

# Artificial Intelligence in Control Engineering exercise

Lecturer: Dr. Pham Viet Cuong

October 08th, 2018

Group 9:

Nguyen Chinh Thuy	1513372
Nguyen Tan Phu	1512489
Le Van Hoang Phuong	1512579
Do Tieu Thien	1513172
Nguyen Tan Sy	1512872
Nguyen Van Qui	1512702

## 1 Problem

## 2 Configuration

## 3 Implementation

### 3.1 Particle Filter

To solve the Particle Filter problem, we implement follow below steps:

- Prediction

Process model:

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + V_t \Delta t \cos(\theta_t + \varphi_{t-1}) \quad (1a)$$

$$y_t = y_{t-1} + V_t \Delta t \sin(\theta_t + \varphi_{t-1}) \quad (1b)$$

$$\varphi_t = \varphi_{t-1} + \frac{V_t \Delta t \sin \theta_t}{WB} \quad (1c)$$

Measurement model:

$$r_t = \sqrt{(x_t - x_L)^2 + (y_t - y_L)^2} \quad (2a)$$

$$b_t = \arctan \frac{y_t - y_L}{x_t - x_L} + \varphi_t \quad (2b)$$

- Measurement model
- Implementing a loop with  $M$  step which is numbers of particles
- Using process model and control signals  $u_t$  in **VG** which are affected by thermal noise to calculate coordinate  $x_t^{[m]}$  of robot.
- Combining coordinate of robot from above step and coordinate of landmarks in **lm** to calculate range  $r_t$  and bearing angle  $b_t$ .
- Calculating importance factor  $w_t^{[m]}$  depend on probability density function formula with  $\mu$  is matrix of expected range and bearing which are from **Z**.

$$f_x(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Sigma\|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)\right) \quad (3)$$

- Selection

- Implementing a loop with  $M$  step.
- Choosing a index in range  $[1, M]$  for  $x_t^{[m]}$  with probabilities  $w_t^{[m]}$ .

### 3.1.1 Python code

---

```
1 #
2 # Libraries
3 #
4 import numpy as np
5 from scipy.io import loadmat
6 from utils.particle_filter import ParticleFilter
7
8
9 #
10 # Parameters
11 #
12 n_particles = 100
13 sigma_v, sigma_g = 0.5, 3/180*np.pi
14 sigma_r, sigma_b = 0.2, 2/180*np.pi
15 wb = 4
16 time_step = 0.025
17 particle = "max"
18
19
20 #
21 # Main execution
22 #
23 # Load data
24 data = loadmat("data20171107.mat")
25 landmarks, X_gt, Z, U = data["lm"], data["XTRUE"], data["Z"], data["VG"]
26 n_steps = X_gt.shape[1]
27
28
29 # Create a Particle Filter instance
30 particle_filt = ParticleFilter(
31     n_particles=n_particles,
32     n_steps=n_steps,
33     landmarks=landmarks,
34     sigma_v=sigma_v,
35     sigma_g=sigma_g,
36     sigma_r=sigma_r,
37     sigma_b=sigma_b,
38     wb=wb,
39     time_step=time_step,
40 )
41
42
43 # Perform loops
44 x_start = X_gt[:, 0, np.newaxis]
45 X_record, W_record = particle_filt.loop_over_steps(x_start, U, Z)
46
47
48 # Visualize the result
49 mse = particle_filt.compute_MSE(X_gt, X_record, W_record, particle)
50 print("MSE: %.6f" % (mse))
51 particle_filt.visualize(X_gt, X_record, W_record, particle)
```

---

### 3.1.2 Result

- Comment:

- Overall, three trajectories of Prediction fits Ground truth with the same patterns. However, the best fit is belong to trajectoty with choosing max  $w_t$ , so the root mean square is smallest.
- Line of XODO which is calculated from process model is different from Ground truth because of effect on range and bearing angle from thermal noise, while Prediction is calculated and chosen with importance factor  $w_t$ . That is the reason why line of Prediction is better than XODO.

../max.png

(a) Trajectories with max  $w_t$ .  $RMS = 11.289769$

../median.png

(b) Trajectories with median  $w_t$ .  $RMS = 11.428931$