

PT270: HAVING DAILY MEAL WITH MEAT, CHICKEN, FISH (OR VEGETARIAN EQUIVALENT) WHEN RESPONDENT WAS AROUND 14 YEARS OLD

Topic and detailed topic: Income, consumption and elements of wealth, including debts / Intergenerational transmission of advantages and disadvantages

Variable type: Every 6 years

Unit: All current household members or selected respondent (if applicable) aged 25-59

Reference period: When respondent was around 14 years old

Mode of collection: Personal interview (proxy as an exception for persons temporarily away or in incapacity)

In use (period): Yes, 2019, 2023

Series' differences: Yes, 2023 slightly change the wording of the second modality

VALUES AND FORMAT

- 1 Yes
- 2 No, due to financial reasons
- 3 No, other reasons

FLAGS

- 1 Filled
- 1 Missing
- 2 Not applicable (lived in a collective household or institution)
- 3 Not selected respondent
- 6 Not in age range (25-59)
- 7 Not applicable (not collected according to the implementation of the "multiannual rolling planning")

DESCRIPTION

This variable holds for the entire group of children aged between 1 and 18 who were residing in the household of the respondent when they were around 14 years old.

The objective is to determine whether the respondent and other children in their household had at least one meal with meat, chicken, fish or vegetarian equivalent daily when the respondent was around 14 years old.

Even if only one child did not have these foods, the entire group of children in the household are assumed not to have had them. Even if only one child did not have such food because the household could not afford it, then the answer category should be 'No, due to financial reasons'.

Suggested question:

FILTER: IF [Age < 25 or Age > 59] or PT220=2 >> go to the next question

IF 25 ≤ Age ≤ 59 and PT220 = 1 >> go to PT270_Q1

PT270_Q1: Did all the children in your household have at least one meal with meat, chicken, fish, or vegetarian equivalent at least once a day when you were around 14 years old?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No, due to financial reasons
- 3. No, other reasons