

2. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph with edge lengths that can be negative. Let  $\ell(e)$  denote the length of edge  $e \in E$  and assume it is an integer. Assume you have a shortest path tree  $T$  rooted at a source node  $s$  that contains all the nodes in  $V$ . You also have the distance values  $d(s, u)$  for each  $u \in V$  in an array (thus, you can access the distance from  $s$  to  $u$  in  $O(1)$  time). Note that the existence of  $T$  implies that  $G$  does not have a negative length cycle.

- Let  $e = (p, q)$  be an edge of  $G$  that is *not* in  $T$ . Show how to compute in  $O(1)$  time the smallest integer amount by which we can decrease  $\ell(e)$  before  $T$  is not a valid shortest path tree in  $G$ . Briefly justify the correctness of your solution.
- Let  $e = (p, q)$  be an edge in the tree  $T$ . Show how to compute in  $O(m + n)$  time the smallest integer amount by which we can increase  $\ell(e)$  such that  $T$  is no longer a valid shortest path tree. Your algorithm should output  $\infty$  if no amount of increase will change the shortest path tree. Briefly justify the correctness of your solution.

---

**Solution:**

- Let  $e = (p, q)$  be an edge of  $G$  that is *not* in  $T$ . To find the smallest decrease of  $\ell(e)$  that will make the shortest path tree  $T$  invalid, the main idea is to find the smallest decrease in  $\ell(e)$  where taking this edge (with such weight decreased) from  $p$  to  $q$  will result a smaller distance between  $s$  and  $q$ , compared to the original distance.

The smallest integer to return is  $\ell(e) - (d(s, q) - d(s, p)) + 1$ .

Justification:

First,  $d(s, q) - d(s, p)$  is the current shortest distance from  $p$  to  $q$  via  $T$ , and can be evaluated with  $O(1)$ .

Second,  $\ell(e)$  is the length of edge  $e$  and can be obtained with  $O(1)$ .

Thus by decrease  $\ell(e) - (d(s, q) - d(s, p))$ ,  $e$  will now have the length same to the shortest distance from  $p$  to  $q$  via  $T$ .

Then we decrease  $\ell(e)$  further by 1,  $\ell(e)$  is now smaller than the shortest distance from  $p$  to  $q$  via  $T$ , and thus is a shorter path from  $p$  to  $q$ . In this case,  $T$  is violated.

Therefore, the smallest integer to return is  $\ell(e) - (d(s, q) - d(s, p)) + 1$ .

Running Time:

Since  $d(s, u)$  and  $\ell(e)$  take linear time, the algorithm will take  $O(1)$  time.

- Let  $e = (p, q)$  be an edge of  $G$  that is in  $T$ . The main idea is to increase  $\ell(p, q)$  by  $x$  such that continuing taking the original path will result a larger distance from  $s$  to  $q$  and  $q$ 's descendants than taking another path.

The smallest integer to return is  $x = \text{MIN}\{\ell(u, v) - (d(s, v) - d(s, u)) + 1\}$ , where  $(u, v)$  are all edges in  $G$  such that  $v$  is a descendant of  $q$  in  $T$  but  $u$  is not. If no such edges exist, return  $\infty$ .

Justification:

$\text{MIN}\{\ell(u, v) - (d(s, v) - d(s, u)) + 1\}$  will give the shortest path from any other non-descendant of  $q$  to descendants of  $q$  plus 1. Increase  $\ell(e)$  by such value will promise  $v$  (descendant of  $q$ ) to have the largest distance from  $p$  among from any  $u$  (non-descendant of  $q$ ). Thus this value is correct if such edges  $(u, v)$  exist. If no such edge exist, it means that any increase in  $\ell(p, q)$  will not affect  $T$  and thus we output  $\infty$ .

Running Time:

The worst case is to compute  $O(n)$  descendants of  $q$  and then to look through all edges,  $O(m)$ . Thus in total, this algorithm takes  $O(m + n)$  time complexity.

■