

# 1 Introduction

This document describes the principles and structure behind the xia2dpa data model. This is necessary because the problem is a complicated one. The basic principle here is to have a global “data repository” which is structured enough to have a hierarchy of data but simple enough that a value of something can be found easily.

This structure will need to have a few basic properties:

- Objects have two “parts” - an immutable identity and a set of defined properties which may be allowed to vary with time.
- Changes to objects should be recorded as updates, with earlier instances being kept. An example follows.
- Getting a mutable property for an immutable object will delegate the getting to an immutable child.

The example which follows is:

```
d = Dataset('12287_1_E1_001.img') -> Populate identity from the image
                                   headers for this data set. Initialise
                                   metadata about this dataset, and
                                   record the creation epoch.

d.index() -> Autoindex the data set. This task will be delegated to an
            autoindexer, which will take as an argument the dataset object.
            The results will be recorded in a list of autoindexing
            solutions and the latest instance in this list returned.
            This method will also check for assertions which may be
            relevant, for instance that the lattice is monoclinic.
            This assertion will also have an associated epoch. If ...

d.getCell() -> Is called and the assertion for the lattice is more recent
            than the source object that getCell gets the information from,
            the source object (in this case the indexing solution) needs
            to be reevaluated. This will mean that any further processing
            based on these results may need to be repeated.
```

The upshot of this is that if I autoindex the data set, process and find in cell refinement that the refinement breaks (or process in triclinic, and check the point group) I can assert that the lattice is something different. The next “get” method will then verify that it’s information is up-to-date and if not will KNOW how to make it so.

This is going to get complicated, but is a fascinating way of working. It will mean that the knowledge on how to update objects will have to be delegated to the objects.

This comes back to the overarching idea that the main() routine in this could almost look like:

```
processing_results = Dataset('12287_1_E1_001.img').getProcessing_results()
... or almost ...

structure = Model(sequence = 1vpj.pir,
                  phases = Phase(Dataset({frames: ['infl_001.img',
                                                    'lrem_lr_001.img',
                                                    'lrem_001.img'],
                                                    id: [(0.9790, fp, fpp),
                                                         (1.0002, fp, fpp)]})),
                  ).getStructure()
```

... taking this to the obvious conclusion, the objects would have ONLY get methods - everything else would be passed in through the constructor, and all actions would be implied by the get methods. Note that “private” methods would be needed in order to implement the result discovery delegation but this would be relatively doable.

Time to get a second brain fitted then. This is beginning to look a little like hard-core C++ programming.

This then means that the whole architecture is almost programmed in a functional manner<sup>1</sup>. Cool. It also means that the schema for the objects is of relatively little interest, though it would be handy to have some lightweight objects for handling this kind of information.

Basic idea here is everything works by lazy evaluation - only compute the result when asked for it, not when you’re asked to compute it.

## 1.1 Hierarchy

This principle really works when ideas of hierarchy are included. For instance, the first pass at processing the first data set (by definition the reference) will result in one unit cell. This will then be set as the “globally correct” one until more information is available. When another set at this wavelength is processed, a weighted value for the unit cell may be derived from both sets. When combining all of the data, an overall unit cell may be computed from all of the available data sets.

This means, by implication, that each data processing “run” will have an associated local instance of a global data store. When it comes to merging or combining data sets, a further data store will be necessary. Does this mean that each stage is considered as it’s own project, resulting in an idea that the global project data repository, referred to above, is some kind of weighted average of all of the local data repositories. Think of this like a tree-code.

## 2 Items of Interest

### 2.1 User Input

At the beginning, all that is known is what the user has passed in on the command line. From this a small number of simple things can be derived. In the example above, an example is the relationship between datasets and f values - e.g. wavelength for an image is read from the header. This is then used to associate different sweeps collected at the same wavelength and so on...

So - an object is needed to hold the information passed in by the user. Frameworks are then needed to communicate this information to the con-

---

<sup>1</sup>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Functional\\_programming](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Functional_programming)

structors as described above, perhaps as part of a dictionary which the constructor can interrogate as necessary.

The following items will be minimally necessary to make this work:

- Frames to use, defined by a frame from the set.
- ?Correct beam centre.
- ?Wavelength,  $f'$ ,  $f''$  values if available.

## 2.2 Learning Things

From the basic information derived from the command line, some extra information can be learned. For example:

- Lattice, unit cell.
- Spacegroup?
- Resolution.

At any stage any derived information is a hypothesis. There will be degrees of reliability associated with each of these, and when asked for the most recent (by definition most reliable) instance should be returned.

## 3 Defined Objects

### 3.1 Object

The class Object defines some really basic stuff, and should be inherited from by all objects. In particular it defines an identity which will enable sorting by creation epoch. Since all data will be defined at construction time, and identities are immutable, this *should* be safe!

Also - added a mutex to this to allow bottom-up implementations of threading.

Also - add a list of output to each object, to allow recording what each object actually does. When overall output is wanted, each object could print it's stuff.

### 3.2 Sweep

A sweep object defines a set of continuous frames. This can therefore be characterized by phi start and end values, oscillation width, exposure time, distance, wavelength, template and exposure epoch range. The if the epoch is NULL then the template will be used for sorting, otherwise start epochs will be most important.

FIXME the frame identification etc. defined in Dataset should probably be delegated to Sweep, and then contained in Dataset. All of the “expertise” should then be obtained through this interface.

In fact the constructor for this should include the header reading functionality so that we can be sure that the contents of the object are correct - also simplifies the interface and ensures that delegation is correct.

Sweeps should really come from a sweep factory, which should be accessed from the Dataset object - the Dataset can then decide what is appropriate to do with the sweeps.

=> define a SweepFactory in the Sweep object which will return a list of sweeps. However, there is one niggle - I want sweeps to be able to update themselves just in case more frames have appeared since they were last used. This means that there needs to be a higher level of sweep owner to manage all of this - OR - a sweep has to contain subsweeps or something, with those being arranged by collection date. Could “identify” sweeps by the first image (since I will assume image numbers always increment during collection.) That way the identity will not change, and so they can be picked out.

And it also means that a SweepFactory is possible... Finally, assume that image headers do not change! They can therefore be cached in the Printhead class, which is useful because it will really speed things up when there are multiple reads

FIXME: Add a feature to identify the detector class and mode, e.g. “ADSC Quantum 315 2x2 binned” and also a short code like “q315-2x2”.

### 3.3 Dataset

## 4 Delegation

Objects like dataset may have well defined methods for performing tasks. However, something which could be fun would be to delegate this via `__getattr__` and a module registry to allow any arbitrary object to have a punt at performing an operation. Would this be safe?

Or is it a better idea to just have:

```
d = Dataset(...)
d.getLatticeInfo() -> delegate via IndexerFactory to get an implementation,
                    then return the result...
```

## 5 Interfaces

### 5.1 Thoughts

Since some programs present more than one interface, is it appropriate to inherit from base classes which represent these interfaces as well as the “Driver”? That would ensure that when you say class X implements indexer

you would be certain that it does, because the indexer interface would be the only way to get to the functionality.

Yes, this is probably a good idea. The only problem is then to ensure that there are no name clashes between interfaces which might be multiply represented, e.g. indexer and integrater for Mosflm & XDS.

For information, multiple inheritance does work in Python.

An interesting question is how to handle the directory, template information - since almost all (or all?) data processing interfaces will need this information is it also better to inherit from (or decorate?) a Driver to handle this information “invisibly”? Probably. Then all programs which require diffraction images should use this information as the sole way of getting to the images. Since this is hidden invisibly by the CommandLine singleton there shouldn’t be any big problems.

## 5.2 Frame Processor

This is an interface which includes all of the information which may be needed by something which handles diffraction images. This includes:

- Beam position.
- Wavelength.
- Distance.
- Template.
- Directory.
- Header information e.g. width, pixel size.

This should be defined as a basic decorator in the same way that the CCP4 decorator works. This will give a little extra work for a lot of extra benefit. This will further mean that this goes into the xia2core definition, which makes it more simply available to the DC module.

[FIXME this section is now to go into xia2core documentation]

These would well suit a decorator if it didn’t mean that the other decorators wouldn’t work - looks like we’re better off simply working by multiple inheritance and ducking when things go strange.

## 5.3 Indexer