

cs208 HW 3

Anthony Rentsch

4/2/2019

Question 1

(a) To prove that this mechanism is ϵ -DP, I will show that (i) the percentile trimming transformation is 1-Lipschitz, (ii) that the Laplace noise injection mechanism is ϵ -DP, and (iii) that this implies that the entire mechanism $M(x)$ is $(1 * \epsilon)$ -DP.

- (i) A mapping T from dataset to dataset is c -Lipschitz iff $\forall x, x' d(T(x), T(x')) \leq c * d(x, x')$. Here let's consider that x and x' only differ on one element. It follows that $d(x, x') = 1$.

Now consider the percentile trimming transformation in this mechanism. It again follows that $d(T(x), T(x')) = 1$ since the maximum number of rows that these two datasets will differ on is 1. Returning the inequality in the definition of a Lipschitz constant, we see that this transformation is 1-Lipschitz.

- (ii) First, we observe that

$$\frac{1}{.9n} \sum_{P_{.05} \leq x \leq P_{0.95}} x_i$$

is simply an estimator for the mean of x after trimming the bottom and top 5% of the data. For simplicity, replace $.9n$ with n' and call this mechanism M' . Note that the global sensitivity of this query is $GS_q = D/n'$. Since the Laplace noise is scaled by $\frac{GS_q}{\epsilon}$, M' is ϵ -DP.

- (iii) In class, we discussed a lemma that states that if M is ϵ -DP and T is c -Lipschitz, then $M \circ T$ is $(c * \epsilon)$ -DP. Following from (i) and (ii), we then have that $M = M' \circ T$ is $(1 * \epsilon)$ -DP.

Below is the implementation of this mechanism.

```
sgn <- function(x) {      # function borrowed from class
  return(ifelse(x < 0, -1, 1))
}

rlap = function(mu=0, b=1, size=1) {      # function borrowed from class
  p <- runif(size) - 0.5
  draws <- mu - b * sgn(p) * log(1 - 2 * abs(p))
  return(draws)
}

trimmedMean <- function(x, d, n, epsilon) {
  scale <- d/(epsilon*0.9*n)
  quants <- quantile(x, c(0.05,0.95))
  x_trimmed <- x[x>quants[1] && x<quants[2]]
  mean_trimmed <- (1/(0.9*epsilon*n))*sum(x_trimmed)
  mean_release <- mean_trimmed + rlap(mu=0,b=scale)
  return(mean_release)
}
```

(b) Let's first consider the Lipschitz constant of the transformation $[x]_{P_{0.5}}^{P_{0.95}}$. - this must be 2-Lipschitz

(c) - describe mechanism - implment with code


(d) - code

(e) - informal sketch of proof

(f) - have to re-implement laplace clamped mean from last hw - code + plots - description of results/ situation in which this would be a good approach

Question 2

- comment



Question 3