7510 HW 8

Duncan Wilkie

1 November 2022

Problem 1 (D&F 7.5.2). Let R be an integral domain and let D be a nonempty subset of R that is closed under multiplication. Prove that the ring of fractions $D^{-1}R$ is isomorphic to a subring of the quotient field of R (hence is also an integral domain).

Proof. Denote the quotient field of R by Q and consider the map $\iota:D^{-1}R\to Q$ that maps $\frac{r}{d}$, an equivalence class of elements of $R\times D$, to the equivalence class containing the pair (r,d) in Q. This is a homomorphism:

$$\iota\left(\frac{r}{d} \cdot \frac{r'}{d'}\right) = \iota\left(\frac{rr'}{dd'}\right) = \iota\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)\iota\left(\frac{r'}{d'}\right),$$

where multiplicative closure of D is necessary for the middle term to be interpretable, and well-definedness is used to choose (r,d) and (r',d') as representatives for the computation of the product on the right. We can immediately notice that the kernel of ι is the additive identity of $D^{-1}R$: if $\iota(\frac{r}{d}) \in 0$, then d0 = 0 = rq for $q \in Q \neq 0$, and since R is an integral domain, this implies r = 0. By the first isomorphism theorem, then,

$$D^{-1}R \cong \iota(D^{-1}R) \le Q.$$

Problem 2 (D&F 8.1.3). Let R be a Euclidean domain. Let m be the minimum integer in the set of norms of nonzero elements of R. Prove that every nonzero element of norm m is a unit. Deduce that a nonzero element of norm zero (if such an element exists) is a unit.

Proof. Suppose $a \in R$ is nonzero and has $N(a) = m \le N(a')$, for all $a' \in R$ and N the norm on R. By the division algorithm, there exist $q, r \in R$ such that 1 = qa + r with r = 0 or N(r) < N(a); the second condition is never met by minimality of the norm of a, so r = 0, and q is the inverse of a (since Euclidean domains are commutative), making it a unit. Accordingly, since norms must have nonnegative codomain, any element of norm zero must have minimal norm, and therefore be a unit.

Problem 3 (D&F 8.1.7). *Find a generator of the ideal* (85, 1 + 13i) *in* $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, *i.e. a greatest common divisor for 85 and* 1 + 13i, *by the Euclidean algorithm. Do the same for the ideal* (47 - 13i, 53 + 56i).

Proof. In the Gaussian rationals, the division (taking the one with larger norm in the numerator) is

$$\frac{85}{1+13i} = \frac{85(1-13i)}{170} = \frac{85}{170} - \frac{1105}{170}i$$

The nearest Gaussian integer to this (entry-wise) is -6i. Accordingly,

$$85 = (-6i)(1+13i) + (7+6i);$$

indeed, N(7+6i) = 85 < N(1+13i) = 170. Continuing,

$$\frac{1+13i}{7+6i} = \frac{(1+13i)(7-6i)}{(7+6i)(7-6i)} = \frac{85+85i}{85} = 1+i,$$

so

$$1 + 13i = (1+i)(7+6i) + 0$$

Accordingly, the last nonzero remainder, 7+6i, is the gcd of these two Gaussian integers. Same story:

$$\begin{split} \frac{53+56i}{47-13i} &= \frac{(53+56i)(47+13i)}{(47-13i)(47+13i)} = \frac{1763+3321i}{2378} \approx 1+i \\ &\Rightarrow (53+56i) = (1+i)(47-13i) + (-7+22i). \\ \frac{47-13i}{-7+22i} &= \frac{(47-13i)(-7-22i)}{(-7+22i)(-7-22i)} = \frac{-43-1125i}{533} \approx -1-2i \\ &\Rightarrow 47-13i = (-1-2i) \cdot (-7+22i) + (-4-5i). \\ \frac{-7+22i}{-4-5i} &= \frac{(-7+22i)(-4+5i)}{(-4-5i)(-4+5i)} = \frac{-82-123i}{41} = -2-3i \\ &\Rightarrow -7+22i = (-2-3i)(-4-5i) + 0. \end{split}$$

The last nonzero remainder is -4 - 5i; this is then the gcd (up to a unit).

Problem 4 (D&F 8.1.10). Prove that the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]/I$ is finite for any nonzero ideal I of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a Euclidean domain, the ideal I is principal. Call its generator α . Take any representative a of any nonidentity coset; apply the division algorithm with numerator $a+\alpha$ and denominator α . Accordingly, for some $q,r\in R$ one has $a=q\alpha+r$ with either r=0 or $N(r)< N(\alpha)$. However, if r=0, then $a=q\alpha\Rightarrow a\in I$, but a+I is assumed nonidentity. Accordingly, any nonidentity coset in $\mathbb{Z}[i]/I$ has a representative with norm less than $N(\alpha)$.

The set of all possible representatives is therefore finite: the count of integers a_1, a_2 (the parts of $a = a_1 + a_2 i$) such that $a_1^2 + a_2^2 < N(\alpha)$ is certainly finite, and this is an upper bound on the number of cosets, since every coset must have a representative in this set, and if two cosets share a representative, they're not different cosets.