## SC4/SM8 Advanced Topics in Statistical Machine Learning Chapter 7: Bayesian Optimisation

# Yee Whye Teh Department of Statistics Oxford

https://github.com/ywteh/advml2020

### Optimizing "black-box" functions

Machine learning models often have a number of hyperparameters which need to be tuned:

- kernel methods: bandwidth in a Gaussian kernel, degree of a Matérn kernel, regularization parameters
- neural networks: number of layers, regularization parameters, layer size, batch size, learning rate
- Latent Dirichlet Allocation: Dirichlet parameters, number of topics, vocabulary size

Define an objective function: a measure of generalization performance for a given set of hyperparameters obtained e.g. using cross-validation.

Grid search, random search, trial-and-error...

### Optimizing "black-box" functions

We are interested in optimizing a 'well behaved' function  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  over some bounded domain  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e. in solving

$$x_{\star} = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x).$$

However, f is not known explicitly, i.e. it is a **black-box** function and we can only ever obtain noisy (and potentially expensive as they may correspond to training of a large machine learning model or even running a complex physical experiment) evaluations of f.

#### Probabilistic model for the objective f

- Assuming that f is well behaved, we build a surrogate probabilistic model for it (Gaussian Process).
- Compute the posterior predictive distribution of f
- Optimize a cheap proxy / acquisiton function instead of f which takes into account predicted values of f at new points as well as the uncertainty in those predictions: this model is typically much cheaper to evaluate than the actual objective f.
- Evaluate the objective *f* at the optimum of the proxy.

The proxy / acquisiton function should balance exploration against exploitation.

### Surrogate GP model

Assume that the noise  $\epsilon_i$  in the evaluations of the black-box function is i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \delta^2\right)$ :

$$\mathbf{f} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{K})$$
  
 $\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{f} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}, \delta^2 I).$ 

Gives a closed form expression for the **posterior predictive mean**  $\mu(x)$  and the **posterior predictive marginal standard deviation**  $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{\kappa(x,x)}$  at any new location x, i.e.

$$f(x) \mid \mathcal{D} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(x), \kappa(x, x)),$$

where

$$\mu(x) = \mathbf{k}_{xx}(\mathbf{K} + \delta^2 I)^{-1}\mathbf{y},$$
  

$$\kappa(x, x) = k(x, x) - \mathbf{k}_{xx}(\mathbf{K} + \delta^2 I)^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{xx}$$

- Exploitation: seeking locations with low posterior mean  $\mu(x)$ ,
- **Exploration**: seeking locations with high posterior variance  $\kappa(x,x)$ .

#### **Acquisition functions**

• **GP-LCB**. "optimism in the phase of uncertainty"; minimize the lower  $(1 - \alpha)$ -credible bound of the posterior of the unknown function values f(x), i.e.

$$\alpha_{LCB}(x) = \mu(x) - z_{1-\alpha}\sigma(x),$$

where  $z_{1-\alpha} = \Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha)$  is the desired quantile of the standard normal distibution.

• **PI** (probability of improvement).  $\tilde{x}$ : the optimal location so far,  $\tilde{y}$ : the observed minimum. Let  $u(x) = \mathbb{1}\{f(x) < \tilde{y}\},$ 

$$\alpha_{PI}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u(x)|\mathcal{D}\right] = \Phi\left(\gamma(x)\right), \quad \gamma(x) = \frac{\tilde{y} - \mu\left(x\right)}{\sigma\left(x\right)}$$

• **EI** (expected improvement). Let  $u(x) = \max(0, \tilde{y} - f(x))$ 

$$\alpha_{EI}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u(x)|\mathcal{D}\right] = \sigma\left(x\right)\left(\gamma\left(x\right)\Phi\left(\gamma\left(x\right)\right) + \phi\left(\gamma\left(x\right)\right)\right).$$

Treating  $\tilde{y}$  as the actual value  $f(\tilde{x})$  of the objective?













