

MIWP Sub-group 2.3.2: Simplification of Data and Service linking

Lukasz Ziemba, Davide Artasensi,
Marco Minghini, Daniele Francioli, Alexander Kotsev

Meeting agenda

- Welcome (JRC) | 10:00 – 10:05
 - Tour de table (New members) | 10:05 – 10:10
 - Recap of the process, status of work (JRC) | 10:10 – 10:20
 - Proposals for approaches (NL, FR, LT, AT, PL, others) | 10:20 – 10:45
 - Preliminary findings (JRC) | 10:45 – 10:55
 - Discussion (All) | 10:55 – 11:20
 - Next steps (JRC, All) | 11:20 – 11:25
 - AOB (All) | 11:25 – 11:30
-
- The meeting is recorded and the recording will be made available afterwards.

Tour de table

Recap of the process, status of work

INSPIRE MIWP 2021-2024

<https://europa.eu/!Dq96FV>

- Favourable political and technological context for the evolution and sustainability of INSPIRE within the Green Deal data space and beyond
- 3 areas of work & 6 actions
 1. A digital ecosystem for the environment and sustainability
 2. Towards a common implementation landing zone
 - 2.1 Need-driven data prioritisation
 - 2.2 Roadmap for priority-driven implementation
 - 2.3 Simplification of INSPIRE implementation
 - 2.3.1 Governance of INSPIRE artefacts
 - 2.3.2 Simplification of data-service linking
 - 2.4 Central infrastructure components
 3. GreenData4All

Scope and status of work

- Elaboration and submission of an **INSPIRE Good Practice**
- Consensus-based **simplified** approach for **data and service linkages**
- **Proven** to be **implementable** by de facto standard web applications
- An **alternative** to the current approach, to be used in **parallel**

Status of work:

- Kick-off meeting was held on March 4th
- 3 proposals were submitted at the [GitHub space](#)

Proposals for approaches

Preliminary findings

NL proposal

- At this moment, the most complete one:
 - It supplements the information from the discussion paper
 - It covers four different scenarios with XML snippets (full examples to be provided later)
- One small discrepancy between the explanatory section and the examples of a scenario, regarding the coverage over generic (not INSPIRE conformant) services:
 - In the assumptions, it declares that the use of "applicationProfile" is strictly related to INSPIRE conformant services. We agree on that approach.
 - In the example [**** 3 Other services are available****], it uses that field to also define a generic WFS service, not intended to be INSPIRE conformant

FR proposal

- Similar approach as the discussion paper and the NL proposal, but without examples
- Additional documentation provided in French, could it be made available in English?

LT proposal

- It makes use of the current GeoNetwork features
- It is provided as PDF, could it be made available in Markdown (.md) together with some examples?

Discussion

Next steps

Indicative roadmap

Task	March	April	May	June	July	November
Collect proposals for technical approaches	X					
Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of the proposals		X	X			
Draft a Technical Guideline proposal			X	X		
Set up a showcase discovery service			X	X		
Present the results at 66 th MIG-T meeting					X	
Submit Good Practice for endorsement at 14 th MIG meeting						X

Next month

Task	until 16/04	until 30/04	until 07/05	10/05 - - 12/05
Collect proposals for technical approaches	X			
Draft a proposal for a consolidated approach	X	X		
Collect comments on the consolidated approach - GitHub space			X	
Finalize the consolidated approach at the third meeting				X

Proposed date for the third meeting: **May 11** (Tuesday), 10:00

AOB

Thank you!



lukasz.ziembra@ec.europa.eu

davide.artasensi@ext.ec.europa.eu

JRC-INSPIRE-SUPPORT@ec.europa.eu



© European Union 2020

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

