#### Computer Networks I

Network Layer Details - 3

Amitangshu Pal
Computer Science and Engineering
IIT Kanpur

### Making routing scalable

our routing study thus far - idealized

- all routers identical
- network "flat"

... not true in practice

#### scale: billions of destinations:

- can't store all destinations in routing tables!
- routing table exchange would swamp links!

#### administrative autonomy:

- Internet: a network of networks
- each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

### Internet approach to scalable routing

aggregate routers into regions known as "autonomous systems" (AS) (a.k.a. "domains")


intra-AS (aka "intra-domain"): routing among within same AS ("network")

- all routers in AS must run same intra-domain protocol
- routers in different AS can run different intra-domain routing protocols
- gateway router: at "edge" of its own AS, has link(s) to router(s) in other AS'es

inter-AS (aka "interdomain"): routing among AS'es

 gateways perform inter-domain routing (as well as intra-domain routing)

#### Interconnected ASes




## Inter-AS routing: a role in intradomain forwarding

- suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
- router should forward packet to gateway router in AS1, but which one?

#### AS1 inter-domain routing must:

- 1. learn which destinations reachable through AS2, which through AS3
- 2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1



#### Intra-AS routing: routing within an AS


#### most common intra-AS routing protocols:

- RIP: Routing Information Protocol [RFC 1723]
  - classic DV: DVs exchanged every 30 secs
  - no longer widely used
- EIGRP: Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol
  - DV based
  - formerly Cisco-proprietary for decades (became open in 2013 [RFC 7868])
- OSPF: Open Shortest Path First [RFC 2328]
  - link-state routing
  - IS-IS protocol (ISO standard, not RFC standard) essentially same as OSPF

#### Routing Information Protocol

### RIP (Routing Information Protocol)


- included in BSD-UNIX distribution in 1982
- distance vector algorithm
  - distance metric: # hops (max = 15 hops), each link has cost 1
  - DVs exchanged with neighbors every 30 sec in response message (aka advertisement)
  - each advertisement: list of up to 25 destination subnets (in IP addressing sense)



#### from router A to destination subnets:

| <u>subnet</u> | <u>hops</u> |
|---------------|-------------|
| u             | 1           |
| V             | 2           |
| W             | 2           |
| X             | 3           |
| У             | 3           |
| Z             | 2           |


RIP: example



routing table in router D

| destination subnet | next router | # hops to dest |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| W                  | Α           | 2              |
| у                  | В           | 2              |
| Z                  | В           | 7              |
| X                  |             | 1              |
| • • • •            | ••••        | ••••           |

### RIP: example



routing table in router D

| destination subnet | next router | # hops to dest |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| W                  | Α           | 2              |
| у                  | В           | 2 5            |
| Z                  | B           | 7              |
| X                  |             | 1              |
|                    |             |                |

#### RIP: link failure, recovery

If no advertisement heard after 180 sec --> neighbor/link declared dead

- routes via neighbor invalidated
- new advertisements sent to neighbors
- neighbors in turn send out new advertisements (if tables changed)
- link failure info quickly (?) propagates to entire net
- poison reverse used to prevent ping-pong loops (infinite distance = 16 hops)


# OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) routing

#### OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) routing

- "open": publicly available
- classic link-state
  - each router floods OSPF link-state advertisements (directly over IP rather than using TCP/UDP) to all other routers in entire AS
  - multiple link costs metrics possible: bandwidth, delay
  - each router has full topology, uses Dijkstra's algorithm to compute forwarding table
  - security: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)

#### Hierarchical OSPF

- two-level hierarchy: local area, backbone.
  - link-state advertisements flooded only in area, or backbone
  - each node has detailed area topology; only knows direction to reach other destinations



### THANK YOU

QUESTIONS???