## Physics 4271 HW 2

## Duncan Wilkie

## 10 February 2023

**Problem 1.** Calculate the minimum energy required to be over the Coulomb barrier for:

- 1. p + p,
- 2.  $p + {}^{12}C$ ,
- 3.  ${}^{4}\text{He} + {}^{208}\text{Pb}.$

Solution. The Coulomb potential is

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r}.$$

Whenever the reactants are close enough to make "physical" contact, the strong force kicks in; the Coulomb potential at this radius is roughly the peak of the Coulomb barrier. The radius of nuclei can be estimated as  $r=1.2\,\mathrm{fm}\cdot A^{1/3}$ .

This gives us sufficient information to compute:

$$\begin{split} r_{p+p} &= 2r_p = 2(1.2\,\mathrm{fm})(1)^{1/3} = 2.4\,\mathrm{fm} \Rightarrow E_{p+p} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r_{p+p}} = \frac{1}{4\pi(8.85\times10^{-12}\,\mathrm{F/m})} \frac{1\cdot1\cdot(1.6\times10^{-19}\,\mathrm{C})^2}{2.4\,\mathrm{fm}} \\ &= 9.6\times10^{-14}\,\mathrm{J} = 559\,\mathrm{keV} \\ r_{\mathrm{p}+^{12}\mathrm{C}} &= r_p + r_{^{12}\mathrm{C}} = 1.2\,\mathrm{fm} + 1.2\,\mathrm{fm}\cdot(12)^{1/3} = 3.95\,\mathrm{fm} \Rightarrow E_{\mathrm{p}+^{12}\mathrm{C}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Ze^2}{r_{\mathrm{p}+^{12}\mathrm{C}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi(8.85\times10^{-12}\,\mathrm{F/m})} \frac{1\cdot6\cdot(1.6\times10^{-19}\,\mathrm{C})^2}{3.95\,\mathrm{fm}} = 2.19\,\mathrm{MeV} \\ r_{^4\mathrm{He}+^{208}\mathrm{Pb}} &= r_{^4\mathrm{He}} + r_{^{208}\mathrm{Pb}} = 1.2\,\mathrm{fm}\cdot4^{1/3} + 1.2\,\mathrm{fm}\cdot208^{1/3} = 9.01\,\mathrm{fm} \\ &\Rightarrow E_{^4\mathrm{He}+^{208}\mathrm{Pb}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r} = \frac{1}{4\pi(8.85\times10^{-12}\,\mathrm{F/m})} \frac{4\cdot208\cdot(1.6\times10^{-19}\,\mathrm{C})^2}{9.01\,\mathrm{fm}} = 133\,\mathrm{GeV} \end{split}$$

**Problem 2.** The cross section for charged-particle reactions is proportional to the probability of tunneling through the Coulomb barrier given by the Gamow factor, which has a convenient approximation:

$$e^{-2\pi\eta} = e^{-2\pi Z_1 Z_2 e^2/\hbar\nu} = e^{-31.287 Z_1 Z_2 \sqrt{\mu/E}}$$

where  $\mu$  is the reduced mass in amu and E is the center-of-mass energy in keV. For the 3 cases you considered above, calculate the Gamow factor for an energy that is one-quarter the barrier energy you found in problem 1.

Solution. Plug-and-chug:

$$\mu_{\rm p+p} = \frac{m_p m_p}{m_p + m_p} = \frac{m_p}{2} = 0.5 \, \text{amu} \Rightarrow G_{\rm p+p} = \exp\left(-31.287 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \sqrt{\frac{0.5 \, \text{amu}}{559 \, \text{keV}/4}}\right) = 0.94$$

$$\mu_{\rm p+^{12}C} = \frac{m_p m_{^{12}C}}{m_p + m_{^{12}C}} = \frac{1 \, \text{amu} \cdot 12 \, \text{amu}}{1 \, \text{amu} + 12 \, \text{amu}} = 0.92 \, \text{amu} \Rightarrow G_{\rm p+^{12}C} = \exp\left(-31.287 \cdot 1 \cdot 6 \sqrt{\frac{0.92 \, \text{amu}}{2.91 \, \text{MeV}/4}}\right)$$

$$= 0.81$$

$$\mu_{^4\text{He+^{208}Pb}} = fracm_{^4\text{He}} m_{^208\text{Pb}} m_{^4\text{He}} + m_{^{208}\text{Pb}} = \frac{4 \, \text{amu} \cdot 208 \, \text{amu}}{4 \, \text{amu} + 208 \, \text{amu}} = 3.92 \, \text{amu}$$

$$\Rightarrow G_{^4\text{He+^{208}Pb}} = \exp\left(-31.287 \cdot 4 \cdot 208 \sqrt{\frac{3.92 \, \text{amu}}{133 \, \text{GeV}/4}}\right) = 0.75$$

**Problem 3.** A 2 MeV beam of protons bombards a  $^{16}$ O target and the differential cross section is measured to be  $0.094 \, b/sr$  at a lab angle of  $167^{\circ}$ .

- 1. What is the expected cross-section if you assume Rutherford scattering?
- 2. What is the calculated Mott cross-section?
- 3. How do your answers to (a) and (b) differ from the measured cross section and why might they be different?

Solution. Using the nonrelativistic Rutherford scattering formula (2 MeV is pretty slow),

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{Rutherford}} = \frac{(zZe^2)^2}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2 \cdot (4E_{kin})^2 \sin^4\frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{(1\cdot 8(1.6\times 10^{-19}\,\mathrm{C})^2)^2}{(4\pi\cdot 8.85\times 10^{-12}\,\mathrm{F/m})^2\cdot (4\cdot 2\,\mathrm{MeV})^2 \sin^4\frac{167}{2}} = 0.0212\,\mathrm{b/sr}.$$

The Mott cross-section can be computed by

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{Mott}} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{Rutherford}} \cdot \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} = (0.0212\,\mathrm{b/sr}) \cdot \cos^2\frac{167}{2} = 2.72 \times 10^{-4}\,\mathrm{b/sr}.$$

The Rutherford cross-section is within an order of magnitude, whereas the Mott cross-section is pretty far off. The large discrepancy in the Mott cross-section is expected, since it's designed to account for spin effects in relativistic fermions, and this is a nonrelativistic boson scattering. The Ruterford cross-section doesn't take into account any spin effects, so that may be the source of its discrepancy.

**Problem 4.** Assume that  $^{197}Au$  is made from a solid, uniform sphere of nuclear material with a radius of  $R = 1.2 \, \text{fm} \cdot A^{1/3}$ . Calculate the form factor F(q).

Solution. The charge distribution is

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{Ze}{4\pi R^3/3} & r \le R\\ 0 & r > R \end{cases}$$

The nonzero density part can be computed to be  $\frac{87\cdot 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \, \text{C}}{4\pi (1.2 \, \text{fm} \cdot 197^{1/3})/3} = 4.76 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{C/m}^3$ 

**Problem 5.** Show that the mean-square charge radius of a uniformly charged sphere is  $\langle r^2 \rangle = 3R^2/5$ .

**Problem 6.** A nuclear charge distribution more realistic than the uniformly charged distribution is the Fermi distribution,  $\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1+\exp\left[(r-c)/a\right]}$ . Find the value of a if  $t=2.3\,\mathrm{fm}$ 

**Problem 7** (Bonus). Evaluate  $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle$  for the Fermi distribution in Problem 6.