

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ a vertex cover of G is a subset $S \subseteq V$ of vertices such that for every edge $(u, v) \in E$, u or v is in S . The goal in the minimum vertex cover problem is to find a vertex cover S of smallest size. In the weighted version of the problem, vertices have non-negative weights $w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$, and the goal is to find a vertex cover of minimum weight. Describe a *recursive* algorithm that given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and weights $w(v), v \in V$ outputs a vertex cover of G with minimum weight. Do not worry about the running time.

Solution:

Let's start from an arbitrary vertex v_{start} . The way to consider this problem is to compare the minimum vertex cover weight of two cases:

Case 1: remove v_{start} from S .

Case 2: keep v_{start} and remove the neighbor vertices of v_{start} from S .

Then for each of these sub-problems, take another arbitrary vertex v'_{start} and consider the two cases like above according to v'_{start} .

This way forms a recursion with the base case where no more vertices could be removed to cover all edges.

The pseudo code is as following:

```
minCover(G, V, E):  
    if(E is empty) OUTPUT  $S = \emptyset$   
    if(E has only one edge  $(u, v)$ ) OUTPUT  $S =$  the one with smaller weight  
    between  $u$  and  $v$   
  
     $v \leftarrow$  An arbitrary element of  $V$   
     $V' \leftarrow V$  with  $v$  removed  
     $E' \leftarrow E$  with edges incident to  $v$  removed  
     $cover' \leftarrow \text{minCover}(G, V', E')$   
     $weight' \leftarrow \text{sum}(\text{weight}(cover'))$   
  
     $V'' \leftarrow V$  with  $v$ 's neighbor vertices removed  
     $E'' \leftarrow E$  with edges incident to  $v$ 's neighbor vertices removed  
     $cover'' \leftarrow \text{minCover}(G, V'', E'')$   
     $weight'' \leftarrow \text{sum}(\text{weight}(cover''))$   
  
    if  $weight' < weight''$ : OUTPUT  $S = cover' \cup v$   
    else: OUTPUT  $S = cover'' \cup$  neighbor vertices of  $v$ 
```

The running time of this algorithm is approximately $O(2^n)$ because in the worst case we have two cases for each sub-problem and the height of the recursion tree could be $O(n)$. Thus the total running time is $O(2^n)$. ■