3	0.0 \pm 2	0.2 \pm 3	0.4 \pm 3	1.2 \pm 3	0.3 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2	55.7 \pm 2	56.2 \pm 3	55.8 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
NWCA	-0.4 \pm 3	0.0 \pm 2	0.3 \pm 3	0.3 \pm 3	0.0 \pm 2	0.2 \pm 3	0.5 \pm 3	0.8 \pm 3	0.3 \pm 3	0.0 \pm 2	55.5 \pm 2	55.7 \pm 2	56.0 \pm 3	55.9 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
Proposed ($\alpha=0.1$)	27.6 \pm 6	23.2 \pm 5	16.7 \pm 3	4.9 \pm 2	0.2 \pm 1	21.7 \pm 3	18.0 \pm 2	12.7 \pm 3	3.7 \pm 2	0.1 \pm 1	75.3 \pm 3	68.1 \pm 3	65.9 \pm 3	57.2 \pm 3	55.5 \pm 2
Proposed	27.6\pm6	23.2\pm5	19.4\pm6	5.6\pm6	0.2\pm1	21.7\pm6	18.0\pm6	14.6\pm6	4.1\pm6	0.1\pm1	75.3\pm3	68.1\pm3	68.4\pm3	57.9\pm3	55.5\pm2

Table B2: Seeds dataset. Performance (%) of different methods under noise. It can be observed that our method also achieves excellent performance on this dataset. However, when the noise level reaches 90%, we consider that all methods are unable to provide valuable information, as the data is severely contaminated at this point.

	ARI					NMI					Purity				
Method	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%
CEAM	61.0 \pm 4	60.1 \pm 4	50.3 \pm 6	18.7 \pm 5	0.1 \pm 2	57.6 \pm 9	58.0 \pm 8	36.5 \pm 7	4.9 \pm 5	1.4 \pm 2	76.1 \pm 2	76.3 \pm 3	62.1 \pm 4	41.1 \pm 4	37.1 \pm 2
CES ² L	55.2 \pm 7	45.2 \pm 5	31.0 \pm 13	2.9 \pm 7	0.3 \pm 3	58.6 \pm 9	57.0 \pm 9	40.0 \pm 17	6.7 \pm 8	1.7 \pm 3	74.8 \pm 7	75.7 \pm 5	66.5 \pm 11	43.1 \pm 5	36.9 \pm 2
CES ² Q	50.5 \pm 10	41.8 \pm 8	28.5 \pm 12	3.6 \pm 8	0.2 \pm 2	58.3 \pm 14	51.8 \pm 13	35.3 \pm 16	6.0 \pm 10	1.6 \pm 3	74.6 \pm 9	70.3 \pm 7	61.8 \pm 11	42.3 \pm 6	37.0 \pm 2
LWEA	60.3 \pm 2	58.1 \pm 3	44.1 \pm 6	6.9 \pm 4	0.2 \pm 3	60.4 \pm 4	56.5 \pm 6	38.2 \pm 9	8.3 \pm 8	1.8 \pm 3	77.7 \pm 1	75.6 \pm 2	63.2 \pm 3	45.8 \pm 5	36.6 \pm 2
MKKM	44.6 \pm 13	26.7 \pm 7	14.9 \pm 5	9.2 \pm 3	0.4 \pm 2	44.1 \pm 14	26.4 \pm 7	14.5 \pm 5	9.5 \pm 2	<u>2.3</u> \pm 2	75.0 \pm 11	65.3 \pm 5	57.8 \pm 4	52.4 \pm 2	<u>41.0</u> \pm 1
SMKKM	59.2 \pm 3	53.5 \pm 2	49.7 \pm 5	20.8 \pm 4	0.6 \pm 2	60.4 \pm 5	55.8 \pm 6	48.3 \pm 5	18.3 \pm 4	1.4 \pm 2	82.9 \pm 2	79.6 \pm 2	79.0 \pm 3	60.8 \pm 4	39.5 \pm 2
SEC	30.3 \pm 6	40.8 \pm 4	33.6 \pm 8	10.0 \pm 4	0.4 \pm 3	58.7 \pm 13	47.8 \pm 9	40.0 \pm 12	11.6 \pm 6	1.8 \pm 4	64.2 \pm 4	70.3 \pm 2	65.7 \pm 6	51.0 \pm 4	39.2 \pm 2
TRCE	58.1 \pm 2	52.2 \pm 3	44.0 \pm 5	10.3 \pm 8	0.1 \pm 3	59.7 \pm 2	58.0 \pm 3	48.6 \pm 8	18.7 \pm 9	1.6 \pm 3	82.6 \pm 1	82.1 \pm 2	73.1 \pm 4	50.5 \pm 6	36.5 \pm 2
CESHL	58.1 \pm 8	55.4 \pm 5	35.0 \pm 2	14.8 \pm 9	0.3 \pm 2	56.7 \pm 12	56.2 \pm 9	42.3 \pm 17	19.4 \pm 3	1.4 \pm 2	82.5 \pm 7	79.6 \pm 7	66.7 \pm 11	53.2 \pm 7	38.8 \pm 2
SCCABG	56.9 \pm 6	48.2 \pm 6	17.8 \pm 8	0.6 \pm 7	0.0 \pm 2	58.9 \pm 10	56.6 \pm 21	26.3 \pm 21	4.2 \pm 6	<u>2.3</u> \pm 2	81.7 \pm 7	75.1 \pm 12	53.4 \pm 12	35.8 \pm 5	34.7 \pm 1
AWEC	55.1 \pm 2	54.7 \pm 2	50.1 \pm 4	19.7 \pm 5	0.0 \pm 2	61.7 \pm 4	61.5 \pm 5	47.6 \pm 8	20.2 \pm 6	0.8 \pm 2	83.2 \pm 2	80.0 \pm 2	81.6 \pm 2	62.3 \pm 4	37.8 \pm 3
ECCMS	60.9 \pm 3	50.1 \pm 2	44.1 \pm 6	6.9 \pm 4	0.2 \pm 3	60.0 \pm 7	53.4 \pm 6	45.8 \pm 9	9.2 \pm 8	1.2 \pm 3	83.8 \pm 2	79.0 \pm 2	73.9 \pm 3	47.2 \pm 5	38.3 \pm 2
NWCA	58.6 \pm 2	49.1 \pm 2	23.9 \pm 3	2.1 \pm 3	0.2 \pm 2	58.1 \pm 4	47.9 \pm 7	27.4 \pm 9	4.1 \pm 8	1.0 \pm 3	82.4 \pm 2	77.5 \pm 2	61.0 \pm 3	41.6 \pm 4	37.9 \pm 2
Proposed ($\alpha=0.1$)	68.0 \pm 6	67.3 \pm 6	57.1 \pm 6	22.4 \pm 6	0.4 \pm 3	67.9 \pm 12	66.1 \pm 12	53.6 \pm 9	20.6 \pm 3	1.2 \pm 3	87.9 \pm 2	87.7 \pm 2	83.4 \pm 3	63.5 \pm 8	39.2 \pm 2
Proposed	68.0\pm6	67.3\pm6	58.8\pm4	25.1\pm5	0.5\pm3	67.9\pm12	66.4\pm12	55.9\pm7	23.2\pm3	2.5\pm2	87.9\pm2	87.7\pm2	84.1\pm3	65.9\pm5	41.5\pm2