

Strategy 4: There's a notion weaker than regularity — ergodic.

Defn: A Markov chain is ergodic if $\forall i, j \in [n]$
 $\exists m, (M^m)_{ij} > 0$.

▷ A regular Markov chain is ergodic.

- Converse fails!

$$\text{- Eg. } M := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I \Rightarrow M^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M$$

▷ M is not regular, but is ergodic. $\Rightarrow \dots$

- Qn: Could we turn ergodic into regular?

Lemma: Let $\underline{M}' := \frac{1}{2} \cdot I + \frac{1}{2} \cdot M$. If M is ergodic then M' is regular.

Pf: $\bullet (M'^{m+1})_{ij} = (M' \cdot M^m)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n M'_{ik} \cdot (M^m)_{kj}$

> 0 , if $M'_{ii} \cdot (M^m)_{jj} > 0$.

\Rightarrow If, for $i, j \in [n]$: $(\underline{M'}^m)_{ij}$ has (i, j) -th entry > 0 ,

then: for $\underline{m} := \max_{i,j} m_{ij}$ & $\forall i, j \in [n]$,
 $(M^m)_{ij} > 0$ simultaneously!

$\Rightarrow M'$ is regular.

□

- So, if Internet graph G is connected, then M is ergodic $\Rightarrow M'$ is regular.

[G disconnected $\Rightarrow M$ is not ergodic.]

▷ For a connected Internet G the web-surfer either: stays at the current page (with $P=\frac{1}{2}$) or: moves to a linked page (").

- This explains $M' = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}M$ & gives a page-ranking!

- Let's see a harder eg. of Markov Chain:

Cell Genetics (paper in 1958)

- Cell of a certain organism contains N particles of two types - A & B, (genes)
- The cell reproduces by first doubling the particles & then forming a child cell with random N particles.

Qn! What's the gene pool after a long time?

- Define $\underline{P_{jk}} := P(\text{parent has } \underbrace{j \text{ A-types}}_{\xrightarrow{\text{E}_j} \text{ child has } k \text{ A-types}} \wedge \text{child has } \underbrace{k \text{ A-types}}_{\xrightarrow{\text{E}_k}})$
- States: E_0, E_1, \dots, E_N .

$\triangleright P_{jk} = \binom{2j}{k} \cdot \binom{2N-2j}{N-k} / \binom{2N}{N}$. ↳ Hypergeometric distribution

• Transition Matrix $\underline{M} := (P_{jk})_{j,k \in \{0 \dots N\}}$
 is $(N+1) \times (N+1)$. [is a stochastic matrix.]

\triangleright This makes reproduction a homog. Markov process.

- Defn: $M^n = (P_{jk}^{(n)})_{j,k \in \{0..N\}}$, for $n \geq 1$.

▷ The process is not ergodic (not regular).

[e.g. $P_{01}^{(n)} = 0$, $\forall n \geq 1$.]

↳ Stationary distribution may not exist!

▷ A parent in E_j is expected to give birth to a child in the same state.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Pf: } & E[\text{child's state}] = \sum_k P_{jk} \cdot k = \sum_k \frac{\binom{2j}{k} \cdot \binom{2N-2j}{N-k}}{\binom{2N}{N}} \cdot k \\
 & = \frac{z_j}{\binom{2N}{N}} \cdot \sum_k \binom{2j+1}{k+1} \cdot \binom{2N-2j}{N-k} = \frac{z_j \cdot \binom{2N-1}{N-1}}{\binom{2N}{N}} = j.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Defn: Such a Markov chain is called Martingale.

▷ $\sum_k p_{jk} \cdot k = j \Rightarrow \forall n \geq 1, \sum_k p_{jk}^{(n)} \cdot k = j.$

Pf: $\sum_k p_{jk}^{(n)} \cdot k =$
[Exp. state in the descendants
is $j!$]

$$j\text{-th-entry in } M^n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{pmatrix} = M^{n-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{pmatrix} = \dots = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$

\Rightarrow j -th-entry in $M^n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ is $j.$

□

▷ $p_{00} = p_{NN} = 1,$ Pf: by defn. □
while other $p_{jk} < 1.$

- E_0 & E_N are absorbing states.
- $\forall k \neq 0, N$: E_k could move to another state; it's not absorbing.

▷ One can decompose the chain M into:

- disjoint stationary distributions, each over a subset of E_1, \dots, E_{N-1} .
- transient states k s.t. \star [closed sets]
 $\forall j, p_{jk}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Qn: What's the prob. of reaching one of these?

- Let's assume that E_1, \dots, E_{N-1} are transient.

i.e. $\overset{(n)}{p}_{jk} \rightarrow 0, k \in [N]$.

▷ Then, $\sum_{k>0}^{(n)} p_{jk} \cdot k = j \Rightarrow \overset{(n)}{p}_{jN} \cdot N \rightarrow j$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$)
 $\Rightarrow \overset{(n)}{p}_{j0} \rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{j}{N}\right)$.

$\Rightarrow \triangleright \begin{cases} j > N/2 \Rightarrow \text{Process } \underline{\text{tends}} \text{ to } E_N \\ j < N/2 \Rightarrow \quad " \quad " \quad \rightarrow E_0 \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow \triangleright$ The dominating gene ultimately wins!