An Introduction to Empirical Likelihood

Textbooks

- Greene, W.H., 2012, Econometric Analysis (7th ed.), Chapter 12.3.2.
- Cameron, A.C. and Trivedi, P.K., 2005, Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications, Chapter 6.8.
- Hansen, B.E., 2013, Econometrics, Chapter 14.
- Anatolyev, S. and Gospodinov, N., 2011, Methods for Estimation and Inference in Modern Econometrics, Chapter 2.

Section 1

Introduction

Introduction

- Method of Moments vs. Maximum Likelihood.
- Many economic models can be written in the form

$$E[g(Z_i,\beta)]=0$$

where $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ is a k-dimensional parameter of interest, and $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a \mathbb{R}^m valued function. $k \leq m$.

Introduction

- Method of Moments vs. Maximum Likelihood.
- Many economic models can be written in the form

$$E[g(Z_i,\beta)]=0$$

where $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ is a k-dimensional parameter of interest, and $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a \mathbb{R}^m valued function. $k \leq m$.

Examples I

Linear model with endogenous variables and instruments

- Structural equation $Y_i = X_i \beta_0 + \epsilon_i$
- X_i is an endogenous variable.
- W_i is a vector of instruments.
- We estimate β_0 via the moment condition

$$E\left[\epsilon_{i}W_{i}\right]=E\left[\left(y_{i}-X_{i}\beta\right)W_{i}\right]=0.$$

Examples I

Linear model with endogenous variables and instruments

- Structural equation $Y_i = X_i \beta_0 + \epsilon_i$
- X_i is an endogenous variable.
- W_i is a vector of instruments.
- We estimate β_0 via the moment condition

$$E[\epsilon_i W_i] = E[(y_i - X_i \beta) W_i] = 0.$$

Examples II

Asset pricing in Dynamic Rational Expectation Model.

- Hansen and Singleton (1982).
- The rational expectation theory implies

$$E_t\left[b\frac{U'(C_{t+j},\beta_0)}{U'(C_t,\beta_0)}X_{t+j}-1\right]=0$$

where b is a discount factor, $U(\cdot)$ is a utility function known up to a parameter β , and $X_{t+j} = (P_{t+j} + D_{t+j})/P_{t+j}$ is the interest rate.

Outline

- Review GMM and introduce Empirical Likelihood (EL)
- @ Generalize EL and develop its asymptotic theory
- Sextend EL into high-dimensional moments

Outline

- Review GMM and introduce Empirical Likelihood (EL)
- Generalize EL and develop its asymptotic theory
- Sextend EL into high-dimensional moments

Outline

- Review GMM and introduce Empirical Likelihood (EL)
- Generalize EL and develop its asymptotic theory
- Extend EL into high-dimensional moments

Section 2

Generalized Method of Moments

- Let $E_n[\cdot] = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \cdot$ be the empirical mean.
- The GMM estimator

$$\widehat{\beta}_{\text{GMM}} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} E_n[g(Z_i, \beta)]' W E_n[g(Z_i, \beta)],$$

where W is a positive-definite weighting matrix.

- GMM gives a unified framework of estimation for many economic models.
- Empirical Likelihood (EL) is an alternative.

Generalized Method of Moments

- Let $E_n[\cdot] = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \cdot$ be the empirical mean.
- The GMM estimator

$$\widehat{\beta}_{\text{GMM}} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} E_n[g(Z_i, \beta)]' W E_n[g(Z_i, \beta)],$$

where W is a positive-definite weighting matrix.

- GMM gives a unified framework of estimation for many economic models.
- Empirical Likelihood (EL) is an alternative.



Likelihood Approach

- Consider a random sample from population distribution F(x) with density f(x).
- The joint likelihood function is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i).$$

• The parametric method assumes that $f(\cdot)$ is known up to a finite-dimensional parameter.

Nonparametric Likelihood

- A nonparametric method assigns probability p_i to each observation x_i for i = 1, ..., n.
- It can be viewed as a sampling experiment from the multinomial population concentrated at the sample points.
- The Nonparametric Maximum Likelihood Estimator (NPMLE) solves

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \text{ s.t. } p_{i} \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1,$$

or equivalently

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1.$$



Nonparametric Likelihood

- A nonparametric method assigns probability p_i to each observation x_i for i = 1, ..., n.
- It can be viewed as a sampling experiment from the multinomial population concentrated at the sample points.
- The Nonparametric Maximum Likelihood Estimator (NPMLE) solves

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \text{ s.t. } p_{i} \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1,$$

or equivalently

$$\max_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1.$$



Solution

• To solve the constrained optimization problem,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p}, \mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i - \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i - 1 \right).$$

The first-order condition gives

$$\partial \mathcal{L}/\partial p_i = (np_i)^{-1} - \mu = 0$$

for all i = 1, ..., n.

• As $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$, we have $\mu = 1$, so that

$$\widehat{p}_i = 1/n$$
.

This is exactly the Empirical Distribution Function.



Solution

To solve the constrained optimization problem,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p}, \mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i - \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i - 1 \right).$$

• The first-order condition gives

$$\partial \mathcal{L}/\partial p_i = (np_i)^{-1} - \mu = 0,$$

for all i = 1, ..., n.

• As $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$, we have $\mu = 1$, so that

$$\widehat{p}_i = 1/n.$$

• This is exactly the Empirical Distribution Function.

10/10/12/12/2

Solution

To solve the constrained optimization problem,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p}, \mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i - \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i - 1 \right).$$

• The first-order condition gives

$$\partial \mathcal{L}/\partial p_i = (np_i)^{-1} - \mu = 0,$$

for all i = 1, ..., n.

• As $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$, we have $\mu = 1$, so that

$$\widehat{p}_i = 1/n$$
.

This is exactly the Empirical Distribution Function.



EL with Moment Constraints

- Again, the model is $E[g(Z_i, \beta)] = 0$.
- The EL problem is

$$\max_{\beta, \mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_{i}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} g(Z_{i}, \beta) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1.$$

• If k = m, then

$$\widehat{\beta} = \arg_{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i, \beta) = 0 \right),$$

which is the method of moments.



EL with Moment Constraints

- Again, the model is $E[g(Z_i, \beta)] = 0$.
- The EL problem is

$$\max_{\beta, \mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_{i}$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(Z_i, \beta) = 0$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$.

• If k = m, then

$$\widehat{\beta} = \arg_{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i, \beta) = 0 \right),$$

which is the method of moments.



EL with Moment Constraints

- Again, the model is $E[g(Z_i, \beta)] = 0$.
- The EL problem is

$$\max_{\beta,\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(Z_i,\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1.$$

• If k = m, then

$$\widehat{\beta} = \arg_{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i, \beta) = 0 \right),$$

which is the method of moments.



Solution when k < m

• The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta, \mathbf{p}, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i - 1 \right).$$

The first order condition with respect to p_i,

$$(np_i)^{-1} - \lambda' g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu = 0.$$
 (1)

Multiple both sides by p_i and sum over i,

$$1 - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 - \mu = 0.$$



Solution when k < m

The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta, \mathbf{p}, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i - 1 \right).$$

The first order condition with respect to p_i

$$(np_i)^{-1} - \lambda' g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu = 0.$$
 (1)

Multiple both sides by p_i and sum over i,

$$1 - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} g(Z_{i}, \beta) - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1 - \mu = 0.$$



Solution when k < m

The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta, \mathbf{p}, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_i - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i - 1 \right).$$

The first order condition with respect to p_i,

$$(np_i)^{-1} - \lambda' g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu = 0.$$
(1)

Multiple both sides by p_i and sum over i,

$$1 - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g(Z_i, \beta) - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 - \mu = 0.$$



Solution when k < m (Continue)

- Plug in $\mu = 1$ into (1), $p_i = [n(1 + \lambda' g(Z_i, \beta))]^{-1}$, which is slightly different from 1/n.
- Substitute the expression of p_i into the criterion function, we obtain the EL estimator $\widehat{\beta}$ and multiplier $\widehat{\lambda}$ via the saddlepoint problem

$$\max_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \min_{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log (1 + \lambda' g(Z_i, \beta)).$$

Computation

• The inner loop and the outer loop

$$\max_{\beta} \left\{ \min_{\lambda(\beta)} \left[-\sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(1 + \lambda(\beta)' g(Z_i, \beta) \right) \right] \right\}.$$

- The inner loop is globally convex in λ
- The outer loop is neither convex nor concave in general in β .

Computation

• The inner loop and the outer loop

$$\max_{\beta} \left\{ \min_{\lambda(\beta)} \left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \lambda(\beta)' g(Z_i, \beta) \right) \right] \right\}.$$

- The inner loop is globally convex in λ .
- The outer loop is neither convex nor concave in general in β .

Differences from GMM

- GMM: Two-step, iterative, continuous-updating (Hansen, Heaton and Yaron, 1996). Basic idea: stick to $p_i = 1/n$.
- Altonji and Segal (1996): large bias in two-step GMM
- EL: more flexible **p**. One-step estimator. Self-normalize.
- High-order improvement
 - Kitamura(2001): Asymptotic optimality under the generalized Neyman-Pearson criterion
 - Newey and Smith (2004): High-order bias correction

Example (Imbens, 1997)

- The logarithm of hourly wage of 827 men in 1971–1978.
- The model is borrowed from Card (1994)

$$\ln y_{it} = \mu_t + \omega_i + u_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}
u_{it} = \alpha u_{it-1} + \eta_{it}$$

where μ_t is a common time-varying component, ω_i is the individual fixed effect, η_{it} is the shock to the autoregressive component.

- Unknown parameters: μ_1, \ldots, μ_T ; $\sigma_{n,1}^2, \ldots, \sigma_{n,T}^2$; σ_{ε}^2 ; σ_{ω}^2 and α .
- Imbens (1997) constructs 44 moments to estimate the 19 parameters. He compares two-step GMM, iterated GMM and EL.

Example (Imbens, 1997)

Real data estimates

	2S-GMM	GMMi	EL	s.e.
σ_{ω}^{2}	0.110	0.111	0.123	0.053
$\sigma_{arepsilon}^{\widetilde{2}}$	0.040	0.039	0.041	0.003
α	0.913	0.912	0.899	0.057

• Simulation: true value and bias divided by the average of s.e.

	True value	2S-GMM	GMMi	EL
σ_{ω}^2	0.10	-0.28	-0.28	-0.16
σ_{ε}^2	0.05	-0.31	-0.30	-0.22
α	0.50	0.05	0.06	0.02

Example (Imbens, 1997)

Real data estimates

	2S-GMM	GMMi	EL	s.e.
σ_{ω}^{2}	0.110	0.111	0.123	0.053
$\sigma_{arepsilon}^{\widetilde{2}}$	0.040	0.039	0.041	0.003
α	0.913	0.912	0.899	0.057

• Simulation: true value and bias divided by the average of s.e.

-	True value	2S-GMM	GMMi	EL
σ_{ω}^{2}	0.10	-0.28	-0.28	-0.16
$\sigma_\omega^{-} \ \sigma_arepsilon^{2}$	0.05	-0.31	-0.30	-0.22
α	0.50	0.05	0.06	0.02

Literature

- Owen (1988): Original paper on EL
- Qin and Lawless (1993): EL with estimating equations
- Imbens (1997): Introduce EL into econometrics
- Kitamura (1997): EL in time series
- Newey and Smith (2004): Generalized EL

Section 3

Generalized Empirical Likelihood

Generalized Empirical Likelihood

• Let $\rho(\cdot)$ be a smooth scalar function that satisfies

$$\rho(0) = 0$$
 and $\partial \rho(0)/\partial v = \partial^2 \rho(0)/\partial v^2 = -1$.

GEL estimator solves

$$\min_{\beta} \sup_{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho \left(\lambda' g(Z_{i}, \beta) \right).$$

- The Generalized Empirical Likelihood (GEL) contains several important estimators as special cases.
 - ▶ EL: $\rho(v) = \log(1 v)$,
 - continuous-updating: $\rho(v) = -\frac{1}{2}v^2 v$,
 - ▶ Exponential Tilting (Kitamura and Stutzer, 1997): $\rho(v) = 1 \exp(v)$.



Asymptotic Properties

- Let $J \equiv E[\partial g(Z, \beta_0)/\partial \beta']$ and $V \equiv E[g(Z, \beta_0)g(Z, \beta_0)']$.
- With random sampling,

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta_0\right)\Rightarrow \mathrm{N}\left(0,\left(J'V^{-1}J\right)^{-1}\right).$$

• First-order asymptotically equivalent to the efficient GMM.

Asymptotic Tests

- Wald test, LM test and overidentification test.
- Moreover, it inherits a *likelihood ratio*-type test. Let $(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\lambda})$ and $(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\lambda})$ be the estimates without and with constraints, respectively. Then

$$GELR = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\rho \left(\tilde{\lambda}' g(Z_i, \tilde{\beta}) \right) - \rho \left(\hat{\lambda}' g(Z_i, \hat{\beta}) \right) \right].$$

Under the null,

$$GELR \Rightarrow \chi_q^2$$
,

where q is the number of restrictions.

Convenience of LR test: no need to estimate the variance.

◄□▶
□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□
9
0

Asymptotic Tests

- Wald test, LM test and overidentification test.
- Moreover, it inherits a *likelihood ratio*-type test. Let $(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\lambda})$ and $(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\lambda})$ be the estimates without and with constraints, respectively. Then

$$GELR = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\rho \left(\widetilde{\lambda}' g(Z_i, \widetilde{\beta}) \right) - \rho \left(\widehat{\lambda}' g(Z_i, \widehat{\beta}) \right) \right].$$

• Under the null,

$$GELR \Rightarrow \chi_q^2$$

where q is the number of restrictions.

Convenience of LR test: no need to estimate the variance.



Example

- Overidentification Test for EL. $\rho(v) = \log(1 v)$.
- H_0 : Correct model specification. $E[g(Z, \beta_0)] = 0$.
 - ► Likelihood without restriction: −*n* log *n*
 - ► Likelihood with restriction: $-n \log n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \widehat{\lambda}' g\left(Z_i, \widehat{\beta}\right)\right)$.
- Test statistic

$$ELR = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \widehat{\lambda}' g\left(Z_{i}, \widehat{\beta}\right)\right) \Rightarrow \chi_{m}^{2}.$$



Example

- Overidentification Test for EL. $\rho(v) = \log(1 v)$.
- H_0 : Correct model specification. $E[g(Z, \beta_0)] = 0$.
 - ▶ Likelihood without restriction: −*n* log *n*
 - ▶ Likelihood with restriction: $-n \log n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \widehat{\lambda}' g\left(Z_{i}, \widehat{\beta}\right)\right)$.
- Test statistic

$$ELR = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \widehat{\lambda}' g\left(Z_{i}, \widehat{\beta}\right)\right) \Rightarrow \chi_{m}^{2}.$$



Section 4

Relaxed Empirical Likelihood

High-Dimensional Moments

- Large data, large model vs. parsimonious modeling.
 - ► Altonji, Smith and Vidangos (2013)
 - ► Eaton, Kortum and Kramarz (2011)
 - ► Han, Orea, Schmidt (2005)
 - ► Angrist (1990)

High-Dimensional Moments

- Large data, large model vs. parsimonious modeling.
 - Altonji, Smith and Vidangos (2013)
 - Eaton, Kortum and Kramarz (2011)
 - ► Han, Orea, Schmidt (2005)
 - ► Angrist (1990)

High-Dimensional Moments

- Large data, large model vs. parsimonious modeling.
 - Altonji, Smith and Vidangos (2013)
 - Eaton, Kortum and Kramarz (2011)
 - ► Han, Orea, Schmidt (2005)
 - ► Angrist (1990)

• Problem of optimally-weighted GMM.

$$\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]'$$
 W_{GMM} $\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]$

• Problem of EL. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p}_{i} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p}_{i} g_{i} (\beta) = 0_{m}$$

• Problem of optimally-weighted GMM.

$$\frac{W_{\text{GMM}} = \widehat{V}^{-1}}{\widehat{V}: m \times m, \text{ rank } n}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]'$$
 \mathbb{W}_{GMM} $\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]$

• Problem of EL. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}g_{i}(\beta) = 0_{m}$

Problem of optimally-weighted GMM.

$$\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]'$$
 W_{GMM} $\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]$

• Problem of EL. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p}_{i} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p}_{i} g_{i}(\beta) = 0_{m}$$

Problem of optimally-weighted GMM.

$$\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]'$$
 \mathbb{W}_{GMM} $\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[g_{i}\left(\beta\right)\right]$

• Problem of EL. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}g_{i}(\beta) = 0_{m}$$

$$m \text{ equations}$$

$$n \text{ free parameters}$$

Extension: Relaxed EL

- Shi (2013) proposes the first asymptotically normal estimator that allows m > n.
- Relax equality constraints of the standard EL problem by inequality constraints

$$\max_{\beta, \mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_{i}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} h_{j}(Z_{i}, \beta) \leq \tau, \forall j = 1, \dots, n.$$

where τ is a tuning parameter, and $h_j = g_j/\widehat{\sigma}_j$ is the scale-standardized function.

Extension: Relaxed EL

- Shi (2013) proposes the first asymptotically normal estimator that allows m > n.
- Relax equality constraints of the standard EL problem by inequality constraints

$$\max_{\beta,\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_{i}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 1,$$

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} h_{j}(Z_{i}, \beta) \right| \leq \tau, \forall j = 1, \dots, n.$$

where τ is a tuning parameter, and $h_j = g_j/\widehat{\sigma}_j$ is the scale-standardized function.

Summary

- What is EL and why
- @ GEL and the asymptotic theory
- REL for high-dimensional moments