

第六次作业

Ext 6.2

Ex. 6.2 Show that $\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - x_0) l_i(x_0) = 0$ for local linear regression. Define $b_j(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - x_0)^j l_i(x_0)$. Show that $b_0(x_0) = 1$ for local polynomial regression of any degree (including local constants). Show that $b_j(x_0) = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for local polynomial regression of degree k . What are the implications of this on the bias?

Define the vector-valued function $b(x)^T = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^k)$ for $k \geq 0$. Let \mathbf{B} be the $N \times (k+1)$ regression matrix with i th row $b(x_i)^T$, and $\mathbf{W}(x_0)$ the $N \times N$ diagonal matrix with i th diagonal element $K_\lambda(x_0, x_i)$. Then we have :

$$b(x_0)^T = b(x_0)^T (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{B}.$$

And we can rewrite the sum of $l_i(x_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= b(x_0)^T (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{1} = \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0) \\ x_0 &= b(x_0)^T (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{B}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0) x_i \\ x_0^k &= b(x_0)^T (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) \mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0) x_i^k \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{B}_i is the i -th column of \mathbf{B} . Therefore we have $b_0(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0) = 1$ and

$$b_1(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - x_0) l_i(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0) x_i - x_0 \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0) = x_0 - x_0 \cdot 1 = 0.$$

For $j \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_j(x_0) &= \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - x_0)^j l_i(x_0) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{b=0}^j C_j^b (-1)^b x_i^{j-b} x_0^b \right) l_i(x_0) \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^j C_j^b (-1)^b x_0^b \left(\sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0) x_i^{j-b} \right) \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^j C_j^b (-1)^b x_0^b x_0^{j-b} \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^j C_j^b (-1)^b x_0^j \\
&= (1-1)^j x_0^j \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Use the Taylor expansion we get that the reminder only contains the $(k+1)$ -th and higher-order derivatives of f .

Ext 6.7

Ex. 6.7 Derive an expression for the leave-one-out cross-validated residual sum-of-squares for local polynomial regression.

Note that local regression smoothers are linear estimators, and we can write

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{S}_{\lambda} \mathbf{y}$$

where $\{\mathbf{S}_{\lambda}\}_{ij} = l_i(x_j)$ for $l_i(x)$ defined by (6.8) in the text. Then we know

$$y_i - \hat{f}^{-i}(x_i) = \frac{y_i - \hat{f}(x_i)}{1 - \{\mathbf{S}_{\lambda}\}_{ii}}$$

Ext 6.10

Ex. 6.10 Suppose we have N samples generated from the model $y_i = f(x_i) + \varepsilon_i$, with ε_i independent and identically distributed with mean zero and variance σ^2 , the x_i assumed fixed (non random). We estimate f using a linear smoother (local regression, smoothing spline, etc.) with smoothing parameter λ . Thus the vector of fitted values is given by $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{S}_\lambda \mathbf{y}$. Consider the *in-sample* prediction error

$$PE(\lambda) = E \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^* - \hat{f}_\lambda(x_i))^2 \quad (6.34)$$

for predicting new responses at the N input values. Show that the average squared residual on the training data, $ASR(\lambda)$, is a biased estimate (optimistic) for $PE(\lambda)$, while

$$C_\lambda = ASR(\lambda) + \frac{2\sigma^2}{N} \text{trace}(\mathbf{S}_\lambda) \quad (6.35)$$

is unbiased.

From the view of chapter 7, we can know that $PE(\lambda) = Err_{in}$, $ASR(\lambda) = \overline{err}$.

So we only need to prove that:

$$op = Err_{in} - \overline{err} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i)$$

So.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) &= \text{trace}(\text{Cov}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{y})) \\ &= \text{trace}(\text{Cov}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{S}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{S}\text{Var}(\mathbf{y})) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{S})\sigma_\epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$