

**Lecture Notes 13: Deterministic Pushdown Automata**

Raghunath Tewari

IIT Kanpur

**1 Deterministic Pushdown Automata**

**Definition 1.1.** A *deterministic pushdown automaton* (in short DPDA),  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is a PDA where the transition function  $\delta$  is defined as

$$\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \longrightarrow (Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon) \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

and for all  $q \in Q$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $X \in \Gamma$ , exactly one of the following

$$\delta(q, a, X), \quad \delta(q, \epsilon, X), \quad \delta(q, a, \epsilon), \quad \delta(q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$$

is not the empty set.

The class of languages accepted by DPDAs are known as *deterministic context-free languages* (or in short, DCFLs).

**Exercise 1.** Construct a DPDA for the language  $L_1 = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

- Not all context-free languages have a DPDA. An example is the language PALINDROMES, consisting of all strings that are palindromes. Intuitively this is because the automaton inherently *requires* the use of non-determinism to guess the middle position of a string. The proof to show that PALINDROMES does not have a DPDA accepting it is beyond the scope of this course.
- Every DCFL will have an unambiguous grammar. Is the reverse true?
- Most programming languages can be described using DPDAs.

**Exercise 2.** Show that there is a CFL that is not a DCFL and has an unambiguous grammar.

**2 Closure Properties of DCFLs**

- Closed under complement
- Not closed under union.
- Not closed under intersection.