Coxeter frieze patterns

Antoine de Saint Germain

August 15, 2024

Chapter 1

Field-valued patterns

Throughout this document, we will study frieze patterns of finite height. This terminology (as compared to finite width or finite order) is unconventional but more convenient for formalisation. For us, the height of a frieze pattern corresponds to the number of rows, including the rows of ones but excluding the rows of zeros. Throughout this section, we fix an arbitrary field F.

Definition 1.1. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. A map $f : \{0, 1, ..., n, n + 1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F$ is called an F-valued pattern of height n if,

- 1) for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(0,m) = f(n+1,m) = 0,
- 2) for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(1,m) = f(n,m) = 1, and
- 3) for all $(i, m) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$f(i,m)f(i,m+1) = 1 + f(i+1,m)f(i-1,m+1).$$
(1.1)

An *F*-valued pattern *f* of height *n* is said to be *nowhere zero* if $f(i, m) \neq 0$, for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1.2. Let f be a nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n. For all m, we have

$$f(i+2,m) = f(2,m+i)f(i+1,m) - f(i,m), \qquad i \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}$$

$$f(i,m) = f(n-1,m)f(i+1,m-1) - f(i+2,m-2), \qquad i \in \{0,n-1\}.$$

Proof. We begin by proving the first statement. That is, we prove

$$P_i: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(i+2,m) = f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m) - f(i,m),$$

for $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$. We do so by induction on i.

Base case P_0 : We have that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(2,m)f(1,m) - f(0,m) = f(2,m+1) * 1 - 0 = f(2,m).

Inductive hypothesis. Suppose that our claim holds for some $i \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$ fixed. Then,

$$\begin{split} f(i+3,m)f(i+1,m+1) &= f(i+2,m)f(i+2,m+1) - 1 \\ &= f(i+2,m)(f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m+1) - f(i,m+1)) - 1 \\ &= f(i+2,m)f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m+1) - (f(i+2,m)f(i,m+1) + 1) \\ &= f(i+2,m)f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m+1) - f(i+1,m)f(i+1,m+1). \end{split}$$

Since f is nowhere-zero, we may divide both sides of the equation by f(i+1, m+1) to obtain the desired equality.

The second statement is proved almost identically. Namely, we prove

$$Q_i: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(i,m) = f(n-1,m)f(i+1,m-1) - f(i+2,m-2),$$

by induction on i, starting with i = n - 1 and proving the inductive step $Q_i \Rightarrow Q_{i-1}$.

Base case Q_{n-1} : for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(n-1,m)f(n,m-1) - f(n+1,m-2) = f(n-1,m)*1 - 0 =f(n-1,m).

Inductive hypothesis. Suppose that Q_{i+1} holds for some fixed $i \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$. Then,

$$\begin{split} f(i,m)f(i+2,m-1) &= f(i+1,m-1)f(i+1,m) - 1 \\ &= f(i+1,m-1)(f(i+2,m-1)f(n-1,m) - f(i+3,m-2)) - 1 \\ &= f(i+1,m-1)f(n-1,m)f(i+2,m-1) - (f(i+1,m-1)f(i+3,m-2) + 1) \\ &= f(i+1,m-1)f(n-1,m)f(i+2,m-1) - f(i+2,m-2)f(i+2,m-1). \end{split}$$

Again since f is nowhere-zero, dividing by f(i+2, m-1) on both sides we obtain Q_i .

Proposition 1.3. Let f be a nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n. Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $i \in \{0, ..., n+1\}$, we have

$$f(i,m) = f(i,m+n+1).$$

Proof. We prove a stronger statement, called the glide symmetry of frieze patterns. First, consider the map $\rho_n:\{0,1,\dots,n+1\}\times\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow\{0,1,\dots,n+1\}\times\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\rho_n(i,m) = (n+1-i, m+i). \tag{1.2}$$

We show that every nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n is ρ_n -invariant, i.e. satisfies

$$f(\rho_n(i,m)) = f(i,m), \quad \forall (i,m) \in \{0,1,\dots,n+1\} \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

The proposition will then follow by observing that $\rho_n^2:(i,m)\mapsto(i,m+n+1)$. Thus, consider the statement

$$P_i: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(i,m) = f(n+1-i,m+i),$$

where $i \in \{0, ..., n+1\}$. To prove that P_i holds for all i, it is sufficient to prove that P_0, P_1 hold, and that $P_i \wedge P_{i+1} \Rightarrow P_{i+2}$. $P_0: \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(0,m) = 0 = f(n+1,m).$ $P_1: \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(1,m) = 1 = f(n,m+1).$

Now suppose we are given $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that P_i and P_{i+1} hold. Then, for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{split} f(i+2,m) &= f(2,m+i)f(i+1,m) - f(i,m) \\ &= f(n-1,m+i+2)f(n-i,m+i+1) - f(n+1-i,m+i) \\ &= f(n-i-1,m+i+2). \end{split}$$

Corollary 1.4. Let f be a nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n. Then, $\text{Im}(f) := \{f(i, m) : f(i, m)$ $i \in \{1, ..., n\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a finite set.

Proof. Consider the finite set $\mathcal{D} = \{(i, m) : i \in \{1, ..., n\}, m \in \{0, ..., n\}\}$. By Proposition 1.3,

$$Im(f) = \{ f(i,m) : (i,m) \in \mathcal{D} \},\$$

and the right-hand side is obviously finite.

Chapter 2

n-Diagonals

Definition 2.1. Let n be a positive integer. By an n-diagonal, we mean any n-tuple (a_1, \ldots, a_n) of positive integers such that $a_1 = a_n$ and

$$a_i \mid a_{i-1} + a_{i+1}, \qquad i \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

We denote by Diag(n) the set of n-diagonals.

We prove a couple of key properties about n-diagonals.

Lemma 2.2. Fix a positive integer n. The set Diag(n) is non-empty.

Proof. The *n*-tuple (1, 1, ..., 1) consisting entirely of 1s is clearly an *n*-diagonal.

Definition 2.3. The Fibonacci sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $F_0=0, F_1=1$ and the recursive formula

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

The following n-diagonals will play an important role for us.

Lemma 2.4. 1) If n is odd, the n-tuple

$$(F_2,F_4,F_6,\dots,F_{n-1},F_n,F_{n-2},F_{n-4},\dots,F_5,F_3,F_1),$$

is an n-diagonal.

2) If n is even, the n-tuple

$$(F_2, F_4, F_6, \dots, F_{n-2}, F_n, F_{n-1}, F_{n-3}, \dots, F_5, F_3, F_1),$$

is an n-diagonal.

Proof. These are a tedious but straightforward calculation.

Lemma 2.5. In an n-diagonal (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , there exists an index $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $a_i = a_{i-1} + a_{i+1}$.

Proposition 2.6. Fix a positive integer n, and let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Diag}(n)$. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $a_i \leq F_n$.

Proof. By induction on n, and using Lemma 2.5.

Chapter 3

Maximal values of arithmetic frieze patterns

Definition 3.1. A \mathbb{Q} -valued pattern of height n is said to be an arithmetic frieze pattern (of height n) if it takes values in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote by Frieze(n) the set of arithmetic frieze patterns of height n.

The following proposition is a key result connecting arithmetic frieze patterns to n-diagonals.

Proposition 3.2. 1) Let f be an arithmetic frieze pattern of height n. For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the n-tuple

$$(f(1,m),f(2,m),\dots,f(n,m))$$

is an n-diagonal.

2) Given an n-diagonal (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , there exists a arithmetic frieze pattern f of height n such that

$$(f(1,0),\ldots,f(n,0))=(a_1,\ldots,a_n).$$

Proof.

Corollary 3.3. Fix a positive integer n. The set Frieze(n) is non-empty.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.2 showed that $(1,1,\ldots,1)$ is an n-diagonal. The claim then follows from 2) of Proposition 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. For each n, there is a well-defined positive integer, called the maximum value among arithmetic frieze patterns of height n, defined by

$$u_n:=\max(f(i,m):f\in \mathrm{Frieze}(n), i\in \{1,\dots,n\}, m\in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof.

We are now able to formulate and prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.5. For all $n \ge 1$, we have

$$u_n = F_n$$
.

Proof. By Propositions 3.2 and 2.6, $u_n \leq F_n$ for all n. On the other hand, Lemma 2.4 and 2) of Proposition 3.2 show that there exists an arithmetic frieze pattern of height n containing F_n as a value.