Coxeter frieze patterns

Antoine de Saint Germain

August 16, 2024

Chapter 1

Field-valued patterns

Throughout this document, we will study frieze patterns of finite height. This terminology (as compared to finite width or finite order) is unconventional but more convenient for formalisation. For us, the height of a frieze pattern corresponds to the number of rows, including the rows of ones but excluding the rows of zeros. Throughout this section, we fix an arbitrary field F.

Definition 1.1. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. A map $f : \{0, 1, ..., n, n + 1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F$ is called an F-valued pattern of height n if,

- 1) for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(0,m) = f(n+1,m) = 0,
- 2) for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(1,m) = f(n,m) = 1, and
- 3) for all $(i, m) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$f(i,m)f(i,m+1) = 1 + f(i+1,m)f(i-1,m+1).$$
(1.1)

An *F*-valued pattern *f* of height *n* is said to be *nowhere zero* if $f(i, m) \neq 0$, for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1.2. Let f be a nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n. For all m, we have

$$f(i+2,m) = f(2,m+i)f(i+1,m) - f(i,m), \qquad i \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}$$

$$f(i,m) = f(n-1,m)f(i+1,m-1) - f(i+2,m-2), \qquad i \in \{0,n-1\}.$$

Proof. We begin by proving the first statement. That is, we prove

$$P_i: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(i+2,m) = f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m) - f(i,m),$$

for $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$. We do so by induction on i.

Base case P_0 : We have that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(2,m)f(1,m) - f(0,m) = f(2,m+1) * 1 - 0 = f(2,m).

Inductive hypothesis. Suppose that our claim holds for some $i \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$ fixed. Then,

$$\begin{split} f(i+3,m)f(i+1,m+1) &= f(i+2,m)f(i+2,m+1) - 1 \\ &= f(i+2,m)(f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m+1) - f(i,m+1)) - 1 \\ &= f(i+2,m)f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m+1) - (f(i+2,m)f(i,m+1) + 1) \\ &= f(i+2,m)f(2,m+i+1)f(i+1,m+1) - f(i+1,m)f(i+1,m+1). \end{split}$$

Since f is nowhere-zero, we may divide both sides of the equation by f(i+1, m+1) to obtain the desired equality.

The second statement is proved almost identically. Namely, we prove

$$Q_i: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(i,m) = f(n-1,m)f(i+1,m-1) - f(i+2,m-2),$$

by induction on i, starting with i = n - 1 and proving the inductive step $Q_i \Rightarrow Q_{i-1}$.

Base case Q_{n-1} : for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, f(n-1,m)f(n,m-1) - f(n+1,m-2) = f(n-1,m)*1 - 0 =f(n-1,m).

Inductive hypothesis. Suppose that Q_{i+1} holds for some fixed $i \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$. Then,

$$\begin{split} f(i,m)f(i+2,m-1) &= f(i+1,m-1)f(i+1,m) - 1 \\ &= f(i+1,m-1)(f(i+2,m-1)f(n-1,m) - f(i+3,m-2)) - 1 \\ &= f(i+1,m-1)f(n-1,m)f(i+2,m-1) - (f(i+1,m-1)f(i+3,m-2) + 1) \\ &= f(i+1,m-1)f(n-1,m)f(i+2,m-1) - f(i+2,m-2)f(i+2,m-1). \end{split}$$

Again since f is nowhere-zero, dividing by f(i+2, m-1) on both sides we obtain Q_i .

Proposition 1.3. Let f be a nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n. Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $i \in \{0, ..., n+1\}$, we have

$$f(i,m) = f(i,m+n+1).$$

Proof. We prove a stronger statement, called the glide symmetry of frieze patterns. First, consider the map $\rho_n:\{0,1,\dots,n+1\}\times\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow\{0,1,\dots,n+1\}\times\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\rho_n(i,m) = (n+1-i, m+i). \tag{1.2}$$

We show that every nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n is ρ_n -invariant, i.e. satisfies

$$f(\rho_n(i,m)) = f(i,m), \quad \forall (i,m) \in \{0,1,\dots,n+1\} \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

The proposition will then follow by observing that $\rho_n^2:(i,m)\mapsto(i,m+n+1)$. Thus, consider the statement

$$P_i: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(i,m) = f(n+1-i,m+i),$$

where $i \in \{0, ..., n+1\}$. To prove that P_i holds for all i, it is sufficient to prove that P_0, P_1 hold, and that $P_i \wedge P_{i+1} \Rightarrow P_{i+2}$. $P_0: \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(0,m) = 0 = f(n+1,m).$ $P_1: \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(1,m) = 1 = f(n,m+1).$

Now suppose we are given $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that P_i and P_{i+1} hold. Then, for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{split} f(i+2,m) &= f(2,m+i)f(i+1,m) - f(i,m) \\ &= f(n-1,m+i+2)f(n-i,m+i+1) - f(n+1-i,m+i) \\ &= f(n-i-1,m+i+2). \end{split}$$

Corollary 1.4. Let f be a nowhere-zero F-valued pattern of height n. Then, $\text{Im}(f) := \{f(i, m) : f(i, m)$ $i \in \{1, ..., n\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a finite set.

Proof. Consider the finite set $\mathcal{D} = \{(i, m) : i \in \{1, ..., n\}, m \in \{0, ..., n\}\}$. By Proposition 1.3,

$$Im(f) = \{ f(i,m) : (i,m) \in \mathcal{D} \},\$$

and the right-hand side is obviously finite.

Chapter 2

Pandean sequences, flutes and the Fibonacci sequence

Definition 2.1. A sequence (a_k) , indexed by \mathbb{N}^* and consisting of positive integers is called pandean if $a_1 = 1$ and if, for every k > 1, we have

$$a_k \mid a_{k-1} + a_{k+1}.$$

Given a pandean sequence (a_k) , if there exists a positive integer n such that $a_k = a_{k+n-1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the tuple (a_1, \ldots, a_n) is called a Pan flute, or simply a flute, of height n. The set of all flutes of a given height n is denoted Flute(n).

Note that in a flute of height n, the first and last entries are equal to 1. It is clear that the constant sequence consisting entirely of ones is pandean, and such a pandean sequence gives rise to a flute of height n for any n. In other words, we have the following.

Lemma 2.2. For any positive integer n, the set Flute(n) is non-empty.

Recall that the Fibonacci sequence $(F_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $F_0=0, F_1=1$ and the recursive formula

$$F_k = F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}$$
.

Lemma 2.3. 1) If n is odd, the n-tuple

$$(F_2, F_4, F_6, \dots, F_{n-1}, F_n, F_{n-2}, F_{n-4}, \dots, F_5, F_3, F_1),$$

is a Pan flute of height n.

2) If n is even, the n-tuple

$$(F_2,F_4,F_6,\dots,F_{n-2},F_n,F_{n-1},F_{n-3},\dots,F_5,F_3,F_1),$$

is a Pan flute of height n.

Proof. These are a tedious but straightforward calculation.

Lemma 2.4. In a flute $(a_1, ..., a_n)$, one of the following two statements holds.

- 1) $a_2 = 1$ or $a_{n-1} = 1$.
- 2) There exists an index $i \in \{2, ..., n-1\}$ such that $a_i = a_{i-1} + a_{i+1}$.

Proof. Suppose that 1) does not hold. In particular, $a_2-a_1>0$ and $a_n-a_{n-1}<0$. We prove that statement 2) holds by contradiction. Thus, assume that for all $i\in\{2,\dots,n-1\}$, we have $a_i\neq a_{i-1}+a_{i+1}$. Since the a_i are positive integers, we necessarily have $a_{i-1}+a_{i+1}\geq 2a_i$ for all $i\in\{2,\dots,n-1\}$. Thus, for a given i, we have

$$a_{i+1}-a_i=a_{i+1}+a_{i-1}-a_{i-1}-a_i\geq 2a_i-a_{i-1}-a_i=a_i-a_{i-1}.$$

Gathering these inequalities, we obtain

$$a_n - a_{n-1} \ge a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} \ge \dots \ge a_2 - a_1 > 0$$

which contradicts the fact that $a_n - a_{n-1} < 0$.

Proposition 2.5. Fix a positive integer n, and let $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in \text{Flute}(n)$. For any $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, we have $a_i \leq F_n$.

Proof. Consider the statement

$$P_n: \text{If } (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Flute}(n), \, \text{then } a_i \leq F_n \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

The proposition claims that P_n holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We prove this by induction on n. The base case n=1 is clear, since the only flute of height 1 is (1), and $F_1=1$. Similarly, the case n=2 is clear, since the only flute of height 2 is (1,1), and $F_2=1$. Now, assume that P_k holds for all k up to and including a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $(a_1,\ldots,a_{n+1}) \in \operatorname{Flute}(n+1)$. By Lemma 2.4, we have either $a_2=1$ or $a_n=1$, or there exists an index $i \in \{2,\ldots,n\}$ such that $a_i=a_{i-1}+a_{i+1}$. Suppose that $a_2=1$. Then $(a_1,a_3,\ldots,a_{n+1}) \in \operatorname{Flute}(n)$, and so by the induction hypothesis, $a_i \leq F_n$ for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Since $F_n \leq F_{n+1}$, we have $a_i \leq F_{n+1}$ for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. A similar argument applies if $a_n=1$. Now, suppose that there exists an index $i \in \{2,\ldots,n\}$ such that

$$a_i = a_{i-1} + a_{i+1}. (2.1)$$

We claim that $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, \widehat{a_i}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in \text{Flute}(n)$, where $\widehat{a_i}$ means we omit a_i . Again by the induction hypothesis, we have that

$$a_j \le F_n, \qquad j \ne i.$$
 (2.2)

It remains to show that $a_i \leq F_{n+1}$. To see this, note that (2.1) and (2.2) together imply it is sufficient to show that either a_{i-1} or a_{i+1} is bounded above by F_{n-1} . But recall that a_{i-1} and a_{i+1} both belong to the flute $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, \widehat{a_i}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1})$ of height n. Thus, the conditions of Lemma 2.4 apply to this flute, so that by a reduction argument identical to the one above, there exists a flute of height n-1 containing either a_{i-1} or a_{i+1} (or both!), whereby at least one of the two is bounded above by F_{n-1} . This completes the induction step, and the proposition follows.

Chapter 3

Maximal values of arithmetic frieze patterns

Definition 3.1. A \mathbb{Q} -valued pattern of height n is said to be an arithmetic frieze pattern if it takes values in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote by Frieze(n) the set of arithmetic frieze patterns of height n.

The following proposition is a key result connecting arithmetic frieze patterns to flutes.

Proposition 3.2. 1) Let f be an arithmetic frieze pattern of height n. For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the n-tuple

$$(f(1,m),f(2,m),\dots,f(n,m))$$

is a flute of height n.

2) Given a flute (a_1, \dots, a_n) , there exists a arithmetic frieze pattern f of height n such that

$$(f(1,0),\ldots,f(n,0))=(a_1,\ldots,a_n).$$

Proof. 1) Note that we have f(1,0) = f(n,0) = 1 by definition. Moreover, f is arithmetic and so the first equation in Lemma 1.2 is precisely the divisibility condition defining a flute.

2) By arguing recursively, one can construct a pattern f such that $(f(1,0),\ldots,f(n,0))=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$. Moreover, such a frieze pattern is necessarily positive and $\mathbb Q$ -valued. It remains to show that f is integer-valued. We begin by showing that $f(2,m)\in\mathbb Z$ for all $m\in\mathbb Z$. By the definition of a flute, $f(2,0)\in\mathbb Z$, and for each $i\in\{1,\ldots,n-2\}$, there exists a positive integer c_i such that

$$f(i+1,0)*c_i = f(i+2,0) + f(i,0).$$

Using the first equation in Lemma 1.2, we deduce that $f(2,i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i=0,\ldots,n-2$. Moreover, $f(2,n-1)=f(n-1,0)\in \mathbb{Z}$ by assumption. Thus we have proved that $f(2,m)\in \mathbb{Z}$ for $m=0,\ldots,n-1$. To see that $f(2,n)\in \mathbb{Z}$, note from Lemma 1.2 that f(2,n)=f(n-1,1) can be expressed as a *polynomial* with integer coefficients in the variables $f(2,1), f(2,2),\ldots,f(2,n-2)$. The claim for all m then follows from Proposition 1.3.

To see how this implies that $f(i,m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \{2, ..., n\}$, it suffices to see, again from Lemma 1.2, that every f(i,m) can be expressed as a *polynomial* with integer coefficients in the variables f(2,m), f(2,m+1), ..., f(2,m+i-2).

Corollary 3.3. Fix a positive integer n. The set Frieze(n) is non-empty.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.2 showed that (1, 1, ..., 1) is a flute. The claim then follows from 2) of Proposition 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. For each n, there is a well-defined positive integer, called the maximum value among arithmetic frieze patterns of height n, defined by

$$u_n := \max(f(i,m) : f \in \operatorname{Frieze}(n), i \in \{1,\dots,n\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We are now able to formulate and prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.5. For all $n \ge 1$, we have

$$u_n = F_n$$
.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, every entry of an arithmetic frieze pattern of height n belongs to a flute of height n. By Proposition 2.5, entries in a flute of height n are bounded above by F_n . Thus $u_n \leq F_n$ for all n. On the other hand, Lemma 2.3 and 2) of Proposition 3.2 show that there exists an arithmetic frieze pattern of height n containing F_n as a value.