Appendix

Table I shows the results of models fine-tuned by various context and docstring combinations (corresponding to Section III-D in our main pdf submission).

Table II shows the performance of three models across six runnable levels on WenwangBench with excluding functions with the none return value (corresponding to Section VI in our main pdf submission).

Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3 show the examples of code generated by the various models (corresponding to Section V-C in our main pdf submission).

1

I. APPENDIX TABLE

TABLE I: Performance of models fine-tuned by various context and docstring combinations

Fine-tune Prompt Type	Inference Prompt Type	pass@1	pass@5	pass@10
AllContext+Docs	AllContext+Docs	14.43%	22.29%	25.65%
Only Docs	Only Docs	12.09%	17.99%	20.87%
Docs+AllContext	Docs+AllContext	13.04%	21.31%	24.78%
Docs+AllContext+Docs	Docs+AllContext+Docs	14.00%	20.65%	23.91%
OracleContext+Docs	OracleContext+Docs	13.57%	20.86%	24.35%
Docs+OracleContext	Docs+OracleContext	13.74%	20.26%	23.04%

TABLE II: Performance of three models across six runnable levels on WenwangBench with excluding functions with none return value

Runnable Level	Model	pass@1	pass@5	pass@10
self_contained	CodeGen	17.14%	34.82%	37.14%
	PanGu-Coder	21.14%	34.92%	40.00%
	WenwangCoder	28.00%	39.85%	42.86%
slib_runnable	CodeGen	8.21%	20.04%	25.00%
	PanGu-Coder	12.50%	24.89%	32.14%
	WenwangCoder	12.14%	20.55%	25.00%
plib_runnable	CodeGen	6.84%	20.36%	26.32%
	PanGu-Coder	13.16%	25.13%	31.58%
	WenwangCoder	11.05%	18.30%	21.05%
class_runnable	CodeGen	8.89%	14.66%	16.67%
	PanGu-Coder	11.39%	12.50%	13.89%
	WenwangCoder	13.61%	17.92%	19.44%
file_runnable	CodeGen	7.64%	14.45%	16.36%
	PanGu-Coder	10.36%	13.23%	14.55%
	WenwangCoder	10.73%	16.42%	20.00%
project_runnable	CodeGen	0%	0%	0%
	PanGu-Coder	0%	0%	0%
	WenwangCoder	0%	0%	0%
over_all	CodeGen	8.85%	18.17%	20.83%
	PanGu-Coder	12.08%	18.62%	21.88%
	WenwangCoder	13.59%	20.14%	22.92%

II. CASE STUDY

Figure 1 shows the code generated by the three models with all context and docstring as inputs. The code generated by CodeGen and Pangu-Coder fails to pass the test cases in the WenwangBench, but the code generated by Wenwang-Coder can pass the test cases.

```
"With import re sys pyfs fs namaste
file NORMALIZATIONS ; add_object_args(parser) ; add_shared_args(parser) ;
check_shared_args(args) ; next_version(version) ; remove_first_directory(path) ;
make_unused_filepath(filepath,used,separator); find_path_type(path);
                                                                  All Context
"Next version identifier following existing pattern.\n\nMust deal with both zero-
prefixed and non-zero prefixed versions."
                                                                   Docstrina
def next_version(version):
    m = re.match(r'''v((\d)\d^*)$''', version)
        raise ObjectException("Bad version '%s'" % version)
    next_n = int(m.group(1)) + 1
    if m.group(2) == '0':
        # Zero-padded version
        next_v = ('v0\%0' + str(len(version) - 2) + 'd') \% next_n
        if len(next_v) != len(version):
             raise ObjectException("Version number overflow for zero-
padded version %d to %d" % (version, next_v))
        return next v
    # Not zero-padded
    return 'v' + str(next_n)
                                                                Oracle Code
def next version(version):
    for version in range(1, 10):
        yield version
        yield int(version)
                                                                   CodeGen
def next_version(version):
    return version + 1
                                                               PanGu-Coder
def next version(version):
    m = re.match(r'^v(\d+)$', version)
        raise ValueError('Unsupported version: {}'.format(version))
    number = int(m.group(1)) + 1
    return 'v{}'.format(str(number))
                                                             WenwangCoder
```

Fig. 1: Case Study I

Figure 2 shows the code generated by four different models with only docstring as input, where CodeGen and Pangu-Coder failed the test cases of WenwangBench. Significantly, the WenwangCoder can pass the test cases of WenwangBench even if only docstring is available.

```
Sets the ``tzinfo`` parameter on naive datetimes only\nThis is useful for example
when you are provided a datetime that may have\neither an implicit or explicit time
zone, such as when parsing a time zone\nstring.\n\n.. doctest::\n\n
                                                                 >>> from
dateutil.tz import tzoffset\n
                          >>> from dateutil.parser import parse\n
dateutil.utils import default_tzinfo\n
                                  >>> dflt_tz = tzoffset(\"EST\", -
18000)\n
        >>> print(default_tzinfo(parse('2014-01-01 12:30 UTC'),
dflt_tz))\n 2014-01-01 12:30:00+00:00\n
                                       >>> print(default_tzinfo(parse('2014-01-
on which to replace the time zone\n\n:param
tzinfo:\n The :py:class:`datetime.tzinfo` subclass instance to assign
      ``dt`` if (and only if) it is naive.\n\n:return:\n
aware :py:class:`datetime.datetime`.
                                                                 Docstring
def default_tzinfo(dt, tzinfo):
    if dt.tzinfo is not None:
        return dt
    else:
        return dt.replace(tzinfo=tzinfo)
                                                              Oracle Code
def default tzinfo(dt, tzinfo):
    if tzinfo is None:
        return dt
    if dt.tzinfo is None:
        dt = dt.replace(tzinfo=tzinfo)
                                               \square
    return dt.astimezone(tzinfo)
                                                                 CodeGen
def default tzinfo(dt, tzinfo):
                                               |X|
                                                            PanGu-Coder
    return dt.replace(tzinfo=tzinfo)
def default_tzinfo(dt, tzinfo):
    if dt.tzinfo is None:
                                                        WenwangCoder
        dt = dt.replace(tzinfo=tzinfo)
                                               | \mathbf{V} |
                                                      Only-Docs-Finetune
    return dt
def default tzinfo(dt, tzinfo):
    if isinstance(dt, datetime) and dt.tzinfo is None:
        dt = dt.replace(tzinfo=tzinfo)
                                                          WenwangCoder
                                               |\checkmark|
    return dt
```

Fig. 2: Case Study II

Figure 3 shows the case where WenwangCoder failed the test cases with only docstring as input, and the other two models passed. However, as we can see, the code generated by WenwangCoder is similar to oracle code with only a few errors and still conforms to what docstring expresses.

```
"Replace any custom string URL items with values in args"
                                                              Docstring
def _replace_url_args(url, url_args):
    if url_args:
        for key, value in url_args.items():
            url = url.replace(f"{key}/", f"{value}/")
    return url
                                                            Oracle Code
def replace url args(url, url args):
    if not url_args:
        return url
    for key in url_args:
                                                                  | \checkmark |
        if key in url:
            url = url.replace(key, url_args.get(key, ""))
    return url
                                                               CodeGen
def _replace_url_args(url, url_args):
    for arg in url args:
        url = url.replace(arg, url_args[arg])
    return url
                                                          PanGu-Coder
def _replace_url_args(url, url_args):
    # Remove any query strings\n
    url = url[:url.find('?')]
    # Replace any variables with their values\n
                                                                  \square
    url = url.replace('{', '').replace('}', '')
    # Add any URL encoded query strings\n
    for key, value in url_args.items():
        url = url.replace('{{{0}}}}'.format(key), value)
    return url
                                                        WenwangCoder
```

Fig. 3: Case Study III