

# *Mat2033 - Discrete Mathematics*

## Partial Orderings

**Definition 7.5.** Relation  $R$  on the set  $S$  is called a **partial ordering** if it is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive. A set  $S$  together with a partial ordering  $R$  is called a **partially ordered set** (poset), denoted by  $(S, R)$ .

**Example 7.8.** Consider a relation on the set  $S = \mathbb{R}$  defined as following:

$$R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq b\}$$

Since for any real number  $a$  we have  $a \leq a$ ,  $R$  is reflexive. For any real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , if  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$  then  $a = b$ . Therefore,  $R$  is anti-symmetric. For any real numbers  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ , if  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq c$  then  $a \leq c$ . Therefore,  $R$  is transitive. So  $R$  is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive. Therefore,  $R$  is a partial ordering.

**Example 7.9.** Consider a relation on the set  $S = \mathbb{N}$  defined as following:

$$R = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid a|b \right\},$$

where  $a|b$  means that  $a$  divides  $b$ .

Since for any natural number  $a$  we have  $a|a$ ,  $R$  is reflexive. For any natural numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , if  $a|b$  and  $b|a$  then  $a = b$ . Therefore,  $R$  is anti-symmetric. For any natural numbers  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ , if  $a|b$  and  $b|c$  then  $a|c$ . Therefore,  $R$  is transitive. So  $R$  is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive. Therefore,  $R$  is a partial ordering.

**Notation 7.1.** Let  $(S, R)$  be any poset. For elements  $a$  and  $b$  of set  $S$  if  $(a, b) \in R$  then we will say that ' $a$  is less than or equal to  $b$  with respect to partial ordering  $R$ ' and will write  $a \preceq b$ . If  $(a, b) \in R$  but  $a \neq b$  then we will say that ' $a$  is less than  $b$  with respect to partial ordering  $R$ ' and will write  $a \prec b$ .

**Definition 7.6.** Let  $(S, R)$  be a poset. The elements  $a$  and  $b$  of set  $S$  are called **comparable** with respect to partial ordering  $R$  if either  $a \preceq b$  or  $b \preceq a$ . The elements  $a$  and  $b$  of set  $S$  are called **incomparable** with respect to partial ordering  $R$  if neither  $a \preceq b$  nor  $b \preceq a$ .

**Example 7.10.** Consider again a relation

$$R = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid a|b \right\}$$

which is a partial ordering on the set  $S = \mathbb{N}$  (see Example 11.9). Natural numbers 7 and 28 are comparable with respect to partial ordering  $R$  and  $7 \preceq 28$ . Natural numbers 7 and 27 are incomparable with respect to  $R$  because neither  $(7, 27) \in R$  nor  $(27, 7) \in R$ .

**Definition 7.7.** Let  $(S, R)$  be a poset. If every two elements  $a$  and  $b$  of set  $S$  are comparable with respect to partial ordering  $R$  then  $R$  is called a **total ordering** and  $S$  is called a **totally ordered set** with respect to  $R$ .

**Example 7.11.** Consider again a relation

$$R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq b\}$$

which is a partial ordering on the set  $S = \mathbb{R}$  (see Example 11.8). Since for any two real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , we have either  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ , this relation  $R$  is a total ordering.

**Example 7.12.** Obviously, a partial ordering

$$R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid a|b\}$$

is not a total ordering. (Why?)

**Definition 7.8.** Let  $R$  be a total ordering on the set  $S$ . Set  $S$  is called **well-ordered set** with respect to  $R$  if every nonempty subset of  $S$  has a least element.

**Example 7.13.** Consider a relation on the set  $S = \mathbb{N}$  defined as following:

$$R = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid a \leq b \right\}$$

which is a total ordering. Since any subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a least element, set  $\mathbb{N}$  is a well-ordered set with respect to this relation  $R$ .

**Example 7.14.** Now, consider a relation on the set  $S = \mathbb{Z}$  defined as following:

$$R = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq b \right\}$$

which is a total ordering. Subset  $\{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$  of set  $\mathbb{Z}$  does not have a least element and therefore  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a well-ordered set with respect to this relation  $R$ .