



## Wilker-type inequalities for hyperbolic functions

Shanhe Wu<sup>a</sup>, Lokenath Debnath<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Longyan University, Longyan, Fujian 364012, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Texas-Pan American, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 24 June 2011

Accepted 16 October 2011

#### Keywords:

Wilker's inequality

Wilker–Anglesio inequality

Sándor–Bencze's inequality

Hyperbolic functions

Circular functions

Parameter

### ABSTRACT

Using classical analytic techniques, the Wilker–Anglesio inequality and parameterized Wilker inequality for hyperbolic functions are proved. The main result is then applied to deriving a hyperbolic analogue of the Sándor–Bencze inequality.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd

### 1. Introduction

Wilker [1] proposed the following open problem:

(a) Prove that, if  $0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then

$$\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\tan x}{x} > 2. \quad (1)$$

(b) Under the same assumption as in part (a) above, find the largest constant  $c$  such that

$$\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\tan x}{x} > 2 + cx^3 \tan x. \quad (2)$$

Different proofs of Wilker's inequality (1) are given by Sumner et al. [2], Guo et al. [3], Zhang and Zhu [4], and Zhu [5]. It is worth noting that, in [2], Anglesio showed the validity of the following sharp inequality, which gives a solution to part (b) of Wilker's problem.

$$\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\tan x}{x} > 2 + \frac{16}{\pi^4}x^3 \tan x \quad \left(0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}\right), \quad (3)$$

where the constant  $(16/\pi^4)$  is best possible; that is, it cannot be replaced by a larger number.

During the past few years, considerable attention has been given to the Wilker inequality (1) and the Wilker–Anglesio inequality (3). Various interesting generalizations and improvements and variants of these inequalities have appeared in the literature; see [6–9] the references cited therein.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [wushanhe@yahoo.com.cn](mailto:wushanhe@yahoo.com.cn) (S. Wu), [debnathl@utpa.edu](mailto:debnathl@utpa.edu), [Debnathl@panam.edu](mailto:Debnathl@panam.edu) (L. Debnath).

Recently, Wu and Srivastava [10] gave a generalization of Wilker's inequality involving parameters of exponent and weight, as follows:

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tan x}{x} \right)^q > 1, \quad (4)$$

where  $0 < x < \pi/2$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $p \leq 2q\mu/\lambda$ ,  $q > 0$  or  $q \leq \min\{-\lambda/\mu, -1\}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to establish the hyperbolic analogue of the Wilker–Anglesio inequality (3) and the parameterized Wilker inequality (4). We next provide an application to the Sándor–Bencze inequality (1).

## 2. Some lemmas

In order to prove the main results in Sections 3 and 4, we first introduce the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** (See Hardy et al. [11]). If  $x_i > 0$ ,  $\lambda_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_i}. \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 2** (See Mitrinović and Vasić [12]). For all nonzero real numbers  $x$ , the following inequality holds:

$$1 < \cosh x < \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^3. \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 3.** For all nonzero real numbers  $x$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^2 + \frac{x}{\tanh x} > 2. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** Case (I):  $x > 0$ .

Define a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^2 + \frac{x}{\tanh x}.$$

Differentiating  $f(x)$  with respect to  $x$  gives

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{\sinh^3 x} (\sinh^2 x \cosh x + x \sinh x - 2x^2 \cosh x).$$

By making use of the arithmetic–geometric means inequality and inequality (6), we obtain for  $x \in (0, +\infty)$  the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &\geq \frac{1}{\sinh^3 x} \left( 2\sqrt{x \sinh^3 x \cosh x} - 2x^2 \cosh x \right) \\ &= \frac{2x^2}{\sinh^3 x} \sqrt{\cosh x} \left( \sqrt{\left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^3} - \sqrt{\cosh x} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that function  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ , and we thus deduce from  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 2$  that  $f(x) > 2$  for  $(0, +\infty)$ , which leads to the desired inequality (7).

Case (II): with  $x < 0$ , so  $-x > 0$ .

Making reference to the result proved in Case (I), we obtain

$$\left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^2 + \frac{x}{\tanh x} = \left( \frac{(-x)}{\sinh(-x)} \right)^2 + \frac{(-x)}{\tanh(-x)} > 2.$$

The proof of Lemma 3 is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Wilker–Anglesio's inequality for hyperbolic functions

**Theorem 1.** Let  $x$  be nonzero real numbers; then the following inequality holds:

$$\left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\tanh x}{x} > 2 + \frac{8}{45}x^3 \tanh x, \quad (8)$$

where the constant (8/45) is best possible; that is, it cannot be replaced by a larger number.

**Proof.** Case (I):  $x > 0$ .

Define a function  $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\tanh x}{x} - 2}{x^3 \tanh x}.$$

Differentiating  $g(x)$  with respect to  $x$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= \frac{24x^2 \sinh 2x + 10 \sinh 2x - 5 \sinh 4x + 18x + 16x^3 + 2x \cosh 4x - 20x \cosh 2x}{2x^6 \sinh^2 x} \\ &= \frac{g_1(x)}{2x^6 \sinh^2 x}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_1(x)$  is the numerator of  $g'(x)$ .

Now, computing the derivative of  $g_1(x)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} g'_1(x) &= 48x^2(\cosh 2x + 1) + 8x(\sinh 2x + \sinh 4x) - 18 \cosh 4x + 18 \\ &= (16x^2 \cosh^2 x)[6x^2 + \sinh x(4 \cosh x - \cosh^{-1} x)x - 9 \sinh^2 x] \\ &= (16x^2 \cosh^2 x)g_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'_2(x) &= \frac{-14 \sinh x \cosh^3 x - \sinh x \cosh x - x + 8x \cosh^2 x + 8x \cosh^4 x}{\cosh^2 x} \\ &= \frac{g_3(x)}{\cosh^2 x}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'_3(x) &= (4 \sinh 2x)(4x + 2x \cosh 2x - 3 \sinh 2x) \\ &= (4 \sinh 2x)g_4(x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'_4(x) &= (4 \sinh 2x)(x - \tanh x) \\ &= (4 \sinh 2x)g_5(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g'_5(x) = \tanh^2 x.$$

From  $g'_5(x) > 0$  ( $x \in (0, +\infty)$ ) and  $g_5(0) = 0$ , we conclude that the function  $g_5$  is increasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ , and  $g_5(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (0, +\infty)$ . Similarly, by using the functional relationships stated above together with  $g_4(0) = g_3(0) = g_2(0) = g_1(0) = 0$ , we deduce that each of the functions  $g_4, g_3, g_2, g_1$  is increasing and positive on  $(0, +\infty)$ . We hence conclude that  $g$  is increasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ .

Thus, we use

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = \frac{8}{45},$$

to deduce the following inequality

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\tanh x}{x} - 2}{x^3 \tanh x} > \frac{8}{45}.$$

This implies the desired inequality (8) and shows that the constant (8/45) in (8) is best possible.

Case (II): with  $x < 0$ , so  $-x > 0$ .

Making reference to the result proved in Case (I), we have

$$\left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\tanh x}{x} = \left(\frac{\sinh(-x)}{(-x)}\right)^2 + \frac{\tanh(-x)}{(-x)} > 2 + \frac{8}{45}(-x)^3 \tanh(-x) = \left(2 + \frac{8}{45}x^3 \tanh x\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

#### 4. Parameterized Wilker's inequality for hyperbolic functions

**Theorem 2.** Let  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $p \geq 2q\mu/\lambda$ . Then, for  $q > 0$  or  $q \leq \min\{-\lambda/\mu, -1\}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q > 1. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** In view of the fact that

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh(-x)}{(-x)} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh(-x)}{(-x)} \right)^q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q,$$

in order to prove Theorem 2, it is enough to prove that inequality (9) holds for  $x > 0$ .

Case (I):  $x > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $p \geq 2q\mu/\lambda$  and  $q > 0$ .

Using Lemmas 1 and 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q &\geq \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{p\lambda/(\mu+\lambda)} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^{q\mu/(\mu+\lambda)} \\ &= \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{p\lambda/(\mu+\lambda)} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{q\mu/(\mu+\lambda)} \left( \frac{1}{\cosh x} \right)^{q\mu/(\mu+\lambda)} \\ &> \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{p\lambda/(\mu+\lambda)} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{q\mu/(\mu+\lambda)} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{-3q\mu/(\mu+\lambda)} \\ &= \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{(p\lambda-2q\mu)/(\mu+\lambda)} \\ &\geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality (9).

Case (II):  $x > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $p \geq 2q\mu/\lambda$  and  $q \leq \min\{-\lambda/\mu, -1\}$ .

It follows from the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q &\geq \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{2q\mu/\lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^{-2q\mu/\lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\tanh x} \right)^{-q}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $-q\mu/\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $-q \geq 1$ .

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\frac{x}{\tanh x} > 2 - \left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^2 > 0.$$

Combining inequality (10) and the above inequality (9), we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q > \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^{-2q\mu/\lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( 2 - \left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^2 \right)^{-q}. \quad (11)$$

Define a function  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} t^{-q\mu/\lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} (2 - t)^{-q}.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $t$  gives

$$f'(t) = \frac{q\mu}{\mu + \lambda} [(2 - t)^{-q-1} - t^{-q\mu/\lambda-1}].$$

From  $-q\mu/\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $-q \geq 1$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , it is easy to verify that  $(2 - t)^{-q-1} \geq 1 \geq t^{-q\mu/\lambda-1}$ , and we thus conclude that  $f'(t) \leq 0$  for  $0 < t < 1$ , which implies that  $f$  is decreasing on  $(0, 1)$ . Hence, we have  $f(t) \geq f(1) = 1$  for  $0 < t < 1$ .

Now, from inequality (11) and a variation of inequality (6),  $0 < \frac{x}{\sinh x} < 1$  ( $x > 0$ ), we deduce that

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^p + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q > f \left( \left( \frac{x}{\sinh x} \right)^2 \right) \geq 1.$$

Inequality (9) is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

By setting  $(p, q) = (2, 1)$  and  $(\lambda, \mu) = (2, 1)$  in Theorem 2, we obtain the following weighted and exponential Wilker-type inequalities for hyperbolic functions, respectively.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $x \neq 0, \lambda \geq \mu > 0$ . Then the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^2 + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right) > 1. \quad (12)$$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $x \neq 0, p \geq q$ . Then for  $q > 0$  or  $q \leq -2$ , the following inequality also holds:

$$2 \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^p + \left( \frac{\tanh x}{x} \right)^q > 3. \quad (13)$$

We next provide an interesting application of Theorem 2.

Recently, Sándor and Bencze [13] proposed the following open problem: Prove that, for all  $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and  $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\left( \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^\alpha > \frac{\cos^\alpha x}{1 + \cos^\alpha x}. \quad (14)$$

Inequality (14) was proved by Wu and Srivastava [10]; we give here a hyperbolic analogue of Sándor–Bencze's inequality.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $x \neq 0$ . Then, for  $\alpha > 0$  or  $\alpha \leq -1$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^\alpha > \frac{\cosh^\alpha x}{1 + \cosh^\alpha x}. \quad (15)$$

**Proof.** Putting  $\lambda = \mu = 1, p = 2\alpha$  and  $q = \alpha$  in Theorem 2 gives

$$\left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^{2\alpha} + \cosh^{-\alpha} x \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^\alpha - 2 > 0 \quad (x \neq 0, \alpha > 0 \text{ or } \alpha \leq -1),$$

which is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$\left[ \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^\alpha + \frac{\cosh^{-\alpha} x + \sqrt{\cosh^{-2\alpha} x + 8}}{2} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^\alpha + \frac{\cosh^{-\alpha} x - \sqrt{\cosh^{-2\alpha} x + 8}}{2} \right] > 0.$$

We can now deduce from the above inequality that

$$\left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^\alpha + \frac{\cosh^{-\alpha} x - \sqrt{\cosh^{-2\alpha} x + 8}}{2} > 0,$$

and we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^\alpha &> \frac{-\cosh^{-\alpha} x + \sqrt{\cosh^{-2\alpha} x + 8}}{2} \\ &= \frac{4 \cosh^\alpha x}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 8 \cosh^{2\alpha} x}} \\ &> \frac{4 \cosh^\alpha x}{1 + (1 + 2\sqrt{2} \cosh^\alpha x)} \\ &> \frac{\cosh^\alpha x}{1 + \cosh^\alpha x}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, inequality (15) is proved.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

The research was supported by the Foundation of Scientific Research Project of Fujian Province Education Department of the People's Republic of China under grant No. JK2010058.

## References

- [1] J.B. Wilker, Problem E3306, Amer. Math. Monthly 96 (1989) 55.
- [2] J.S. Sumner, A.A. Jagers, M. Vowe, J. Anglesio, Inequalities involving trigonometric functions, Amer. Math. Monthly 98 (1991) 264–267.
- [3] B.-N. Guo, W. Li, F. Qi, Proofs of Wilker's inequalities involving trigonometric functions, Chinju/Masan, 2001, in: Inequality Theory and Applications, vol. 2, Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, New York, 2003, pp. 109–112.
- [4] L. Zhang, L. Zhu, A new elementary proof of Wilker's inequalities, Math. Inequal. Appl. 11 (1) (2008) 149–151.
- [5] L. Zhu, A new simple proof of Wilker's inequality, Math. Inequal. Appl. 8 (4) (2005) 749–750.
- [6] L. Zhu, On Wilker-type inequalities, Math. Inequal. Appl. 10 (4) (2007) 727–731.
- [7] B.-N. Guo, B.-M. Qiao, F. Qi, W. Li, On new proofs of Wilker's inequalities involving trigonometric functions, Math. Inequal. Appl. 6 (1) (2003) 19–22.
- [8] I. Pinelis, L'Hospital rules for monotonicity and the Wilker–Anglesio inequality, Amer. Math. Monthly 111 (2004) 905–909.
- [9] S. Wu, L. Debnath, Jordan-type inequalities for differentiable functions and their applications, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (8) (2008) 803–809.
- [10] S.-H. Wu, H.M. Srivastava, A weighted and exponential generalization of Wilker's inequality and its applications, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (8) (2007) 529–535.
- [11] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, Inequalities, second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [12] D.S. Mitrinović, P.M. Vasić, Analytic Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [13] J. Sándor, M. Bencze, On Huygens's trigonometric inequality, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (3) (2005) Article 14, pp. 1–4 (electronic).