Problem Set Week 3 Solutions

ETHZ Math Olympiad Club

3 March 2025

1 Problem 1 (Pan African 2018)

Find all functions $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(f(x+y))^2 = f(x^2) + f(y^2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Answer:

Plug in y=-x, and let c=f(0). We have $f(x^2)=\frac{c^2}{2}$. Plugging in y=0, we have $f(x)^2=\frac{c^2}{2}+c$. Plugging in x=0 in this gives $c^2=\frac{c^2}{2}+c$ c=0 or c=2. Now if c=0, $f\equiv 0$ is the only solution. Else we have $f(x)=\pm 2$ for all x. This means $f(x^2)+f(y^2)=4$, always, so for all x being perfect squares, f(x)=2. So the solution in this case becomes f(x)=2 for all perfect square x and ± 2 for all other x. It is easy to check that the solutions mentioned above work. Thus:

$$\left\{ f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \,\middle|\, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \,\left(f(x+y) \right)^2 = f(x^2) + f(y^2) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \underline{0} \right\} \cup \left\{ 2\mathbb{1}_A - 2\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus A} \,\middle|\, \exists A \subset \mathbb{Z}, \ \Box_{\mathbb{N}} \subset A \right\}$$

where $\square_{\mathbb{N}} := \{n^2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

2 Problem B-2 (IMC 2012)

Define the sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ inductively by $a_0=1, a_1=\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{na_n^2}{1 + (n+1)a_n}$$
 for $n \ge 1$.

Show that the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}$$

converges and determine its value.

Answer:

By induction, we establish that $a_i > 0$ for all $i \ge 0$, ensuring that the partial sums are well defined and form an increasing sequence. Furthermore, we observe that

$$ka_k = \frac{(1+(k+1)a_k)a_{k+1}}{a_k} = \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} + (k+1)a_{k+1}$$
 for all $k \ge 1$.

Summing from k = 0 to n, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} = \frac{a_1}{a_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (ka_k - (k+1)a_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{2} + 1 \cdot a_1 - (n+1)a_{n+1} = 1 - (n+1)a_{n+1}$$

for all $n \ge 1$. A quick verification confirms that this equality also holds for n = 0.

Since $(n+1)a_{n+1} > 0$, we deduce that for each $n \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} = 1 - (n+1)a_{n+1} < 1.$$

This implies that the partial sums are bounded, and thus, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}$ is convergent (converging to the supremum of the partial sums). Consequently, the sequence $\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}$ must tend to zero. In particular, there exists an index $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < \frac{1}{2}$ for all n > N.

For all n > N, we then have

$$a_n = \prod_{i=N}^{n-1} \frac{a_{i+1}}{a_i} < \frac{1}{a_N 2^{n-1-N}} = \frac{a_N^{-1} 2^N + 1}{2^n}.$$

In particular, for all n > N,

$$na_n < \left(a_N^{-1} 2^N + 1\right) \left(\frac{n}{2^n}\right).$$

Since $\frac{n}{2^n} \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, it follows that $na_n \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - (n+1)a_{n+1} \right) = 1.$$

3 Problem 5 (Pan African 2018)

Let a, b, c and d be non-zero pairwise different real numbers such that

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{c} + \frac{c}{d} + \frac{d}{a} = 4$$
 and $ac = bd$.

Show that

$$\frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{d} + \frac{c}{a} + \frac{d}{b} \le -12$$

and that -12 is the maximum.

Answer:

First write $d = \frac{ac}{b}$ so we have $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{c} + \frac{c}{b} = 4$ and we want to show that $\frac{a}{c} + \frac{c}{a} + \frac{b^2}{ac} + \frac{ac}{b^2}$ or equivalently $(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a})(\frac{c}{b} + \frac{b}{c}) \leq -12$.

Set $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} = s$ and $\frac{c}{b} + \frac{b}{c} = t$. We have s + t = 4 and we want $st \leq -12$.

We have t = 4 - s and so we need $s(4 - s) \le -12 \Rightarrow s^2 - 4s - 12 \ge 0$. If $s \le -2$, this holds (indeed, set s = -2 + k and we have $s^2 - 4s - 12 = (k - 2)^2 - 4(k - 2) - 12 = k^2 - 8k - 16 = (k - 4)^2 \ge 0$).

So assume that $s \ge -2$. Similarly, assume $t \ge -2$. We have then $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} \ge -2 \Rightarrow \frac{(a+b)^2}{ab} > 0$, so ab > 0 and bc > 0, so a, b, c, are of the same sign, so $4 = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{c} + \frac{c}{b} \ge 2 + 2 = 4$, so a = b = c, contradiction, since $a \ne b \ne c \ne a$.

So, at least one of s, t is ≤ -2 and the stated inequality holds.

The equality happens e.g. when $(a, b, c, d) = (2\sqrt{2} - 3, 3 - 2\sqrt{2}, 1, -1)$ (and this shows that -12 is the maximum).

4 Problem 3 (Silk Road 2019)

Find all pairs (a, n) of positive natural numbers such that $\varphi(a^n + n) = 2^n$.

 $(\varphi(n))$ is the Euler function, that is, the number of integers from 1 up to n, relatively prime to n.)

Answer:

Using the estimation $\varphi(b) > \sqrt{b}$ (classic) for all b > 6, we first check the (finitely many) cases when a solution (a, n) satisfies $a^n + n \le 6$ to find that only:

$$(a, n) \in \{(2, 1), (3, 1), (5, 1)\}$$

works.

Now for a solution (a, n) with $a^n + n > 6$ we get using the lower bound estimation:

$$\varphi(a^n + n) \stackrel{\text{hyp}}{=} 2^n > \sqrt{a^n + n}.$$

• If $a \ge 4$ the inequality cannot be true as then:

$$2^n > \sqrt{a^n + n} > \sqrt{4^n + n} > \sqrt{2^{2n}} = 2^n$$
.

so we have to check the cases when a = 1, 2, 3.

- If a=1 then using the trivial upper bound estimation $\varphi(b) \leq b-1$ we get $n \geq \varphi(n+1) = 2^n$, which never holds for $n \geq 1$.
- If a=2 or a=3 this is more subtle. To have $3^n+n>6$ we need for a=3 that $n\geq 2$ and for a=2 that $n\geq 3$. We see that (3,3) works: indeed $\varphi(30)=30\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{5}\right)=8=2^3$. Since (3,2) and (2,3) don't satisfy the property (easy to check) and (3,3) does, we can assume $n\geq 4$. Now we claim that there is no integer $n\geq 4$ satisfying for $a\in\{2,3\}$:

$$\varphi\left(a^n+n\right)=2^n.$$

We proceed as follows:

If an integer $m \geq 2$ satisfies

$$\varphi(m) = 2^k,$$

then every odd prime p dividing m must satisfy

$$p-1=2^{j}$$
.

Indeed, writing $m = 2^k \cdot p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$ for some distinct odd primes p_i , $k \ge 0$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_r \ge 1$, since $\varphi(m) = 2^{k-1} \cdot p_1^{e_1-1} \cdots p_r^{e_r-1}(p_1-1) \cdots (p_r-1)$, the only way for $\varphi(m)$ to be a power of 2 is that:

- For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, we have $e_i = 1$.
- Every odd prime p_i satisfies $p_i 1 = 2^{a_i}$ for some $a_i \ge 0$. In other words, every odd prime factor of m is a Fermat prime¹.

since $1 < 2^{\frac{j}{p}} + 1 < 2^{j} + 1$, contradicting the fact that $2^{j} + 1$ is a prime number. *Nota bene*: the only known Fermat primes are $3 = 2^{(2^{0})} + 1$, $5 = 2^{(2^{1})} + 1$, $17 = 2^{(2^{2})} + 1$, $257 = 2^{(2^{3})} + 1$, and $65537 = 2^{(2^{4})} + 1$, and we don't know if there are infinitely many of them.

¹A Fermat prime is a prime number of the form $2^j + 1$. One can easily see (mental exercise) that j is necessarily **again** a power of 2. Indeed, if j is not a power of 2, then there is an odd prime $p \mid j$ and $2^j + 1 = \left(2^{\frac{j}{p}}\right)^p - (-1)^p = \left(2^{\frac{j}{p}} + 1\right) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{p-1-s} \left(2^{\frac{j}{p}}\right)^s\right)$, which is a non-trivial factorization of $2^j + 1$

Hence $2^n = \varphi(m) = 2^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^r 2^{a_i}$, and so the following equality holds:

$$k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = n.$$

If we let $n \geq 4$, $a \in \{2, 3\}$, and

$$m = a^n + n$$
,

with $\varphi(m)=2^n$, then with the same notation as before:

$$a^{n} + n = 2^{k} \cdot p_{1} \cdots p_{r} = 2^{k} (2^{a_{1}} + 1) \cdots (2^{a_{r}} + 1)$$

for $k \geq 0$ and some distinct odd primes $p_i = 2^{a_i} + 1$ with $a_i \geq 1$ (as p_i is odd) (the a_i are powers of 2, but this is not needed here). Moreover, the equality $k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = n$ holds. Without loss of generality, order the exponents:

$$1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_r$$
.

Now let us attack each case $(a = 2 \text{ or } a = 3 \text{ with } n \ge 4)$ separately:

-If a=2, then if $6 < 2^n + n$ is a prime number, this means that k=0 and r=1, and $-1 + a_1 = n$, so that $2^n + n = 2^{a_1} + 1 = 2^{n+1} + 1$, and thus $n=2^n + 1 > 2^n > n$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $2^n + n$ satisfying the property cannot be a prime number. Hence, it is composite. We have the following classical upper bound for the Euler totient for a composite natural number m:

$$\varphi(m) = m \prod_{p \mid m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \le m \left(1 - \frac{1}{\min \left\{ p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \mid m \right\}} \right) = m - \frac{m}{\min \left\{ p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \mid m \right\}}.$$

And since m is composite (we use it here), min $\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \mid m\} \leq \sqrt{m}$, so that:

$$\varphi(m) \le m - \sqrt{m}$$
.

Using this upper bound estimation, we get:

$$2^{n} + n - \sqrt{2^{n} + n} \ge \varphi(2^{n} + n) = 2^{n}$$

Noticing that the function f defined on \mathbb{R}_+ by $f(x) = x - \sqrt{2^x + x}$ is always negative (because for all $x \geq 0$ we have $x(x-1) < x^2 < 2^x$ since $\ln(x) < \frac{x}{2}$), we conclude that the above inequality cannot hold for $n \geq 1$. This shows that there is no integer $n \geq 4$ such that $\varphi(2^n + n) = 2^n$.

-If a = 3, then by induction, one has the following fact:

$$t \ge 1 \implies 2^t + 1 \le 3^t$$
 with equality only at $t = 1$,

$$t \geq 3 \implies 2^t < 2^t + 1 < 3^{t-1}.$$

With this in mind, we obtain easily:

If there exists a_i with $a_i \geq 3$ (in particular, this occurs if $r \geq 3$, as then for $r \geq i > 2$ we have $a_i \geq 3$), then $2^{a_i} + 1 < 3^{a_i-1}$, which yields:

$$3^{n} < 3^{n} + n = 2^{k} (2^{a_{1}} + 1) \cdots (2^{a_{r}} + 1) < 2^{k} 3^{a_{i} - 1} \prod_{\substack{t=1 \ t \neq i}}^{r} 3^{a_{t}} = 2^{k} 3^{n - k} \le 3^{n},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, in particular, $1 \le r \le 2$, and all a_i belong to $\{1, 2\}$.

If $k \geq 3$, then:

$$3^{n} < 3^{n} + n = 2^{k} (2^{a_{1}} + 1) \cdots (2^{a_{r}} + 1) \le 2^{k} 3^{a_{1}} \cdots 3^{a_{r}} \le 2^{k} 3^{n+1-k} \le 3^{k-1} 3^{n+1-k} = 3^{n},$$

which is again a contradiction, so $0 \le k \le 2$.

Summarizing, we have that a solution $3^n + n$ must be in the following set:

This shows that there is no integer $n \ge 4$ such that $\varphi(3^n + n) = 2^n$.

To summarize, the only pairs that work are $\{(2,1),(3,1),(3,3),(5,1)\}$, and this concludes.