## SC4/SM8 Advanced Topics in Statistical Machine Learning Problem Sheet 2

1. Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be positive definite kernels on  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . Verify that the following are also valid kernels.

[Hint: it suffices to identify the corresponding feature.]

- (a)  $x^{\top}x'$ ,
- (b)  $ck_1(x, x')$ , for  $c \ge 0$ ,
- (c)  $f(x)k_1(x,x')f(x')$  for any function  $f: \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (d)  $k_1(x,x') + k_2(x,x')$ ,
- (e)  $k_1(x, x')k_2(x, x')$ ,
- (f)  $\exp(k_1(x, x'))$ ,
- (g)  $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2}||x x'||_2^2\right)$ .
- 2. Assume that kernel k is not strictly positive definite, but that there exist  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i a_j k(x_i, x_j) = 0.$$

Show that then

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i k(x_i, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Hence conclude that the RKHS functions of the form  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i k(x_i, x)$  have zero norm if and only if they are identically equal to zero. [Hint: assume contrary for some  $x = x_{n+1}$  and consider  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_i a_j k(x_i, x_j)$ ]

3. (One-Class SVM) A Gaussian RBF kernel on  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^p$  is given by

$$k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x - x'\|^2\right).$$
 (1)

- (i) What is k(x,x) for this kernel? What can you conclude about the norm of the features  $\varphi(x)$  of x? What values can the angles between  $\varphi(x)$  and  $\varphi(x')$  take? Sketch the set  $\{\varphi(x): x \in \mathcal{X}\}$  as if the features lived in a 2D space.
- (ii) Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a set of points in  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^p$  (no labels are given). The one-class Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a method for outlier detection which in its primal form is defined as

$$\min_{w,\xi,\rho} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \rho, \quad \text{subject to } \langle w, \varphi(x_i) \rangle \ge \rho - \xi_i, \ \xi_i \ge 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is a given SVM parameter, features  $\varphi(x)$  correspond to the RBF kernel in (1), and  $\xi_i$ 's are the non-negative slack variables. The fitted hyperplane  $\langle w, \varphi(x) \rangle - \rho$  in the feature space separates the majority of points from the origin (while pushing away from the origin as much as possible) and is used to determine "atypical" x-instances.

Using the 2D intuition from (i), sketch the corresponding hyperplane in the feature space and annotate with  $\rho$ , w and a non-zero slack  $\xi_j$  for an "outlier"  $x_j$ . Would it make sense to use the one-class SVM with a linear kernel?

- (iii) Write the dual form of the one-class SVM, using Lagrangian duality. [Hint: setting to zero the derivative of the Lagrangian with respect to w should give  $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \varphi(x_i)$ , where  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  are the Lagrange multipliers of the constraints  $\langle w, \varphi(x_i) \rangle \geq \rho \xi_i$ ]
- 4. Derive the Gram matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$  of centred features  $\tilde{\varphi}(x_i) = \varphi(x_i) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n \varphi(x_r)$  as a function of kernel values  $\mathbf{K}_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j) = \varphi(x_i)^\top \varphi(x_j)$ . Show that it takes the form  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{H}$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is a matrix you should specify. Verify that  $\mathbf{H}$  is symmetric and idempotent, i.e.,  $\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{H}$ .
- 5. Show that

$$\mathrm{MMD}_{k}\left(P,Q\right) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{k}: \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{k}} \le 1} \left| \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P} f(X) - \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim Q} f(Y) \right|.$$

6. Consider a multilayer perceptron with 1 hidden layer consisting of N hidden units. The MLP is given by the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ :

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j h(a_j^{\top} x + b_j)$$

with nonlinearity h and parameters initialised iid as:

$$w_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2/N)$$

$$a_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_a^2 I_d)$$

$$b_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_b^2)$$
(2)

Assume that the nonlinearity has bounded second moment,  $\mathbb{E}[h(a^{\top}x+b)^2] \leq V < \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We will consider the behaviour of f at initialisation, in case of a very wide MLP, i.e.  $N \to \infty$ .

- (a) Show that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , f(x) is normally distributed as  $N \to \infty$ , with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_w^2 \mathbb{E}[h(a^\top x + b)^2]$  where  $\mathbb{E}$  is expectation with respect to random parameters a and b given by the initialisation. Why is the division by N important in (2)?
- (b) Show that for  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the pair f(x), f(x') is also jointly normally distributed as  $N \to \infty$ , with zero mean and variance  $\sigma^2_w \mathbb{E}[h(a^\top x + b)h(a^\top x' + b)]$ .
- (c) For input-output pair (x, y) and square loss, derive the gradients with respect to  $w_j$ ,  $a_j$  and  $b_j$ .
- (d) What do you notice about the typical scales of these gradients at the first step of SGD? For a wide MLP with very large N, how would SGD behave at the first iteration? Specifically, would the first layer parameters  $(a_j, b_j)$  change much relative to the second layer parameters  $(w_j)$ ? How about for subsequent iterations?
- (e) Would ADAM behave differently?
- (f) Suppose we parameterise our MLP slightly differently:

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w'_{j} h((a'_{j})^{\top} x + b'_{j})$$

with parameters initialised iid as:

$$w'_{j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{w}^{2})$$

$$a'_{j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{a}^{2}I_{d})$$

$$b'_{j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{b}^{2})$$
(3)

Explain why this does not change the MLP model. How does this change the behaviour of SGD in the first and subsequent iterations?