

# CSCI 570 - Fall 2019 - HW 7 - Solutions

## Question 1

Suppose you have a DAG with costs  $c_e > 0$  on each edge and a distinguished vertex  $s$ . Give a dynamic programming algorithm to find the most expensive path in the graph that begins at  $s$ . Prove your algorithm's runtime and correctness. For full credit, your algorithm's runtime should be linear.

**Answer 1** Let's consider the vertices in **topological order**. Suppose  $LP(v)$  represents the value of the most expensive path from  $s$  to  $v$ . Base case:

$$\begin{aligned} LP(s) &= 0 \\ LP(v) &= -\infty \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Our recurrence becomes:

$$LP(v) = \max_{u:v \in \text{adj}[u]} LP(u) + c_{(u,v)} \tag{2}$$

We can fill in this table in increasing order of  $v$ , with the above defined base cases to ensure that any that aren't reachable from  $s$  aren't considered to be on the maximum path. We want the largest  $LP(v)$  value; if we track the value of the maximum  $u$ , we can use that to backtrack and find the longest path. Our total running time is  $O(m + n)$ .

## Question 2

Given a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  of  $n$  numbers, describe an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm to find the longest monotonically increasing sub-sequence.

**Answer 2** Let  $l_i$  denote the length of the longest monotonically increasing sub-sequence that ends with  $a_i$  ( $l_1 = 1$ ). Compute the sequences  $S_i, S_{ij}$  using the following recurrences.

- Initialize  $S_1 = a_1$ .
- For  $1 \leq j < i$ , if  $a_j > a_i$  then  $S_{ij} = a_i$ . Otherwise,  $S_{ij}$  is set to  $S_j$  concatenated with  $a_i$ .
- $S_i$  is set to the longest sequence among all the sequences  $S_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq j < i$ .

Claim: The length of  $S_i$ ,  $l(S_i) = l_i$ .

Assume otherwise. Let  $k$  be the smallest index such that  $l(S_k) < l_k$ . Let  $O_k$  be a sequence of length  $l_i$  ending with  $a_k$ . Let  $a_j$  be the second last element of the sequence  $O_k$ . As  $j < k$ ,  $l_j = l(S_j)$

$$l(S_k) < l_k = l_j + 1 \rightarrow l(S_j) < l_j \quad (1)$$

This is a contradiction as  $j < k$  and  $k$  is the smallest index such that  $l(S_k) < l_k$ . Thus our claim is true. Clearly the longest monotonically increasing sub-sequence is by definition the longest of the sequences  $S_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The running time is  $O(n^2)$ .

### Question 3

Suppose you are in Casino with your friend, and you are interested in playing a game against your friend by alternating turns. The game contains a row of  $n$  coins of values  $v(i)$ , where  $n$  is even. In each turn, a player selects either the first or last coin from the row, removes it from the row permanently, and receives the value of the coin. Determine the maximum possible amount of money you can definitely win if you move first.

### Answer 3

Suppose  $OPT(i, j)$  represents the maximum value the user can collect from  $i$ th coin to  $j$ th coin. When we move first, we have two choices to make. Either we choose  $i$ th coin or  $j$ th coin.

$V_i$	$V_{i+1}$	$V_{j-1}$	$V_j$
-------	-----------	-----------	-------

Choice 1: The user chooses the  $i$ th coin with value  $V_i$ : The opponent either chooses  $(i+1)$ th coin or  $j$ th coin. The opponent intends to choose the coin which leaves the user with minimum value. The user can collect the value  $V_i + \min(OPT(i+2, j), OPT(i+1, j-1))$

Choice 2: The user chooses the  $j$ th coin with value  $V_j$ : The opponent either chooses  $i$ th coin or  $(j-1)$ th coin. The opponent intends to choose the coin which leaves the user with minimum value. The user can collect the value  $V_j + \min(OPT(i+1, j-1), OPT(i, j-2))$

We take the maximum of two choices. The recursive relation is as follows:

### Base Cases

$$OPT(i, j) = V_i \quad \text{If } j == i$$

$$OPT(i, j) = \max(V_i, V_j) \quad \text{If } j == i+1$$

### Relation

$$OPT(i, j) = \max \left[ V_i + \min\{OPT(i+2, j), OPT(i+1, j-1)\}, V_j + \min\{OPT(i+1, j-1), OPT(i, j-2)\} \right]$$

The subproblem  $OPT(i+1, j-1)$  is calculated twice in above relation. Now we solve the problem via Dynamic Programming.

## Question 4

Given a rod of length  $n$  inches and an array of prices that contains prices of all pieces of size smaller than  $n$ . Determine the maximum value obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces.

## Answer 4

Let  $OPT(n)$  be the required (best possible price) value for a rod of length  $n$ .

Let  $prices[i]$  an array of prices that contains prices of all pieces of size smaller than  $n$ .

## Optimal Substructure

We can get the best price by making a cut at different positions and comparing the values obtained after a cut. We can recursively call the same function for a piece obtained after a cut for all  $i$  in  $\{0, 1 \dots n-1\}$ :

$$OPT(n) = \max(price[i] + OPT(n-i-1))$$

## Overlapping Subproblems

In the above formulation, there are many subproblems which are solved again and again. Since same subproblems are called again, this problem has Overlapping Subproblems property. So the Rod Cutting problem has properties of a dynamic programming problem. Recomputations of same subproblems can be avoided by constructing a temporary array  $OPT[]$  in bottom up manner.

```
for (i = 1; i <= n; i++):
    max_val = INT_MIN;
    for (j = 0; j < i; j++):
        max_val = max(max_val, price[j] + OPT[i-j-1])
    OPT[i] = max_val
return OPT[n]
```

Time Complexity of the above implementation is  $O(n^2)$ .