the compared methods according to the pairwise t-test at 0.05 significance level. Method D1 D2 D3D4 D5 D6 D7D8 D9 D10 Average $\bullet 5.6 + 10$ $\bullet 36.2 + 26$ $\bullet 16.7 + 4$ $\bullet 27.4 + 1$ $\bullet 60.1 + 10$ $\bullet 4.3 + 3$ $\bullet 18.0 + 2$ $\bullet 19.0 + 5$ $\bullet 14.3 + 4$ $\bullet 8.8 + 5$ CEAM (TKDE'24) 21.0+8

Table B4. Performance (%) evaluation of different datasets based on the NMI metric. We have highlighted the values of the best-performing method in **bold**, and the second-best method is marked with an underline. • indicates whether proposed method is statistically superior to

CEs ² L (AIJ'19)	●3.4±5	•9.3 \pm 10	●19.0±4	●27.9±2	●45.1±14	●12.3±5	●12.0±2	●15.2±7	$15.7_{\pm 3}$	●10.2±6	$17.0_{\pm 6}$
CEs ² Q (AIJ'19)	●2.5±4	●11.5±8	$\bullet 17.6{\scriptstyle \pm 5}$	$\bullet 28.1{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 43.9 \scriptstyle{\pm 15}$	●12.1±5	●12.2±2	$\bullet 17.9{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	$\bullet 15.4{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	●7.5±4	$16.9{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$
LWEA (TCYB'18)	●0.4±0	●53.3±3	$\bullet 15.9{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 28.1{\scriptstyle\pm1}$	●63.3±3	●12.1±5	●13.7±3	$\bullet 21.0{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	$\bullet 14.7 {\scriptstyle \pm 1}$	●7.9±4	$23.0_{\pm 3}$
NWCA (arXiv'24)	●0.4±0	●52.5±3	$\bullet 16.0{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 28.4 \pm 1$	●63.7±3	$\bullet 12.5{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	●13.6±3	$\bullet 21.7{\scriptstyle \pm 1}$	$\bullet 14.8{\scriptstyle \pm 1}$	●9.7±4	$23.3{\scriptstyle\pm2}$
ECCMS (TNNLS'24)	●0.4±0	$\bullet 50.7 \scriptstyle{\pm 19}$	$\bullet 18.4{\scriptstyle \pm 5}$	$\bullet 28.2 {\scriptstyle \pm 0}$	●64.7±3	●12.3±5	●12.9±3	$\bullet \underline{22.8}{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	$\bullet 15.5 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●9.1±4	$23.5{\pm}5$
MKKM (arXiv'18)	●8.1±12	$\bullet 40.8 \scriptstyle{\pm 20}$	$\bullet 12.8{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 20.6 \scriptstyle{\pm 6}$	●55.4±9	●12.0±5	●19.7±4	$\bullet 14.3{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	●12.0±7	●9.1±6	$20.5{\scriptstyle \pm 8}$

6.8±9
8.0 _{±4}
9.6 ±4

Proposed ($\alpha = 0.1$)	$25.0_{\pm 12}$	$\underline{58.3}_{\pm 1}$	$\underline{20.0}_{\pm 4}$	$\underline{29.4}_{\pm 2}$	$67.5_{\pm 3}$	$\underline{14.4}_{\pm 4}$	$18.8{\scriptstyle\pm2}$	$19.6{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$	15.0 ± 4	12.4±4	28.0±4	
Proposed	25.0±12	59.0 ± 1	21.1 ±3	29.4 ± 2	67.5 ±3	$\textbf{15.0}{\scriptstyle\pm4}$	$\pmb{22.9}_{\pm 2}$	27.4 ± 2	15.8 ± 3	12.4±4	29.6 ±4	
Table B5. Performance (%) evaluation of different datasets based on the ARI metric. We have highlighted the values of the best-performing												
mathed in held, and the second heat method is marked with an underline, a indicates whether proposed method is statistically superior to												

D4

ECCMS (TNNLS'24) \bullet -0.5±0 \bullet 56.1±24 \bullet 13.5±3 \bullet 21.3±1 \bullet 60.8±7 \bullet 19.0±6 \bullet -0.3±1 \bullet 12.2±4 \bullet 14.0±3 \bullet 10.5±6

 15.8 ± 4 22.1 ± 2

22.1±2

16.7 ± 3

the compared methods according to the pairwise t-test at 0.05 significance level.

 30.8 ± 15 69.2 ± 1

30.8±15 69.5±2

D2.

 D_3

D1

Method

CEAM (TKDE'24)

CEs²L (AIJ'19)

CEs²O (AIJ'19)

LWEA (TCYB'18)

NWCA (arXiv'24)

MKKM (arXiv'18)

SMKKM (TPAMI'23)

SEC (TKDE'17) Fix $\alpha = 0.1$

Proposed

Proposed ($\alpha = 0.1$)	$25.0_{\pm 12}$	$58.3_{\pm 1}$	$20.0_{\pm 4}$	$29.4_{\pm 2}$	$67.5_{\pm 3}$	$14.4_{\pm 4}$	$18.8_{\pm 2}$	19.6 ± 6	$15.0_{\pm4}$	$12.4_{\pm 4}$	28.0±4	
Proposed	25.0 ±12	59.0 ± 1	21.1 ± 3	29.4 ± 2	67.5 ±3	$\textbf{15.0}{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	22.9 ± 2	27.4 ± 2	15.8 ± 3	$\textbf{12.4}{\scriptstyle\pm4}$	29.6±4	
Table B5. Performance (%) evaluation of different datasets based on the ARI metric. We have highlighted the values of the best-performing												
method in bold , and the second-best method is marked with an <u>underline</u> . • indicates whether proposed method is statistically superior to												

D5

 $\bullet 6.6 \pm 12$ $\bullet 42.8 \pm 31$ $\bullet 12.9 \pm 4$ $\bullet 20.4 \pm 1$ $\bullet 59.0 \pm 13$ $\bullet 2.7 \pm 5$ $\bullet 2.5 \pm 1$ $\bullet 10.8 \pm 4$ $\bullet 12.8 \pm 5$ $\bullet 10.1 \pm 7$

 $\bullet 2.4+4$ $\bullet 3.0+10$ $\bullet 14.0+3$ $\bullet 20.3+2$ $\bullet 33.3+19$ $\bullet 18.3+6$ $\bullet 0.2+2$ $\bullet 6.8+7$ $\bullet 15.4+4$ $\bullet 9.6+9$

 $\bullet 1.7 + 3$ $\bullet 3.5 + 7$ $\bullet 12.4 + 3$ $\bullet 20.0 + 2$ $\bullet 31.2 + 17$ $\bullet 18.5 + 6$ $\bullet 0.3 + 2$ $\bullet 9.0 + 4$ $\bullet 15.2 + 5$ $\bullet 6.7 + 5$

 \bullet -0.5±0 \bullet 62.9±4 \bullet 13.1±3 \bullet 21.2±1 \bullet 57.5±5 \bullet 18.5±6 \bullet 0.0±2 \bullet 10.0±4 \bullet 13.5±3 \bullet 8.8±6

 \bullet -0.5+0 \bullet 62.3+4 \bullet 12.9+2 21.6+1 \bullet 56.3+6 \bullet 19.8+5 \bullet -0.1+2 \bullet 10.4+1 \bullet 13.3+3 \bullet 11.7+6

 $\bullet 8.8 \pm 14$ $\bullet 47.1 \pm 25$ $\bullet 9.5 \pm 2$ $\bullet 14.2 \pm 5$ $\bullet 53.8 \pm 10$ $\bullet 13.6 \pm 12$ $\bullet 2.1 \pm 2$ $\bullet 7.2 \pm 3$ $\bullet 10.9 \pm 6$ $\bullet 10.1 \pm 7$

 $\bullet 8.8 \pm 5$ $\bullet 41.9 \pm 10$ $\bullet 14.6 \pm 3$ $\bullet 17.0 \pm 3$ $\bullet 55.5 \pm 11$ $\bullet 13.2 \pm 9$ $\bullet 3.5 \pm 1$ $\bullet 7.2 \pm 4$ 15.7 ± 2 $\bullet 12.2 \pm 5$

 $\bullet 8.9 \pm 15$ $\bullet 23.8 \pm 25$ $\bullet 12.8 \pm 4$ $\bullet 13.5 \pm 5$ $\bullet 26.9 \pm 19$ $\bullet 13.5 \pm 12$ $\bullet 1.1 \pm 2$ $\bullet 5.6 \pm 7$ $\bullet 7.2 \pm 6$ $\bullet 5.2 \pm 5$

 20.6 ± 5

21.5±5

67.5±5

67.5±5

D6

D7

 2.6 ± 1

4.1±1

D8

 12.0 ± 5

D9

 14.8 ± 5

18.4±2 **16.0**±3 **14.5**±6

D10

 14.5 ± 6

Average

 18.1 ± 8

12.3+7

11.8 + 6

20.5+4

20.8+3

20.7+6

17.7 + 8

 19.0 ± 5

11.9±9

 $27.0_{\pm 4}$

28.1±3

superior to the compared methods according to the pairwise t-test at 0.05 significance level. Method D1D2 D_3 D4 D5D6 D7D8D9 D10 Average CEAM (TKDE'24) •60.5+9 •79.4+15 •46.4+3 •42.7+1 •83.1+7 •66.0+2 •22.8+2 •51.4+3 •49.9+3 •61.8+6 56.4+5 OF 2T (ATTIAN)

Table B6. Performance (%) evaluation of different datasets based on the F-score metric. We have highlighted the values of the bestperforming method in **bold**, and the second-best method is marked with an underline. • indicates whether proposed method is statistically

CEs ² L (AIJ'19)	●58.0±4	●59.5±7	$\bullet 46.3 \pm 2$	$\bullet 42.0 \pm 2$	$\bullet 65.0 \pm 12$	●72.2±3	●19.3±2	●49.1±5	$51.7_{\pm 3}$	●62.7±6	$52.6_{\pm 4}$
CEs ² Q (AIJ'19)	●57.4±3	●60.3±5	●44.7±3	$\bullet 41.9{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	$\bullet 62.9 \scriptstyle{\pm 12}$	$\bullet 72.4{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 19.2{\scriptstyle \pm 1}$	$\bullet 50.5 \scriptstyle{\pm 5}$	$\bullet 51.6{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	●60.3±4	52.1 ± 4
LWEA (TCYB'18)	●55.5±0	●89.6±1	●46.0±3	$43.2{\scriptstyle\pm1}$	●81.7±4	$\bullet 72.4{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 18.6{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.5{\scriptstyle\pm1}$	$\bullet 51.3{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●61.2±4	56.9 ± 2
NWCA (arXiv'24)	● 55 5±0	■89 4 _{±1}	■ 45 9 _{±2}	■ 43 6±1	■ 80 7±5	$73.2_{\pm 2}$	■18 8 _{±2}	■49 2 _{±1}	● 51 2±2	●63 5+4	57 1 _{±2}

CES Q (AIJ 19)	● 37.4±3	■ 00.5±3	□ 44. / ±3	□+1.2 ±2	U UZ.9±12	● / ∠.+±3	●19.∠±1	● 50.5±3	■ 51.0±3	●00.5±4	$JZ.1\pm 4$
LWEA (TCYB'18)	●55.5±0	●89.6±1	●46.0±3	$43.2{\scriptstyle\pm1}$	●81.7±4	$\bullet 72.4 \pm 3$	$\bullet 18.6{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.5{\scriptstyle \pm 1}$	$\bullet 51.3{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●61.2±4	$56.9{\scriptstyle\pm2}$
NWCA (arXiv'24)	●55.5±0	●89.4±1	$\bullet 45.9 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●43.6±1	●80.7±5	$73.2{\scriptstyle\pm2}$	$\bullet 18.8{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.2{\scriptstyle \pm 1}$	$\bullet 51.2 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●63.5±4	$57.1{\scriptstyle\pm2}$
ECCMS (TNNLS'24)	●55.5±0	$\bullet 85.6 \scriptstyle{\pm 12}$	●46.1±3	●43.3±1	●84.0±3	$72.6{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 18.5{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●51.0 \pm 3	●51.6±3	●62.5±4	$57.1{\scriptstyle\pm3}$
MKKM (arXiv'18)	●62.1±10	$\bullet 82.6 \scriptstyle{\pm 11}$	$\bullet 42.9 \pm 3$	•37.4±5	•79.8±7	●70.8±5	• <u>25.2</u> ±3	$\bullet 50.2 \scriptstyle{\pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.7{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$	●62.5±6	$56.3{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$

LWEA (TCYB'18)	●55.5±0	●89.6±1	●46.0±3	$43.2_{\pm 1}$	●81.7±4	●72.4±3	●18.6±2	$\bullet 49.5 \pm 1$	●51.3±2	●61.2±4	$56.9{\scriptstyle\pm2}$
NWCA (arXiv'24)	●55.5±0	●89.4±1	$\bullet 45.9 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●43.6±1	●80.7±5	$73.2{\scriptstyle\pm2}$	$\bullet 18.8 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.2 \pm 1$	$\bullet 51.2 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●63.5±4	$57.1{\scriptstyle\pm2}$
ECCMS (TNNLS'24)	●55.5±0	$\bullet 85.6 \scriptstyle{\pm 12}$	$\bullet 46.1{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	•43.3±1	●84.0±3	$72.6{\scriptstyle\pm3}$	$\bullet 18.5 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	●51.0 \pm 3	●51.6±3	●62.5±4	57.1 ± 3
MKKM (arXiv'18)	●62.1±10	$\bullet 82.6 \scriptstyle{\pm 11}$	$\bullet 42.9{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	●37.4±5	$\bullet 79.8 \pm 7$	$\bullet 70.8 \scriptstyle{\pm 5}$	• <u>25.2</u> ±3	$\bullet 50.2 \scriptstyle{\pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.7{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$	●62.5±6	$56.3{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$

NWCA (arXiv'24)	●55.5±0	•89.4 ± 1	$\bullet 45.9 {\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	•43.6±1	●80.7±5	$73.2{\scriptstyle\pm2}$	●18.8±2	•49.2±1	●51.2±2	●63.5±4	$57.1{\scriptstyle\pm2}$
ECCMS (TNNLS'24)	●55.5±0	$\bullet 85.6 {\scriptstyle \pm 12}$	$\bullet 46.1{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	●43.3±1	●84.0±3	$72.6{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	●18.5±2	●51.0±3	●51.6±3	●62.5±4	$57.1_{\pm 3}$
MKKM (arXiv'18)	●62.1±10	●82.6±11	$\bullet 42.9{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	•37.4±5	•79.8±7	●70.8±5	● 25.2±3	$\bullet 50.2 \scriptstyle{\pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.7{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$	●62.5±6	$56.3{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$
CAMERA (TDAMI)	-620.	-727.	- 47 7	-20.0	- 90 6	-60.0	-22 4	-52 2	52.2	-622	567

ECCMS (TNNLS'24)	●55.5±0	●85.6±12	●46.1±3	●43.3±1	●84.0±3	72.6 ± 3	●18.5±2	●51.0±3	●51.6±3	●62.5±4	57.1 ± 3
MKKM (arXiv'18)	●62.1±10	$\bullet 82.6 {\scriptstyle \pm 11}$	$\bullet 42.9{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	●37.4±5	$\bullet 79.8 \pm 7$	●70.8±5	\bullet 25.2 \pm 3	$\bullet 50.2 \scriptstyle{\pm 2}$	$\bullet 49.7{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$	$\bullet 62.5{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$	$56.3{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$
SMKKM (TPAMI'23)	●62.9±4	●73.7±7	$\bullet 47.7{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 39.8 \scriptstyle{\pm 2}$	$\bullet 80.6 {\scriptstyle \pm 8}$	$\bullet 69.9{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	$\bullet 23.4{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	$\bullet 53.2{\scriptstyle \pm 1}$	<u>52.2</u> ±1	●63.3±4	$56.7{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$

87.6+2

87.6+2

73.3+3

73.8+2

21.4+2

27.3+3

55.4+5

63.3+1

61.5+3

63.1+3

MKKM (arXiv'18)	●62.1±10	●82.6±11	●42.9±3	●37.4±5	●79.8±7	●70.8±5	• <u>25.2</u> ±3	●50.2±2	$\bullet 49.7 \pm 6$	●62.5±6	$56.3{\scriptstyle \pm 6}$
SMKKM (TPAMI'23)	●62.9±4	●73.7±7	●47.7±3	$\bullet 39.8 \pm 2$	$\bullet 80.6 \pm 8$	$\bullet 69.9{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$	$\bullet 23.4 \pm 3$	●53.2±1	$\underline{52.2}_{\pm 1}$	●63.3±4	$56.7{\scriptstyle \pm 4}$
SEC (TKDE'17)	•62.2±10	●71.9±12	●46.0±3	•37.2±4	•59.9±13	●71.0±4	•20.5±2	•48.2±5	•45.7±5	●58.8±5	$52.1_{\pm 6}$

SMKKM (TPAMI'23)	●62.9±4	●73.7±7	●47.7±3	$\bullet 39.8 \pm 2$	● 80.6 ±8	●69.9±4	●23.4±3	●53.2±1	$52.2_{\pm 1}$	●63.3±4	56
SEC (TKDE'17)	$\bullet 62.2 \scriptstyle{\pm 10}$	$\bullet 71.9{\scriptstyle\pm12}$	$\bullet 46.0{\scriptstyle \pm 3}$	●37.2±4	•59.9 $_{\pm 13}$	●71.0±4	$\bullet 20.5{\scriptstyle \pm 2}$	$\bullet 48.2 \pm 5$	$\bullet 45.7 \scriptstyle{\pm 5}$	●58.8±5	52

43.7+1

43.7+1

Fix $\alpha = 0.1$

Proposed

76.5+9

76.5+9

91.6+0

91.7₊₁

48.9+3

49.8+2