7590 HW 1

Duncan Wilkie

?

I occasionally swap between physics and math conventions for complex conjugation, the inner product notation, which arguments of the inner product are sesquillinear/linear, etc. It's an unfortunate consequence of learning the notations simultaneously from both departments.

1a

By the definition of the L^2 inner product and A, for any functions $f, g \in D(A)$ we have

$$\langle Af|g\rangle = \langle f|Ag\rangle \Leftrightarrow \int_0^1 \overline{f''(x)}g(x)dx = \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)}g''(x)dx$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\overline{f'g} \bigg|_0^1 - \int_0^1 \overline{f'(x)} g'(x) dx = \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)} g''(x) dx$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{f'g} \bigg|_0^1 - \overline{fg'} \bigg|_0^1 + \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)} g''(x) dx = \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)} g''(x) dx$$

the evaluation terms must both be zero at 0 and 1 since smooth compactly-supported functions on open sets vanish in the limit to the boundary of their domains. Therefore, this operator is symmetric. However, not all elements of $D(A^{\dagger})$ are elements of D(A): $g \in H$ is an element of $D(A^{\dagger})$ iff there exists $h \in H$ such that $\forall f \in D(A)$

$$\int_0^1 \overline{f''(x)} g(x) dx = \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)} h(x) dx$$

Applying the same integration-by-parts argument as above, we may equivalently write this as

$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{f'}g\bigg|_0^1 - \overline{f}g'\bigg|_0^1 + \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)}g''(x)dx = \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)}h(x)dx$$

Since f is compactly supported, f' is as well, so the evaluation terms are zero by the same argument given above. Letting $g = x^2$, we then have

$$\int_0^1 \overline{f(x)} \cdot 2dx = \int_0^1 \overline{f(x)} h(x) dx$$

from which we can clearly see the $L^2([0,1])$ function h=2 is the element adjoint to g with respect to A. g is therefore in $D(A^{\dagger})$. It isn't in D(A) though, since x^2 doesn't vanish at 1 and therefore isn't compactly supported on this interval. This implies $D(A^{\dagger}) \neq D(A)$, so $A \neq A^{\dagger}$, i.e. A isn't self-adjoint.

1b

Proceeding similarly,

$$\langle Af|g\rangle = \langle f|Ag\rangle \Leftrightarrow \int_0^1 (if'(x))^* g(x) dx = \int_0^1 (f(x))^* ig'(x) dx$$
$$\Leftrightarrow -if^* g \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 i(f(x))^* g'(x) dx = \int_0^1 (f(x))^* ig'(x) dx$$

By the same argument as above, the evaluation term is zero, in which case the equality follows immediately. This operator is symmetric. Once again, x^2 is in $D^{\dagger}(A)$ but not D(A): from the formula derived for $\langle Af|g\rangle$ in the proof A is symmetric, the definition of membership in $D^{\dagger}(A)$ is

$$\int_0^1 (f(x))^* 2x dx = \int_0^1 (f(x))^* h(x) dx$$

which, choosing $h = 2x \in L^2([0,1])$, clearly holds. 2x isn't compactly supported on (0,1) since it doesn't vanish in the limit to 1, so $D(A^{\dagger}) \neq D(A)$ and A isn't self-adjoint.

1c

The definition of a symmetric operator is that $\forall f, g \in D(A)$

$$\langle Af|g\rangle = \langle f|Ag\rangle$$

which in this case is

$$\int_{\Omega} \overline{[\partial_{i}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{j}f)(x)]}g(x)dx = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x)}\partial_{i}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{j}g)(x)dx$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g(x)\overline{a_{ij}(x)\partial_{j}f(x)}\bigg|_{\partial\Omega} - \int_{\Omega} \overline{[a_{ij}(x)\partial_{j}f(x)]}\partial_{i}g(x)dx = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x)}\partial_{i}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{j}g)(x)dx$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{-a_{ij}(x)f(x)}\partial_{i}g(x)\bigg|_{\partial\Omega} + \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x)}\partial_{j}\left(\overline{a_{ij}(x)}\partial_{i}g(x)\right)dx = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x)}\partial_{i}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{j}g)(x)dx$$

S

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x)} \partial_i (\overline{a_{ji}(x)} \partial_j g(x)) dx = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x)} \partial_i (a_{ij}(x) \partial_j g)(x) dx$$

where we have throughout used integration by parts and the same fact that functions of compact support vanish in the limit to their boundaries. Since $a_{ij}(x)$ is Hermitian, it is equal to $\overline{a_{ji}(x)}$, and so the two sides are equal and the operator is symmetric. Here, A is a bounded operator:

$$||Af|| \le C||f|| \Leftrightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j f)(x)|^2 dx \le C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \partial_{i} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{j} f(x) dx \int_{\Omega} \overline{\partial_{i} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{j} f(x)} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{2} dx$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(f(x) \partial_{i} a_{ij}(x) \Big|_{\partial \Omega} - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \partial_{j} \partial_{i} a_{ij}(x) \right) \left(\overline{f(x) \partial_{i} a_{ij}(x)} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} - \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x) \partial_{j} \partial_{i} a_{ij}(x)} dx \right) \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{2} dx$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \left(f(x) \overline{f(x)} \right) \left([\partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{ij}(x)] \overline{[\partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{ij}(x)]} \right) dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{2} dx$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{ij}(x) dx \right|^{2} \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{2} dx$$

From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f(x) \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij}(x) dx \right|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dx \int_{\Omega} |\overline{\partial_i \partial_j a_{ij}(x)}|^2 dx = C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

This proves the operator is bounded. Therefore, $D(A^{\dagger}) = H$, and there are certainly $L^2(\Omega)$ functions that aren't C^{∞} , so $D(A^{\dagger}) \not\subseteq D(A)$ implying A is not self-adjoint.

2a

Applying the definition of the infinitesimal generator,

$$Af(x) = -i \lim_{t \to 0} [f(x+vt) - f(x)]/t = -i \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$$

where the last equality is valid where the limit exists, using the definition of the partial derivative. Since $V \in C^1$, and the above implies D(A) is L^2 functions differentiable along v, we have $V \subseteq D(A)$, with action given above.

2b

The adjoint of U is defined by

$$\langle U(t)f(x)|g(x)\rangle = \langle f(x)|(U(t))^{\dagger}g(x)\rangle$$

The left hand side is, applying the definition of the L^2 inner product and U,

$$\langle U(t)f(x)|g(x)\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{f(e^{-tB}x)}g(x)dx$$

We can make the substitution $y = e^{-tB}x$, in which case $x = e^{tB}y$. The component functions of this change of variables take the form

$$h_i = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \left[(B^n)_{i1} x_1 + (B^n)_{i2} x_2 + (B^n)_{i3} x_3 \right]$$

The Jacobian of this change of coordinates is then

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \begin{pmatrix} (B^n)_{11} & (B^n)_{12} & (B^n)_{13} \\ (B^n)_{21} & (B^n)_{22} & (B^n)_{23} \\ (B^n)_{31} & (B^n)_{32} & (B^n)_{33} \end{pmatrix} = e^{-tB}$$

Using $\det e^A = e^{\operatorname{tr} A}$, the Jacobian determinant is

$$\det J = e^{-t\operatorname{tr}(B)} = 1$$

since the trace of skew-symmetric matrices is zero. We can now finally rewrite the integral as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{f(y)} g(e^{tB}y) |\det J| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{f(y)} g(e^{tB}y) dy = \langle f(x) | U^\dagger(t) g(x) \rangle$$

which identifies $U^{\dagger}(t): g(x) \mapsto g(e^{tB}x)$. Clearly, this is unitary:

$$UU^\dagger f(x) = f(e^{-tB}e^{tB}x) = If(x) = f(e^{tB}e^{-tB}x) = UU^\dagger f(x)$$

For $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the infinitesimal generator acts as

$$Af(x) = -i \lim_{t \to 0} [f(e^{-tB}x) - f(x)]/t$$

The numerator limits to zero, since $e^{-at} \sim 1$ as $t \to 0$. Applying L'Hôpital's rule,

$$= -i \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\frac{d}{dt} f(e^{-tB}x)}{1} = -i \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{-tB}x\right) \cdot \nabla f(e^{-tB}x) = -i \lim_{t \to 0} \left(-Be^{-tB}x\right) \cdot \nabla f(e^{-tB}x)$$
$$= iBx \nabla f(x)$$

This shows that the limit exists for every $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ (so $V \subseteq D(A)$) and gives its action.

2c

Notice first that the definition of n and r give the number s "modulo" 2π in the sense that if one divides the real number line into partitions by integer multiples of 2π , n(s) gives the multiple of 2π corresponding to the rightmost partition boundary which lies to the left of s and r(s) gives the rightward displacement of s from the partition boundary. If n were further left, adding $0 \le r(s) < 2\pi$ couldn't equal s, and if it were to the right of s, the same is true because r(s) is positive. We prove first that U_{α} is a continuous symmetry.

Unitarity: It preserves the inner product

$$\langle Uf|Ug\rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\alpha^{n(x+t)}f(r(x+t))} \alpha^{n(x+t)}g(r(x+t))dx = \int_0^{2\pi} |\alpha^{n(x+t)}|^2 \overline{f(r(x+t))}g(r(x+t))dx$$

Since $|\alpha| = 1$, we may write $\alpha = e^{i\theta}$ in which case it is immediately clear $|\alpha^{n(x+t)}|^2 = 1$. We now make the substitution y = r(x+t), under which $dy = r'(x+t)dx \Leftrightarrow dx = \frac{dy}{r'(x+t)}$. Differentiating the definition of n and r with respect to s yields $1 = n'(s)2\pi + r'(s) = r'(s)$ since n is a step

function. Strictly speaking, it is possible there is one point in the integration interval where this derivative is not defined, but since this is a set of measure zero it won't contribute to the integral. We therefore have, applying the substitution,

$$= \int_{r(t)}^{r(2\pi+t)} \overline{f(y)} g(y) dy = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \overline{f(y)} g(y) dy = \langle f|g \rangle$$

where we have used for the penultimate equality the fact that y (and therefore the entire integrand) has periodicity 2π , so the integral over any two intervals of length 2π will be the same. It also is surjective, as if given a function $h \in L^2([0,2\pi])$, we may write since $\alpha = e^{i\theta} \Rightarrow f(x)\alpha^{g(x)} = f(x)e^{i\theta g(x)}$

$$h(x) = f(x)e^{ig'(x)} = f(x)\alpha^{g(x)}$$

where $f:[0,2\pi]\to\mathbb{R}$ and $g:[0,2\pi]\to[0,2\pi]$. For any given h (which we may rewrite in the form above), α , and t, one may construct the function $k\in L^2([0,2\pi])$ given by $k(x)=f(r(x-t))\alpha^{g(r(x-t))-n(r(x-t)+t)}$. Noting that for $x\in[0,2\pi)$

$$r(r(x+t)-t) = r(x+t-2\pi n(x+t)-t) = r(x-2\pi n(x+t)) = x$$

since

$$r(x+2k\pi) = x + 2k\pi - n(x+2k\pi) = x + 2k\pi - 2k\pi = x$$

by the characterization of n(s) above, we have

$$U_{\alpha}k = \alpha^{n(x+t)}f[r(r(x+t)-t)]\alpha^{g[r(r(x+t)-t)]-n[r(r(x+t)-t)+t]} = \alpha^{n(x+t)}f(x)\alpha^{g(x)-n(x+t)} = f(x)\alpha^{g(x)-n(x+t)}$$

Therefore, U_{α} is surjective for every α and t, and in conjunction with the above result this proves U_{α} is unitary. $U_{\alpha}(0) = I$, using $x \in [0, 2\pi]$:

$$U_{\alpha}(0)f(x) = \alpha^{n(x)}f(r(x)) = \alpha^{0}f(x) = f(x)$$

The operator behaves properly under addition in t:

$$U_{\alpha}(t+s)f(x) = \alpha^{n(x+t+s)}f(r(x+t+s)) = U_{\alpha}(s)\left(\alpha^{n+t}f(r(x+t))\right) = U_{\alpha}(s)U_{\alpha}(t)f(x)$$

Lastly, using $x \in [0, 2\pi)$,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} U_{\alpha}(t)x = \lim_{t \to 0} \alpha^{n(x+t)} r(x+t) = \alpha^{n(x)} r(x) = \alpha^0 x = x$$

This argument becomes slightly more subtle at $x=2\pi$ due to the discontinuities in n and r(x), but since the limit must be taken from inside $[0,2\pi]$ one may use left-hand limits $n(2\pi^-)=0$ and $r(2\pi^-)=2\pi$ to obtain the same result above.

The infinitesimal generator of U_{α} is by definition

$$A_{\alpha}f = -i\lim_{t\to 0} [U_{\alpha}(t)f - f]/t = -i\lim_{t\to 0} [\alpha^{n(x+t)}f(r(x+t)) - f(x)]/t$$

$$= -i \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \alpha^{n(x+t)} f(r(x+t))}{1} = -i \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d}{dt} \alpha^{0} f(x+t) = -i f'(x)$$

where we have used L'Hôpital's rule and $x \in [0, 2\pi)$ (in which case the limit will become at some point exclusively through t close enough to x that $0 \le x + t < 2\pi$, yielding r(x + t) = x + t and n(x + t) = 0). The special case $x = 2\pi$ is much the same, only the limit is taken inside $[0, 2\pi]$ so only the left-hand limit is taken, which coincides with the result above. Functions in the given V_{α} make the limit above exist since they are C^1 , implying f'(x) is well-defined. Therefore, $V_{\alpha} \in D(A_{\alpha})$ and the action is as given above.

3a

The given D(A) is a subset of the V_{α} given in problem 2c, since C^1 compactly-supported functions on $(0,2\pi)$ are a subset of $C^1([0,2\pi])$ functions that vanish in the limits to 0 and 2π , which are a subset of $C^1([0,2\pi])$ functions where $f(2\pi) = f(0) = 0$, which are a subset of $C^1([0,2\pi])$ functions where $f(2\pi) = \alpha f(0)$. Since $V_{\alpha} \subseteq D(A_{\alpha})$ was proven in 2c, we have $D(A) \subseteq D(A_{\alpha})$. Further, $A = A_{\alpha}$ on D(A) is immediate from the symbolic expression of each being identical. This proves $A \subset A_{\alpha}$.

3b

 $A \subset A_{\alpha}$ implies that $e^{-itA_{\alpha}} = e^{-itA}$ on D(A). The theorem gives the result that

$$u(x,t) = e^{-itA_{\alpha}}u_0 = U_{\alpha}(t)u_0(x)$$

is the unique solution to $\dot{u}(t) = iAu(t)$ where A is the infinitesimal generator of U(t). Applying this, the result follows immediately:

$$u(x,t) = U(t)u_0(x) = U_1(t)u_0(x) = 1^{n(x+t)}u_0(r(x+t)) = u_0(x+t)$$

4a

We have, choosing a basis for which A is diagonal (which exists by positive-definiteness)

$$Z = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle/2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i^2/2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{-\lambda_i x_i^2/2} dx = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda_i x_i^2/2} dx_i$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_i}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\det A}}$$

4b

Noting that since A (and therefore G) are Hermitian,

$$\begin{split} \langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x-Gp,A(x-Gp)/2\rangle &= \langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x,Ax-p\rangle/2 + \langle Gp,Ax-p\rangle/2 \\ &= \langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x,Ax\rangle/2 + \langle x,p\rangle/2 + \langle Gp,Ax\rangle/2 - \langle Gp,p\rangle/2 \\ &= \langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x,Ax\rangle/2 + \langle x,p\rangle - \langle Gp,p\rangle/2 \\ &= \langle p,x\rangle - \langle Ax,x\rangle/2 \end{split}$$

We can then write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\langle p,x\rangle} d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\langle p,x\rangle} \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle Ax,x\rangle/2} dx = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\langle p,x\rangle - \langle Ax,x\rangle/2} dx$$

as

$$\frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\langle p, Gp \rangle / 2 - \langle x - Gp, A(x - Gp) / 2 \rangle} dx$$

Making the substitution y = x - Gp, dy = dx we have

$$=\frac{1}{Z}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{\langle Gp,p\rangle/2-\langle y,Ay\rangle/2}dy=e^{\langle Gp,p\rangle/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{Z}e^{-\langle Ay,y\rangle/2}dy$$

The integral is one by construction, proving the identity.

4c

We find a similar identity:

$$\begin{split} -\langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x-iGp,A(x-iGp)/2\rangle &= -\langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x,Ax-ip\rangle/2 + \langle iGp,Ax-ip\rangle/2 \\ &= -\langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x,Ax\rangle/2 + \langle x,ip\rangle/2 + \langle iGp,Ax\rangle/2 - \langle iGp,ip\rangle/2 \\ &= -\langle p,Gp\rangle/2 - \langle x,Ax\rangle/2 + i\langle x,p\rangle + \langle Gp,p\rangle/2 \\ &= -i\langle p,x\rangle - \langle Ax,x\rangle/2 \end{split}$$

As before, this yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle p,x\rangle} d\mu(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle p,x\rangle - \langle Ax,x\rangle/2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle p,Gp\rangle/2} e^{-\langle x-iGp,A(x-iGp)\rangle/2} dx \\ &= e^{-\langle p,Gp\rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle y,Ay\rangle/2} dx = e^{-\langle p,Gp\rangle} \end{split}$$

4d

We want to compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, \nabla f \rangle \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle/2} dx$$

There is an integration-by-parts rule that follows from the product rule for divergence the same as in one dimension:

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) \nabla \cdot \vec{V}(x) dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} f(x) \vec{V} \cdot \hat{n} dx' - \int_{\Omega} \nabla f(x) \cdot \vec{V} dx$$

We may take $\vec{V} = \frac{v}{Z}e^{-\langle Ax,x\rangle/2}$ and note our integral is the last term; if f is "nice" enough so the limit of the boundary integral towards infinity vanishes, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, \nabla f \rangle \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle/2} dx = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \nabla \cdot \left(v e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle/2} \right) dx$$

Since we may write $e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle/2} = ve^{-\sum_i \lambda_i x_i^2/2}$,

$$\nabla \cdot v e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle/2} = \sum_{i} -\lambda_{i} x_{i} v_{i} e^{-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{2}/2} = -\langle Ax, v \rangle e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle/2}$$

yielding

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, \nabla f \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \langle Ax, v \rangle d\mu(x)$$

Under the L^2 inner product,

$$\langle \partial_v f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\partial_v f(x)] g(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, g(x) \nabla f \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, \nabla [f(x) g(x)] \rangle d\mu(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, f(x) \nabla g \rangle d\mu(x)$$

By the result above,

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)\langle Ax, v\rangle d\mu(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\langle v, \nabla g\rangle d\mu(x)$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)[\partial_v g(x)]d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)[g(x)\langle Ax, v\rangle]d\mu(x) = \langle f, -\partial_v g + g\langle A(\cdot), v\rangle \rangle$$

Since A is positive-definite and therefore self-adjoint, and the real inner product is symmetric, this identifies $\partial^{\dagger}[f(x)] = -\partial_v f(x) + f(x)\langle Av, x\rangle$

4e

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \langle v, x \rangle \langle w, x \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} x_{i} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} x_{k} \right) \frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} -\lambda_{j} x_{j}^{2}/2} dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} v_{i} w_{k} x_{i} x_{k} \frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} -\lambda_{j} x_{j}^{2}/2} dx \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_{i} w_{k} x_{k} x_{i} e^{-(\lambda_{i} x_{i}^{2} + \lambda_{k} x_{k}^{2})/2} dx_{i} dx_{k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} \frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{j \neq i, k} \lambda_{j} x_{j}^{2}/2} \right)$$

When $i \neq k$, the left integrand is odd, implying the term will be zero. We may therefore write

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} v_{i} w_{i} x_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle / 2} dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{k=i}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_{i} w_{i} x_{i}^{2} e^{-\lambda_{k} x_{k}^{2} / 2} dx_{k}$$

For each i, there is one k=i; we may reorder the product until the k=i integral is the innermost. This integral is then equal to $v_i w_i \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_i^3}}$, and the subsequent integrals each contribute $\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_k}}$; each multiplicand is then equal to $v_i w_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\det A}} = v_i w_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} Z$. We then have

$$= \sum_{i} v_i w_i / \lambda_i = \langle Gv, w \rangle$$

4f

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\langle p, x \rangle} d\mu(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle p, x \rangle^i d\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \langle Gp, p \rangle / 2$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^m (v_1)_{i_1} x_{i_1} \right) \cdots \left(\sum_{i_{2n}=1}^m (v_{2n})_{i_1} x_{i_{2n}} \right) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=1}^m \cdots \sum_{i_{2n}=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (v_1)_j x_{i_1} (v_2)_j x_{i_2} \cdots (v_{2n})_j x_{i_{2n}} d\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=1}^m \langle v_{i_1}, v_{j_1} \rangle \langle v_{i_2}, v_{j_2} \rangle \cdots \langle v_{i_n}, v_{j_n} \rangle \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{i_1=1}^m \cdots \sum_{i_{2n}=1}^m x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{2n}} d\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=1}^m \langle v_{i_1}, v_{j_1} \rangle \langle v_{i_2}, v_{j_2} \rangle \cdots \langle v_{i_n}, v_{j_n} \rangle \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \langle x, x \rangle^n d\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=1}^m \langle v_{i_1}, v_{i_1} \rangle \langle v_{i_2}, v_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle v_{i_n}, v_{i_n} \rangle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, x \rangle^n d\mu(x)$$

4g

If
$$F(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{a} \beta_l e^{i \sum_k \alpha_k \langle v_k, x \rangle}$$
 and $H(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{b} \gamma_j e^{i \sum_m \epsilon_m \langle w_m, x \rangle}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x)H(x)d\mu(x) = \sum_{l=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \beta_l \gamma_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\sum_{k,m=0}^{a,b} \alpha_k \langle v_k, x \rangle + \epsilon_m \langle w_m, x \rangle} d\mu(x)$$

Using

$$\sum_{k,m}\alpha_k\langle v_kx\rangle+\epsilon_m\langle w_m,x\rangle=\sum_{k,m}\langle\alpha_kv_k+\epsilon_mw_m,x\rangle=\langle\sum_{k,m}\alpha_kv_k+\epsilon_mw_m,x\rangle$$

and part c,

$$= \sum_{l} \sum_{j} \beta_{l} \gamma_{j} e^{-\langle G \sum_{k,m} \alpha_{k} v_{k} + \epsilon_{m} w_{m}, \sum_{k,m} \alpha_{k} v_{k} + \epsilon_{m} w_{m} \rangle/2}$$

$$= \sum_{l} \sum_{j} \beta_{l} \gamma_{j} e^{-\langle \sum_{k,m} \alpha_{k} G v_{k} + \epsilon_{m} G w_{m}, \sum_{p,q} \alpha_{p} v_{p} + \epsilon_{q} w_{q} \rangle/2}$$

$$= \sum_{l} \sum_{j} \beta_{l} \gamma_{j} e^{-(\sum_{k,m} \sum_{p,q} \alpha_{k} \alpha_{p} \langle G v_{k}, v_{p} \rangle + \epsilon_{m} \alpha_{p} \langle G w_{m}, v_{p} \rangle + \epsilon_{m} \epsilon_{q} \langle G w_{m}, w_{q} \rangle)/2}$$

By the given orthogonality data,

$$= \sum_{l} \sum_{j} \beta_{l} \gamma_{j} e^{-(\sum_{k,m} \sum_{p,q} \alpha_{k} \alpha_{p} \langle Gv_{k}, v_{p} \rangle + \epsilon_{m} \epsilon_{q} \langle Gw_{m}, w_{q} \rangle)/2}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x) d\mu(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{l} \beta_{l} e^{i \sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \langle v_{k}, x \rangle} d\mu(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j} \gamma_{j} e^{i \sum_{m} \epsilon_{m} \langle w_{m}, x \rangle}$$

$$= \sum_{l} \sum_{j} \beta_{l} e^{-\langle G \sum_{k} \alpha_{k} v_{k}, \sum_{p} \alpha_{p} v_{p} \rangle / 2} \gamma_{j} e^{-\langle G \sum_{m} \epsilon_{m} w_{m}, \sum_{q} \epsilon_{q} w_{q} \rangle / 2}$$

$$= \sum_{l} \sum_{j} \beta_{l} \gamma_{j} e^{-\sum_{k} \sum_{p} \alpha_{k} \alpha_{p} \langle G v_{k}, v_{p} \rangle / 2 - \epsilon_{m} \epsilon_{q} \langle G w_{m}, w_{q} \rangle / 2}$$

Therefore, these expressions are equal.

5

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x + t^{1/2}y) d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \nabla f d\mu(y)$$

Integrating by parts,

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x+t^{1/2}y) \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle Ay, y \rangle / 2}\right) dy = \frac{1}{2Z\sqrt{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x+t^{1/2}y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} y_{i} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{j}^{2} / 2} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{2Z\sqrt{t}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x+t^{1/2}y) \left(e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{j}^{2} / 2} - \lambda_{i} y_{i}^{2} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{j}^{2} / 2}\right) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x+t^{1/2}y) d\mu(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x+t^{1/2}y) \langle Ay, y \rangle d\mu(y)\right)$$

We also have, choosing a basis in which G_{ij} is diagonal as we've been doing the whole section,

$$\begin{split} L \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x+t^{1/2}y) d\mu(y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \partial_i^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x+t^{1/2}y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} f(x+t^{1/2}y) \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j^2} dy = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{x_i x_i}(x+t^{1/2}y) \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j^2} dy \end{split}$$

I presume from this point there will be some change of variables (with a Jacobian that gives the factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$) that allows one to integrate out the partial derivatives by parts, which will pull down $\lambda_i^2 y_i^2$ from the exponent and recover the expression above.

6a

$$e^{-L}p(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x+iy)d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j (x+iy)^j d\mu(y)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x+iy)^j d\mu(y) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} x^k (iy)^{j-k} d\mu(y)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} a_{j} \binom{j}{k} x^{k} (i)^{j-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} y^{j-k} d\mu(y)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} a_{j} \binom{j}{k} x^{k} (i)^{j-k} \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{p=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} y_{p}^{j-k} e^{-\lambda_{p} x_{p}^{2}/2} dx_{p}$$

For j-k odd, the integral is zero (meaning the imaginary unit disappears, which is good). The integral certainly exists for each y^{j-k} , so we're done in principle: this formula gives a well-defined function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for arbitrary a_j determining any given polynomial. For completeness, a CAS computation of the integral yields

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} (j-k+1 \mod 2) a_j \binom{j}{k} x^k (-1)^{j-k} \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{2}\right)^{-(j-k+1)/2} \Gamma([j-k+1]/2)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} (j-k+1 \mod 2) a_j \binom{j}{k} x^k (-1)^{j-k} \frac{(\det A)^{-(j-k+1)/2}}{Z} \left(\frac{\Gamma([j-k+1]/2)}{2}\right)^{-(j-k+1)/2}$$

For the exponential, we may employ the analytic continuation from 4c:

$$: e^{\langle p, x \rangle} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\langle p, x + iy \rangle} d\mu(y) = e^{\langle p, x \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle p, y \rangle} d\mu(x) = e^{\langle p, x \rangle - \langle Gp, p \rangle / 2}$$

As this is a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the normal ordering exists and is equal to the above.

6b

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \langle v_1, x + iy \rangle \cdots \langle v_m, x + iy \rangle$$

$$\langle v_1, x \rangle \cdots \langle v_m, x \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (v_i)_j x_j$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-L} \langle v_1, x \rangle \cdots \langle v_m, x \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k k!} \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_l} \partial_l^2 \right)^k \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (v_i)_j x_j$$

For k > 0, this is zero, since a differential operator with degree two acting on x_j will always yield zero, so

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (v_i)_j x_j = \langle v_1, x \rangle \cdots \langle v_m, x \rangle$$

We have

$$(:e^{\alpha_i\langle v_i,x\rangle}:)_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\alpha_i\langle v_i,x+iy\rangle} d\mu(y) = e^{\alpha_i\langle v_i,x\rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \alpha_i v_i,y\rangle} d\mu(y)$$
$$= e^{\langle \alpha_i v_i,x\rangle} e^{-\langle G\alpha_i v_i,\alpha_i v_i\rangle} = e^{\alpha_i\langle v_i,x\rangle - \alpha_i^2\langle Gv_i,v_i\rangle} = e^{\alpha_i\sum_{k=1}^m v_k x_k - \alpha_i^2\sum_{j=1}^m v_j^2/\lambda_j}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{\alpha_m} : e^{\alpha_i \langle v_i, x \rangle} := \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^m v_k x_k - 2\alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^m v_j^2 \right) e^{\alpha_i \sum_{k=1}^m v_k x_k - \alpha_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^m v_j^2 / \lambda_j}$$

Taking $\alpha_i = 0$,

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} v_k x_k$$

It should be noted I am somewhat suspicious of this result as what the problem means by α isn't fully clear.

6c

Writing $f = e^L g$,

$$\partial_v : e^L g := \partial_v e^{-L} e^L g = \partial_v g = \langle v, \nabla g \rangle$$
$$: \partial_v e^L g := e^{-L} \partial_v e^L g = e^L \langle v, \nabla e^{-L} g \rangle = \langle v, \nabla e^L e^{-L} g \rangle = \langle v, \nabla g \rangle$$

where we have used commutativity of partial derivatives of analytic functions for the penultimate step.

6d

For clarity, we write

$$[e^{tL}, M_{\langle v, \cdot \rangle}] f(x) = \left(e^{tL} M_{\langle v, x \rangle} - M_{\langle v, x \rangle} e^{tL} \right) f(x) = e^{tL} \langle v, x \rangle f(x) - \langle v, x \rangle e^{tL} f(x)$$

Differentiating,

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}[e^{tL},M_{\langle v,\cdot\rangle}]f(x) &= Le^{tL}\langle v,x\rangle f(x) - \langle v,x\rangle Le^{tL}f(x) = e^{tL}\langle v,x\rangle Lf(x) - \langle v,x\rangle e^{tL}Lf(x) + e^{tL}|v|f(x) \\ &= \left([e^{tL},M_{\langle v,\cdot\rangle}]L + e^{tL}|v|\right)f(x) \\ &\frac{d^2}{dt^2} = \left[e^{tL},M_{\langle v,\cdot\rangle}\right]L^2 + 2Le^{tL}|v|f(x) \end{split}$$
 and
$$\frac{d}{dt}te^{tL}\partial_{Gv} = tLe^{tL}\partial_{Gv} + e^{tL}\partial_{Gv}$$

Both equations satisfy

$$\frac{d^2O}{dt^2} = \left(2\frac{dO}{dt} - O\right)L$$

 $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} t e^{tL} \partial_{Gv} = tL^2 e^{tL} \partial_{Gv} + 2L e^{tL} \partial_{Gv}$

and are equal to zero at t=0. Therefore, by uniqueness of ODE solutions, they are equal.

6e

We have by the last part

$$[e^{tL}, M_{\langle v, \cdot \rangle}] f(x) = e^{tL} \langle v, x \rangle f(x) - \langle v, x \rangle e^{tL} f(x) \Rightarrow e^{tL} \langle v, x \rangle f(x) = \langle v, x \rangle e^{tL} f(x) + te^{tL} \partial_{Gv} f(x)$$

Taking t = -1,

$$: \langle v, x \rangle f(x) := \langle v, x \rangle : f : - : \partial_{Gv} f(x) :$$

By part c,

$$: \langle v, x \rangle f(x) := \langle v, x \rangle : f : -\partial_{Gv} : f :$$

as desired.

6f

I suspect another typo here. This seems like a robust result to me, but it's wildly inconsistent with the rest of this problem, not to mention it making zero intuitive sense.

$$:f:=:\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle v_i,x\rangle:=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\partial_{Gv_i}^\dagger=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}-\langle Gv_i,\nabla 1\rangle+\langle v_i,x\rangle=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle v_i,x\rangle$$

7a

$$[a(v), a^{\dagger}(w)]f(x) = \partial_{Gv}\partial_{Gw}^{\dagger}f(x) - \partial_{Gw}^{\dagger}\partial_{Gv}f(x)$$

$$= \partial_{Gv}\left(-\partial_{Gw}f(x) + f(x)\langle AGw, x\rangle\right) + \partial_{Gw}[\partial_{Gv}f(x)] - [\partial_{Gv}f(x)]\langle AGw, x\rangle$$

$$= -\partial_{Gv}\partial_{Gw}f(x) + \partial_{Gv}[f(x)\langle w, x\rangle] + \partial_{Gw}\partial_{Gv}f(x) - [\partial_{Gv}f(x)]\langle w, x\rangle$$

$$= -\langle Gv, \nabla\langle Gw, \nabla f\rangle\rangle + \langle Gv, \nabla[f(x)\langle w, x\rangle]\rangle + \langle Gw, \nabla\langle Gv, \nabla f\rangle\rangle - \langle Gv, \nabla f(x)\rangle\langle w, x\rangle$$
Noting that $\nabla\langle v, \nabla f(x)\rangle = \nabla\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2} \hat{x}_i = \langle v, \nabla^2 f\rangle,$

$$= -\langle Gv, \langle Gw, \nabla^2 f\rangle\rangle + \langle Gv, \langle w, x\rangle\nabla f + f(x)\langle w, \nabla x\rangle\rangle + \langle Gw, \langle Gv, \nabla^2 f\rangle\rangle - \langle Gv, \nabla f(x)\rangle\langle w, x\rangle$$

$$= -\langle Gw, \langle Gv, \nabla^2 f\rangle\rangle + \langle Gv, \langle w, x\rangle\nabla f\rangle + \langle Gv, f(x)w\rangle + \langle Gw, \langle Gv, \nabla^2 f\rangle\rangle - \langle Gv, \nabla f(x)\rangle\langle w, x\rangle$$

$$= \langle Gv, f(x)w\rangle$$

$$= f(x)\langle Gv, w\rangle$$

as desired.

7b

We can obtain a characterization of $[A^n, B]$ as follows: presuming an induction hypothesis $[A^{n-1}, B] = (n-1)A^{n-2}C$, the commutator formula [AB, C] = A[B, C] + [A, C]B gives

$$[A^n,B] = A[A^{n-1},B] + [A,B]A^{n-1} = A(n-1)A^{n-2}C + CA^{n-1} = (n-1)A^{n-1}C + A^{n-1}C = nA^{n-1}C$$

This implies

$$\begin{split} e^{\lambda A} B &= B + \lambda A B + \lambda^2 A^2 B / 2 + \ldots = B + \lambda \left(B A + [A, B] \right) + \lambda^2 (B A^2 + [A^2, B]) / 2 + \ldots \\ &= B + \lambda \left(B A + C \right) + \lambda^2 \left(B A^2 + 2 C A \right) / 2 + \ldots \\ &= B e^{\lambda A} + \left(\lambda C + \lambda^2 C A + \lambda^3 C A^2 / 2 + \ldots \right) \\ &= \left(B e^{\lambda A} + \lambda C e^{\lambda A} \right) = \left(B + \lambda C \right) e^{\lambda A} \end{split}$$

We then compute the derivatives

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}e^{\lambda(A+B)} = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(1 + \lambda(A+B) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(A+B)^2 + \dots\right) = (A+B) + \lambda(A+B)^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(A+B)^3 + \dots$$
$$= (A+B)e^{\lambda(A+B)}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (e^{\lambda A} e^{\lambda B} e^{-\lambda^2 C/2}) &= A e^{\lambda A} e^{\lambda B} e^{-\lambda^2 C/2} + e^{\lambda A} B e^{\lambda B} e^{-\lambda^2 C/2} + e^{\lambda A} e^{\lambda B} \left(-\lambda C e^{-\lambda^2 C/2} \right) \\ &= e^{\lambda A} e^{\lambda B} e^{-\lambda^2 C/2} \left(A + B + \lambda C - \lambda C \right) = (A + B) e^{\lambda A} e^{\lambda B} e^{-\lambda^2 C/2} \end{split}$$

Since these two functions of λ satisfy the same differential equation and have the same value at $\lambda = 0$, they must be the same function, so for $\lambda = 1$ we obtain the desired result.

7c

Notice that by part a $[a(v), a(v)^{\dagger}]$ commutes with both a and a^{\dagger} since it's a scalar. We then have

$$M_f = e^{\phi(v)} = e^{a(v) + a^\dagger(v)} = e^{a^\dagger(v) + a(v)} = e^{a^\dagger(v)} e^{a(v)} e^{-[a^\dagger(v), a(v)]/2} = e^{\langle Gv, v \rangle / 2} e^{a^\dagger(v)} e^{a(v)}$$

The normal ordering : M_f : is $e^{a^{\dagger}(v)}e^{a(v)}$, so

$$M_f/: M_f := e^{\langle Gv, v \rangle/2} \Rightarrow : M_f := e^{-\langle Gv, v \rangle/2} M_f$$

We also have from a previous problem the analytic normal ordering

$$\cdot e^{\langle v,x\rangle} \cdot = e^{\langle p,x\rangle - \langle Gv,v\rangle/2}$$

which indeed confirms : $M_f := M_{:f}$: in this case. If all f are sufficiently "nice," they may be represented by a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of exponential functions of this form. This result therefore generalizes, since : $M_f + M_g :=: M_f : +: M_g$ and : $\alpha M_f := \alpha : M_f$, implying : $\sum_i \alpha_i M_f := \sum_i \alpha_i : M_f :$ for : $M_f :$ of the form above.

11a

$$\det \Lambda = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma\beta & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma\beta \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma \end{vmatrix} = \gamma^2 - \gamma^2\beta^2$$
$$= \gamma^2(1 - \beta^2) = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1}(1 - \beta^2) = 1$$

so this is a proper Lorentz transformation. The proposed inverse satisfies

$$\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^2 - \gamma^2\beta^2 & \gamma^2\beta - \gamma^2\beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma^2\beta + \gamma^2\beta & -\gamma^2\beta^2 + \gamma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Lambda\Lambda^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^2 - \gamma^2\beta^2 & \gamma^2\beta - \gamma^2\beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma^2\beta + \gamma^2\beta & -\gamma^2\beta^2 + \gamma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I \end{split}$$

so it is, in fact, the inverse. The action of the Lorentz transformation on the unit vectors along coordinate axes yields

$$\hat{x}' = \gamma \hat{x}$$
$$\hat{y}' = \hat{y}$$
$$\hat{z}' = \hat{z}$$

and so the new axes are parallel to the old. The origin of the primed system is at $\vec{x'} = 0$; this occurs at y = 0 and z = 0 trivially, but the x variable has

$$-\gamma \beta t + \gamma x = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \beta t$$

which is exactly the origin moving along the positive x axis with velocity β .

11b

Taking the events to happen at the origin of the primed system,

$$\Lambda^{-1}\vec{x'}_2 - \Lambda^{-1}\vec{x'}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma t'_2 \\ \gamma \beta t'_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma t_1 \\ \gamma \beta t'_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma (t'_2 - t'_1) \\ \gamma \beta (t'_1 - t'_2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The first component is γT ; since this is the computation of the difference between the two events in the unprimed frame, this proves the time dilation formula.

11c

A similar computation to the above result applies:

$$\Lambda^{-1}\vec{x_2'} - \Lambda^{-1}\vec{x_1'} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \beta x_2' \\ \gamma x_2' \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \beta x_1' \\ \gamma x_1' \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \beta L \\ \gamma L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Reading off the first component confirms the result. Whichever occurs first along the x axis occurs first in time, since L is a distance and therefore positive. For spacelike separated events,

$$\Lambda \vec{x_2} - \Lambda \vec{x_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma t_2 - \gamma \beta x_2 - (\gamma t_1 - \gamma \beta x_1) \\ \gamma x_2 - \gamma \beta t_2 - (\gamma x_1 - \gamma \beta t_1) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma T - \gamma \beta L \\ \gamma L - \gamma \beta T \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the time component to be zero,

$$\gamma T = \gamma \beta L \Leftrightarrow \beta = \frac{T}{L}$$

The spacelike condition ensures conformance with $|\beta| < 1$:

$$T^2 - L^2 < 0 \Leftrightarrow T^2 < L^2 \Leftrightarrow |T| < |L| \Leftrightarrow \frac{|T|}{|L|} < 1 \Rightarrow |\beta| < 1$$

11d

Once again,

$$\Lambda^{-1}\vec{x_{2}'} - \Lambda^{-1}\vec{x_{1}'} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma t_{2} + \gamma \beta x_{2} - (\gamma t_{1} + \gamma \beta x_{1}) \\ \gamma \beta t_{2} + \gamma x_{2} - (\gamma \beta t_{1} + \gamma x_{1}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma T + \gamma \beta L \\ \gamma \beta T + \gamma L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The measurements are simultaneous in the unprimed frame, i.e. the first component of the above displacement is zero, yielding $T = -\beta L$. Plugging this in to the second component, one obtains

$$\gamma L - \gamma \beta^2 L = L \gamma [1 - \beta^2] = L \gamma (1/\gamma^2) = L/\gamma$$

as desired.

For convenience of notation, define $f: SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \to SO^+(1,3) :: A \mapsto (X \mapsto AXA^{\dagger})$ where X is of the form given in the problem. This map is indeed a homomorphism: using $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$,

$$f(A)f(B) = (X \mapsto AXA^{\dagger}) \circ (X \mapsto BXB^{\dagger}) = X \mapsto A(BXB^{\dagger})A^{\dagger} = (AB)x(B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}) = f(AB)$$

The kernel of f are those elements $A \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ such that $X = AXA^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow A^{-1}X = XA^{\dagger}$ for all X of the given form. Since $A \in SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow \det A = 1$, A is unitary, i.e. $AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A = I$. We then can write

$$X = AXA^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow XA = AXA^{\dagger}A \Leftrightarrow XA = AX$$

Elements of the center of $GL(n, \mathbb{F})$ are c^*I where c^* is any unit of \mathbb{F} and I is the identity matrix, and since the units in \mathbb{R} are ± 1 , the kernel of the homomorphism is $\pm I$. By the isomorphism theorem, im $f \cong SL(2, \mathbb{C})/\ker f$, and $\ker f$ is discrete. Since im f is isomorphic to a connected subgroup of the Lorentz group with the same dimension (since $\ker f$ is discrete), im f is isomorphic to the whole group, implying f is surjective.

13

Using the fact \tilde{x} is the difference of two observables and therefore Hermitian,

$$(\Delta x)^2 (\Delta p)^2 = \langle \psi | \tilde{x}^2 | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \tilde{p}^2 | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \tilde{x}^2 \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \tilde{p}^2 \psi \rangle = \langle \tilde{x} \psi | \tilde{x} \psi \rangle \langle \tilde{p} \psi | \tilde{p} \psi \rangle \ge |\langle \tilde{x} \psi | \tilde{p} \psi \rangle|^2 = |\langle \psi | \tilde{x} \tilde{p} \psi \rangle|^2 = |\langle \psi | \tilde{x} \tilde{p} \psi \rangle|^2$$

We write

$$\langle \psi | \tilde{x} \tilde{p} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | (x - \langle \psi | x | \psi \rangle) (p - \langle \psi | p | \psi \rangle) | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | x p | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | x \langle \psi | p | \psi \rangle | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | p \langle \psi | x | \psi \rangle | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | x | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | p | \psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi | x p | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | x | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | p | \psi \rangle$$

Complex numbers have the property

$$|z|^2 = (\Re z)^2 + (\Im z)^2 \ge (\Im z)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2i}(z - z^*)\right)^2$$

Applying this to $z = \langle \psi | \tilde{x} \tilde{p} | \psi \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi | \tilde{x} \tilde{p} | \psi \rangle|^2 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2i} \left[(\langle \psi | x p | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | x | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | p | \psi \rangle) - (\langle \psi | x p | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | x | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | p | \psi \rangle)^* \right] \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2i} \left[\langle \psi | x p | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | p x | \psi \rangle \right] \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2i} \langle \psi | [x, p] | \psi \rangle \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have returned from natural units. The ground state of the simple harmonic oscillator is $\psi_0(x) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar}$, from which we can compute

$$\langle \psi_0 | x | \psi_0 \rangle = 0$$
 (odd function, symmetric interval)

$$\langle \psi_0 | p | \psi_0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} \right) dx = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} \left(im\omega x e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} \right) dx$$

= 0 (odd function, symmetric interval)

$$\begin{split} &\Rightarrow \tilde{x} = x, \tilde{p} = p \\ &\Rightarrow (\Delta x)^2 = \langle \psi_0 | \tilde{x}^2 | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | x^2 | \psi_0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} x^2 e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-m\omega x^2/\hbar} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi\hbar^3}{4m^3\omega^3}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2\omega^2}} = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \\ &\Rightarrow (\Delta p)^2 = \langle \psi_0 | \tilde{p}^2 | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | p^2 | \psi_0 \rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} dx \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} \hbar^2 \left(\frac{1}{\hbar^2} m\omega e^{-m\omega x^2/2\hbar} (m\omega x^2 - \hbar) \right) dx \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \left(m^2 \omega^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-m\omega x^2/\hbar} dx - \hbar m\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m\omega x^2/\hbar} \right) \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \left(m^2 \omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi\hbar^3}{4m^3\omega^3}} - \hbar m\omega \sqrt{\frac{\pi\hbar}{m\omega}} \right) = -(\hbar m\omega/2 - \hbar m\omega) = m\omega \frac{\hbar}{2} \end{split}$$

where we have used a table for the nasty Gaussian-type integrals. Multiplying the results, we indeed confirm this is a minimum-uncertainty state:

$$(\Delta x)^2 (\Delta p)^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left(m\omega \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}$$