

Student's Details {(Format "<Net\_Id>: ("<LastName\_FirstName>", "<SBU ID>")}

1) "ADISINGH": ("SINGH\_ADITI", "110285096")

2) "AROONWAL": ("ROONWAL\_ANSHUL", "110554783")

-----

## 1. Description

-----

Project involves implementation techniques listed below for Adversarial Game- "Connect Four"

1) Minimax search (Question 1)

2) Better Evaluation Function (Question 2)

3) Alphabeta Pruning (Question 3)

### Question 1) Minimax search

-----

Running configuration --> run\_game(new\_player, basic\_player)

It was a Tie, but it improved with random player

Execution Time: 49.1597479178

Nodes Expanded: 45661

It improves with--> run\_game(basic\_player, random\_player)

Execution Time: 6.33342726171

Nodes Expanded: 10465

Changes made in these Methods-->

**Existing** -->

```
1) minimax(board, depth, eval_fn = basic_evaluate,  
            get_next_moves_fn = get_all_next_moves,  
            is_terminal_fn = is_terminal,  
            verbose = True)
```

Description--> This method receives a depth of 4 and uses basic\_evaluate to generate score.  
It calls the minimax\_nega\_value(board, depth) method

**New defined** -->

```
2) minimax_nega_value(board, depth)
```

Description--> This is a new recursive method. With every recursion, it flips the value/score of the current state so as to alternate levels between Max and Min.

Reference--> Wikipedia for negamax algorithm

3) setnodesExpanded(expandedNodesLocalReceived)

Description--> Setter method for setting the number of nodes expanded.

4) getnodesExpanded()

Description--> Getter method for setting the number of nodes expanded.

5) setnodesExpandedToZeroB4NewGame()

Description--> Setter method for setting the number of nodes expanded to zero before the next search begins.

For instance, first megamax and then AlphaBetaPrunning.

For more detailed description of each of these methods, appropriate comments have been provided in the code.

---

## Question 2) Better Evaluation Function

Calculating the score of each player proportionally ie; I am getting the score as the square of the length of the maximum chain and multiplying it with 10 instead of taking just the length. This increases the weight of the longest chain. I am also calculating the normal distribution of the occupied Cell positions so that we have more moves towards the center are preferred.

---

## Question 3) Alpha Beta Prunning

---

Running configuration --> run\_game(alphabeta\_player, basic\_player)

Execution Time: 38.8735285196

Nodes Expanded: 48123

It improves with--> run\_game(alphabeta\_player, random\_player)

Execution Time: 5.1599280755

Nodes Expanded: 31024

Changes made in these Methods-->

**Existing** -->

```
1) alpha_beta_search(board, depth, eval_fn,
                        get_next_moves_fn=get_all_next_moves,
                        is_terminal_fn=is_terminal)
```

**Description**--> This method receives a depth of 4 and uses new\_evaluate to generate score.

It calls the alpha\_beta\_negamax(board, depth, eval\_fn, alpha, beta) method

**New defined** -->

```
2) alpha_beta_negamax(board, depth, eval_fn, alpha, beta)
```

Description--> This is a new recursive method. It prunes the bad nodes by comparing if alpha > beta. With every recursion, it flips the value/score of the current state so as to alternate levels between Max and Min.

**Reference**--> Wikipedia for negamax with alpha beta Prunning algorithm

For more detailed description of each of these methods, appropriate comments have been provided in the code.

-----