Complete TRS Specification for Abstract Collection Types

Anthony Hunt

 $July\ 10,\ 2025$

Contents

High Level Strategy				
Supported Operations				
Ru	ıles			
4.1	Builtin Functions			
4.2	Sets			
	4.2.1 Phase 1: Set Comprehension Construction			
	4.2.2 Phase 2: Disjunctive Normal Form			
	4.2.3 Phase 3.1: Predicate Simplification 1			
	4.2.4 Phase 3.2: Generator Selection			
	4.2.5 Phase 3.3: Predicate Simplification 2			
	4.2.6 Phase 4: Set Code Generation			
4.3	Relations			
4.4	Bags			

1 Introduction

This document serves as a living specification of the underlying term rewriting system used in the compiler for a modelling-focused programming language.

2 High Level Strategy

General Strategy A basic strategy to optimize set and relational expressions is:

- 1. Normalize the expression as a set comprehensions
- 2. Simplify and reorganize conjuncts of the set comprehension body

Intuition The TRS for this language primarily involves lowering collection data type expressions into pointwise boolean quantifications. Breaking down each operation into set builder notation enables a few key actions:

- Quantifications over sets ($\{x \cdot G \mid P\}$) are naturally separated into generators (G) and (non-generating) predicates (P). For sets, at least one membership operator per top-level conjunction in G will serve as a concrete element generator in generated code. Then, top level disjunctions will select one membership operation to act as a generator, relegating all others to the predicate level. For example, if the rewrite system observes an intersection of the form $\{x \cdot x \in S \land x \in T\}$, the set construction operation must iterate over at least one of S and T. Then, the other will act as a condition to check every iteration (becoming $\{x \cdot x \in S \mid x \in T\}$).
- By definition of generators in quantification notation, operations in G must be statements of the form $x \in S$, where x is used in the "element" portion of the set construction. Statements like $x \notin T$ or checking a property p(x) must act like conditions since they do not produce any iterable elements.
- Any boolean expression for conditions may be rewritten as a combination of ¬, ∨, and ∧ expressions. Therefore, by converting all set notation down into boolean notation and then generating code based on set constructor booleans, we can accommodate any form of predicate function.

Granular Strategy (Sets)

Phase 1: Set Comprehension Construction Break down all qualifying sets into comprehension forms, collapsing and simplifying where needed.

Phase 2: DNF Predicates Revise comprehension predicates to top-level disjunctive normal form. Each or-clause should have at least one feasible generator. Each clause should record a list of candidate generators

Phase 3: Predicate Simplification Remove superfluous dummy variables, group or-clauses that use the exact same generator (ex. $\{x \cdot x \in S \land x \neq 0 \lor x \in S \land x = 0\} \rightarrow \{x \cdot x \in S \land (x \neq 0 \lor x = 0)\}$). Clauses should be group-able based on DNF, and generators should be selected and recorded.

Phase 4: Set Code Generation Converts quantifiers into for-loops and ifstatements.

3 Supported Operations

Table 1: Summary table: a few operators on sets and relations.

	Sets	Relations	
Syntax	${f Label/Description}$	Syntax	${ m Label/Description}$
set(T)	Unordered, unique collection	$S \rightarrow T$	Partial function
$S \leftrightarrow T$	Relation, $set(S \times T)$	$S \rightarrowtail T$	Total injection
Ø	Empty set	$a \mapsto b$	Pair (relational element)
$\{a,b,\ldots\}$	Set enumeration	dom(S)	Domain
$ \mid \{ x \cdot x \in S \mid P \} $	Set comprehension	ran(S)	Range
$S \cup T$	Union	R[S]	Relational image
$S \cap T$	${\rm Intersection}$	$R \Leftrightarrow Q$	Relational overriding
$S \setminus T$	Difference	$R \circ Q$	Relational composition
$S \times T$	Cartesian Product	$S \triangleleft R$	Domain restriction
$S \subseteq T$	${f Subset}$	R^{-1}	Relational inverse

Table 2: Collection of operators on set data types.

Name	Definition
Empty Set	Creates a set with no elements.
Set Enumeration	Literal collection of elements to create a set.
Set Membership	The term $x \in S$ is True if x can be found somewhere in S .
Union	$S \cup T = \{ x \cdot x \in S \lor x \in T \}$
Intersection	$S \cap T = \{ x \cdot x \in S \land x \in T \}$
Difference	$S \setminus T = \{ x \cdot x \in S \mid x \notin T \}$
Cartesian Product	$S \times T = \{ x \mapsto y \cdot x \in S \land y \in T \}$
Powerset	$\mathbb{P}(S) = \{ s \cdot s \subseteq S \}$
Magnitude	$\#S = \sum_{x \in S} 1$
Subset	$S \subseteq T \equiv \forall x \in S : s \in T$
Strict Subset	$S \subset T \equiv S \subseteq T \land S \neq T$
Superset	$S \supseteq T \equiv \forall x \in T : s \in S$
Strict Superset	$S\supset T\equiv S\supseteq T\wedge S eq T$
Set Mapping	$f * S = \{ f(x) \cdot x \in S \}$
Set Filter	$p \triangleleft S = \{ x \cdot x \in S \mid p(x) \}$
Set Quantification (Folding)	$\oplus x \cdot x \in S \mid P$
Cardinality	$card(S) = \sum 1 \cdot x \in S$

Table 3: Collection of operators on bag/multiset data types.

Name	Definition
Empty Set	Creates a set with no elements.
Bag Enumeration	Literal collection of elements to create a set
	(for now, stored as a tuple of elements and number of occurrences).
Bag Membership	The term $x \in S$ is True if S contains one or more occurrences of x.
Union	$S \cup T = \{ (x, a + b) \cdot (x, a) \in S \land (x, b) \in T \mid a, b \ge 0 \}$
Intersection	$S \cap T = \{ (x, min(a, b)) \cdot (x, a) \in S \land (x, b) \in T \mid a, b \ge 0 \}$
Difference	$S - T = \{ (x, a - b) \cdot (x, a) \in S \land (x, b) \in T \mid a, b \ge 0 \land a - b > 0 \}$
Bag Mapping	$f * S = \{ (f(x), r) \cdot (x, r) \in S \} $
Bag Filter	$p \triangleleft S = \{ (x, r) \cdot (x, r) \in S \mid p(x) \} $
Size	$size(S) = \sum r \cdot (x, r) \in S$
Zero Occurrences	$(x,0) \in S \implies x \notin S$

Table 4: Collection of operators on sequence data types.

Name	Definition
Empty List	Creates a list with no elements.
List Enumeration	Literal collection of elements to create a list.
Construction	Alternative form of List Enumeration.
List Membership	The term x in S is True if x can be found somewhere in S .
Append	$[s_1, s_2,, s_n] + t = [s_1, s_2,, s_n, t]$
Concatenate	$[s_1,,s_n] + [t_1,,t_n] = [s_1,,s_n,t_1,t_n]$
Length	$\#S = \sum 1 \cdot x$ in S
List Mapping	$f * S = [f(x) \cdot x \text{ in } S]$
List Filter	$p \triangleleft S = [f(x) \cdot x \text{ in } S \mid p(x)]$
Associative Reduction	$\oplus/[s_1,s_2,,s_n] = s_1 \oplus s_2 \oplus \oplus s_n$
Right Fold	$\mathtt{foldr}(f, e, [s_1, s_2,, s_n]) = f(s_1, f(s_2, f(, f(s_n, e))))$
Left Fold	$\mathtt{foldl}(f, e, [s_1, s_2,, s_n]) = f(f(f(f(e, s_1), s_2),), s_n)$

Table 5: Collection of operators on relation data types.

Name	Definition
Empty Relation	Creates a relation with no elements.
Relation Enumeration	Literal collection of elements to create a relation.
Identity	$id(S) = \{ x \mapsto x \cdot x \in S \}$
Domain	$dom(R) = \{ x \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \}$
Range	$ran(R) = \{ y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \}$
Relational Image	$R[S] = \{ y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \mid x \in S \}$
Overriding	$R \Leftrightarrow Q = Q \cup (dom(Q) \triangleleft R)$
(Forward) Composition	$Q \circ R = \{ x \mapsto z \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \land y \mapsto z \in Q \}$
Inverse	$R^{-1} = \{ y \mapsto x \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \}$
Domain Restriction	$S \triangleleft R = \{ x \mapsto y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \mid x \in S \}$
Domain Subtraction	$S \triangleleft R = \{ x \mapsto y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \mid x \notin S \}$
Range Restriction	$R \triangleright S = \{ x \mapsto y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \mid y \in S \}$
Range Subtraction	$R \triangleright S = \{ x \mapsto y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \mid y \notin S \}$

4 Rules

Below is a list of rewrite rules for key abstract data types and some builtin functions.

4.1 Builtin Functions

$$\begin{array}{l} card(S) \leadsto \sum x \cdot x \in S \mid 1 \\ dom(R) \leadsto \{ \, x \mapsto y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \mid x \, \} \\ ran(R) \leadsto \{ \, x \mapsto y \cdot x \mapsto y \in R \mid y \, \} \end{array} \tag{Cardinality} \tag{Cardinality}$$

4.2 Sets

Let S, T be sets, P, E expressions, and x, e any type.

4.2.1 Phase 1: Set Comprehension Construction

$$S \cup T \leadsto \{x \cdot x \in S \lor x \in T\} \qquad \text{(Predicate Operations - Union)}$$

$$S \cap T \leadsto \{x \cdot x \in S \land x \in T\} \qquad \text{(Predicate Operations - Intersection)}$$

$$S \setminus T \leadsto \{x \cdot x \in S \land x \notin T\} \qquad \text{(Predicate Operations - Difference)}$$

$$x \in \{e\} \leadsto x = e \qquad \qquad \text{(Singleton Membership a)}$$

$$x \in \oplus(E \mid P) \leadsto P \land x = E \qquad \text{(Membership Collapse b)}$$

4.2.2 Phase 2: Disjunctive Normal Form

4.2.3 Phase 3.1: Predicate Simplification 1

$$\{x, y \cdot P \wedge Q \mid E\} \leadsto \{x \cdot P \mid \{y \cdot Q \mid E\}\}$$
 (Nesting ^a)
$$\{x \cdot \bigwedge P_i \mid E\} \leadsto \{x \cdot \bigvee \bigwedge P_i \mid E\}$$
 (Or-wrapping ^b)
(1)

 $^{^{}a}$ Currently unused. We need to be careful to handle the case where x is a free variable.

^bRule only matches inside the predicate of a quantifier. Explicitly enumerating all matches for all quantuantification types and predicate cases (ANDs, ORs, etc.) would require too much boilerplate. x must be bound by the encasing quantifier.

 $^{^{}a}y$ cannot occur in P

^bTo simplify the matching process later on, we wrap every top-level AND statement (which is guaranteed to be a ListOp by the dataclass field type definition) with an OR.

4.2.4 Phase 3.2: Generator Selection

$$\bigwedge P_i \leadsto P_g \land \bigwedge_{P_i \neq P_g} P_i \qquad \text{(Generator Selection }^a)$$

$$P_g \land \bigwedge P_i \leadsto P_g \land \bigwedge_{P_e \neq P_g} P_i \land \bigwedge_{P_i \neq P_g \land P_i \notin \bigcup P_{eq}} P_i$$
(Equality Separation b)
$$P_g \land \bigwedge P_{eq} \land \bigwedge P_i \leadsto P_g \land \bigwedge_{P_i} P_{eq}.identifier := P_{eq}.e]$$
(Equality Substitution c)
(2)

4.2.5 Phase 3.3: Predicate Simplification 2

$$(P_g \wedge \bigwedge P_i) \vee (P_g \wedge \bigwedge Q_i) \leadsto P_g \wedge (\bigwedge P_i \vee \bigwedge Q_i)$$
(Reduce duplicate generators ^a)
(3)

4.2.6 Phase 4: Set Code Generation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & a := identity(\oplus) \\ \oplus E \mid P \leadsto & \textbf{if } P \textbf{ then} \\ & a := accumulate(a, E) \end{array} \tag{Quantifier Generation a}$$

^aThe LH term must occur inside a quantifier's predicate - one generator per or-clause. P_g is the generator, a single clause distinguished from the rest of $\bigwedge P_i$. P_g must be a set membership operation, of the form $x \in S$.

 $[^]b$ All P_eq equalities must follow that one argument contains a single, free identifier not defined the the current scope/context of this quantifier. These equalities will be converted into substitutions

^cThe LHS of P_{eq} must match the form of Identifier(x) = e

 $[^]a$ The LH term must occur inside a quantifier's predicate. Combines clauses with the same generator. Requires Generator Selection to be run first

 $[^]a\oplus$ works for any quantifier (but not \forall and \exists). The identity and accumulate functions are determined by the realized \oplus . For example, if $\oplus = \sum$, the identity is 0 and accumulate is addition.

$$a := accumulator(a, \oplus(E \mid P))$$
 \longrightarrow $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{if } P \textbf{ then} \\ a := accumulator(a, E) \\ & (Accumulating Quantifier) \end{array}$

4.3 Relations

$$R[S] \rightarrow \{x \mapsto y \in R \cdot x \in S \mid y\} \qquad \text{(Image)}$$

$$x \mapsto y \in S \times T \rightarrow x \in S \wedge y \in T \qquad \text{(Product)}$$

$$x \mapsto y \in R^{-1} \rightarrow y \mapsto x \in R \qquad \text{(Inverse)}$$

$$x \mapsto y \in (Q \circ R) \rightarrow x \mapsto z \in Q \wedge z' \mapsto y \in R \wedge z = z' \qquad \text{(Composition)}$$

$$R \rightleftharpoons Q \rightarrow Q \cup (dom(Q) \rightleftharpoons R) \qquad \text{(Override)}$$

$$dom(R) \rightarrow map(fst, R) \qquad \text{(Domain)}$$

$$ran(R) \rightarrow map(snd, R) \qquad \text{(Range)}$$

$$S \triangleleft R \rightarrow filter(fst \in S, R) \qquad \text{(Domain Restriction)}$$

$$S \triangleleft R \rightarrow filter(fst \notin S, R) \qquad \text{(Domain Subtraction)}$$

$$R \triangleright S \rightarrow filter(snd \in S, R) \qquad \text{(Range Restriction)}$$

$$R \triangleright S \rightarrow filter(snd \notin S, R) \qquad \text{(Range Subtraction)}$$

4.4 Bags

Since bags can be interpreted as a set of tuples (*element*, repetitions), all set operations apply, except for the overriding operations below.

$$S \cup T \rightarrow \{ (x,r) \cdot x \in set(S) \cup set(T) \mid r = max(\#(x,S),\#(x,T)) \}$$
 (Union)
$$S \cap T \rightarrow \{ (x,min(a,b)) \cdot (x,a) \in S \wedge (x,b) \in T \mid a,b \geq 0 \}$$
 (Intersection)
$$S + T \rightarrow \{ (x,r) \cdot x \in set(S) \cup set(T) \mid r = \#(x,S) + \#(x,T) \}$$
 (Sum)
$$S - T \rightarrow \{ (x,r) \cdot (x,a) \in S \mid r = a - \#(x,T) \wedge r > 0 \}$$
 (Difference)
$$size(S) \rightarrow \sum (x,r) \in S \cdot r$$
 (Size)

^aFunction free returns clauses in P that contain only free + defined variables. generator is a single clause representing the selected generator of P (of form $x \in S$ where x will be bound by this loop condition). bound returns the clauses that contain the bound variable x.

Additional notes and extended context:

The # Operator Defined as the number of occurrences of an element in a bag. If bags are represented by a relation, this corresponds to a direct lookup #(x,S) = S[x].

Intersection, Difference Since the intersection and difference operators are always decreasing (ex. $S \cap T \subseteq S \wedge S \cap T \subseteq T$ and $S - T \subseteq S$), we can short-circuit operations that would require looping over both sets instead of just S. But how do we define this short-circuiting behaviour? Intersections can make use of this property for both operands, but difference will always iterate over the first operand.

Difference $a - b > 0 \implies a > 0$.

Sum, Union The cast to set of set(S) can be implemented by taking the domain of the bag-representing relations.

5 Implementation Representation

Different implementations of each data type will have varying strengths and weaknesses, not only in theoretical asymptotic time and space, but in concrete real-world tests. Cache usage and additional information through object metadata may prove influential on smaller tests. Since this document is only concerned with the theoretical compiler specification, we analyze the theoretical time and space complexity, then pair gathered examples with a test plan for hardware considerations.

A first approach to tackling these type representations would likely constitute a linked list. The space requirements for enumeration are straightforward, with extra allocations for link pointers. Insertions for unordered collections or append/concat operations are O(1), but O(n) for indexed insertion and union with one element. Lookups for all collections are O(n), but this running time is undesirable for the often-used in operator for set-generated code. Since linked lists naturally enforce element order, this structure may be suitable for fast-changing sequences. Although, a limited-size sequence may be better suited for a contiguous array for O(1) indexing. TODO: For sequences, we should also see if trees/heaps or bloom filters could provide efficient membership checking. Bloom filters are probabilistic but can determine \neq operations.

On the other hand, hashmaps with O(1) membership and element lookups are useful for all unordered collections. Relations may need bidirectional hashmaps that can efficiently handle many-to-many relations.

Compressed bitmaps may be used for sets, but require a lot of space for sparse elements.

Bags may be implemented either as a (linked) list, a set of tuples where the number of element occurrences is stored in the second tuple component, or a relation where the number of occurrences is the codomain.