Computer Networks I

Application Layer

Amitangshu Pal
Computer Science and Engineering
IIT Kanpur

Creating a network app

write programs that:

- run on (different) *end systems*
- communicate over network
- e.g., web server software communicates with browser software

no need to write software for network-core devices

- network-core devices do not run user applications
- applications on end systems allows for rapid app development, propagation



Application architectures

possible structure of applications:

- client-server
- peer-to-peer (P2P)

Client-server architecture



server:

- always-on host
- permanent IP address
- data centers for scaling

clients:

- communicate with server
- may be intermittently connected
- may have dynamic IP addresses
- do not communicate directly with each other

P2P architecture

- *no* always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers request service from other peers, provide service in return to other peers
  - *self scalability* – new peers bring new service capacity, as well as new service demands
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses
  - complex management



Internet apps: types and requirements

application	data loss	throughput	time sensitive
file transfer	no loss	elastic	no
e-mail	no loss	elastic	no
Web documents	no loss	elastic	no
real-time audio/video	loss-tolerant	audio: 5kbps-1Mbps video:10kbps-5Mbps	yes, 100's msec
stored audio/video	loss-tolerant	same as above	
interactive games	loss-tolerant	few kbps up	yes, few secs
text messaging	no loss	elastic	yes, 100's msec yes and no

Internet apps: application, transport protocols

application	application layer protocol	underlying transport protocol
e-mail	SMTP [RFC 2821]	TCP
remote terminal access	Telnet [RFC 854]	TCP
Web	HTTP [RFC 2616]	TCP
file transfer	FTP [RFC 959]	TCP
streaming multimedia	HTTP (e.g., YouTube), RTP [RFC 1889]	TCP or UDP
Internet telephony	SIP, RTP, proprietary (e.g., Skype)	TCP or UDP

Internet apps: common port numbers

Port Number	Protocol	Application
20	TCP	FTP data
21	TCP	FTP control
22	TCP	SSH
23	TCP	Telnet
25	TCP	SMTP
53	UDP, TCP[1]	DNS
67	UDP	DHCP Server
68	UDP	DHCP Client
69	UDP	TFTP
80	TCP	HTTP (WWW)
110	TCP	POP3
161	UDP	SNMP



Src: https://linuxwheel.com/chapter-5-fundamentals-of-tcp-ip-transport-and-application/

Web and HTTP

Web and HTTP

First, a review…

- web page consists of objects
- object can be HTML file, JPEG image, Java applet, audio file,…
- web page consists of base HTML-file which includes several referenced objects
- each object is addressable by a URL, e.g.,

`www.someschool.edu/someDept/pic.gif`

host name | path name

HTTP overview

HTTP: hypertext transfer protocol

- Web's application layer protocol
- client/server model
  - client: browser that requests, receives, (using HTTP protocol) and "displays" Web objects
  - server: Web server sends (using HTTP protocol) objects in response to requests



HTTP overview

uses TCP:

- client initiates TCP connection (creates socket) to server, port 80
- server accepts TCP connection from client
- HTTP messages (application-layer protocol messages) exchanged between browser (HTTP client) and Web server (HTTP server)
- TCP connection closed

HTTP is "stateless"

- server maintains no information about past client requests

HTTP connections

non-persistent HTTP

- at most one object sent over TCP connection
  - connection then closed
- downloading multiple objects required multiple connections

persistent HTTP

- multiple objects can be sent over single TCP connection between client, server

Non-persistent HTTP

suppose user enters URL:
`www.someSchool.edu/someDepartment/home.index`

(contains text, references to 10 jpeg images)



Non-persistent HTTP (cont.)



4. HTTP server closes TCP connection.

5. HTTP client receives response message containing html file, displays html. Parsing html file, finds 10 referenced jpeg objects

6. Steps 1-5 repeated for each of 10 jpeg objects

Non-persistent HTTP: response time

HTTP response time:

- one RTT to initiate TCP connection
- one RTT for HTTP request and first few bytes of HTTP response to return
- file transmission time
- non-persistent HTTP response time =

  2RTT+ file transmission time



Persistent HTTP

non-persistent HTTP issues:

- requires 2 RTTs per object
- OS overhead for *each* TCP connection
- browsers often open parallel TCP connections to fetch referenced objects

persistent HTTP:

- server leaves connection open after sending response
- subsequent HTTP messages between same client/server sent over open connection
- client sends requests as soon as it encounters a referenced object

User-server state: cookies

many Web sites use cookies

four components:

1) cookie header line of HTTP response message
2) cookie header line in next HTTP request message
3) cookie file kept on user's host, managed by user's browser
4) back-end database at Web site

example:

- An user visits specific e-commerce site for first time
- when initial HTTP requests arrives at site, site creates:
  - unique ID
  - entry in backend database for ID

User-server state: cookies



Cookies (continued)

what cookies can be used for:

- authorization
- shopping carts
- recommendations
- user session state (Web e-mail)

Web Caches

Caching example:

assumptions:

- avg object size: 100K bits
- avg request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
- avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to any origin server: 2 sec
- access link rate: 1.54 Mbps

consequences:

- LAN utilization: 15%
- access link utilization = 99%
- total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay
  = 2 sec + minutes + usecs



Caching example: fatter access link

assumptions:

- avg object size: 100K bits
- avg request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
- avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to any origin server: 2 sec
- access link rate: ~~1.54 Mbps~~ → 154 Mbps

consequences:

- LAN utilization: 15%
- access link utilization = ~~99%~~ → 9.9%
- total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay
  = 2 sec + ~~minutes~~ + usecs → msecs



Cost: increased access link speed (not cheap!)

Internet content popularity



Src: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299518874_Fast-Start_Video_Delivery_in_Future_Internet_Architectures_with_Intra-domain_Caching/figures?lo=1

Caching example: install local cache

Calculating access link utilization, delay with cache:

- suppose cache hit rate is 0.4
  - 40% requests satisfied at cache, 60% requests satisfied at origin



- access link utilization:
  - 60% of requests use access link
- data rate to browsers over access link
  = 0.6*1.50 Mbps = .9 Mbps
  - utilization = 0.9/1.54 = .58

- total delay
  - = 0.6 * (delay from origin servers) +0.4 * (delay when satisfied at cache)
  - = 0.6 (2.01) + 0.4 (~msecs) = ~ 1.2 secs
  - less than with 154 Mbps link (and cheaper too!)

Web caches (proxy server)

goal: **satisfy client request without involving origin server**

why Web caching?

- reduce response time for client request
- reduce traffic on an institution's access link
- Internet dense with caches: enables "poor" content providers to effectively deliver content



Conditional GET

- Goal: don't send object if cache has up-to-date cached version
  - no object transmission delay
  - lower link utilization
- cache: specify date of cached copy in HTTP request

  `If-modified-since: <date>`
- server: response contains no object if cached copy is up-to-date:

  `HTTP/1.0 304 Not Modified`



Electronic Mail

Electronic mail

- SMTP protocol between mail servers to send email messages
  - client: sending mail server
  - "server": receiving mail server



Mail access protocols



- SMTP: delivery/storage to receiver's server
- mail access protocol: retrieval from server
  - POP: Post Office Protocol [RFC 1939]: authorization, download
  - IMAP: Internet Mail Access Protocol [RFC 1730]: more features, including manipulation of stored messages on server
  - HTTP: gmail, Hotmail, Yahoo! Mail, etc.

DNS

DNS: domain name system

Internet hosts, routers:

- IP address (32 bit) - used for addressing datagrams
- "name", e.g., www.yahoo.com - used by humans

DNS services

- hostname to IP address translation

Domain Name System:

- distributed database implemented in hierarchy of many name servers
- application-layer protocol: hosts, name servers communicate to resolve names (address/name translation)

DNS: a distributed, hierarchical database



client wants IP for www.amazon.com:

- client queries root server to find com DNS server
- client queries .com DNS server to get amazon.com DNS server
- client queries amazon.com DNS server to get IP address for www.amazon.com

DNS: root name servers

- contacted by local name server that can not resolve name
- root name server:
  - contacts authoritative name server if name mapping not known
  - gets mapping
  - returns mapping to local name server



13 logical root name "servers" worldwide

- each "server" replicated many times

TLD, authoritative servers

top-level domain (TLD) servers:

- responsible for com, org, net, edu, aero, jobs, museums, and all top-level country domains, e.g.: uk, fr, ca, jp
- Network Solutions maintains servers for .com TLD
- Educause for .edu TLD

authoritative DNS servers:

- organization's own DNS server(s), providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's named hosts
- can be maintained by organization or service provider

Local DNS name server

- does not strictly belong to hierarchy
- each ISP (residential ISP, company, university) has one
  - also called “default name server”
- when host makes DNS query, query is sent to its local DNS server
  - has local cache of recent name-to-address translation pairs (but may be out of date!)
  - acts as proxy, forwards query into hierarchy

DNS name resolution example

- host at cis.poly.edu wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

iterated query:

- contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- "I don't know this name, but ask this server"



DNS name resolution example

recursive query:

- puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server
- heavy load at upper levels of hierarchy?



DNS: caching, updating records

- once (any) name server learns mapping, it caches mapping
  - cache entries timeout (disappear) after some time (TTL)
  - TLD servers typically cached in local name servers
    - thus root name servers not often visited

THANK YOU

QUESTIONS???