

Slide 1 of 24

Посар

Next Steps

### PhD Committee Meeting #4

Dan Szymczak

Computing and Software Department Faculty of Engineering McMaster University

June 28, 2018



#### Slide 2 of 24

Recan

**Progress** 

Next Step

#### Overview

1 Research Recap

2 Current Progress

3 Next Steps.



Slide 3 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step:

# Research Topic Recap Motivation

Too much duplication!



Slide 4 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

- Too much duplication!
- (Re-)Certification is expensive



Slide 5 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

- Too much duplication!
- (Re-)Certification is expensive
- Inter-/intra-artifact consistency issues



Slide 6 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

- Too much duplication!
- (Re-)Certification is expensive
- Inter-/intra-artifact consistency issues
- Promote reusability



Slide 7 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

- Too much duplication!
- (Re-)Certification is expensive
- Inter-/intra-artifact consistency issues
- Promote reusability
- Design for change



Slide 8 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

#### Research Topic Recap

**KBSE & The Drasil Framework** 

- Too much duplication!
- (Re-)Certification is expensive
- Inter-/intra-artifact consistency issues
- Promote reusability
- Design for change



Slide 9 of 24

Recap

Progres

Next Step

### Research Topic Recap

KBSE & The Drasil Framework

- Single knowledge-base
- (Re-)Certification is expensive
- Inter-/intra-artifact consistency issues
- Promote reusability
- Design for change



Slide 10 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Steps

### Research Topic Recap KBSE & The Drasil Framework

- Single knowledge-base
- Generate artifacts
- Inter-/intra-artifact consistency issues
- Promote reusability
- Design for change



Slide 11 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Steps

### Research Topic Recap KBSE & The Drasil Framework

- Single knowledge-base
- Generate artifacts
- Guaranteed consistency
- Promote reusability
- Design for change



Slide 12 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

### Research Topic Recap KBSE & The Drasil Framework

- Single knowledge-base
- Generate artifacts
- Guaranteed consistency
- Reusable across projects
- Design for change



Slide 13 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

### Research Topic Recap

KBSE & The Drasil Framework

- Single knowledge-base
- Generate artifacts
- Guaranteed consistency
- Reusable across projects
- Easy to mix and match



Slide 14 of 24

#### Olido 14 Ol E

Recap


Progress

Next Step

### Research Topic Recap

**KBSE & The Drasil Framework** 

#### Drasil - Towards generating Software Families





Recap

#### Research Topic Recap **KBSE & The Drasil Framework**

#### Drasil - Towards generating Software Families

- One "source", multiple views
- Full traceability
- Consistent-by-construction artifacts



Slide 16 of 24

Recap

Progress

Next Step

### Research Topic Recap KBSE & The Drasil Framework

Drasil composed of many Domain-Specific Languages (DSLs) including, but not limited to:

- Knowledge Capture
- Recipes (Document generation)
- Code Generation



Slide 17 of 24

Pocan

**Progress** 

Next Step

### Current Program Progress A brief overview

- Completed all necessary graduate courses & comprehensive examinations
- Currently Writing:
  - Journal paper for ACM TOSEM
  - Thesis



Slide 18 of 24

Recap

**Progress** 

Mext Steb

### Current Program Progress A brief overview continued

Research Project: Drasil proof-of-concept "complete"

- Scoped-down due to nature of project
- · Generating SRS for six case studies & code for one
- Still improving with the help of summer students



Slide 19 of 24

Recap

**Progress** 

Next Step

#### Since Last Time General

#### Summer 2017: Supervised 5 research students

- Cleaned up case studies
- Helped improve Drasil

Submitted a paper for SE-CoDeSE'17: Rejected Began work on a paper for FASE 2018 – Scrapped Met with OPG in January: Positive feedback Currently co-supervising 3 summer research students Writing



Slide 20 of 24

Recap

**Progress** 

Next Step

# Since Last Time Drasil-Specific

#### Total of 372 issues closed on the Drasil github

- Haddock
- Chunk and referencing databases
- Improved the Drasil class hierarchy
- Finished creating Document Language (Cont'd)
- Continuous Integration & automated tests
- General source clean-up and refactoring

Currently  $\sim$ 130 open issues guiding development



#### Slide 21 of 24

Recap

**Progress** 

Next Step:

### Document Language

Old

```
glassBR_srs :: Document
 2 glassBR_srs = Document ((srs ^. defn) +:+ S "for" +:+ (gLassBR ^. defn))
         srs authors
     [s1.s2.s3.s4.s5.s6.s7.s8.s9.s10.s11]
 3
   s1 = Section(S "Reference Material") [Con s1_intro, Sub s1_1, Sub s1_2,
     Sub s1_31
   s1_intro = Paragraph (S "This section records information for easy reference.")
   s1_1 = table_of_units this_si
11
   s1_2 = table_of_symbols ((map gs glassBRSymbols) ++
     (map gs glassBRUnitless)) (^.term)
13
14
15 s1_3 = table_of_abb_and_acronyms acronyms
16
   s2 = Section(S "Introduction") [Con s2_intro, Sub s2_1, Sub s2_2, Sub s2_3]
18
  s2_intro = Paragraph $
     S "Software is helpful to efficiently and correctly predict the blast" +:+
20
21
     S "risk involved with the" +:+. (sMap (map toLower) (glaSlab ^. term)) +:+
22
     S "The" +:+ (sMap (map toLower) (blast ^. term)) +:+ S "under" +:+
23
     S "consideration is" +:+. (sMap (map toLower) (blast ^. defn)) +:+
     S "The software, herein called" +:+ (qLassBR ^. defn) +:+ S "aims to" +:+
24
25
     S "predict the blast risk involved with the" +:+
26
     (sMap (map toLower) (glaSlab ^, term)) +:+ S "using an intuitive" +:+
27
     S "interface. The following section provides an overview of the" +:+
     (srs ^. defn) +:+ sParen (srs ^. term) +:+ S "for" +:+. (gLassBR ^. defn) +:+
28
29
     S "This section explains the purpose of the" +:+
30
     S "document is designed to fulfil, the scope of the requirements and" +:+
```



#### Slide 22 of 24

Progress

### Document Language

New

```
glassBR_srs :: Document
2 glassBR_srs = mkDoc mkSRS (for '' titleize phrase) glassSystInfo
  mkSBS · · · DocDesc
  mkSRS = RefSec (RefProg intro [TUnits, tsymb [TSPurpose, SymbOrder], TAandA])
    IntroSec (
     IntroProg (startIntro software blstRskInvWGlassSlab gLassBR) (short gLassBR)
8
      [IPurpose (purpose_intro_p1 document gLassBR glaSlab),
9
      IScope incScoR endScoR.
10
      IChar (rdrKnldgbleIn glBreakage blastRisk) undIR appStanddIR.
      IOrgSec intendedReaderIntro_end1):
11
12
    StkhldrSec (StkhldrProg2 [Client gLassBR (S "a" +:+ phrase company +:+
13
        S "named Entuitive. It is developed by Dr." +:+ (S $ name mCampidelli)),
14
      Cstmr gLassBR1) :
15
    GSDSec (GSDProg2
16
      [UsrChars [user_chars_bullets endUser qLassBR secondYear undergradDegree
17
       civilEng structuralEng glBreakage blastRisk], SystCons [] []]) :
    ScpOfProjSec (ScpOfProjProg (short gLassBR) (prod_use_case_table)
18
19
              (indiv_prod_use_case (glaSlab) (capacity) (demandq) (probability)))
20
    SSDSec (SSDProg [SSDProblem (
      PDProg start gLassBR ending [terms_defs, phys_sys_desc, goals])],
21
22
      [ SSDSolChSpec (
23
         SCSProa
24
          [ Assumptions
25
          . TMs ([Label] ++ stdFields) [t1]sSafe]
26
          . GDs [] [] HideDerivation — No Gen Defs for GlassBR
27
          , DDs ([Label, Symbol, Units] ++ stdFields) dataDefns ShowDerivation
28
          , IMs ([Label, Input, Output, InConstraints, OutConstraints] ++
29
                  stdFields) [probOfBreak, testIMFromQD1 HideDerivation
30
```



Slide 23 of 24

Recap

Progres

Next Steps

# Next Steps Broad Strokes

#### What next?

- Finish writing paper for ACM TOSEM
- Complete Thesis writing
- Continue improving Drasil



#### Slide 24 of 24

Recap

Progress

**Next Steps** 

### Thank You!