Probabilistic Counting and Morris Counter

Lecture 05 January 29, 2019

Streaming model

- The input consists of m objects/items/tokens e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m that are seen one by one by the algorithm.
- The algorithm has "limited" memory say for B tokens where B < m (often $B \ll m$) and hence cannot store all the input
- Want to compute interesting functions over input

Streaming model

- The input consists of m objects/items/tokens e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m that are seen one by one by the algorithm.
- The algorithm has "limited" memory say for B tokens where B < m (often $B \ll m$) and hence cannot store all the input
- Want to compute interesting functions over input

Examples:

- Each token in a number from [n]
- High-speed network switch: tokens are packets with source, destination IP addresses and message contents.
- Each token is an edge in graph (graph streams)
- Each token in a point in some feature space
- Each token is a row/column of a matrix

Streaming model

- The input consists of m objects/items/tokens e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m that are seen one by one by the algorithm.
- The algorithm has "limited" memory say for B tokens where B < m (often $B \ll m$) and hence cannot store all the input
- Want to compute interesting functions over input

Examples:

- Each token in a number from [n]
- High-speed network switch: tokens are packets with source, destination IP addresses and message contents.
- Each token is an edge in graph (graph streams)
- Each token in a point in some feature space
- Each token is a row/column of a matrix

Question: What are the tradeoffs between memory size, accuracy, randomness and other resources?

Simplest streaming question: how many events in the stream?

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 3 Spring 2019 3 / 15

Simplest streaming question: how many events in the stream?

Obvious: counter that increments on seeing each new item. Requires $\lceil \log n \rceil = \Theta(\log n)$ bits to be able to count up to n events.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 3 Spring 2019 3 / 15

Simplest streaming question: how many events in the stream?

Obvious: counter that increments on seeing each new item. Requires $\lceil \log n \rceil = \Theta(\log n)$ bits to be able to count up to n events.

Question: can we do better?

Simplest streaming question: how many events in the stream?

Obvious: counter that increments on seeing each new item. Requires $\lceil \log n \rceil = \Theta(\log n)$ bits to be able to count up to n events.

Question: can we do better? Not deterministically.

"Counting large numbers of events in small registers" by Rober Morris (Bell Labs), Communications of the ACM (CACM), 1978

Probabilistic Counting Algorithm

ProbabilisticCounting:

$$X \leftarrow 0$$

While (a new event arrives)

Toss a biased coin that is heads with probability $1/2^{x}$

If (coin turns up heads)

$$X \leftarrow X + 1$$

endWhile

Output $2^{x} - 1$ as the estimate for the length of the stream.

Probabilistic Counting Algorithm

PROBABILISTIC COUNTING:

$$X \leftarrow 0$$

While (a new event arrives)

Toss a biased coin that is heads with probability $1/2^{x}$

If (coin turns up heads)

$$X \leftarrow X + 1$$

endWhile

Output $2^{x} - 1$ as the estimate for the length of the stream.

Intuition: X keeps track of $\log n$ in a probabilistic sense. Hence requires $O(\log \log n)$ bits

Probabilistic Counting Algorithm

PROBABILISTIC COUNTING:

$$X \leftarrow 0$$

While (a new event arrives)

Toss a biased coin that is heads with probability $1/2^X$

If (coin turns up heads)

$$X \leftarrow X + 1$$

endWhile

Output $2^{x} - 1$ as the estimate for the length of the stream.

Intuition: X keeps track of $\log n$ in a probabilistic sense. Hence requires $O(\log \log n)$ bits

Theorem

Let $Y = 2^X$. Then E[Y] - 1 = n, the number of events seen.

Analysis of Expectation

Induction on n. For $i \geq 0$, let X_i be the counter value after i events. Let $Y_i = 2^{X_i}$. Both are random variables.

Analysis of Expectation

Induction on n. For $i \geq 0$, let X_i be the counter value after i events. Let $Y_i = 2^{X_i}$. Both are random variables.

Base case: n = 0, 1 easy to check: $X_i, Y_i - 1$ deterministically equal to 0, 1.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 5 Spring 2019 5 / 15

Analysis of Expectation

$$E[Y_n] = E[2^{X_n}] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \Pr[X_n = j]$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \left(\Pr[X_{n-1} = j] \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^j}) + \Pr[X_{n-1} = j - 1] \cdot \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \Pr[X_{n-1} = j]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2 \Pr[X_{n-1} = j - 1] - \Pr[X_{n-1} = j])$$

$$= E[Y_{n-1}] + 1 \quad \text{(by applying induction)}$$

$$= n + 1$$

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 6 Spring 2019 6 / 15

Jensen's Inequality

Definition

A real-valued function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is *convex* if $f((a+b)/2) \le (f(a)+f(b))/2$ for all a,b. Equivalently, $f(\lambda a+(1-\lambda)b) \le \lambda f(a)+(1-\lambda)f(b)$ for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Jensen's Inequality

Definition

A real-valued function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is *convex* if $f((a+b)/2) \le (f(a)+f(b))/2$ for all a,b. Equivalently, $f(\lambda a+(1-\lambda)b) \le \lambda f(a)+(1-\lambda)f(b)$ for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Theorem (Jensen's inequality)

Let Z be random variable with $E[Z] < \infty$. If f is convex then $f(E[Z]) \le E[f(Z)]$.

Implication for counter size

We have $Y_n = 2^{X_n}$. The function $f(z) = 2^z$ is convex. Hence

$$2^{\mathsf{E}[X_n]} \le \mathsf{E}[Y_n] \le n+1$$

which implies

$$\mathsf{E}[X_n] \leq \log(n+1)$$

Hence expected number of bits in counter is $O(\log \log n)$.

Variance calculation

Question: Is the random variable Y_n well behaved even though expectation is right? What is its variance? Is it concentrated around expectation?

Variance calculation

Question: Is the random variable Y_n well behaved even though expectation is right? What is its variance? Is it concentrated around expectation?

Lemma

$$E[Y_n^2] = \frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n + 1$$
 and hence $Var[Y_n] = n(n-1)/2$.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 9 Spring 2019 9 / 15

Variance analysis

Analyze $\mathbf{E}[Y_n^2]$ via induction.

Base cases: n = 0, 1 are easy to verify since Y_n is deterministic.

$$E[Y_n^2] = E[2^{2X_n}] \sum_{j \ge 0} 2^{2j} \cdot \Pr[X_n = j]$$

$$= \sum_{j \ge 0} 2^{2j} \cdot \left(\Pr[X_{n-1} = j] (1 - \frac{1}{2^j}) + \Pr[X_{n-1} = j - 1] \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j \ge 0} 2^{2j} \cdot \Pr[X_{n-1} = j]$$

$$+ \sum_{j \ge 0} \left(-2^j \Pr[X_{n-1} = j - 1] + 42^{j-1} \Pr[X_{n-1} = j - 1] \right)$$

$$= E[Y_{n-1}^2] + 3E[Y_{n-1}]$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} (n-1)^2 + \frac{3}{2} (n-1) + 1 + 3n = \frac{3}{2} n^2 + \frac{3}{2} n + 1.$$

Chandra (UIUC)

Error analysis via Chebyshev inequality

We have $E[Y_n] = n$ and $Var(Y_n) = n(n-1)/2$. Applying Cheybyshev:

$$\Pr[|Y_n - \mathsf{E}[Y_n]| \geq tn] \leq 1/(2t^2).$$

Hence constant factor approximation with constant probability (for instance set t = 1/2).

Error analysis via Chebyshev inequality

We have $E[Y_n] = n$ and $Var(Y_n) = n(n-1)/2$. Applying Cheybyshev:

$$\Pr[|Y_n - \mathsf{E}[Y_n]| \geq tn] \leq 1/(2t^2).$$

Hence constant factor approximation with constant probability (for instance set t = 1/2).

Question: Want estimate to be tighter. For any given $\epsilon > 0$ want estimate to have error at most ϵn with say constant probability or with probability at least $(1 - \delta)$ for a given $\delta > 0$.

- Run *h* parallel copies of algorithm with *independent* randomness
- Let $Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}, \ldots, Y^{(h)}$ be estimators from the h parallel copies
- Output $Z = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^{h} Y^{(i)}$

- Run *h* parallel copies of algorithm with *independent* randomness
- Let $Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}, \ldots, Y^{(h)}$ be estimators from the h parallel copies
- Output $Z = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^{h} Y^{(i)}$

Claim:
$$E[Z_n] = n$$
 and $Var(Z_n) = \frac{1}{h}(n(n-1)/2)$.

- Run *h* parallel copies of algorithm with *independent* randomness
- Let $Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}, \ldots, Y^{(h)}$ be estimators from the h parallel copies
- Output $Z = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^{h} Y^{(i)}$

Claim:
$$E[Z_n] = n$$
 and $Var(Z_n) = \frac{1}{h}(n(n-1)/2)$.

Choose $h = 2/\epsilon^2$. Then applying Cheybyshev

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \ge \epsilon n] \le 1/4.$$

- Run *h* parallel copies of algorithm with *independent* randomness
- Let $Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}, \ldots, Y^{(h)}$ be estimators from the h parallel copies
- Output $Z = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^{h} Y^{(i)}$

Claim:
$$E[Z_n] = n$$
 and $Var(Z_n) = \frac{1}{h}(n(n-1)/2)$.

Choose $h = 2/\epsilon^2$. Then applying Cheybyshev

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \ge \epsilon n] \le 1/4.$$

To run h copies need $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log \log n)$ bits for the counters.

We have:

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \ge \epsilon n] \le 1/4.$$

Want:

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \geq \epsilon n] \leq \delta$$

for some given parameter δ .

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 13 Spring 2019 13 / 15

We have:

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \ge \epsilon n] \le 1/4.$$

Want:

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \geq \epsilon n] \leq \delta$$

for some given parameter δ .

Idea: Repeat independently $c \log(1/\delta)$ times. We know that with probability $(1 - \delta)$ one of the counters will be ϵn close to n. Which one?

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 13 Spring 2019 13 / 15

We have:

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \ge \epsilon n] \le 1/4.$$

Want:

$$\Pr[|Z_n - \mathsf{E}[Z_n]| \geq \epsilon n] \leq \delta$$

for some given parameter δ .

Idea: Repeat independently $c \log(1/\delta)$ times. We know that with probability $(1 - \delta)$ one of the counters will be ϵn close to n. Which one?

Algorithm: Output median of $Z^{(1)}, Z^{(2)}, \ldots, Z^{(\ell)}$.

Let Z' be median of the $\ell = c \log(1/\delta)$ independent estimators.

Lemma

$$\Pr[|Z'-n| \geq \epsilon n] \leq (1-\delta).$$

Let Z' be median of the $\ell = c \log(1/\delta)$ independent estimators.

Lemma

$$\Pr[|Z'-n| \geq \epsilon n] \leq (1-\delta).$$

• Let A_i be event that estimate $Z^{(i)}$ is bad: that is, $|Z^{(i)} - n| > \epsilon n|$. $\Pr[A_i] < 1/4$. Hence expected number of bad estimates is $\ell/4$.

Let Z' be median of the $\ell = c \log(1/\delta)$ independent estimators.

Lemma

$$\Pr[|Z'-n| \geq \epsilon n] \leq (1-\delta).$$

- Let A_i be event that estimate $Z^{(i)}$ is bad: that is, $|Z^{(i)} n| > \epsilon n|$. $\Pr[A_i] < 1/4$. Hence expected number of bad estimates is $\ell/4$.
- For median estimate to be bad, more than half of A_i 's have to be bad.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 14 Spring 2019 14 / 15

Let Z' be median of the $\ell = c \log(1/\delta)$ independent estimators.

Lemma

$$\Pr[|Z'-n| \geq \epsilon n] \leq (1-\delta).$$

- Let A_i be event that estimate $Z^{(i)}$ is bad: that is, $|Z^{(i)} n| > \epsilon n|$. $\Pr[A_i] < 1/4$. Hence expected number of bad estimates is $\ell/4$.
- For median estimate to be bad, more than half of A_i 's have to be bad.
- Using Chernoff bounds: probability of bad median is at most $2^{-c'\ell}$ for some constant c'.

Summarizing

Using variance reduction and median trick: with $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log(1/\delta)\log\log n)$ bits one can maintain a $(1-\epsilon)$ -factor estimate of the number of events with probability $(1-\delta)$.

Can do (much) better by changing algorithm and better analysis. See homework and references in notes.

Chandra (UIUC) CS498ABD 15 Spring 2019 15 / 15