

Undecidable Problems (Undecidability)

$\{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing Machine and } w \in L(M) \}$

Let's suppose Alphabet Σ (Finite)

$\Sigma^* \rightarrow$ countable/infinite

No. of languages over $\Sigma^* = 2^{\Sigma^*}$ [Uncountable]

Language that are not recognized by Turing machine

Proof \rightarrow Show that the possible TMs are countable.

We can encode it like DFA

Taking $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$

Let's suppose we map the 2^{Σ^*} \rightarrow Suppose ~~language~~ mapping

$a^0 a^1 a^2 a^3 \dots \in \{0,1\}^* \in L(M_i)$

M_0

M_1

M_2

It will not be in the list as TM

are countable

But there will be a language of form

So this new language will not be recognized by any TM.

Halting Problem

Given a Turing Machine and a string x , show that whether M halts on x or not before hand?

Proof

\rightarrow Suppose you've a TM that takes M and x as i/p
Simulate M on x

\rightarrow If M halts and accepts \Rightarrow accepts/reject $x \rightarrow$ halt accept/reject

as it loops on $x \rightarrow$ Outer machine also loops

$\Sigma = \{0,1\}$, tape alphabet, i/p alphabet

Let M_x with x be a Turing Machine with encoding x

		0	00	01	10	11
M_0	H	L	L	H	L	L
M_1	L	L	L	L	L	L
M_2						
M_3						

Each Turing Machine will have some behavior for each string

new TM S (Take input M and x)
If the problem was decidable then it would have determine the (k, y) element of the matrix

$k \rightarrow M_x \# x$

If $(k, y) = H$ [Original machine accepts]

" " = L " " rejects

Build a new TM N such that takes x as i/p

\rightarrow Use x to create description M_x

\rightarrow Put $M_x \# x$ on i/p tape

\rightarrow Run/Simulate K on $M_x \# x$

N goes in a loop \Leftarrow if K accepts [Make N like this]
 N halts on $x \Leftarrow$ " " rejects

This will actually result in a Turing machine (N has) which has a behaviour different from all those present in a list. This will be a new element in the list.

classmate

Date _____

Page _____

HP $\xrightarrow{\text{oxidation}}$ MP

$$\bullet (\text{accept}, \text{rejects})_M \rightarrow (\text{accept})_{M_1}$$

Run R on $\langle M, w \rangle$

Now If R accepts $\rightarrow M(\text{accepts, rejects})$, meaning \odot halts
 " " " rejects/loop $\rightarrow M(\text{loops})$, meaning \odot loops

Now this means that halting problem is ~~undecidable~~ which is not possible.

classmate
Date _____
Page _____

- Empiricism Problem

Assume this is decidable

$$\langle M, w \rangle \rightarrow M,$$

Create M , that on input x

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \emptyset & \text{if } M \text{ rejects } w \\ f(w) & \text{if } M \text{ accepts } w \end{array} \right\}$$

Regular_{TM} = { <M> | M is a TM and L(M) is regular }

Reduce MP ≤ Regular_{TM}

<M, w> → M₁

1. Create M₂ that on input x
 - If x is of the form 0ⁿ1ⁿ, accepts
 - Otherwise, Run M₁ on x, accept x if M₁ accepts

$$L(M_2) = \begin{cases} \{0,1\}^* & \text{if } M \text{ accepts } w \\ 0^n 1^n & \text{if } M \text{ rejects } w \end{cases}$$

$$EQ_{TM} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$$

Run R on <M, M> L(M) = ∅

if R accepts → L(M) = ∅ → S accepts
 " " rejects → L(M) ≠ ∅ → S rejects