

Outline

Statistical inference in the multiple regression model Distribution of the estimated parameters

Testing individually the estimated parameters Testing simultaneously the estimated parameters

Distribution of the estimated parameters I

• Suppose that the errors are distributed as a normal $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \mathbf{I})$ and following equation (42):

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon,$$

 $\hat{\beta}$ is linear in ε and is normally distributed as a normal $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta,\Omega_{\hat{\beta}})$, where $\Omega_{\hat{\beta}} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 (X'X)^{-1}$ (see equation 45).

• For any $\hat{\beta}_i$ associated to i^{st} explanatory variable x_{it} , we have :

$$\hat{\beta}_i \sim N(\beta_i, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 a_{i+1, i+1}),$$

where $a_{i+1,i+1}$ is the $(i+1)^{st}$ diagonal element of $(X'X)^{-1}$. We have :

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i}{\sigma_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{a_{i+1,i+1}}} \sim N(0,1).$$



Distribution of the estimated parameters II

From equation (46), we know:

$$\widehat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^2 = \frac{e'e}{T - k - 1}.$$

Recall that if $w \sim N(0, \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I})$ then $\frac{w'w}{\sigma_w^2}$ is distributed as a χ^2 and

$$\begin{split} \frac{e'e}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2} &\sim \chi_{T-k-1}^2 \\ \frac{(T-k-1)\widehat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^2}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2} &\sim \chi_{T-k-1}^2 \end{split}$$

Recall that for any $z \sim N(0,1)$ and $\nu \sim \chi_r^2$, $\frac{Z\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{\nu}} \sim t(r)$. We then have :

$$\frac{\frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i}{\sigma_\varepsilon \sqrt{a_{i+1,i+1}}} \sqrt{T-k-1}}{\sqrt{\frac{(T-k-1)\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2}{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}}}$$

Finally, we have :

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i}{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{a_{i+1,i+1}}} \sim t(T - k - 1)$$

(47)

Testing individually the estimated parameters I

Testing the null hypothesis that β_i equals the particular value of β^* :

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \beta_i = \beta^* \\ H_a: \beta_i \neq \beta^* \end{cases}$$

Under H_0 ,

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta^*}{\widehat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{a_{i+1,i+1}}} \sim t(T - k - 1)$$

and the decision rule gives:

- ▶ If $\left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_i \beta^*}{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon_N} / a_{i+1, i+1}}\right| \le t_{p/2}$ we do not reject H_0 that $\beta_i = \beta^*$ at a $100\alpha\%$ significance level.
- ▶ If $\left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_i \beta^*}{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon} \cdot A_{i, \pm 1 + i, \pm 1}}\right| > t_{p/2}$ we reject H_0 and $\beta_i \neq \beta^*$ at a $100\alpha\%$ significance level.

Testing individually the estimated parameters II

The most common test is the significance of the parameters :

• Testing the null hypothesis that $\beta_i = 0$:

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \beta_i = 0 \\ H_a: \beta_i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Under H_0 ,

$$t_{\hat{eta_i}} = rac{\hat{eta}_i}{\widehat{\sigma}_{\hat{eta_i}}} \sim t(T-k-1) \; ext{where} \; \widehat{\sigma}_{\hat{eta_i}} = \widehat{\sigma}_{arepsilon} \sqrt{a_{i+1,i+1}}$$

- ▶ If $\left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_i}{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\beta}_i}\right| \leq t_{p/2}$ we do not reject H_0 that $\beta_i = 0$ at a $100\alpha\%$ significance level \iff the variable X_{it} is not significant and does not influence Y_t .
- ▶ If $\left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_i}{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\beta}_i}}\right| > t_{p/2}$ we reject H_0 and $\beta_i \neq 0$ at a $100\alpha\%$ significance level \iff the variable X_{it} is significant and does influence Y_t .

Testing simultaneously some estimated parameters I

- Testing the significance of an isolated parameter corresponds to a Student t-test.
- Testing the significance of several (all) the estimated parameters at the same time corresponds to a Fisher test. Suppose that the parameters are subject to r constraints:

$$R\beta = r$$
,

where R is a (q, k+1) matrix and r a vector of size q. We suppose $q \le k+1$ and R to be full rank \iff the constraints are linearly independent.

- ▶ The case where $R = [0 \dots 010 \dots 0]$ and r = 0 corresponds to the previous Student-t test.
- ► The case where $R = [01 1 \dots 0]$ and r = 0 corresponds to the test where $\beta_1 = \beta_2$.

Testing simultaneously some estimated parameters II

► The case where

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponds to the test of global significance of the parameters or the model.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\iff \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_k = 0.$$

Testing simultaneously some estimated parameters III

Exercise 10 : Test examples

Considering the model

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \beta_2 x_{2,t} + \ldots + \beta_k x_{k,t} + \varepsilon_t, \ t = 1, \ldots, T.$$

give R and r in the following cases :

- 1. $H_0: \beta_3 = 1$; $H_a: \beta_3 \neq 1$.
- 2. $H_0: \beta_0 + \beta_1 = 1$; $H_a: \beta_0 + \beta_1 \neq 1$.
- 3. $H_0: \beta_2 \times \beta_1 = 0$; $H_a: \beta_2 \times \beta_1 \neq 0$.
- Aiming at implementing the previous test, we need to consider two models :
 - ▶ The unconstrained model \mathcal{M}_{uc} corresponds to the regression of the dependent variable on the set of all explanatory variables without imposing any contraint on the parameters.
 - The constrained model M_c corresponds to the regression of the dependent variable on a sub-set of explanatory variables that account for the linear contraints on the parameters. Note that, we may have to change variables in order to isolate the estimated parameters at the right side of the equal sign for example.
 - ▶ The constrained model \mathcal{M}_c is a special case of theunconstrained model \mathcal{M}_{uc} .
 - ▶ The constrained \mathcal{M}_c and unconstrained \mathcal{M}_{uc} models must be estimated to compute the statistic of the test F.

Testing simultaneously some estimated parameters IV

• The statistic of the test is :

$$F = \frac{(ESS_c - ESS_{uc})/q}{ESS_{uc}/(T - k - 1)} \sim F(q, T - k - 1)$$
 (48)

• The Fisher test is testing that the relative gap between the two ESS is significant $H_0: ESS_c - ESS_{uc} = 0$ and thus, that the constaint is statistically justified.

What is the alternative hypothesis of that test?

- If $F \le F(q,T-k-1)$, we do not reject the null hypothesis H_0 . In the contrary case, on reject the null.
- The Student-t test of the significativity of β_i can be seen as a Fisher test, where the constrained model resums to the regression of the dependent variable on a set of explanatory variables including all the explanatory variables but X_{it} . In that case, the Student-t statistic, t-stat is such that $t^2(T-k-1)=F(1,T-k-1)$.
- The null hypothesis of the overall significance test of a multiple regression, is $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_k = 0.$
- The unconstrained model is :

$$\mathcal{M}_{uc}: y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \beta_2 x_{2,t} + \ldots + \beta_k x_{k,t} + u_t, \ t = 1, \ldots, T,$$

• The constrained model is $\mathcal{M}_c: y_t = \beta_0 + u_t$.

Testing simultaneously some estimated parameters V

ullet We have $\hat{y}_t=\hat{eta}_0$, $\overline{\hat{y}}=ar{y}=\hat{eta}_0$ and q=k. Finally,

$$ESS_c = \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2 = \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - \bar{y})^2 = TSS$$

ullet Replacing, ESS_c and ESS_{uc} in

$$F = \frac{(ESS_c - ESS_{uc})/q}{ESS_{uc}/(T - k - 1)} \sim F(q, T - k - 1), \tag{49}$$

we get,

$$\begin{split} F &= \frac{(TSS - ESS)/k}{ESS/(T - k - 1)} \\ &= \frac{RSS/k}{ESS/(T - k - 1)} \sim F(k, T - k - 1) \\ &= \frac{R^2/k}{(1 - R^2)/(T - k - 1)} \sim F(k, T - k - 1) \end{split}$$

where RSS is the regression sum of squares.

• The Student test of the significance of β_i can be interpreted as a Fisher test, where the constraint model resumes to regressing the dependant variable over the set of explanatory variables but x_{it} .

Testing simultaneously some estimated parameters VI

Exercise 11: Constrained vs unconstrained model

Consider the model

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \beta_2 x_{2,t} + \beta_3 x_{3,t} + u_t, t = 1, \dots, T$$

Describe the method (set of regressions included) to test $\{\beta_1 = 1; \beta_3 = -1\}$.

- To perform multiple tests.
 - \blacktriangleright we estimate the two models \mathcal{M}_c and \mathcal{M}_{nc} and each time, we extract the ESS,
 - we compute the statistic of the test.
 - we compare it to a critical value of the corresponding distribution,
 - \blacktriangleright we reject H_0 whenever the statistic is greater than the critical value (tabulated value).
- Equivalently,
 - we can calculate the risk of rejecting the true hypothesis (type-I error).
 - \triangleright compare it to a risk tolerence level we are willing to bear (α) ,
 - \blacktriangleright we reject H_0 if the p-value is lower than the risk we are willing to bear.

Outline

Introduction

Definition

Basics in statistics and mathematics

he data

Limits, critics & model construction

Described in a fill and the

Assumptions

Properties of the OLS estimator

Precision and standard errors

Introduction to statistical inference

The ide

Distribution of the estimated parameters

Significativity test

The level of circuiting approach

The exact level of significance : the p-val

Simple linear regression with Gretl or R

Presentation

Choosing a software

Getting started with Gretl

Financial application

The multiple regression mode

Matrix form of the model

Estimation of the variance of the errors I

Statistical inference in the multiple regression mode

Testing individually the estimated parameters

Testing simultaneously the estimated parameters

Financial application : The APT model Constructing factors and excess returns Regression model

Heteroskedasticity and serial correlation

The generalised regression model

The GLS estimator

Heteroskedasticity of the errors

Dealing with Heteroskedastici

Autocorrelation of the errors

Financial application : The APT model (Ct

Other assumptions violation and diagnostic test

Stochastic regressors and exogeneity

Normality of the errors

Normality of the el

iviuiticollinearity

Model selection and diagnostic tests

Selection criteria

Alternative to OLS

Two stage least squares

Maximum likelihood estimation

Generalized Least Squares

Quantile regression

Appendix & References

The APT-style model of Brooks I

- The classical APT model explains single stock excess returns by the variations of a market index excess return and other factors. Brooks tries to explain Microsoft monthly returns by unexpected changes of macroeconomics and financial variables.
- File called macro.xls: 325 monthly observations from March 1986 to April 2013. Variables are: Dates, Microsoft stock price, S&P500 index value, the consummer price index, an industrial production index, Tresury bill yields with maturities 3 months, 6months, 1 year, 3 years, 5 years and 10 years, a measure of money supply, a consumer credit series, an a credit spread series.

The APT-style model of Brooks II

 \bullet Load the file under gretl and construct the returns for the microsoft stock and the S&P500 index.

```
genr rmsoft = log(Microsoft/Microsoft(-1))
```

 Assuming naive expectations, unexpected changes are obtained as the first difference in any variable of interest. Construct the interesting unexpected changes in the macro and financial variables.

```
genr dcredit = CONSUMER_CREDIT - CONSUMER_CREDIT(-1)
```

- Construct the slope of the yield curve of interest rate genr term = USTBY10 - USTB3M
- Construct the risk free rate as the monthly 3 months treasury bill yields.
 genr mustb3m = USTB3M/12
- Construct the excess returns for Microsoft and the S&P500. genr ermsoft = rmsoft - mustb3m
- Run the appropriate regression.
 Model APT <- ols ermsoft const ersandp dprod dcredit dinflation dmoney dspread dterm
- Interpret the output.

The APT-style model of Brooks III

Model APT : OLS, using observations 1986 :05–2007 :04 (T=252) Dependent variable : ermsoft

	Coefficient	Std. Err	or <i>t</i> -ratio	p-value
const ersandp dprod dcredit dinflation dmoney dspread	-0.587603 1.48943 0.289322 -5.58389e-005 4.24781 -1.16153 12.1578	1.45790 0.203276 0.500919 0.000160 2.97734 0.713974 13.5510	0.5776 0491 -0.3479 1.4267 4 -1.6268 0.8972	0.6873 0.0000 0.5641 0.7282 0.1549 0.1051 0.3705
rterm	6.06761	3.32136	1.8268	0.0689
Mean depe Sum square R^2 $F(7,244)$ Log-likeliho Schwarz cr $\hat{\rho}$	ed resid 4748 0.20 8.90 pod —1017	80.62 S.E 3545 Adj 8218 P-v 7.642 Aka 9.520 Han	0. dependent var i. of regression usted \mathbb{R}^2 value(F) aike criterion nnan-Quinn rbin-Watson	15.41135 13.94965 0.180696 9.08e-10 2051.284 2062.646 2.156221