

1 Hypothesis Testing: Application in OLS

1.1 Wald Test

If $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Omega)$ where Ω is a $K \times K$ positive definite covariance matrix and R is a $q \times K$ constant matrix, then $R\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, R\Omega R')$. Moreover, if $\text{rank}(R) = q$, then

$$n(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' R' (R\Omega R')^{-1} R (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2.$$

Now we intend to test the null hypothesis $R\beta = r$. Under the null hypothesis, the Wald statistic

$$W_n = n(R\hat{\beta} - r)' (R\hat{\Omega}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - r) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2$$

where $\hat{\Omega}$ is a consistent estimator of Ω .

Example 1. In a linear regression

$$\begin{aligned} y &= x_i' \beta + e_i = \sum_{k=1}^5 \beta_k x_{ik} + e_i. \\ E[e_i x_i] &= \mathbf{0}_5, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $x_i = (\text{edu}, \text{age}, \text{experience}, \text{experience}^2, 1)'$. To test whether *education* has effect on wage, we specify the null hypothesis $\beta_1 = 0$. Let $R = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$.

$$\sqrt{n}\hat{\beta}_1 = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) = \sqrt{n}R(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, R\Omega R') \sim N(0, \Omega_{11}), \tag{2}$$

where Ω_{11} is the $(1, 1)$ (scalar) element of Ω . Therefore,

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\Omega}_{11}^{1/2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_{11}}{\hat{\Omega}_{11}}} \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\Omega_{11}^{1/2}}$$

If $\hat{\Omega} \xrightarrow{p} \Omega$, then $(\Omega_{11}/\hat{\Omega}_{11})^{1/2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ by the continuous mapping theorem. As $\sqrt{n}\hat{\beta}_1/\Omega_{11}^{1/2} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$, we conclude $\sqrt{n}\hat{\beta}_1/\hat{\Omega}_{11}^{1/2} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

Example 1 is a test about a single coefficient, and the test statistic is essentially a t-statistic. Example 2 gives a test about a joint hypothesis.

Example 2. We want to simultaneously test $\beta_1 = 1$ and $\beta_3 + \beta_4 = 2$ in (1). The null hypothesis

can be expressed in the general form $R\beta = r$, where the restriction matrix R is

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $r = (1, 2)'$.

Example 1 and 2 are linear restrictions. In order to test a nonlinear regression, we need the so-called *delta method*.

Theorem 1 (delta method). *If $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Omega_{K \times K})$, and $f : \mathbb{R}^K \mapsto \mathbb{R}^q$ is a continuous function for some $q \leq K$, then*

$$\sqrt{n} \left(f(\hat{\theta}) - f(\theta^*) \right) \xrightarrow{d} N \left(0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta^*) \Omega \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta^*)' \right).$$

Example 3. In the regression (1), the optimal experience level can be found by setting the first order condition with respect to experience to set, $\beta_3 + 2\beta_4 \text{experience}^* = 0$. We test the hypothesis that the optimal experience level is 20 years; in other words,

$$\text{experience}^* = -\frac{\beta_3}{2\beta_4} = 20.$$

This is a nonlinear hypothesis. According to Theorem 1, if $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta^*) \right) = q \leq K$, we have

$$n \left(f(\hat{\theta}) - f(\theta^*) \right)' \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta^*) \Omega \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta^*)' \right)^{-1} \left(f(\hat{\theta}) - f(\theta^*) \right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2,$$

where in this example, $\theta = \beta$, $f(\beta) = -\beta_3 / (2\beta_4)$. The gradient

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta) = \left(0, 0, -\frac{1}{2\beta_4}, \frac{\beta_3}{2\beta_4^2} \right)$$

Since $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta^*$, by Slutsky's theorem, if $\beta_4^* \neq 0$, we have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\hat{\beta}) \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta^*)$. Therefore, the (nonlinear) Wald test is

$$W_n = n \left(f(\hat{\beta}) - 20 \right)' \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\hat{\beta}) \hat{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\hat{\beta})' \right)^{-1} \left(f(\hat{\beta}) - 20 \right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2.$$

I did not teach the LM and LR tests below. Do not read.

1.2 Lagrangian Multiplier Test

Restricted least square

$$\min_{\beta} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) \text{ s.t. } R\beta = r.$$

Turn it into an unrestricted problem

$$L(\beta, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2n} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) + \lambda'(R\beta - r).$$

The first-order condition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} L &= -\frac{1}{n} X' (y - X\tilde{\beta}) + \tilde{\lambda} R = -\frac{1}{n} X' e + \frac{1}{n} X' X (\tilde{\beta} - \beta^*) + R' \tilde{\lambda} = 0. \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} L &= R\tilde{\beta} - r = R(\tilde{\beta} - \beta^*) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Combine these two equations into a linear system,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{Q} & R' \\ R & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\beta} - \beta^* \\ \tilde{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} X' e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\beta} - \beta^* \\ \tilde{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{Q} & R' \\ R & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} X' e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{Q}^{-1} - \hat{Q}^{-1} R' (R\hat{Q}^{-1} R')^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} & \hat{Q}^{-1} R' (R\hat{Q}^{-1} R')^{-1} \\ (R\hat{Q}^{-1} R')^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} & - (R\hat{Q}^{-1} R')^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} X' e \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} \tilde{\lambda} &= (R\hat{Q}^{-1} R')^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X' e \\ \sqrt{n} \tilde{\lambda} &\Rightarrow N\left(0, (RQ^{-1} R')^{-1} RQ^{-1} \Omega Q^{-1} R' (RQ^{-1} R')^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $W = (RQ^{-1} R')^{-1} RQ^{-1} \Omega Q^{-1} R' (RQ^{-1} R')^{-1}$, we have

$$n \tilde{\lambda}' W^{-1} \tilde{\lambda} \Rightarrow \chi_q^2.$$

If homoskedastic, then $W = \sigma^2 (RQ^{-1} R')^{-1} RQ^{-1} Q Q^{-1} R' (RQ^{-1} R')^{-1} = \sigma^2 (RQ^{-1} R')^{-1}$.

$$\frac{n \tilde{\lambda}' RQ^{-1} R' \tilde{\lambda}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} (y - X\tilde{\beta})' XQ^{-1} X' (y - X\tilde{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} (y - X\tilde{\beta})' P_X (y - X\tilde{\beta}).$$

1.3 Likelihood-Ratio test

For likelihood ratio test, the starting point can be a criterion function $L(\beta) = (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$. It does not have to be the likelihood function.

$$L(\tilde{\beta}) - L(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta}(\hat{\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})' \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta \partial \beta}(\hat{\beta}) (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) = 0 + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})' \hat{Q} (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}).$$

From the derivation of LM test, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta^*) &= \left(\hat{Q}^{-1} - \hat{Q}^{-1}R' \left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'e \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X'X)X'e - \hat{Q}^{-1}R' \left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'e \\
 &= \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) - \hat{Q}^{-1}R' \left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'e
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) = -\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'e$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 n(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})' \hat{Q} (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}e'X\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1}\hat{Q}\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'e \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}e'X\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'e
 \end{aligned}$$

In general, it is a quadratic form of normal distributions. If homoskedastic, then

$$\left(R\hat{Q}^{-1}R' \right)^{-1/2} R\hat{Q}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'e$$

has variance

$$\sigma^2 (RQ^{-1}R')^{-1/2} RQ^{-1}QQ^{-1}R' (RQ^{-1}R')^{-1/2} = \sigma^2 I_q.$$

We can view the optimization of the log-likelihood as a two-step optimization with the inner step $\sigma = \sigma(\beta)$. By the envelop theorem, when we take derivative with respect to β , we can ignore the indirect effect of $\partial\sigma(\beta)/\partial\beta$.