CQF Module 5 Exercise Solution

Ran Zhao

1 a To compute the firm's asset value and volatility, set up the Merton type structural model as

$$E_0 = V_0 N(d_1) - D \exp(-rT) N(d_2)$$

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma_V} \left[\log \left(\frac{V_0}{D} \right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_V^2 \right) T \right]$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma_V \sqrt{T}$$

$$\sigma_E = \sigma_V N(d_1) \frac{V_0}{E_0}$$

To solve the simultaneous equations numerically, I use MATLAB to find the minimum of the penalty function, where the deviations of E_0 and σ_E between what are given in the context and computed results are calculated. The optimization results yield

$$\begin{cases} V_0 = 7.9088 \\ \sigma_V = 19.12\% \end{cases}$$

Substitute the solutions into the simultaneous equations above, we yield back the equity value and equity volatility. The codes solving the equations are provided in the Appendix.

1 b The probability of the default for Merton model is

$$\mathbb{P}[V_t < D] = N(-d_2)$$

whereas in the Black-Cox PD is calculated as

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau \le T | \tau > t] = N(h_1) + \exp\left\{2\left(r - \frac{\sigma_V^2}{2}\right)\log\left(\frac{K}{V_0}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma_V^2}\right\} N(h_2)$$

Using the simultaneous equations in (1a) to solve for V_0 and σ_V , we have the following sensitivity between σ_E and the probability of default.

As shown in Figure 1, the probability of default increases with higher equity volatility. Intuitively speaking, the higher equity volatility makes it more possible for asset value to fall below the debt, and therefore more like to default.




Figure 1: The comparison of probability of default between Merton and Black-Cox Models

The Merton model estimates PD lower than the Black-Cox model, for the reason that the Black-Cox allows for early default than the maturity. Beyond equity volatility as 60%, the probability of default increases monotonically with the equity volatility, and the PD estimated by Black-Cox model is 0.8%.

The codes solving the equations are provided in the Appendix.

2 Before determining the bivariate European binary call option price, we use transformation of Kendall's tau value to solve for the copula parameter α . That is

$$\rho_K = 0.35 = 1 - \frac{4}{\alpha} [D_1(-\alpha) - 1]$$
 $D_1(-\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha \frac{x}{e^x - 1} dx + \frac{\alpha}{2}$

Numerically, the α can be solved by equating both sides of the equation in MATLAB. The copula coefficient α is calculated as 0.8777. The code solving the equations is provided in the Appendix.

Alternatively, TSE on the $\frac{x}{e^x-1}$ contributes on solving the equation analytically. That

is,

$$\rho_{K} = 1 - \frac{4}{\alpha} [D_{1}(-\alpha) - 1]
= 1 - \frac{4}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{x}{e^{x} - 1} dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 \right]
\approx 1 - \frac{4}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\alpha} (1 - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^{2}}{12}) dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 \right]
= 1 - \frac{4}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} x - \frac{x^{2}}{4} + \frac{x^{3}}{36} \Big|_{0}^{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 \right]
= -\frac{\alpha}{9}
\alpha = -3.15$$

The analytical solution is very different with the exact value. Here we use the α calculation from MATLAB. Then, regarding on the two underlyings, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = Pr^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_1, T > K) = 1 - N(-d_2^1) = 0.0718 \\ u_1 = Pr^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_1, T > K) = 1 - N(-d_2^2) = 0.3362 \end{cases}$$

Finally, the bivariate European binary call option price is

$$B(S_1, S_2, t) = e^{-r(T-t)}C(u_1, u_2)$$

$$= e^{-r(T-t)}\frac{1}{\alpha}\log\left[1 + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^2(e^{\alpha u_i} - 1)}{(e^{\alpha} - 1)^{n-1}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}\log\left[1 + \frac{(e^{\alpha u_1} - 1)(e^{\alpha u_2} - 1)}{(e^{\alpha} - 1)^{n-1}}\right]$$

$$= 0.0284$$

Credit Curve 1 The CDS with accruals has premium leg and default leg as

$$\begin{cases} PL_N = S_N \sum_{n=1}^N D(0, T_n) P(T_n) (\Delta t_n) + S_N \sum_{n=1}^N D(0, T_n) [P(T_{n-1}) - P(T_n)] \frac{(\Delta t_n)}{2} \\ DL_N = (1 - R) \sum_{n=1}^N D(0, T_n) [P(T_{n-1}) - P(T_n)] \end{cases}$$

For every $\Delta t = 0.25$, I use log-linear interpolation for the discount factor and linear interpolation for the hazard rate provided in Table 1. Equalizing the premium leg and the default at each projection period yields the CDS spread at each time. The construction of the Solver and formulas is shown in the attached Excel spreadsheet (tab '1 price CDS'). The credit spreads in each period are shown below.

CQF Module 5 Solution Ran Zhao

Т	Credit Sprad
0.25	0.004477498
0.5	0.003728987
0.75	0.002978659
1	0.003716478
1.25	0.005104035
1.5	0.005777887
1.75	0.006034922
2	0.006814575
2.25	0.007648065
2.5	0.008252261
2.75	0.008687227
3	0.009218673

Appendix

```
function diff_mse = compute_E0(V0, sigmaV)
  MOMPUTE the difference of equity value
  \% between calculated initial equity value and 3\mathrm{M}
5 %INPUTS
  % V0:
                the initial asset value
  % sigmaV: the volaility of assets
  % inputM: include r, T, D
9 %
  %OUTPUT
11 % diff_mse: calculated mse using INPUTS - context
|r| = 0.02;
 D = 5;
_{15}|T = 1;
  d_1 = (1/(sigmaV*sqrt(T))) * ...
      (\log(V0/D) + (r+0.5*sigmaV^2)*T);
  d_2 = d_1 - sigmaV * sqrt(T);
21 \mid E0 = V0* \operatorname{normcdf}(d_1, 0, 1) - D* \exp(-r*T)* \operatorname{normcdf}(d_2, 0, 1);
  sigmaE = sigmaV*normcdf(d_1, 0, 1)*V0/E0;
  diff_mse = 10*(E0-3)^3 + (sigmaE - 0.5)^2;
2.5
  end
```

compute_E0.m

```
% solve V0 and sigma_V [results, fval] = fsolve(@(x) compute_E0(x(1),x(2)),[9;0.25], ... optimoptions('fmincon', 'MaxFunEvals',10000, 'MaxIter',10000)); % check answer compute_E0(results(1), results(2))
```

compute_value_vol_1a.m

CQF Module 5 Solution Ran Zhao

 $solve_asset_vol.m$

```
r = 0.02;
  D = 5;
  T = 1;
  K = 5;
  sigmaE = 0.50:0.01:0.80;
  Merton_PD = zeros(1, length(sigmaE));
  BC-PD = zeros(1, length(sigmaE));
  for i = 1: length(sigmaE)
       [\,\,results\,\,,\tilde{}\,\,]\,\,=\,\,fsolve\,(@(x)\ solve\,\_asset\,\_vol\,(x\,(1)\,\,,\,\,x\,(2)\,\,,\,\,sigmaE\,(\,i\,)\,)
            , [9; 0.25], \ldots
            optimoptions ('fmincon', 'MaxFunEvals', 10000, 'MaxIter', 10000));
       % probability of default Merton
14
       Merton_PD(i) = normcdf(-((1/(results(2)*sqrt(T))) * ...
            (\log(\operatorname{results}(1)/D) + (r+0.5*\operatorname{results}(2)^2)*T) - \operatorname{results}(2)*\operatorname{sqrt}(T))
                 ,0,1);
       % probability of default Black-Cox
18
       h_{-1} = (\log(K/(\exp(r*T)*results(1))) + (results(2)^2*T/2))/(results(2)*T)
       h_2 = h_1 - results(2) * sqrt(T);
20
       BC-PD(i) = normcdf(h_1, 0, 1) + exp(2*(r-results(2)^2/2) ...
            *\log(K/results(2))/results(2)^2)*normcdf(h_2,0,1);
  end
24
  avg_diff_PD = mean(BCPD(sigmaE >= 0.60) - Merton_PD(sigmaE >= 0.60));
26
  plot (sigmaE, Merton_PD, '-b', 'LineWidth', 3);
  hold on
  plot(sigmaE, BC.PD, '-r', 'LineWidth', 3);
30 hold off
  legend('Merton', 'Black-Cox')
title('The Comparison on Probability of Default between Merton and Black-Cox
```

 $compare_PD_1b.m$

```
\% solve alpha alpha = fsolve(@(x) correlation_int(x) -0.35,0.85, ...
```

 $solve_alpha.m$

```
function result = correlation_int(alpha)

fun = @(x) x./(exp(x)-1);

result = 1-4/alpha*(integral(fun,0,alpha)+alpha/2-1);

end
```

 $correlation_int.m$