

## **BIS CPMI – Cross-Border Payments Frictions & Lifecycle (Ops Guide)**

This document distills the BIS CPMI report (d193) into the exact concepts required to understand cross-border payment frictions, lifecycle stages, and why modern systems like SpherePay / SphereNet exist. It replaces the need to read the full report.

### **1. Cross-Border Payment Lifecycle**

A cross-border payment moves through multiple stages, often across several institutions and jurisdictions. Frictions arise primarily at the boundaries between these stages.

- Initiation: payer instructs a payment.
- Messaging & validation: payment details are transmitted and checked.
- Clearing: obligations between institutions are agreed.
- Settlement: final transfer of funds on settlement ledgers.
- Reconciliation & reporting: balances and confirmations are updated.

### **2. Key Frictions Identified by BIS**

- High costs due to multiple intermediaries and FX spreads.
- Low speed caused by sequential processing and time zone differences.
- Limited transparency for payment status, fees, and FX rates.
- Restricted access due to correspondent banking dependencies.

### **3. Structural Causes of Frictions**

- Long transaction chains with multiple correspondent banks.
- Different operating hours across payment systems.
- Prefunding requirements in nostro/vostro accounts.
- Fragmented regulatory, legal, and compliance frameworks.
- Legacy batch-based technology and data limitations.

### **4. Risk & Liquidity Implications**

Cross-border payments require liquidity in multiple currencies and expose participants to settlement risk until finality is achieved.

- FX funding risk when liquidity is unavailable.
- Settlement risk during multi-day processing windows.

- Operational risk from reconciliation failures and investigations.

## **5. Competition & Access Issues**

BIS highlights that high barriers to entry reduce competition, reinforcing reliance on a small number of global correspondent banks.

- Smaller banks and PSPs depend on large correspondents.
- Reduced competition increases costs and slows innovation.

## **6. Data & Messaging Limitations**

- Fragmented and truncated data across systems.
- Inconsistent message standards and formats.
- Manual repairs and investigations increase delays.

## **7. BIS Building Blocks (High-Level)**

The BIS proposes coordinated global actions ('building blocks') to address cross-border payment frictions.

- Align regulatory, supervisory, and oversight frameworks.
- Improve payment infrastructures and operating hours.
- Enhance data quality and adoption of common standards (e.g., ISO 20022).
- Explore new multilateral platforms, CBDCs, and stablecoins.

## **8. What BIS Is NOT Claiming**

- Technology alone does not solve cross-border frictions.
- Eliminating intermediaries does not eliminate regulation.
- Faster messaging does not guarantee faster settlement.

## **9. Ops-Relevant Takeaways**

- Most delays occur between institutions, not inside one system.
- Liquidity management is as important as messaging speed.
- Transparency and data quality directly affect ops workload.
- Final settlement depends on settlement systems, not messaging layers.

## **10. Why This Matters for Sphere**

SpherePay and SphereNet directly target the structural frictions identified by BIS by improving coordination, liquidity efficiency, compliance integration, and transparency across borders.