D Templates: Some Notes

Philippe Sigaud

Sunday 15th January, 2012

Contents

Ir	itro	duction	6			
What's in This Document Conventions						
\mathbf{T}	hank	S	8			
Ι	Ba	asics	9			
1	Ten	aplate Declarations	9			
2	Inst	cantiating a Template	11			
3	Ten 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	The Eponymous Trick Inner alias static if. 3.3.1 Syntax. 3.3.2 Optional Code 3.3.3 Nested static ifs 3.3.4 Recursion with static if Templates Specializations	14 14 15 16 16 17 17 18 21 23			
4	Fun 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	Syntax	24 24 25 25 26 27 29 30			
	4.0	Storage Classes				

	4.9	Properties are Automatically Deduced								30
	4.10	in and out Clauses								31
	4.11	Modifying Functions								31
		4.11.1 Accepting a Tuple								31
		4.11.2 Mapping n ranges in parallel $\ \ldots \ \ldots$.								32
5	Stru	act Templates								33
_	5.1	Syntax								
	5.2	Factory Functions								
	5.3	Giving Access to Inner Parameters								
	5.4	Templated Member Functions								
	5.5	Templated Constructors	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	37
	5.6	Inner Structs	•	•		•	•	•		38
	5.7	Template This Parameters	•	•		•	•	•		40
	5.8	Example: a Concat / Flatten Range								
0	CI.									
6		s Templates								44
	6.1	Syntax								
	6.2	Methods Templates								
	6.3	invariant clauses								
	6.4	Inner Classes								
	6.5	Anonymous Classes								
	6.6	Parameterized Base Class								
	6.7	Another Example								
	6.8	The Curiously Recurring Template Pattern								
	6.9	Example	٠				•	•		49
7	Oth	er Templates?								49
	7.1	Interface Templates								49
	7.2	Union Templates	٠					•		50
II	So	ome More Advanced Considerations								51
8	Con	straints								51
	8.1	Syntax								51
	8.2	Constraints Usage								52
	8.3	Constraints Limits								53
	8.4	Constraints, Specializations and ${\tt static}\ {\tt if:}\ .$.								
9	Pred	dicate Templates								55
	9.1	Testing for a member								
	9.2	Testing for operations								
	9.3	Completing the Flatten range:								
10	Torra	plate Tuple Parameters								57
τÛ		Definition and Basic Properties								
		The Type of Tuples								
		Example: Variadic Functions								
		One-Element Tuples: Accepting Types and Alias Example: Inheritance Lists	•	•		•	•	•		63
	111.5	examine: innernance light								n≺

11	Operator Overloading	66
	11.1 Syntax	66
	11.2 Example: Arithmetic Operators	66
	11.3 Special Case: in	67
	11.4 Special Case: cast	67
12	Mixin Templates	67
	12.1 Syntax	67
	12.2 Mixing Code In	68
13	opDispatch	69
	13.1 Syntax	69
	13.2 Getters and Setters	70
	13.3 Wrapper Templates	71
14	Templates in Templates	72
	14.1 Templates All the Way Down	72
	14.2 Double-Stage Function Templates	73
	14.3 Named-Fields Tuples	74
15	FILE_ andLINE	76
16	User-Defined Literals	77
17	Encoding Information With Types	7 8
		78 79
II	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins	79 79
II	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79
II	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 79 79
II	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 79 82 82
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 82 82 82
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 82 82 82 83
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 79 82 82 82 83 83
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax . 18.2 Mixing Code In, With Templates . 18.3 Limitations Compile-Time Function Evaluation 19.1 Evaluation at Compile-Time . 19.2ctfe . 19.3 Templates and CTFE . 19.4 Templates and CTFE and String Mixins, oh my!	79 79 79 79 82 82 82 83 83
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax . 18.2 Mixing Code In, With Templates . 18.3 Limitations Compile-Time Function Evaluation 19.1 Evaluation at Compile-Time . 19.2ctfe . 19.3 Templates and CTFE . 19.4 Templates and CTFE and String Mixins, oh my! . 19.5 Simple String Interpolation	79 79 79 79 82 82 82 83 83 83 84
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 82 82 83 83 83 84 86
II:	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax . 18.2 Mixing Code In, With Templates . 18.3 Limitations Compile-Time Function Evaluation 19.1 Evaluation at Compile-Time . 19.2ctfe . 19.3 Templates and CTFE . 19.4 Templates and CTFE and String Mixins, oh my! . 19.5 Simple String Interpolation	79 79 79 79 82 82 82 83 83 83 84
III. 18 19	String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 79 82 82 82 83 83 84 86 87
III. 18 19	I Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools String Mixins 18.1 Syntax . 18.2 Mixing Code In, With Templates . 18.3 Limitations Compile-Time Function Evaluation 19.1 Evaluation at Compile-Time . 19.2ctfe . 19.3 Templates and CTFE . 19.4 Templates and CTFE and String Mixins, oh my! . 19.5 Simple String Interpolation . 19.6 Example: extending std.functional.binaryFun . 19.7 Sorting Networkstraits	79 79 79 79 82 82 82 83 83 83 84 86 87
III. 18 19	String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 79 82 82 83 83 83 84 86 87
III. 18 19	String Mixins 18.1 Syntax 18.2 Mixing Code In, With Templates 18.3 Limitations Compile-Time Function Evaluation 19.1 Evaluation at Compile-Time 19.2ctfe 19.3 Templates and CTFE 19.4 Templates and CTFE and String Mixins, oh my! 19.5 Simple String Interpolation 19.6 Example: extending std.functional.binaryFun 19.7 Sorting Networks traits 20.1 Yes/No Questions withtraits 20.2 identifier 20.3 getMember	79 79 79 79 82 82 83 83 83 84 86 87
III. 18 19	String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 79 82 82 83 83 83 84 86 87 90 91 92 92
III. 18 19	String Mixins 18.1 Syntax 18.2 Mixing Code In, With Templates 18.3 Limitations Compile-Time Function Evaluation 19.1 Evaluation at Compile-Time 19.2ctfe 19.3 Templates and CTFE 19.4 Templates and CTFE and String Mixins, oh my! 19.5 Simple String Interpolation 19.6 Example: extending std.functional.binaryFun 19.7 Sorting Networks traits 20.1 Yes/No Questions withtraits 20.2 identifier 20.3 getMember 20.4 allMembers 20.5 derivedMembers	79 79 79 79 82 82 82 83 83 84 86 87 90 91 92 92 95
III. 18 19	String Mixins 18.1 Syntax	79 79 79 79 82 82 83 83 83 84 86 87 90 91 92 92

20.8 Testing for Interface Implementation	100 100
21 Wrapping it all Together	102
IV Examples	103
22 Type Sorcery	103
22.1 Mapping, Filtering and Folding Types	
22.1.1 Mapping on Type Tuples	
22.1.2 Example: Testing a Function	
22.1.3 Filtering Type Tuples	
22.1.4 Example: building a Graph	
22.1.5 Folding Type Tuples	
22.1.6 Sorting Types	110
22.2 Zipping Types, Interleaving Types, Crossing Types	112
22.2.1 Interleaving Types	113
22.3 Annotating Types	113
23 Tuples as Sequences	114
23.1 Mapping on Tuples	
23.2 Filtering Tuples	
2012 2 2011 2 2011	
24 Fun With Functions	116
24.1 Memoizing a Function	
24.2 Currying a Function	
24.3 Juxtaposing functions	121
25 Relational Algebra	122
26 Fun With Classes and Structs	122
26.1 Class Hierarchy	
26.2 Cloning, sort of	
26.3 Generic Maker Function	
27 Library Typedef	122
	100
28 Emitting Events	123
29 Fields	127
30 Extending an enum	127
31 Static Switching	128

32	Generic Structures 1	129
		129
	32.2 Polymorphic Association Lists	
	32.3 A Polymorphic Tree	
	32.4 Expression Templates	132
33	Statically-Checked Writeln 1	L 33
34	Extending a Class 1	133
35	Pattern Matching With Functions 1	34
36	Generating a Switch for Tuples 1	134
$\mathbf{A}_{]}$	ppendices 1	35
A	A Crash Course on the is() Expression	135
	A.1 General Syntax	
	A.2 is(Type)	
	A.3 is(Type : AnotherType) and is(Type == AnotherType) :	137
	A.4 Type Specializations	139
В	Resources and Further Reading 1	40
	B.1 D Templates	140
	B.1.1 D Reference	
	B.1.2 The D Programming Language	
	B.1.3 Programming in D	
	B.1.4 The D Wiki	
	B.2 D Metaprogramming	
	B.4 Genericity in Other Languages	
	B.5 Metaprogramming in Other Languages	
	D.o Mesaprogramming in Other Danguages	1714
In	dex 1	43

Introduction

Templates are a central feature of D, giving you powerful compile-time code generation abilities that'll make your code cleaner, more flexible and even more efficient. They are used everywhere in Phobos — D standard library — and therefore any D user should know about them. But, based on C++'s templates as they are, D templates can be a bit daunting at first. The D Programming Language website's documentation is a good start, though its description of templates is spread among many different files and (as it's a language reference) its material doesn't so much teach you how to use templates as show you their syntax and semantics.

This document aims to be a kind of tutorial on D templates, to show the beginning D coder what can be achieved with them. When I was doing C++, I remember never using templates for more than containers-of-T stuff, and considered Boost-level¹ metaprogramming the kind of code I could never understand, never mind produce. Well, D's sane syntax for templates and nifty features such as static if, alias or tuples cured me of that impression. I hope this document will help you, too.

What's in This Document

Part I deals with the very basics: how to declare and instantiate a template, the standard 'building blocks' you'll use in almost all your templates, along with function (4), struct (5) and class (6) templates. Throughout the text, examples will present applications of these concepts.

Part II is about more advanced topics a D template user will probably use, but not on a daily basis, like template constraints (8), mixin templates (12) or operator overloading (11).

Part III presents other metaprogramming tools: string mixins (18), compiletime function evaluation (19), and __traits (20). These are seen from a template-y point of view: how they can interact with templates and what you can build with them in conjunction with templates.

Part IV presents more developed examples of what can be done with templates, based on real needs I had at some time and that could be fulfilled with templates.

Finally, an appendix (Appendix A) on the ubiquitous is expression and another (B) giving resources and further reading advice complete this document.

Conventions

To make this document more easily readable, I'll use standard coding books conventions, by highlighting parts of the text. Mainly, in this doc:

- D keywords will be marked like this: int, static if, __traits.
- Symbols and names used in code samples and cited in the text will be written like this: myFunc, flatten.

¹ The Boost C++ library collection makes heavy use of templates.

- internal links will be in red, like this (I).
- external links will be in blue, like this.
- Syntax-highlighted code samples are shown like this:

```
module intro;

import std.stdio;

// This is a comment
void hello(uint times)
{
   foreach(i; 0..times) writeln("Hello, Word!");
}
```

I'll use numbered lines only when necessary. The code lines may be wider than the main text, but that's to get about 80-chars-long lines. For the time being I'll just respect the standard LATEX text width, unless many people complain about it.

For those of you interested by \LaTeX , this document uses the minted package for the code samples.

I will sometimes make a little explanatory detour, discussing a small piece of info too small to be in its own section but of interest to the reader nonetheless. These will be marked so:

What's with all that red and blue?

What, don't you like having red boxes and blue keywords in your text? Even links will be colored! This way, people reading this on screen can easily know if something is a hyperlink.

Finally, some sections in this doc are not finished yet. The sections I consider unfinished will begin with a friendly red frame:

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Hey, now I've added a Thanks section. But as long as I'm adding new parts in the document, new appendices, and new section, this intro will not be finished.

I probably forgot some 'unfinished' tags, do not hesitate to tell me so.

How to Get This Document

This doc is just a bunch of LATEX documents hosted on GitHub. Don't hesitate to fork it or (even better for me) to make pull requests! For those of you reading this on paper, the address is:

http://github.com/PhilippeSigaud/D-templates-tutorial

Thanks

As soon as I publicly released this document, D community members gave me help, suggestions, corrections, and code samples. This is cool to see a D network emerge and people participating in common projects. The following people helped me:

Andrej Mitrovic, Justin Whear, Zachary Lund, Jacob Carlborg, Timon Gehr, Simen Kjærås, Andrei Alexandrescu.

Thanks guys!

Part I

Basics

A template is a recipe, a blueprint that will generate code at your command and according to compile-time parameters you'll give. Templates are *parameterized code*. Each template definition is written once in a module and can then be instantiated many times with different parameters, possibly resulting in quite different code, depending on the arguments you used.

1 Template Declarations

Here is the syntax for a template declaration:

```
template templateName(list, of, parameters)
{
   // Some syntactically correct declarations here
   // The arguments are accessible inside the template scope.
}
```

templateName is your usual D identifier and the list of parameters is a comma-separated list of zero or more template parameters. These can be:

Types: An identifier alone by itself is considered a type name. The common D style is to use identifiers beginning with a capital letter (Range, Rest), as for any user-defined types. Many D templates use the C++ tradition of one-capital-letter names for types, starting from $T(U,V,\ldots)$. Do not feel constrained by this, use what makes your templates most easy to understand.

Aliases: These will capture symbols: variable names, class names, even other template names. They will also accept many compile-time literals: strings, arrays, function literals, ... Mostly, if you need a widely-accepting template, use an alias parameter. They will not accept built-in types as arguments, however. You declare them with alias identifier.

Literal values: They can be integral values (int, ulong, ...), enum-based, strings, chars, floating-point values or boolean values. I think the rule is that any expression that can be evaluated at compile-time is OK. They are all declared like this: typeName identifier. For example: int depth or string name.

Template parameters tuples: Template parameters tuples will capture under one identifier an entire list of template parameters (types, names, literals, ...). Tuples will store any template argument you will throw at them. If no argument is passed, you will just get a zero-length tuple. Really, as they can deal with types as well as symbols, these tuples are a bit of a mongrel type but they are wonderfully powerful and easy to use, as you will see in section 10. The syntax is identifier... (yes, three dots) and the tuple must be the last parameter of a template.

Of those, types and aliases are the most common, while floating point values are fairly rare (their use as arguments for compile-time calculations have been superseded by D's Compile-Time Function Evaluation, aka CTFE, described in section 19). You'll see different uses of these parameters in this document.

Note that pointers, arrays, objects (instantiated classes), structs or functions are not part of this list. But as I said, alias parameters allow you to capture and use array, class, function or struct *names* and then access their capacities.

Aliases, Symbols and Names

There is big difference between built-in types (like int or double[3]) and user-defined types. A user-defined type, say a class called MyClass, is a type name. So, it's both a type (the class MyClass, accepted by type templates arguments) and a name (MyClass, accepted by alias template parameters). On the other hand, int, being a D keyword is not a symbol nor a name. It's just a type. You cannot pass it to an alias template parameter.

The template body can contain any standard D declarations: variable, function, class, interface, other templates, alias declarations,... The only exception I can think of is declaring a module, as this is done at the top-level scope.

Syntax and Semantics

And then there is a catch: code inside a template declaration must only be syntactically correct D code (that is: code that looks like D code). The semantics are not checked until instantiation. That means you can code happily, writing templates upon templates and the compiler won't bat an eye if you do not exercise your templates by instantiating them.

Inside the template body, the parameters are all accessible as placeholders for the future arguments. Also, the template's own name refers to its current instantiation when the code is generated. This is mostly used in struct (see section 5) and class (6) templates.

Here are some template declaration examples:

```
template ArrayOf(T) // T is a type
2
   {
       alias T[] ArrayType;
3
       alias T ElementType;
4
        immutable T t; // storage class
5
6
   }
7
8
   template Transformer(From, To) // From and To are types, too
9
10
       To transform(From from) { /* some code that returns a To*/}
11
12
        class Modificator
13
```

```
{
14
15
            From f;
16
            To t;
17
            this(From f) { ... }
        }
18
   }
19
20
   template nameOf(alias a)
21
22
        enum string name = a.stringof; // enum: manifest constant
23
                                           // determined at compile-time
24
   }
25
26
27
   template ComplicatedOne(T, string s, alias a, bool b, int i)
   \{ /* \text{ some code using T, s, a, b and i */} \}
28
29
   template Minimalist() {} // Zero-parameter template declaration.
30
31
   template OneOrMore(FirstType, Rest...) // Rest is a tuple.
32
   { ... }
33
34
   template ZeroOrMore(Types...) // Types is a tuple.
35
36
37
   template Multiple(T)
                               \{ \dots \} // One arg version.
38
   template Multiple(T,U)
                               { ... } // Two args,
39
   template Multiple(T,U,V) \{ \dots \} // \text{ and three.}
```

The real syntax for template declarations is slightly more complex, I'll introduce more of it in the next sections (you'll see for example type restrictions in section 3.4, default values in section 3.5, instantiation constraints in 8, and more on tuples in section 10).

There is a limitation that's interesting to keep in mind: templates can be declared in almost any scope, except inside a (regular) function.

enum

In the previous code, see line 23? It defines a string called name as a member of nameOf. The enum placed right before means name is a compile-time constant. You can see it as a kind of storage class, in the line of immutable or const, one that means the value is totally defined and fixed at runtime. You'll see numerous examples of enum in this document.

2 Instantiating a Template

To instantiate a template, use the following syntax:

```
templateName!(list, of, arguments)
```

Note the '!' before the comma-separated argument list. If the argument list contains only one argument (one token), you can drop the parenthesis:

templateName!argument

Templates as templates arguments

Arguments can themselves be the result of another template instantiation. If a template returns a type upon instantiation, it's perfectly OK to use it inside another template argument list. In this document you'll regularly see Matrioshka calls like this: firstTemp!(secondTempl!(Arguments), OtherArguments).

The compiler will have a look at the declarations (if more than one template were declared with the called name) and select the one with the correct number of arguments and the correct types to instantiate. If more than one template can be instantiated, it will complain and stop there (though, have a look on template specializations in section 3.4 and template constraints in section 8).

When you instantiate a template, the global effect is that a new named scope is created in the template declaration scope. The name of this new scope is the template name with its argument list: templateName!(args). Inside the scope, the parameters are now 'replaced' with the corresponding arguments (storage classes get applied, variables are initialized, ...). Here's what possible instantiations of the templates declared in section 1 might look like:

```
ArrayOf!int
Transformer!(double,int) // From is an alias for the type double
                         // To for the type int
struct MyStruct { ... }
nameOf!(MyStruct) // "MyStruct" is a identifier -> captured by alias
ComplicatedOne!( int[]
                       // a type
               , "Hello" // a string literal
               , ArrayOf // a name (here the ArrayOf template)
               , true // a boolean literal
               , 1+2
                        // calculated to be the integral '3'.
Minimalist!()
// or even:
Minimalist
                                 // FirstType is int.
OneOrMore!( int
          , double, string, "abc" // Rest is (double,string,"abc")
ZeroOrMore!(int)
                              // Types is a 1-element tuple: (int)
```

```
ZeroOrMore!(int,double,string) // Types is (int,double,string)
ZeroOrMore!() // Types is the empty tuple: ()

Multiple!(int) // Selects the one-arg version
Multiple!(int,double,string) // The three args version.

Multiple!() // Error! No O-arg version
```

Outside the scope (that is, where you put the template instantiation in your own code), the internal declarations are accessible by fully qualifying them:

```
// ArrayType is accessible (it's int[])
// array is a completly standard dynamic array of ints.
ArrayOf!(int).ArrayType array;
ArrayOf!(int).ElementType element; // the same, element is an int.
// the transform function is accessible. Instantiated like this,
// it's a function from string to double.
auto d = Transformer!(string,double).transform("abc"); // d is a double
```

Obviously, using templates like this, with their full name, is a pain. The nifty D alias declaration is your friend:

You must keep in mind that instantiating a template means generating code. Using different arguments at different places in your code will instantiate as many differently named scopes. This is a major difference with generics in languages like Java or C#, where generic code is created only once and type erasure is used to link all this together. On the other hand, trying to instantiate many times the 'same' template (ie: with the same arguments) will only create one piece of code.

```
alias Transformer!(string,double) StoD;
alias Transformer!(double,string) DtoS;
alias Transformer!(string,int) StoI;
// Now we can use three different functions and three different classes.
```

void

Note that void is a D type and, as such, a possible template argument for a type parameter. Take care: many templates make no sense when void is used as a type. In the following sections and in the appendix, you'll see ways to restrict arguments to only certain types.

3 Template Building Blocks

Up to now, templates may not seem that interesting to you, even with a simple declaration and instantiation syntax. But wait! D introduced a few nifty tricks that both simplify and greatly expand templates use. This section will introduce you to your future best friends, the foundations on which your templates will be built.

3.1 The Eponymous Trick

If a template declares only one symbol with the same name (greek: *epo-nymous*) as the enclosing template, that symbol is assumed to be referred to when the template is instantiated. This one is pretty good to clean your code:

```
template pair(T)
{
    T[] pair(T t) { return [t,t];} // declares only pair
}

auto array = pair!(int)(1); // no need to do pair!(int).pair(1)

template nameOf(alias name)
{
    enum string nameOf = name.stringof;
}

struct Example { int i;}
Example example;

auto s1 = nameOf!(Example);
auto s2 = nameOf!(example);
assert(s1 == "Example");
assert(s2 == "example");
```

A limitation is that the eponymous trick works *only* if you define one (and only one) symbol. Even if the other symbols are private, they will break the eponymous substitution. This may change at a latter time, as the D developers have shown interest for changing this, but for the time being, you cannot do:

```
template ManyAlias(T, U)
    T[] firstArray;
    U[] secondArray;
    T[U] ManyAlias; // Alas, ET substitution doesn't work here
}

// Hoping for ManyAlias!(int, string).ManyAlias
ManyAlias!(int, string) = ["abc":0, "def":1];

ManyAlias!(int, string).firstArray = [0,1,2,3];
```

But, you will ask, what if I want client code to be clean and readable by using the eponymous trick, when at the same time I need to internally create many symbols in my template? In that case, create a secondary template with as many symbols as you need, and expose its final result through a (for example) result symbol. The first template can instantiate the second one, and refer only to the .result name:

```
/* your code */
// primary template
template MyTemplate(T, U, V)
    // eponymous trick activated!
    enum MyTemplate = MyTemplateImpl!(T,U,V).result;
}
// secondary (hidden) template
template MyTemplateImpl(T, U, V)
    // The real work is done here
    // Use as many symbols as you need.
    alias symbol1 ...
    enum otherName = ...
    enum result = ...
}
/* client code */
MyTemplate!(int,string,double[]) someValue;
```

You can find an example of this two-templates idiom in Phobos, for example in std.functional.unaryFun or std.functional.binaryFun.

3.2 Inner alias

A common use for templates is to do some type magics: deducing types, assembling them in new way, etc. Types are not first-class entities in D (there is no 'type' type), but they can easily be manipulated as any other symbol, by aliasing them. So, when a template has to expose a type, it's done by aliasing it to a new name.

Exposing Template Parameters

Though they are part of a template's name, its parameters are *not* directly accessible externally. Keep in mind that a template name is just a scope name. Once it's instantiated, all the Ts and Us and such do not exist anymore. If you need them externally, expose them through a template member, as is done with AllArraysOf.Element. You will find other examples of this in section 5 on struct templates and section 6 on class templates.

3.3 static if

3.3.1 Syntax

The static if construct² lets you decide between two code paths at compile time. It's not specific to templates (you can use it in other part of your code), but it's incredibly useful to have your templates adapt themselves to the arguments. That way, using compile-time-calculated predicates based on the template arguments, you'll generate different code and customize the template to your need.

The syntax is:

```
static if (compileTimeExpression)
{
    /* Code created if compileTimeExpression is evaluated to true */
}
else /* optional */
{
    /* Code created if it's false */
}
```

Something really important here is a bit of compiler magic: once the code path is selected, the resulting code is instantiated in the template body, but without the curly braces. Otherwise that would create a local scope, hiding what's happening inside to affect the outside and would drastically limit the power of static if. So the curly braces are only there to group the statements together.

If there is only one statement, you can get rid of the braces entirely, something you'll see frequently in D code. For example, suppose you need a template that 'returns' true if the passed type is a dynamic array and false otherwise (this kind of predicate template is developed a bit more in section 9).

```
template isDynamicArray(T)
{
    static if (is(T t == U[], U))
        enum isDynamicArray = true;
    else
```

² It's both an statement and a declaration, so I'll call it a construct.

```
enum isDynamicArray = false;
}
```

As you can see, with no curly braces after static if and the eponymous trick (isDynamicArray is the only symbol defined by the template and its type is automatically deduced by the compiler), results in a very clean syntax. The is() part is a way to get compile-time introspection which goes hand in hand with static if. There is a crash course on it at the end of this document (see Appendix A).

3.3.2 Optional Code

A common use of static if is to enable or disable code: a single static if without an else clause will generate code only when the condition is true. You can find many examples of this idiom in std.range where higher-level ranges (ranges wrapping other ranges) will activate some functionality if and only if the wrapped range can support it, like this:

```
/* We are inside a MyRange templated struct, wrapping a R. */
    R innerRange;

/* Code that exists in all instantiations of MyRange */
(...)

/* optional code */
static if (hasLength!R) // does innerRange have a .length() method?
    auto length() // Then MyRange has one also.
    {
        return innerRange.length;
    }

static if (isInfinite!R) // Is innerRange an infinite range?
    enum bool empty = false; // Then MyRange is also infinite.
// And so on...
```

3.3.3 Nested static ifs

static if s can be nested: just put another static if after else. Here is a template selecting an alias:

```
import std.traits: isIntegral, isFloatingPoint;

template selector(T, alias intFoo, alias floatFoo, alias defaultFoo)
{
    static if (isIntegral!T)
        alias intFoo selector;
    else static if (isFloatingPoint!T)
        alias floatFoo selector;
    else // default case
        alias defaultFoo selector;
}
```

If you need a sort of static switch construct, see section 31.

3.3.4 Recursion with static if

Rank: Now, let's use static if for something a bit more complicated than just dispatching between code paths: recursion. What if you know you will receive n-dimensional arrays (simple arrays, arrays of arrays, arrays of arrays of arrays, of arrays, and want to use the fastest, super-optimized numerical function for the 1-dim array, another one for 2D arrays and yet another one for higher-level arrays. Abstracting this away, we need a template doing some introspection on types, that will return 0 for an element (anything that's not an array), 1 for a 1-dim array (T[], for some T), 2 for a 2-dim array (T[][]), and so on. Mathematicians call this the rank of an array, so we will use that. The definition is perfectly recursive:

Line 4 is the most interesting: with some is magic, U has been deduced by the compiler and is accessible inside the static if branch. We use it to peel one level of '[]' off the type and recurse downward, using U as a new type for instantiating rank. Either U is itself an array (in which case the recursion will continue) or it will hit the base case and stop there.

```
static assert(rank!(int) == 0);
static assert(rank!(int[]) == 1);
static assert(rank!(int[][]) == 2);
static assert(rank!(int[][]]) == 3);

/* It will work for any type, obviously */
struct S {}

static assert(rank!(S) == 0);
static assert(rank!(S[]) == 1);
static assert(rank!(S*) == 0);
```

static assert

Putting static before an assert forces the assert execution at compile-time. Using an is expression as the test clause gives assertion on types. One common use of static assert is to stop the compilation, for example if we ever get in a bad code path, by using static assert(0, someString). The string is then emitted as a compiler error message.

Rank for Ranges: D has an interesting sequence concept that's called range and comes with predefined testing templates in std.range. Why not extend rank to have it deal with ranges and see if something is a range of ranges or more? A type can be tested to be a range with isInputRange and its element type (the 'U') is obtained by applying ElementType to the range type. Both templates are found in std.range. Also, since arrays are included in the range concept, we can entirely ditch the array part and use only ranges. Here is a slightly modified version of rank:

Base Element Type: With rank, we now have a way to get the number of []'s in an array type (T[][]]) or the level of nesting in a range of ranges. The complementary query would be to get the base element type, T, from any array of arrays ... of T or the equivalent for a range. Here it is:

```
template BaseElementType(T)
1
2
  {
      static if (rank!T == 0)
                                     // not a range
3
           static assert(0, T.stringof ~ " is not a range.");
4
5
       else static if (rank!T == 1) // simple range
6
           alias ElementType!T
                                                     BaseElementType;
7
                                     // at least range of ranges
       else
           alias BaseElementType!(ElementType!(T)) BaseElementType;
8
9
```

Line 4 is an example of static assert stopping compilation if we ever get into a bad code path. Line 8 is an example of a Matrioshka call: a template using another template's call as its parameter.

Generating Arrays: Now, what about becoming more generative by inverting the process? Given a type T and a rank r (an int), we want to obtain T[][]...[], with r levels of []'s. A rank of 0 means producing T as the result type.

```
template NDimArray(T, int r)
template if (r < 0)

static if (r < 0)</pre>
```

```
static assert(0, "NDimArray error: the rank must be positive.");
else static if (r == 0)
    alias T NDimArray;
else // r > 0
    alias NDimArray!(T, r-1)[] NDimArray;
}
```

Here, recursion is done on line 8: we instantiate NDimArray! (T,r-1), which is a type, then create an array of them by putting [] at the end and expose it through an alias. This is also a nice example of using an integral value as a template parameter.

```
alias NDimArray!(double, 8) Level8;
static assert(is(Level8 == double[][][][][][][]]));
static assert(is(NDimArray!(double, 0) == double));
```

Repeated composition: As a last example, we will use an alias template parameter in conjunction with some static if recursion to define a template that creates the 'exponentiation' of a function, its repeated composition. Here is what I mean by this:

First, this is a template that 'returns' (becomes, rather) a function. It's easy to do with the eponymous trick: just define inside the template a function with the same name. Secondly, it's clearly recursive in its definition, with two base cases: if the exponent is zero, then we shall produce the identity function and if the exponent is one, we shall just return the input function itself. That being said, power writes itself:

```
template power(alias fun, uint exponent)
{
    static if (exponent == 0) // degenerate case -> id function
        auto power(Args)(Args args) { return args; }
    else static if (exponent == 1) // end-of-recursion case -> fun
        alias fun power;
    else
```

```
auto power(Args...)(Args args)
{
return .power!(fun, exponent-1)(fun(args));
}
```

.power

If you are wondering what's with the .power syntax on line 10, it's because by defining an eponymous template, we hide the parent template's name. So inside power(Args...) it refers to power(Args...) and not power(alias fun, uint exponent). Here we want a new power to be generated so we call on the global power template with the 'global scope' operator (.).

In all three branches of static if, power exposes a power member, activating the eponymous template trick and allowing for an easy use by the client. Note that this template will work not only for unary (one argument) functions but also for n-args functions³, for delegates and for structs or classes that define the ()(ie, opCall) operator and for function templates...⁴

Now, are you beginning to see the power of templates?

Curried Templates?

No, I do not mean making them spicy, but separating the template's arguments, so as to call them in different places in your code. For power, that could mean doing alias power!2 square; somewhere and then using square!fun1, square!fun2 at your leisure: the exponent parameter and the fun alias are separated. In fact, power is already partially curried: fun and exponent are separated from Args. For more on this, see section 14.

Given a template temp, writing a curry template that automatically generates the code for a curried version of temp is *also* possible, but outside the scope of this document.

3.4 Templates Specializations

Up to now, when we write a T in a template parameter list, there is no constraint on the type that T can become during instantiation. Template specialization is a small 'subsyntax', restricting templates instantiations to a subset of all possible types and directing the compiler into instantiating a particular version of a

 $^{^3}$ Except for the degenerate, n=0 case, since the identity function defined above accepts only one arg. A more than one argument version is possible, but would need to return a tuple.

⁴ I cheated a little bit there, because the resulting function accepts any number of arguments of any type, though the standard function parameters checks will stop anything untowards to happen. A cleaner (but longer, and for template functions, more complicated) implementation would propagate the initial function parameter typetuple.

template instead of another. If you've read Appendix A on the is expression, you already know how to write them. If you didn't, please do it now, as it's really the same syntax. These specializations are a direct inheritance from C++ templates, up to the way they are written and they existed in D from the very beginning, long before static if or templates constraints were added.

The specializations are added in the template parameter list, the (T, U, V) part of the template definition. Type: OtherType restricts Type to be implicitly convertible into OtherType and Type == OtherType restricts Type to be exactly OtherType.

```
template ElementType(T == U[], U) // can only be instantiated with arrays
{
    alias U ElementType;
}

template ElementType(T == U[n], U, size_t n) // only with static arrays
{
    alias U ElementType;
}

// Say Array is a class, which has an ElementType type alias defined.
template ElementType(T : Array)
{
    alias Array.ElementType ElementType;
}
```

Now, the Type == AnotherType syntax may seem strange to you: if you know you want to restrict Type to be exactly AnotherType, why make it a template parameter? It's because of templates specializations' main use: you can write different implementations of a template (with the same name, obviously) and when asked to instantiate one of them, the compiler will automatically decide which one to use based the 'most adapted' to the provided arguments. 'Most adapted' obeys some complicated rules you can find on the D Programming Language web site, but they act in a natural way most of the time. The neat thing is that you can define the most general template and some specialization. The specialized ones will be chosen when it's possible. For

```
template InnerType(T : U*, U) // Specialization for pointers
{
    alias U InnerType;
}

template InnerType(T : U[], U) // Specialization for dyn. arrays
{ ... }

template InnerType(T) // Standard, default case
{ ... }

int* p;
int i;
```

```
alias InnerType!(typeof(p)) Pointer; // pointer spec. selected
alias InnerType!(typeof(i)) Default; // standard template selected
```

This idiom is used frequently in C++, where there is no (built-in) static if construct or template constraints. Oldish D templates used it a lot, too, but since other ways have been around for some years, recent D code seems to be more constraint-oriented: have a look at heavily templated Phobos modules, for example std.algorithm or std.range.

Specializations or static if or Templates Constraints?

Yes indeed. Let's defer this discussion for when we have seen all three subsystems.

3.5 Default Values

Like functions parameters, templates parameters can have default values. The syntax is the same: Param = defaultValue. The default can be anything that makes sense with respect to the parameter kind: a type, a literal value, a symbol or another template parameter.

In contrast to function parameters, thanks to specializations (3.4) or IFTI (4.3), some template parameters can be automatically deduced by the compiler. So, default template parameters are not required to be the final parameters in the list:

```
template Deduced(T : U[], V = U, U)
{ ... }

Deduced!(int[], double); // U deduced to be int. Force V to be a double.
Deduced!(int[]); // U deduced to be int. V is int, too.
Deduced!(); // Error, T is not some array.
```

Specialization and Default Value?

Yes you can. Put the specialization first, then the default value. Like this: (T : U[] = int[], U). It's not commonly used, though.

As for functions, well-chosen defaults can greatly simplify standard calls. See for example the std.algorithm.sort. It's parameterized on a predicate

and a swapping strategy, but both are adapted to what most people need when sorting. That way, most client uses of the template will be short and clean, but customization to their own need is still possible.

Todo: Maybe something on template dummy parameters, like those used by std.traits.ReturnType. Things like dummy == void.

4 Function Templates

4.1 Syntax

If you come from languages with generics, maybe you thought D templates were all about parameterized classes and functions and didn't see any interest in the previous sections (acting on types?). Fear not, you can also do type-generic functions and such in D, with the added generative power of templates.

As we have seen in section 3.1, if you define a function inside a template and use the template's own name, you can call it easily:

```
// declaration:
template myFunc(T, int n)
{
    auto myFunc(T t) { return to!int(t) * n;}
}

// call:
auto result = myFunc!(double,3)(3.1415);

assert(result = to!int(3.1415)*3);
```

Well, the full story is even better. First, D has a simple way to declare a function template: just put a template parameter list before the argument list:

```
string concatenate(A,B)(A a, B b)
{
    return to!string(a) ~ to!string(b);
}

Arg select(string how = "max", Arg)(Arg arg0, Arg arg1)
{
    static if (how == "max")
        return (arg0 < arg1) ? arg1 : arg0;
    else static if (how == "min")
        return (arg0 < arg1) ? arg0 : arg1;
    else
        static assert(0,
        "select: string 'how' must be either \"max\" or \"min\".");
}</pre>
```

Nice and clean, uh? Notice how the return type can be templated too, using Arg as a return type in select.

4.2 auto return

Since you can select among code paths, the function return type can vary widely, depending on the template parameters you passed it. Use auto to simplify your code:⁵

```
auto morph(T, U, alias f)(U arg)
{
    static if (is(U == int) && is(T == class))
    {
        return new T(f(arg));
    }
    else static if (is(T == function))
    {} // void-returning function
    else static if (...)
    (...)
}
```

auto ref

A function template can have an auto ref return type. That means that for templates where the returned values are rvalues, the template will get the refed version. And the non-ref version if not.

4.3 IFTI

Even better is Implicit Function Template Instantiation (IFTI), which means that the compiler will generally be able to automatically determine a template's parameters by studying the function arguments. If some template arguments are pure compile-time parameters, just provide them directly:

```
/* suppose the previous concatenate(A,B) function */
string res1 = concatenate(1, 3.14); // A is int and B is double

struct Foo {}
string res2 = concatenate("abc", Foo()); // A is string, B is Foo

/* suppose the previous select(string how = "max", Arg) function */
auto res3 = select(3,4); // how is "max", Arg is int.
auto res4 = select!"min"(3.1416, 2.718); // how is "min", Arg is double.
```

As you can see, this results in very simple calling code. So we can both declare function templates and call them with a very clean syntax. The same can be done with structs or classes and such, as you will see in the next sections. In fact, the syntax is so clean that, if you are like me, you may forget from time to time that you are *not* manipulating a function (or a struct, etc.): you are manipulating a template, a parameterized piece of code.

⁵ auto return functions used to have some limitations, like for example not appearing in docs. I remember having to code type-manipulating templates to get correct the return type.

A Mantra

XXX templates are not XXXs, they are templates. With XXX being any of (function, struct, class, interface, union). Templates are parameterized scopes and scopes are not first-class in D: they have no type, they cannot be assigned to a variable, they cannot be returned from functions. That means, for example, that you cannot return function templates, you cannot inherit from class templates and so on. Of course, instantiated templates are perfect examples of functions, classes, and such. Them you can inherit, return...

We may encounter The Mantra again in this tutorial.

4.4 Example: Flattening Arrays and Ranges

Let's use what we have just seen in a concrete way. In D, you can manipulate 2D and 3D arrays, but sometimes need to process them linearly. As of this writing, neither std.algorithm nor std.range provide a flatten function. Beginning with simple arrays, here is what we want:

So, studying the examples, we want a non-array or a simple array to be unaffected by flatten: it just returns them. For arrays of rank 2 or higher, it collapses the elements down to a rank-1 array. It's classically recursive: we will aply flatten on all subarrays with std.algorithm.map and concatenate the elements with std.algorithm.reduce:

```
import std.algorithm;
auto flatten(Arr)(Arr array)
{
   static if (rank!Arr == 0)
       return [array];
   else
   {
      auto children = map!(.flatten)(array);
      return reduce!"a~b"(children); // concatenate the children
   }
}
```

We make good use of D auto return parameter for functions there. In fact, a single call to flatten will create one instance per level, all with a different return type.

Note that flatten works perfectly on ranges too, but is not lazy: it eagerly concatenates all the elements down to the very last one in the innermost range. Ranges being lazy, a good flatten implementation for them should itself be a range that delivers the elements one by one, calculating the next one only when asked to (and thus, would work on infinite or very long ranges too, which the previous simple implementation cannot do). Implementing this means creating a struct template (5) with a factory function (5.2). You will find this as an example in section 5.8.

From our current flatten, it's an interesting exercise to add another parameter: the number of levels you want to flatten. Only the first three levels or last two innermost, for example. Just add an integral template parameter that gets incremented (or decremented) when you recurse and is another stopping case for the recursion. Positive levels could mean the outermost levels, while a negative argument would act on the innermost ones. A possible use would look like this:

```
flatten!1([[[0,1],[]], [[2]], [[3], [4,5]], [[]], [[6,7,8]]]);
    // == [[[0,1],[],[2],[3], [4,5],[],[6,7,8]]
flatten!2([[[0,1],[]], [[2]], [[3], [4,5]], [[]], [[6,7,8]]]);
    // == [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]
flatten!0([[[0,1],[]], [[2]], [[3], [4,5]], [[]], [[6,7,8]]]);
    // == [[[0,1],[]], [[2]], [[3], [4,5]], [[]], [[6,7,8]]]);
flatten!(-1)([[[0,1],[]], [[2]], [[3], [4,5]], [[]], [[6,7,8]]]);
    // == [[[0,1]], [[2]], [[3,4,5]], [[]], [[6,7,8]]]);
```

4.5 Anonymous Function Templates

In D, you can define anonymous functions (delegates even, that is: closures):

```
auto adder(int a)
{
    return (int b) { return a+b;};
}

auto add1 = adder(1); // add1 is an int delegate(int)
assert(add1(2) == 3);
```

In the previous code, adder returns an anonymous delegate. Could Adder be templated? Ha! Remember The Mantra (page 26): function templates are templates and cannot be returned. For this particular problem, there are two possible solutions. Either you do not need any new type and just use T:

```
auto adder(T)(T a)
{
    return (T b) { return a+b;};
}
auto add1f = adder(1.0) // add1f is an float delegate(float)
```

```
assert(add1f(2.0) == 3.0);
import std.bigint;

// addBigOne accepts a BigInt and returns a BigInt
auto addBigOne = adder(BigInt("100000000000000");
assert(addBigOne(BigInt("1") == BigInt("100000000000001");

// But:
auto error = add1(3.14); // Error! Waiting for an int, getting a double.
```

In the previous example, the returned anonymous delegate is *not* templated. It just happens to use T, which is perfectly defined once instantiation is done. If you really need to return something that can be called with any type, use an inner struct (see section 5.6).

Now, it may come as a surprise to you that D does have anonymous function templates. The syntax is a purified version of anonymous functions:

```
(a,b) { return a+b;}
```

Yes, the previous skeleton of a function is an anonymous template. But, remember The Mantra: you cannot return them. And due to (to my eyes) a bug in alias grammar, you cannot alias them to a symbol:

```
alias (a){ return a;} Id; // Error
```

So what good are they? You can use them with template alias parameters, when these stand for functions and function templates:

```
template callTwice(alias fun)
{
    auto callTwice(T)(T t)
    {
       return fun(fun(t));
    }
}
alias callTwice!( (a){ return a+1;}) addTwo;
assert(addTwo(2) == 4);
```

Since they are delegates, they can capture local symbols:

```
enum b = 3; // Manifest constant, initialized to 3
alias callTwice!( (a){ return a+b;}) addTwoB;
assert(addTwoB(2) == 2 + 3 + 3);
```

28

4.6 Closures are a Poor Man's Objects

D closures can wrap runtime environment and keep them near their hot little hearts. We can of course create them with a template. To obtain the equivalent of an object, let's create a function that returns a tuple (a std.typecons.Tuple) with named arguments.

The if part after the argument list is just sanity-check template constraint (they are described in section 8). The returned Tuple is a bit heavy for my taste, but using named tuple fields gives us a nice object-like call syntax. Otherwise, a simple return tuple(changeSense, inc, dec, counter); could have been used but then the inner closures would have to be accessed by their index and not a name.

Here is how it's used:

```
auto c = makeCounter(0); // T is int.
auto c2 = makeCounter!int; // The very same.

c.inc(5);
assert(c.counter() == 5);
c.inc(10);
assert(c.counter() == 15);
c.changeSense(); // now each increment will in fact decrement c.inc(5);
assert(c.counter() == 10);
```

4.7 Function Overloading

This section is still a Work In Progress:

OK, I need to write something on this.

4.8 Storage Classes

As seen in section 2, storage classes get applied to types during instantiation. It also works for function templates arguments:

```
void init(T)(ref T t)
{
    t = T.init;
}
int i = 10;
init(i);
assert(i == 0);
```

Should the need arise, this means you can customize your storage classes according to template arguments. There is no built-in syntax for that, so you'll have to resort to our good friend static if and the eponymous trick:

```
// Has anyone a better example?
template init(T)
{
    static if (is(T == immutable) || is(T == const))
        void init(T t) {} // do nothing
    else static if (is(T == class))
        void init(ref T t)
        {
            t = new T();
        }
    else
        void init(ref T t)
        {
            t = T.init;
        }
}
```

4.9 Properties are Automatically Deduced

In D, a function can have the following properties:

- A function can be tagged with the pure property, which means it does not have side-effects: the value you get back is the only thing that matters.
- They can also be tagged with @safe, @trusted and @system. @safe means a function cannot corrupt memory. A @trusted function can call @safe ones, but offers no other guaranty concerning memory. And a @system function may do what it pleases and makes no guarantees.
- The last property is **nothrow** which means you guarantee the function will not throw any exceptions.

As the compiler gets complete access to a function template code, it can analyze it and automatically deduce properties for you. This feature is still

quite new as of this writing, but it seems to work. So, *all* of your function templates will get a smattering of properties when they are instantiated (these properties will of course vary with the template parameters).

4.10 in and out Clauses

The in and out clauses for a function are given full access to a template's parameters. As for other parameterized code, that means you can use static if to enable or disable code, depending on the template arguments.

```
import std.complex, std.math, std.traits;
auto squareRoot(N n) if (isNumeric!N || isComplex!N)
in
{
    static if (isNumeric!N)
        enforce(n > 0);
    // no need to do that for a complex.
}
body
{
    return sqrt(n);
}
```

4.11 Modifying Functions

This section will show you how to use wrapper templates to add new functionalities to pre-defined functions. More powerful examples are shown in section 24, but they use templates we have not seen yet. Be sure to have a look at them when you can, though.

This section is still a Work In Progress:

I want to put some small function-wrapping templates:

- making a function accept tuples
- making a function have named parameters (sort of)
- making a function have default values for its args
- making a function accept more args than the original
- making a function accept arguments of a different type (that's useful when mapping on tuples, like in section 23)

4.11.1 Accepting a Tuple

```
template tuplify(alias fun)
{
```

```
auto tuplify(T...)(Tuple!T tup)
{
    return fun(tup.expand);
}
```

Another interesting (and much more complicated) example is juxtapose.

4.11.2 Mapping n ranges in parallel

```
// Very easy to do, now:
auto nmap(alias fun, R...)(R ranges) if (allSatisfy!(isInputRange,R))
{
    return map!(tuplify!fun)(zip(ranges));
}
```

More complicated: $\mathtt{std.algorithm.map}$ accepts more than one function as template arguments. In that case, the functions are all mapped in parallel on the range, internally using $\mathtt{std.functional.adjoin}$. Here we can extend \mathtt{nmap} to accept n functions in parallel too. There is a first difficulty:

See the problem? Tuples must be the last parameter of a template: there can be only one. Double-stage templates (section 14) come to the rescue:

```
template nmap(fun...) if (fun.length >= 1)
{
   auto nmap(R...)(R ranges) if (allSatisfy!(isInputRange, R))
   {...}
}
```

Final code:

```
template nmap(fun...) if (fun.length >= 1)
{
    auto nmap(R...)(R ranges) if (allSatisfy!(isInputRange, R))
    {
        alias adjoin!(staticMap!(tuplify, fun)) _fun;
        return map!(_fun)(zip(ranges));
    }
}
```

Give an example with max, it works! And here is the *n*-ranges version of std.algorithm.filter:

```
auto nfilter(alias fun, R...)(R ranges) if (allSatisfy!(isInputRange, R))
{
    return filter!(tuplify!fun)(zip(ranges));
}
```

5 Struct Templates

5.1 Syntax

As you might have guessed, declaring a struct template is done like this:

```
struct Tree(T)
{
    T value;
    Tree[] children;

bool isLeaf() @property { return children.empty;}
    /* More tree functions: adding children, removing some,... */
}
```

Tree[] or Tree!(T)[]?

Remember that inside a template declaration, the template's name refers to the current instantiation. So inside Tree(T), the name Tree refers to a Tree!T.

This gives us a run-of-the-mill generic tree, which is created like any other template:

```
auto t0 = Tree!int(0);
auto t1 = Tree!int(1, [t0,t0]);
Tree!int[] children = t1.children;
```

As with all previous templates, you can parameterize your structs using much more than simple types:

```
bool lessThan(T)(T a, T b) { return a<b;}

struct Heap(Type, alias predicate = lessThan, float reshuffle = 0.5f)
{
    // predicate governs the internal comparison
    // reshuffle deals with internal re-organizing of the heap
    Type[] values;
(...)
}</pre>
```

Struct templates are heavily used in std.algorithm and std.range for lazy evaluation, have a look there.

5.2 Factory Functions

Now, there is one limitation: struct constructors do not do activate IFTI (4.3) like template functions do. In the previous subsection to instantiate Tree(T) I had to explicitly indicate T:

```
auto t0 = Tree!int(0); // Yes.
auto t1 = Tree(0); // Error, no automatic deduction that T is int.
```

This is because templated constructors are possible (see 5.5) and may have template parameters differing from that of the global struct template. But honestly that's a pain, even more so for struct templates with many template arguments. There is a solution, of course: use a template function to create the correct struct and return it. Here is an example of such a factory function for Tree:

```
auto tree(T)(T value, T[] children = null)
{
    return Tree!(T)(value, children);
}

auto t0 = tree(0); // Yes!
auto t1 = tree(1, [t0,t0]); // Yes!

static assert(is( typeof(t1) == Tree!int ));

auto t2 = tree(t0); // Yes! typeof(t2) == Tree!(Tree!(int))
```

Once more, have a look at std.algorithm and std.range, they show numerous examples of this idiom.

5.3 Giving Access to Inner Parameters

As was said in section 3.2, template arguments are not accessible externally once the template is instantiated. For the Tree example, you might want to get an easy access to T. As for any other templates, you can expose the parameters by aliasing them. Let's complete our Tree definition:

```
struct Tree(T)
{
    alias T Type;
    T value;
    Tree[] children;

    bool isLeaf() @property { return children.empty;}
}
auto t0 = tree("abc");
alias typeof(t0) T0;

static assert(is( T0.Type == string ));
```

5.4 Templated Member Functions

A struct template is a template like any other: you can declare templates inside, even function templates. Which means you can have templated member functions.

Mapping on a Tree Let us use that to give our Tree a mapping ability. For a range, you can use std.algorithm.map to apply a function in turn to each element, thus delivering a transformed range. The same process can be done for a tree, thereby keeping the overall *shape* but modifying the elements.⁶

Let's think a little bit about it before coding. map should be a function template that accepts any function name as a template alias parameter (like std.algorithm.map). Let's call this alias fun. The value member should be transformed by fun, that's easy to do. We want to return a new Tree, which will have for type parameter the result type of fun. If fun transforms As into Bs, then a Tree!A will be mapped to a Tree!B. However, since fun can be a function template, it may not have a pre-defined return type that could be obtained by std.traits.ReturnType. We will just apply it on a T value (obtained by T.init and take this type. So B will be typeof(fun(T.init)).

What about the children? We will map fun on them too and collect the result into a new children array. They will have the same type: Tree! (B). If the mapped Tree is a leaf (ie: if it has no children), the process will stop.

Since this is a recursive template, we have to help the compiler a bit with the return type. Here we go: 7

```
/* rest of Tree code */
    Tree!(typeof(fun(T.init))) map(alias fun)()
        alias typeof(fun(T.init)) MappedType;
        MappedType mappedValue = fun(value);
        Tree!(MappedType)[] mappedChildren;
        foreach(child; children) mappedChildren ~= child.map!(fun);
        return tree(mappedValue, mappedChildren);
    }
 Let's use it:
auto t0 = tree(0);
auto t1 = tree(1, [t0,t0]);
auto t2 = tree(2, [t1, t0, tree(3)]);
/* t2 is
             / | \
            1 0 3
// t2 is a Tree!(int)
static assert(is( t2.Type == int ));
// Adding one to all values
int addOne(int a) { return a+1;}
auto t3 = t2.map!(add(1));
```

 $^{^6}$ We could easily make that a free function, but this is the member function section.

⁷ The difference with Phobos map is that our version isn't lazy.

Folding a Tree You may feel map is not really a member function: it does not take any argument. Let's make another transformation on Trees: folding them, that is: collapsing all values into a new one. The range equivalent is std.algorithm.reduce which collapses an entire (linear) range into one value, be it a numerical value, another range or what have you

For a tree, folding can for example generate all values in pre-order or post-order, calculate the height of the tree, the number of leaves... As for ranges, folding is an extremely versatile function. It can in fact be used to convert a Tree into an array or another Tree. We will do just that.

Taking inspiration from reduce, we need an seed value and *two* folding functions. The first one, ifLeaf, will be called on childless nodes, for fold to return ifLeaf(value, seed). The second one, ifBranch, will be called nodes with children. In this case, we first apply fold on all children and then return ifBranch(value, foldedChildren). In some simple cases, we can use the same function, hence a default case for ifBranch. Here is the code:⁸

```
/* rest of Tree code */
auto fold(alias ifLeaf, alias ifBranch = ifLeaf, S)(S seed)
{
    if (isLeaf)
    {
        return ifLeaf(value, seed);
    }
    else
```

⁸ Technically, std.algorithm.reduce is a *left fold*, while what is shown here is a *right fold*. The difference is not essential to this document.

```
{
    typeof(Tree.init.fold!(ifLeaf, ifBranch)(seed))[] foldedChildren;
    foreach(child; children)
        foldedChildren ~= child.fold!(ifLeaf, ifBranch)(seed);
    return ifBranch(value, foldedChildren);
}
```

Let's play a bit with it. First, we want to sum all values of a tree. For leaves, we just return the node's value plus seed. For branches, we are given value and an array containing the sums of values for all children. We need to sum the values of this array, add it to the node's value and return that. In that case, we do not about the seed value.

```
auto sumLeaf(T, S)(T value, S seed)
{
    return value + seed;
}

auto sumBranch(T)(T value, T[] summedChildren)
{
    return value + reduce!"a+b"(summedChildren);
}

auto sum = t2.fold!(sumLeaf, sumBranch)(0);
assert(sum == 2 + (1 + 0 + 0) + 0 + 3);
```

In the same family, but a bit more interesting is getting all values for in-order iteration: given a tree node, return an array containing the local value and then the values for all nodes, recursively.

```
T[] inOrderL(T, S)(T value, S seed)
{
    return [value] ~ seed;
}

T[] inOrderB(T)(T value, T[][] inOrderChildren)
{
    return [value] ~ reduce!"a~b"(inOrderChildren);
}

int[] seed; // empty array
auto inOrder = t2.fold!(inOrderL, inOrderB)(seed);
assert(inOrder == [2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 3]);
```

And as a last use, why not build a tree? Todo: Write just that.

5.5 Templated Constructors

Struct constructors are member function, so they can be templated too. They need not have the same template parameters as the struct definition:

```
struct S(T)
{
    this(U)(U u) { ... }
}
auto s = S!string(1); // T is string, U is int.
```

As you can see, IFTI (4.3) works for constructors. U is automatically deduced, though you have to indicate T in this case. However, this example is drastically limited: you cannot have any value of type U in the struct, because U does not exist outside the constructor. A bit more useful would be to collect an alias (a function, for example) and use it to initialize the struct. If it's used only for initialization, it can be discarded afterwards. But then, IFTI is not activated by an alias

The most interesting use I've seen is to make conversions during the struct's construction:

```
struct Holder(Type)
{
    Type value;

    this(AnotherType)(AnotherType _value)
    {
       value = to!Type(_value);
    }
}

Holder!int h = Holder!int(3.14);
assert(h.value == 3);
```

That way, a Holder!int can be constructed with any value, but if the conversion is possible, it will always hold an int.

5.6 Inner Structs

You can create and return inner structs and use the local template parameters in their definition. We could have a factory function for Heap like this:

```
auto heap(alias predicate, Type)(Type[] values)
{
    struct Heap
    {
        Type[] values;
        this(Type[] _values)
        {
            /* some code initializing values using predicate
        }
        /* more heapy code */
    }

    return Heap(values); // alias predicate is implicit there
}
```

In that case, the Heap struct is encapsulated inside heap and uses the predicate alias inside its own engine, but it's not a templated struct itself. I did not use Tree as an example, because with recursive types it becomes tricky.

By the way, strangely enough, though you cannot declare 'pure' templates inside functions, you can declare struct templates. Remember the adder function in section 4.5? It didn't need to be templated with one type for each argument, as most of the time when you add numbers, they have more or less the same type. But what about a function that converts its arguments to strings before concatenating them?

```
auto concatenate(A)(A a)
{
    /* !! Not legal D code !!
    return (B)(B b) { return to!string(a) ~ to!string(b);}
}
```

The previous example is not legal D code. Of course, there is a solution: just return a struct with a template member function (5.4), in that case the opCall operator:

```
auto concatenate(A)(A a)
{
    struct Concatenator
    {
        A a;
        auto opCall(B)(B b)
        {
            return to!string(a) ~ to!string(b);
        }
    }

    Concatenator c;
    c.a = a; // So as not to activate opCall()
    return c;
}

auto c = concatenate(3.14);
auto cc = c("abc");
assert(cc == "3.14abc");
```

See section 11 for more on operator overloading.

What about templated inner structs inside struct templates? It's perfectly legal:

```
struct Outer(0)
{
     0 o;
```

5.7 Template This Parameters

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Some kind of example would be great here.

Inside a struct or class template, there is another kind of template parameter: the template this parameter, declared with this identifier. identifier then gets the type of the this reference. It's useful mainly for two uses:

- mixin templates (12), where you do not know the enclosing type beforehand. Please see this section for some examples.
- to determine how the type of the this reference is qualified (const, immutable, shared, inout or unqualified).

5.8 Example: a Concat / Flatten Range

We will use what we've learned about struct templates to create a lazy range that flattens ranges of ranges into linear ranges. Remember the flatten function from section 4.4? It worked quite well but was *eager*, not *lazy*: given an infinite range (a cycle, for example) it would choke on it. We will here make a lazy flattener.

If you look at the ranges defined in std.range, you will see that most (if not all) of them are structs. That's the basic way to get laziness in D: the struct holds the iteration state and exposes the basic range primitives. At the very least to be an *input range*—the simplest kind of range—a type must have the following members (be they properties, member functions or manifest constants):

front: Which returns the range's first element.

popFront: Which discards the first element and advances the range by one step.

empty: Which returns true if the range has no more elements, false otherwise.

From this simple basis, powerful algorithms can be designed that act on ranges. D defines more refined range concepts by adding other constraints. A forward range adds the save member that's used to store a range internal state and allows an algorithm to start again from a saved position. A bidirectional range also has the back and popBack primitives for accessing the end of the range, and so on.

Here we will begin by creating a simple input range that takes a range of ranges and iterate on the inner elements. Let's begin with the very basics:

```
import std.range;

struct Flatten(Range)
{
    Range range;
    ...
}

auto flatten(Range)(Range range)
{
    static if (rank!Range == 0)
        static assert(0, "flatten needs a range.");
    else static if (rank!Range == 1)
        return range;
    else
        return Flatten!(Range)(range);
}
```

So we have a struct template and its associated factory function. It doesn't make sense to instantiate Flatten with any old type, so Range is checked to be a range, using the rank template we saw on page 19. We haven't seen template constraints yet (they are described in section 8), but they would be a good fit here too.

A range of ranges can be represented like this:

```
[ subRange1[elem11, elem12,...]
, subRange2[elem21, elem22,...]
, ... ]
```

We want Flatten to return elements in this order: elem11, elem12, ...elem21, elem22, Note that for ranges of rank higher than 2, the elemxys are themselves ranges. At any given time, Flatten is working on a sub-range, iterating on its elements and discarding it when it's empty. The iteration will stop when the last subrange has been consumed, that is when range itself is empty.

```
struct Flatten(Range)

alias ElementType!Range SubRange;
alias ElementType!SubRange Element;
```

```
5
6
       Range range;
7
       SubRange subRange;
8
       this(Range _range) {
9
            this.range = range;
10
            discardEmptySubRanges();
11
       },
12
13
       Element front() { return subRange.front;}
14
15
       bool empty() { return range.empty;}
16
17
18
       void popFront() {
            if (!subRange.empty) subRange.popFront;
19
            discardEmptySubRanges();
20
       }
21
22
23
       void discardEmptySubRanges() {
            while(subRange.empty && !range.empty) {
24
                range.popFront;
25
                if (!range.empty) subRange = range.front;
26
            }
27
28
       }
   }
29
```

- I cheat a little bit with D standard bracing style, because it eats vertical space like there is no tomorrow.
- We begin on line 3 and 4 by defining some new types used by the methods. They are not strictly necessary but make the code easier to understand and expose these types to the outer world, if they are needed.
- A constructor is now necessary to correctly initialize the struct.
- front returns a subrange element.
- The discardEmptySubRanges function does what it says on the can: we do not iterate on empty subranges.

Let see if this template works, by creating an infinite range and giving it to flatten:

```
import std.range;
auto cy = cycle(["Hello", "World"); // "Hello","World","Hello","World",...
auto flattened = flatten(cy);
assert(flattened.front == 'H');
auto takeTwelve = take(flattened, 12);
assert(array(takeTwelve) == "HelloWorldHe");
```

But then, this only works for ranges of ranges (of rank ≤ 2). We want something that flattens ranges of any rank down to a linear range. This is easily done, we just add recursion in the factory function:

```
auto flatten(Range)(Range range)
{
                    (rank!Range == 0)
     static if
        static assert(0, "flatten needs a range.");
     else static if (rank!Range == 1)
        return range;
     else static if (rank!Range == 2)
        return Flatten!(Range)(range);
                  // rank 3 or higher
        return flatten(Flatten!(Range)(range));
}
  And, testing:
auto rank3 = [[[0,1,2],[3,4,5],[6]]
              ,[[7],[],[8,9],[10,11]]
              ,[[],[]]
              ,[[12]] ];
auto flat = flatten(rank3);
assert(rank!(typeof(flat)) == 1); // Yup, it's a linear range
assert(equal( flat, [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12] ));
auto reallyFlat = flatten(flat);
assert(equal( reallyFlat, flat )); // No need to insist
import std.string, std.algorithm;
auto text =
"Sing, O goddess, the anger of Achilles son of Peleus,
 that brought countless ills upon the Achaeans.
 Many a brave soul did it send hurrying down to Hades,
 and many a hero did it yield a prey to dogs and vultures,
 for so were the counsels of Jove fulfilled
 from the day on which the son of Atreus, king of men,
 and great Achilles, first fell out with one another.";
auto lines = text.splitLines; // array of strings
string[][] words;
foreach(line; lines) words ~= array(splitter(line, ' '));
assert( rank!(typeof(words)) == 3); // range of range of strings
                                     // range of range of array of chars
auto flat = flatten(words);
assert(equal(take(flat, 50),
              "Sing,Ogoddess,theangerofAchillessonofPeleus,thatbr"));
  Here it is. It works and we used a struct template (this section, 5), static
if (3.3), inner member alias (3.2), factory functions (5.2) and IFTI (4.3).
```

6 Class Templates

This Section Needs You!

I'm not an OOP programmer and am not used to create interesting hierarchies. If anyone reading this has an interesting example of class templates that could be used throughout the section, I'm game.

6.1 Syntax

No surprise here, just put the template parameters list between class and the optional inheritance indication:

What's more fun is that you can have parameterized inheritance: the various template parameters are defined before the base class list, you can use them here:

```
Interface Templates?
Yes you can. See section 7
```

This opens interesting vistas, where what a class inherits is determined by its template arguments (since Base may be many different classes or even interfaces depending on Type). In fact, look at this:

```
enum WhatBase { Object, Interface, BaseClass }

template Base(WhatBase whatBase = WhatBase.Object)
{
    static if (is(T == WhatBase.Object))
        alias Object Base; // MyClass inherits directly from Object
    else static if(is(T == WhatBase.Interface))
        alias TheInterface Base;
    else
        alias TheBase Base;
}
```

With this, MyClass can inherit from Object, the root of D's class hierarchy, from an interface, or from another class. Obviously, the dispatching template

could be much more refined. With a second template parameter, the base class could itself be parameterized, and so on.

What this syntax *cannot* do however is change the number of interfaces at compile-time. ⁹ It's complicated to say: 'with *this* argument, MyClass will inherit from I, J and K and with *that* argument, it will inherit only from L.' You'd need the previous interfaces to all participate in the action, to all be templates and such. If the needed interfaces are all pre-defined and not templated, you need wrapping templates. It's a pain. However, type tuples can be used to greatly simplify this (see section 10.5 for an example).

6.2 Methods Templates

An object's methods are nothing more than delegates with a reference to the local this context. As seen for structs (5.4), methods can be templates too.

This section is still a Work In Progress:

I need to write something on overriding methods with templates. Also, I need to find some interesting method example. Man, I do not do classes.

6.3 invariant clauses

In the same family than in/out clauses for functions (section 4.10), a class template's invariant clause has access to the template parameter. You cannot make it disappear totally, but you can get it to be empty with a static if statement.

6.4 Inner Classes

It's the same principle as for structs (5.6). You can define inner classes using the template parameters. You can even give them method templates that use other template arguments. There is really nothing different from inner structs.

 $^{^9}$ Except, maybe, by having an interface template be empty for certain parameters, thus in effect disappearing from the list.

6.5 Anonymous Classes

In D, you can return anonymous classes directly from a function or a method. Can these be templated? Well, they cannot be class templates, that wouldn't make sense. But you can return anonymous classes with templated methods, if you really need to.

// stores a function and a default return value.

```
auto acceptor(alias fun, D)(D default)
{
     return new class
     {
         auto opCall(T)(T t)
             static if (__traits(compiles, fun(T.init)))
                 return fun(t);
             else
                 return default;
         }
     };
}
int add1(int i) { return i+1;}
 auto accept = acceptor!(add1)(0);
auto test1 = accept(1);
assert(test1 == 2);
auto test2 = accept("abc");
assert(test2 == 0); // default value
  Todo: Test this!
  For __traits(compiles, ...), see here online and section 20 in this doc-
ument.
```

6.6 Parameterized Base Class

You can use a template parameter directly as a base class:

```
interface ISerializable
{
    size_t serialize() @property;
}

class Serializable(T) : T, ISerializable
{
    size_t serialize() @property { ... }
}
```

In this example, a Serializable!SomeClass can act as a SomeClass. It's not different from what you would do with normal classes except the idiom is now abstracted on the base class: you write the template once, it can then be used on any class.

If you have different interfaces like this, you can nest these properties:

```
auto wrapped = new Serializable!(Iterable!(SomeClass))(...);
```

Of course, the base class and the interface may themselves be parameterized:

In D, you can also get this kind of effect with an alias X this; declaration in your class or struct. You should also have a look at mixin templates (12) and wrapper templates (13.3) for other idioms built around the same need.

6.7 Another Example

This section is still a Work In Progress:

I need to see with Timon what I can write there.

```
/**
Timon Gehr timon.gehr@gmx.ch via puremagic.com
This is an useful pattern.
I don't have a very useful example at hand, but this one should do.
It does similar things that can be achieved with traits in Scala for example.
*/
import std.stdio;
abstract class Cell(T){
    abstract void set(T value);
    abstract const(T) get();
private:
    T field;
}
class AddSetter(C: Cell!T,T): C{
    override void set(T value){field = value;}
```

```
class AddGetter(C: Cell!T,T): C{
       override const(T) get(){return field;}
}
class DoubleCell(C: Cell!T,T): C{
       override void set(T value){super.set(2*value);}
}
class OneUpCell(C: Cell!T,T): C{
       override void set(T value){super.set(value+1);}
class SetterLogger(C:Cell!T,T): C{
       override void set(T value){
               super.set(value);
               writeln("cell has been set to '",value,"'!");
       }
}
class GetterLogger(C:Cell!T,T): C{
       override const(T) get(){
               auto value = super.get();
               writeln("'", value, "' has been retrieved!");
               return value;
       }
}
class ConcreteCell(T): AddGetter!(AddSetter!(Cell!T)){}
class OneUpDoubleSetter(T): OneUpCell!(DoubleCell!(AddSetter!(Cell!T))){}
class DoubleOneUpSetter(T): DoubleCell!(OneUpCell!(AddSetter!(Cell!T))){}
void main(){
       Cell!string x;
       x = new ConcreteCell!string;
       x.set("hello");
       writeln(x.get());
       Cell!int y;
       y = new SetterLogger!(ConcreteCell!int);
       y.set(123); // prints: "cell has been set to '123'!
       y = new GetterLogger!(DoubleCell!(ConcreteCell!int));
       y.set(1234);
       y.get(); // prints "'2468' has been retrieved!"
       y = new AddGetter!(OneUpDoubleSetter!int);
       y.set(100);
       writeln(y.get()); // prints "202"
       y = new AddGetter!(DoubleOneUpSetter!int);
```

6.8 The Curiously Recurring Template Pattern

```
class Base(Child) { ... }
class Derived : Base!Derived { ... }
```

Hold on, what does that mean? Base is easy to understand. But what about Derived? It says it inherits from another class that is templated on...Derived *itself*? But Derived is not defined at this stage! Or is it? Yes, that works. It's called CRTP, which stands for Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (see Wikipedia on this). But what could be the interest of such a trick?

As you can see in the Wikipedia document it's used either to obtain a sort of compile-time binding or to inject code in your derived class. For the latter, D offers mixin templates (12) which you should have a look at. CRTP comes from C++ where you have multiple inheritance. In D, I fear it's not so interesting. Feel free to prove me wrong, I'll gladly change this section.

6.9 Example

This section is still a Work In Progress:

I want to add an example with duplicator, a template that takes a class and creates another class which is its clone: same base, same interfaces, etc.

7 Other Templates?

Two other aggregate types in D can be templated using the same syntax: interfaces and unions.

7.1 Interface Templates

The syntax is exactly what you might imagine:

```
interface Interf(T)
{
    T foo(T);
    T[] bar(T,int);
}
```

Templated interfaces are sometimes useful but as they look very much like class templates, I won't describe them. As before, remember The Mantra (see page 26): interface templates are *not* interfaces.

7.2 Union Templates

Here is the syntax, no suprise there:

```
union Union(A,B,C) { A a; B b; C c;}
```

Union templates seem like a good idea, but honestly I've never seen one. Any reader of this document, please give me an example if you know one.

Strangely, enumerations do not have the previous simplified syntax. To declare a templated enumeration, use the eponymous template trick:

```
template Enum(T)
{
    enum Enum : T { A, B, C}
}
```

Part II

Some More Advanced Considerations

In the previous part, we saw what everyone should know about D templates. But in fact, there is much more to them than that. What follows is not necessarily more complicated, but it's probably a little less commonly used. As this document matures, some subjects may flow from Part I into Part II and the other way round.

8 Constraints

Templates constraints are a way to block a template instantiation if some condition is not met. Any condition that can be determined at compile-time is authorized, which makes constraints a superset of templates specializations (see 3.4). As such, their usage grew rapidly once they were introduced and, if Phobos is any indication, templates specializations are on the contrary becoming less common.

8.1 Syntax

To obtain a constraint, put an if clause just after the template parameter list, and before the enclosed scope:

```
template templateName(T,U,V) if (someCondition on T, U or V)
{
    ...
}
```

When the compiler tries to instantiate a template, if will first check the constraint. If it evaluates to false, the template declaration is not part of the considered set. That way, using constraints, you can keep or drop templates at your leasure. is expressions are your friend there, allowing you to get compile-time introspection on types. See the appendix (A) for a crash course on it.

You may have many template declarations with the same name and differing constraints (in fact, that's the very use case for constraints). Depending on the activated constraints, some or all will be considered by the compiler.

```
template Constrained(T)
   if (is(T : int)) { ... } // #1
template Constrained(T)
   if (is(T : float)) { ... } // #2
template Constrained(T,U)
   if (is(T : int) && !is(U : float)) { ... } // #3
template Constrained(T,U)
   if (is(T : int) && is(U : float)) { ... } // #4
Constrained!(byte) // #1
```

This syntax is the same for the special-cases templates seen in sections 4, 5, 6 and 7. The only tricky part is for class templates, where you may wonder where to put the constraint: before or after the inheritance list? The answer is: before.

```
T theFunction(T)(T argument)
   if (is(T : int) || is(T : double)) { ... }

struct TheStruct(T)
   if (is(T : int) || is(T : double)) { ... }

class TheClass(T)
   if (is(T : int) || is(T : double))
   : BaseClass!T, Interface1 { ... }
```

When you write constraints, just remember they are a compile-time construct. For the Function, argument is not known at compile-time, only its type, T. So you should not use argument in your constraint. If you need a value of type T, use T.init. For

```
auto callTwice(alias fun, T)(T arg)
    // Is it OK to do fun(fun(some T))?
    if (is(typeof({ fun(fun(T.init)); }())))
{
     return fun(fun(arg));
}
```

8.2 Constraints Usage

Constraints come from the same idea than C++0x concept, er..., concept, although simpler to define, understand and, as shown by D, implement. The idea is to define a set of conditions a type must respect to be a representative of a 'concept', and check for it before instantiating.

Have a look at constraints poster-child: ranges. ¹⁰ They were rapidly described in section 4.4.

std.range defines a set of templates that check the different ranges concepts, called isInputRange, isForwardRange...I call these bool-becoming templates predicate templates and talk about them in section 9. Usage is quite simple:

```
import std.range;
struct RangeWrapper(Range)
    // Does Range comply with the input range 'concept'?
    if (isInputRange!Range)
{
```

 $^{^{10}}$ Ranges are overdue a tutorial.

In fact, it's a bit like a sort of compile-time interface or compile-time ducktyping: we do *not* care about Range's 'kind': it may by a struct or a class for all we know. What is important is that it respects the *input range* concept.

The good news is that the compiler will complain¹¹ when it cannot instantiate a template due to constraints being not respected. It gives better error messages this way (although not as good as you might need).

8.3 Constraints Limits

The main problem is that, compared to templates specializations, you cannot do:

```
template Temp(T) if (is(T:int)) // #1
{ ... } // specialized for ints

template Temp(T) // #2
{ ... } // generic case

Temp!int // Error!
```

Why an error? Because the compiler finds that both the int-specialized and the generic version can be instantiated. It cannot decide which one you mean and, quite correctly, does nothing, humble code that it is. No problem, says you, we will just add a constraint to the generic version:

```
template Temp(T) if (is(T:int)) // #1
{ ... } // specialized for ints

template Temp(T) if (!is(T:int))// #2
{ ... } // generic case

Temp!int // Works!
```

Now, when you try to instantiate with an int, template #2 is not present (its constraint is false and was dropped from the considered template list) and we can have #1. Hurrah? Not quite. The #1-constraint wormed its way into the generic version, adding code where none was initially. Imagine you had not one, but three different specialized versions:

¹¹ I think *compiler* and *complain* must have the same root.

```
template Temp(T) if (is(T:int[])) // #1a
{ ... } // specialized for arrays of ints

template Temp(T) if (isRange!T) // #1b
{ ... } // specialized for ranges

template Temp(T) if (is(T:double[n], int n)) // #1c
{ ... } // specialized for static arrays of double

template Temp(T) // #2, generic
   if ( /* What constraint? */
{ ... }
```

OK, quick: what constraint for #2? The complement to all other constraints. See:

```
template Temp(T) // #2, generic
  if ( !(is(T:int[]))
    && !isRange!T
    && !is(T:double[n], int n))
{ ... }
```

That's becoming complicated to maintain. If someone else adds a fourth specialization, you need to add a fourth inverted version of its constraint. Too bad, you still compile and calls Temp: Temp!(int[]). And there: error! Why? Because constraints #1a and #1b are not mutually exclusive: an int[] is also a input range. Which means that for #1b, you need to add a clause excluding arrays of int and maybe modify constraint #2.

Ouch.

So, yes, constraints are wonderful, but they do have drawbacks. As a data point, this author uses them all the time, even though specializations (3.4) are sometimes more user-friendly: most of what I want to impose and check on my types cannot be done by specializations.

8.4 Constraints, Specializations and static if:

I mean, come on! Three different ways to decide if your template exists or not?

```
template Specialized(T : U[], U)
{ ... }

template Constrained(T) if (is(T : U[], U))
{ ... }

template StaticIfied(T)
{
    static if (is(T : U[], U))
    { ... }
    else // stop compilation
        static assert(0, "StaticIfied cannot be instantiated.");
}
```

What were the D designers thinking? Well, they got specializations (3.4) from D's cousin, C++. The two other subsystems were added a few years later, as the power of D compile-time metaprogramming became apparent and more powerful tools were needed. So, the 'modern' subsystems are constraints and static if (3.3). Constraints are much more powerful than specializations, as anything you can test with specialization, you can test with an is expression in a constraint. And static if is wonderfully useful outside of template instantiation, so these two are well implanted in D and are there to stay. What about specializations, now? First, they are quite nice to have when porting some C++ code. Second, they have a nice effet that constraints do not have: when more than one definition could be instantiated, priority is given to the more specialized. You saw the explanation in the previous subsection.

So in the end, the conclusion is a bit of *D Zen*: you are given tools, powerful tools. As these are powerful, they sometimes can do what other options in your toolbox can do also. D does not constrain (!) you, chose wisely.

9 Predicate Templates

When you find yourself typing again and again the same is expression or the same complicated constraint, it's time to abstract it into another template, a bool-becoming one. If you have a look a section A.2, you'll see a way to test if a particular piece of D code is OK (compilable) or not. Another way to obtain this is by using __traits(compiles, some Code).

In Part IV, the section 22.1.3, on page 108 shows another example of a predicate template.

9.1 Testing for a member

For example, if you want to test is some type can be serialized, through a size_t serialize() member function:

9.2 Testing for operations

As seen in previous sections (5.8, 8.2), we are writing here a kind of compiletime interface. Any type can pass this test, as long as it has a .serialize member that returns a size_t. Of course, you're not limited to testing member functions. Here is a template that verify some type has arithmetic operations:

```
template hasArithmeticOperations(Type)
    static if (__traits(compiles,
                           Type t;
                           t + t; // addition
                           t / t; // substraction
                           t * t; // multiplication
                           t / t; // division
                                   // unary +
                           +t;
                            -t;
                                   // unary -
                       }))
        enum bool hasArithmeticOperations = true;
    else
        enum bool hasArithmeticOperations = false;
}
static assert(hasArithmeticOperation!int);
static assert(hasArithmeticOperation!double);
struct S {}
static assert(!hasArithmeticOperation!S);
```

As you can see, you can test for any type of D code, which means it's much more powerful than templates specializations (3.4) or the is expression (Appendix A).

You may also get a certain feel of a... pattern emerging from the previous two examples. All the scaffolding, the boilerplate, is the same. And we could easily template it on what operator to test, for example. It's possible to do that, but it means crafting code at compile-time. Wait until you see string mixins (18) and CTFE (19) in Part III.

9.3 Completing the Flatten range:

Let's come back to Flatten from section 5.8. Using concept-checking templates, we will verify the range-ness of the wrapper type and promote Flatten to forward range status if Range itself is a forward range:

```
import std.range;
struct Flatten(Range) if (isInputRange!Range)
{
    /* same code than before */
    static if (isForwardRange!Range)
        Flatten save() @property
        {
            return this;
        }
}
```

The struct is enriched in two ways: first, it cannot be instantiated on a non-range. That's good because with the code from section 5.8, you could bypass the factory function and manually create a Flatten!int, which wouldn't do. Now, you cannot. Secondly, if the wrapped range is a forward range, then Flatten!Range is one also. That opens up whole new algorithms to Flatten, for just a quite-readable little piece of code.

You could extend the pattern in the same way by allowing Flatten to be a bidirectional range, but you would need to introduce a backSubRange member that keeps trace of the range's back state.

10 Template Tuple Parameters

10.1 Definition and Basic Properties

And now comes one of my favourite subjects: template tuple parameters. As seen in section 1 these are declared by putting a identifier... at the last parameter of a template. The tuple will then absorb any type, alias or literal passed to it. For this very reason (that it can bunch of types interspersed with symbols), some people consider it a mongrel addition to D templates. That is true, but the ease of use and the flexibility it gives us is in my opinion well worth the cost of a little cleanliness. D template tuples have a .length member (defined at compile-time, obviously), their elements can be accessed using the standard indexing syntax and they can even be sliced (the \$ symbol is aliased to the tuple length):

You can declare a value of type 'tuple'. This value (called an expression tuple) also has a length, can be indexed and can be sliced. You can also pass it directly to a function if the types check with a function parameter list. If you throw it into an array, it will 'melt' and initialize the array:

```
template TupleDemonstration(T...)
{
    alias T TupleDemonstration;
}
TupleDemonstration!(string, int, double) t;
```

```
assert(t.length == 3);
t[0] = "abc";
t[1] = 1;
t[2] = 3.14;
auto t2 = t[1..\$];
assert(t2[0] = 1)
assert(t2[1] = 3.14);
void foo(int i, double d) {}
foo(t2); // OK.
double[] array = [t2]; // see, between [ and ]
assert(array == [1.0, 3.14]);
 The simplest possible tuple is already defined in Phobos in std.typetuple: TypeTuple:
template TypeTuple(T...)
{
   alias T TypeTuple; // It just exposes the T's
}
alias TypeTuple!(int, string, double) ISD;
static assert(is( TypeTuple!(ISD[0], ISD[1], ISD[2]) == ISD ));
 Pure template parameter tuples are auto-flattening: they do not nest:
alias TypeTuple!(int, string, double) ISD;
alias TypeTuple!(ISD, ISD) ISDISD;
// ISDISD is *not* ((int, string, double), (int, string, double))
```

static assert(is(ISDISD == TypeTuple!(int,string,double,int,string,double)));

This is both a bug and a feature. On the negative side, that condemns us to linear structures: no trees of type tuples. And since a branching structure can give rise to a linear, that would have been strictly more powerful. On the positive side, that allow us to concatenate tuples easily (and from that, to iterate easily), as you'll see in sections 10.3 and 10.5. If you need recursive/branching structures, you can have them by using std.typecons.Tuple or really any kind of struct/class template: the types are not flattened there. See for example section 32.3 for a fully polymorphic tree.

// It's (int, string, double, int, string, double)

The last property tuples have is that they can be iterated over: use a foreach expression, like you would for an array. With foreach, you can iterate on both type tuples and expression tuples. The indexed version is also possible, but you cannot ask directly for a ref access to the values (but see the example below). This iteration is done at compile-time and is in fact one of the main ways to get looping at compile-time in D.

```
// keeping the same t and T than the previous examples.
string[T.length] s;
foreach(index, Type; T) // Iteration on types.
```

As values of this type can be created and named, they are *almost* first-class. They have two limitations, however:

- There is no built-in syntax for declaring a tuple. In the previous example, calling T.stringof returns the string "(string,int,double)". But you cannot write (string,int,double) myTuple; directly. Paradoxically, if you have a (string,int,double) type tuple called T, you can do T myTuple;.
- These tuples cannot be returned from a function. You have to wrap them in a struct. That's what std.typecons.Tuple offers.

tuple, Tuple, T... and .tupleof

A common question from newcomers to D is the difference and definition between the different tuples found in the language and the standard library. I will try to explain:

Template tuple parameters are internal to templates. They are declared with T... at the last position in the parameter list. They group together a list of template parameters, be they types, values or alias. Two 'subtypes' are commonly used:

Type tuples are template tuple parameters that hold only types.

Expression tuples are tuples that hold only expressions. They are what you get when you declare a variable of type 'type tuple'.

Function parameter tuples. You can get a function parameter type tuple from std.traits.ParameterTypeTuple. It's exactly a type tuple as seen before. A value of this type can be declared and can be passed to a function with the same parameters.

The .tupleof property is a property of aggregate types: classes and structs. It returns an expression tuple containing the members's values. Member names tuple is a tuple of strings you get by using __traits(members, SomeType). It contains all SomeType members' names, as strings (including the methods, constructors, aliases and such).

std.traits.TypeTuple is a pre-defined template in Phobos that's the simplest possible template holding a tuple. It's the common D way to deal with type tuples. The name is bit of a misnomer, because it's a standard template parameter tuple: it can hold types, but also values. std.typecons.Tuple and std.typecons.tuple are pre-defined struct/function templates in Phobos that gives a simple syntax to manipulate tuples and return them from functions.

10.2 The Type of Tuples

You can get a tuple's type by using typeof(tuple), like any other D type. There are two limit cases:

One-element tuples: There is a difference between a tuple of one element and a lone type. You cannot initialize a standard value with a 1-element tuple. You have to extract the first (and only) element before. In the same idea, the 1-element tuple has a length and can be sliced: actions that do not make sense for a standard type.

Zero-element tuples: It's possible to have an empty tuple, holding zero type, not to be confused with a uninitialized n-elements tuple or the tuple holding void as a type. In fact, the zero-element tuple can only have one value: its initialization value. For this reason, it's sometimes called the Unit type. 12

 $^{^{12}}$ Look: bool is a type with two values (true and false). (), the empty tuple, is the type that has only one value. And void is the type that has no value.

void-containing tuples and empty tuples: A type tuple may hold the **void** type, like any other D type. It 'takes a slot' in the tuple and a tuple holding only a **void** is *not* the empty tuple.

```
alias TypeTuple!(void) Void;
alias TypeTuple!() Empty;
static assert(!is(Void == Empty));
static assert(!is( TypeTuple!(int, void, string) == TypeTuple!(int, string)));
```

10.3 Example: Variadic Functions

Tuples are very useful to make function templates variadic (that is, accept a different number of parameters). Without restriction on the passe-in types, you will need most of the time another function template to process the arguments. A standard example for this is transforming all parameters into a string:

If you want to restrict the number of parameters or their types, use template constraints:

```
int howMany(Args...)(Args args) if (Args.length > 1 && Args.length < 10)
{
    return args.length; // == Args.length
}</pre>
```

Imagine you a have a bunch of ranges. Since they all have different types, you cannot put them in an array. And since most of them are structs, you cannot cast them to a base type, as you would for classes. So you hold them in a tuple. Then, you need to call the basic range methods on them: calling popFront on all of them, etc. Here is a possible way to do that:

```
import std.range, std.algorithm;

void popAllFronts(Ranges...)(ref Ranges ranges)
    if(areAllRanges!Ranges)
{
```

It works for any number of ranges, that's cool. And it's checked at compiletime, you cannot pass it an int discretly, hoping no one will see: it's the job of areAllRanges to verify that. Its code is a classical example of recursion on type tuples:

```
template areAllRanges(Ranges...)
{
    static if (Ranges.length == 0) // Base case: stop.
        enum areAllRanges = true;
    else static if (!isInputRange!(Ranges[0])) // Found a not-range:stop.
        enum areAllRanges = false;
    else // Continue the recursion
        enum areAllRanges = areAllRanges!(Ranges[1..$]);
}
```

People used to languages like lisp/Scheme or Haskell will be right at home there. For the others, a little explanation might be in order:

- when you get a typetuple, either it's empty or it's not.
- If it's empty, then all the elements it holds are ranges and we return ${\sf true.}^{13}$
- If it's not empty, it has at least one element, which can be accessed by indexing. Let's test it: either it's a range or it's not.
- If it isn't a range, the iteration stops: not all elements are ranges, we return false.
- If it's a range... we have not proved anything, and need to continue.

The recursion is interesting: by defining an areAllRanges manifest constant, we will activate the eponymous template trick (3.1), which gets initialized to the value obtained by calling the template on a shortened tuple. With slicing, we drop the first type (it was already tested) and continue on the next one. In the end, either we exhausted the tuple (the length ==0 case) or we find a non-range.

¹³ You might not like it, but it's cleaner mathematically this way.

10.4 One-Element Tuples: Accepting Types and Alias

Sometimes it makes sense for a template to accept either a type parameter or an alias. For example, a template that returns a string representing its argument. In that case, since type parameter do not accept symbols as arguments and the same way round for alias, you're doomed to repeat yourself:

```
template nameOf(T)
{
    enum string nameOf = T.stringof;
}

template nameOf(alias a)
{
    enum string nameOf = a.stringof;
}

static assert(nameOf!(double[]) == "double[]");
int i;

static assert(nameOf!(i) == "i");
```

Since tuples can accept both types and alias, you can use them to simplify your code a bit:

```
template nameOf(T...) if (T.length == 1) // restricted to one argument
{
    enum string nameOf = T[0].stringof;
}
```

Todo: A better explanation is in order. I'm not that convinced myself.

10.5 Example: Inheritance Lists

This section is still a Work In Progress:

All this section should be rewritten. The compiler is more accepting than I thought.

Using class templates (6.1), we might want to adjust the inheritance list at compile-time. Type tuples are a nice way to it: first define a template that alias itself to a type tuple, then have the class inherit from the template:

```
interface I { ... }
interface J { ... }
interface K { ... }
interface L { ... }
```

```
class BaseB { ... }

template Inheritance(Base) if (is(Base == class))
{
    static if (is(Base : BaseA))
        alias TypeTuple!(Base, I, J, K) Inheritance;
    else static if (is(Base : BaseB))
        alias TypeTuple!(Base, L) Inheritance;
    else
        alias Base Inheritance;
}

// Inherits from Base
class MyClass : Inheritance!BaseA { ... }
class MyOtherClass : Inheritance!MyOtherClass { ... }
```

Here I templated Inheritance on the base class, but you could easily template it on a global enum, for example. In any case, the selection is abstracted away and the choice-making code is in one place, for you to change it easily.

There is a catch if you use it again on a derived class:

```
// Error! I,J and K are already listed through MyClass
Class ErrorClass : Inheritance!(MyClass) { ... }
```

The interfaces are already listed in MyClass while Inheritance injects them again. That gets us a compilation error, because in D you cannot put an interface twice in an inheritance list. We have to do something a little more complicated: given an inheritance type list, we must eliminate all double interfaces.

Let's begin with something more simple: given a type and a type tuple, eliminate all occurrences of the type in the type tuple.

```
template Eliminate(Type, TargetTuple...)
2
       static if (TargetTuple.length == 0) // Tuple exhausted,
3
            alias TargetTuple
                  Eliminate;
                                // job done.
5
       else static if (is(TargetTuple[0] : Type))
6
            alias Eliminate!(Type, TargetTuple[1..$])
7
                  Eliminate;
8
9
       else
            alias TypeTuple!(TargetTuple[0], Eliminate!(Type, TargetTuple[1..$]))
10
                  Eliminate;
11
   }
12
13
   alias TypeTuple!(int,double,int,string) Target;
14
   alias Eliminate!(int, Target) NoInts;
15
   static assert(is( NoInts == TypeTuple!(double, string) ));
```

The only difficulty is on line 10: if the first type is not a Type, we have to keep it and continue the recursion:

```
Eliminate!(Type, Type0, Type1, Type2, ...)
->
Type0, Eliminate!(Type, Type1, Type2, ...)
```

We cannot juxtapose types like I just did, we have to wrap them in a template. Phobos defines TypeTuple in std.typetuple for that use.

Now that we know how to get rid of all occurrences of a type in a type tuple, we have to write a template to eliminate all duplicates. The algorithm is simple: take the first type, eliminate all occurrences of this type in the remaining type tuple. Then call the duplicate elimination anew from the resulting type tuple, while at the same time collecting the first type.

By the way, code to do that, also called NoDuplicates, is already in Phobos. It can be found in std.typetuple. I found coding it again a good exercise in type tuple manipulation. You can find a few examples of this kind of templates in section 22.

The last piece of the puzzle is to get a given class inheritance list. The is expression give us that by way of types specializations (A.4):

```
template SuperList(Class) if (is(Class == class))
{
    static if (is(Class list == super))
        alias TypeTuple!(list) SuperList;
    else // Object
        alias TypeTuple!() SuperList;
}
```

Now we are good to go: given a base class, get its inheritance list with SuperList. Drop the base class to keep the interfaces. Stitch with the interfaces provided by Inheritance and call NoDuplicates on it. To make things clearer, I will define many aliases in the template. To keep the use of the eponymous trick, I will defer the aliasing in another template, as seen in section 3.1.

```
template CheckedInheritance(Base)
{
    alias CheckedImpl!(Base).Result CheckedInheritance;
}
```

11 Operator Overloading

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Oh yes, that's quite not finished. I'm afraid I find D syntax for operator overloading a bit heavy for my tastes. As D code in IATEX, it's becoming very cumbersome to write.

D allows users to redefine some operators to enhance readability in code. And guess what? Operator overloading is based on templates. They are described here in the docs.

11.1 Syntax

Table ?? gives you the operator that you can overload and which function template you must define:

Many other operators can be overloaded in D, but do not demand templates.

11.2 Example: Arithmetic Operators

Todo: Tell somewhere that this is possible:

```
Foo opBinary(string op:"+")(...) { ... }
```

The idea behind this strange way to overload operators is to allow you to redefine many operators at once with only one method. For example, take this struct wrapping a number:

```
struct Number(T) if (isNumeric!T)
{
    T num;
}
```

To give it the four basic arithmetic operators with another Number and another T, you define opBinary for +, -, * and /. This will activate operations were Number is on the left. In case it's on the right, you have to define opBinaryRight. Since this these overloading tend to use string mixins, I'll use them even though they are introduced only on section 18. The basic idea is: string mixins paste code (given as a compile-time string) where they are put.

```
struct Number(T) if (isNumeric!T)
{
    T num;

auto opBinary(string op, U)
    if ((op == "+" || op == "-" || op == "*" || op == "/")
    && ((isNumeric!U) || is(U u == Number!V, V))
    {
    mixin("alias typeof(a"~op~"b) Result;
        static if (isNumeric!U)
            return Number!Result(a"~op~"b);
        else
            return Number!Result(a"~op~"b.num);");
    }
}
```

op being a template parameter, it's usable to do compile-time constant folding: in this case the concatenation of strings to generate D code. The way the code is written, Numbers respect the global D promotion rules. A Number!int plus a Number!double returns a Number!double.

11.3 Special Case: in

11.4 Special Case: cast

12 Mixin Templates

Up to now, *all* the templates we have seen are instantiated in the same scope than their declaration. Mixin templates have a different behaviour: the code they hold is placed upon instantiation *right at the call site*. They are thus used in a completely different way than other templates.

12.1 Syntax

To distinguish standard templates from mixin templates, the latter have slightly different syntax. Here is how they are declared and called:

```
/* Declaration */
mixin template NewFunctionality(T,U) { ... }
```

```
/* Instantiation */
class MyClass(T,U,V)
{
    mixin NewFunctionality!(U,V);
    ...
}
```

As you can see, you put mixin before the declaration and mixin before the instantiation call. All other templates niceties (constraints, default values, ...) are still there for your perusal. Symbols lookup is done in the local scope and the resulting code is included where the call was made, therefore injecting new functionality.

As far as I know, there is no special syntax for function, class and struct templates to be mixin templates. You will have to wrap them in a standard template declaration. In the same idea, there is no notion of eponymous trick with mixin templates: there is no question of how to give access to the template's content, since the template is cracked open for you and its very content put in your code.

```
Todo: Test for mixin T foo(T)(T t) return t;
```

By the way, you *cannot* mix a standard template in. It used to be the case, but it's not possible anymore. Now mixin templates and non-mixin ones are strictly separated cousins.

12.2 Mixing Code In

What good are these cousins of the templates we've seen so far? They give you a nice way to place parameterized implementation inside a class or a struct. Once more, templates are a way to reduce boilerplate code. If some piece of code appears in different places in your code (for example, in structs, where there is no inheritance to avoid code duplication), you should look for a way to put it in a mixin template.

Also, you can put small functionalities in mixin templates, giving client code access to them to chose how they want to build their types.

Note that the code you place inside a mixin template doesn't have to make sense by itself (it can refer to this or any not-yet-defined symbols). It just has to be syntactically correct D code.

For example, remember the operator overloading code we saw in section 11? Here is a mixin containing concatenating functionality:

```
mixin template Concatenate()
{
    Tuple!(This, U) opBinary(string op, this This, U)(U u)
    if (op == "~")
    {
        return tuple(this, u);
    }
}
```

```
Tuple!(U, This) opBinaryRight(string op, this This, U)(U u)
if (op == "~")
{
    return tuple(u, this);
}
```

As you can see, it uses this, even though there is no struct or class in sight. It's used like this, to give concatenation (as tuples) ability to a struct:

```
struct S
{
    /* some code */
    mixin Concatenate;
}
S s,t,u;
auto result = s ~ t ~ u;
assert(result == tuple(s, tuple(t,u)));
```

In this particular case, we should test for tuples already containing the current type and flatten them, so as get tuple(s,t,u).

The idea to take back home is: the concatenation code is written once. It is then an offered functionality for any client scope (type) that want it. It could easily have been arithmetic operations, cast operations or new methods like log, register, new members or whatever else. Build you own set of mixins and use them freely. And remember they are not limited to classes and structs: you can also use them in functions, module scopes, other templates...

Limitations

Mixin templates inject code at the local scope. They cannot add an invariant clause in a class, or in/out clauses in a function. They can be injected into an invariant/in/out clause.

13 opDispatch

13.1 Syntax

opDispatch is a sort of operator overloading (it's in the same place in the online documentation) that deals with members calls (methods or value members). Its definition is the same than an operator:

```
... opDispatch(string name, Args)(Arg arg)
... opDispatch(string name, Args...)(Args args)
```

The usual template constraints can be used: constraints on name, constraints on the arguments.

When a type has an opDispatch method and a member call is done without finding a defined member, the call is dispatched to opDispatch with the invoked name as a string.

```
struct Dispatcher
{
    int foo(int i) { return i*i;}
    string opDispatch(string name, T...)(T t)
    {
        return "Dispatch activated: " ~ name ~ ":" ~ T.stringof;
    }
}
Dispatcher d;
auto i = d.foo(1); // compiler finds foo, calls foo.
auto s1 = d.register("abc"); // no register member -> opDispatch activated;
assert(s1 == "Dispatch activated: register:string");
auto s2 = d.empty; // no empty member, no argument.
assert(s2 == "Dispatch activated: empty:()");
```

Once opDispatch has the name called and the arguments, it's up to you to decide what to do: calling free functions, calling other methods or using the compile-time string to generate new code (see section 18 on string mixins).

Since string mixins really go hand in hand with opDispatch I'll use them even though I haven't introduced them right now. The executive summary is: they paste D code (given as a compile-time string) where they are called. There.

13.2 Getters and Setters

For example, suppose you have a bunch of members, all private and want client code to access them through good ol' setXXX/getXXX methods. Only, you do not want to write all these methods by yourself. You lucky you, opDispatch can help you.

```
class GetSet
{
    private int i;
    private int j;
    private double d;
    private string theString;

auto opDispatch(string name)() // no arg version -> getter
    if (name.length > 3 && name[0..3] == "get")
    {
        enum string member = name[3..$]; // "getXXX" -> "XXX"
        // We test if "XXX" exists here: ie if is(typeof(this.XXX)) is true
        static if (mixin("is(typeof(this." ~ name ~ "))"))
```

```
mixin("return " ~ name ~ ";");
        else
            static assert(0, "GetSet Error: no member called " ~ name);
    }
    auto opDispatch(string name, Arg)(Arg arg) // setter
    if (name.length > 3 \&\& name[0..3] == "set")
        enum string member = name[3..$]; // "setXXX" -> "XXX"
        // We test if "name" can be assigned to. this.name = Arg.init
        static if (_traits(compiles,
                            mixin("this." ~ name ~ " = Arg.init;")))
            mixin("return " ~ name ~ ";");
        else
            static assert(0, "GetSet Error: no member called " ~ name);
    }
}
auto gs = new GetSet();
gs.seti(3);
auto i = gs.geti;
assert(i == 3);
gs.settheString("abc");
writeln(gs.gettheString); // "abc"
```

Nifty, eh? This could be a bit better by dealing with the capitalization of the first letter: getTheString, but this is good enough for now. Even better, you could put this code in a mixin template to give this get/set capacity to any struct or class (see section 12).

13.3 Wrapper Templates

This section is still a Work In Progress:

The idea is to put some functionality inside before (and possibly, after, the dispatching code.

We've seen how to inject code with mixin templates (12) or use template class inheritance to modify you classes' code (10.5). We've also seen how you can define a wrapper struct around a range to expose a new iteration scheme for its element (5.8). All these idioms are way to modify pre-existing code.

But what you want to put a logging functionality around a predefined struct, so that any method call is logged? For class, you can inherit from the class and defined a subclass with new, modified, methods. But you have to do that 'by hand', so to speak. And for a struct, you're out of luck.

But, templates can come to the rescue, with a bit of opDispatch magic. Todo: Finish this.

- put Type wrapped into a Logger struct. - get Type.tupleof - call typeof() on this. - opDispatch? Test if wrapped.foo() is legal. If yes, call

14 Templates in Templates

Sometimes, you know users of your code will send to your template a first list (of indefinite length) of parameters, followed by a second list. Seeing that, you may want to write code like the following:

```
template MyTemp(A..., B...)
{ ... }
```

Halas, two template parameters tuples do not make sense (see section 1). But it's not a dead-end. First, you could try to write:

```
template(AB...)
{ ... }
```

And filter the ABs to find the ones you need. It can be done by staticFilter, presented in 22.1.3 (and its associated function on a function arguments would be tupleFilter shown in 23.2). In this case, the two series of arguments can be completely mixed (and not separated in two lists), which is strictly more powerful. On the other hand, it means you must be able to separate them by their types alone, which may not be possible.

14.1 Templates All the Way Down

Happily, the initial problem can easily be solved this way:

```
template MyTemp(A...)
{
    template MyTemp(B...)
    {
      (...)
    }
}
```

Remember, a template can have template members. So you can nest templates within templates, each with its own constraints and intermediate code. If you use the same name through and through the eponymous trick will be activated, but sometimes using a different name at each stage can make your code more readable.

For example, what if you want to compare to template tuples to see if they contain the same arguments?

```
template Compare(First...)
{
   template With(Second...)
   {
     static if (First.length != Second.length)
        enum With = false;
```

In that case, using With inside Compare let the code be quite easy to use. Notice that the eponymous trick is done only on With, because it's this inner template that we want the result of.

Going back to MyTemp, using it is slightly more complicated:

```
// Yes:
alias MyTemp!(int, double, string) MyTemp1;
MyTemp1!(char, void);

// No:
MyTemp!(int, double, string)!(char, void);
// No:
MyTemp!(int, double, string).!(char, void);
```

The D grammar does not authorize multiple template calls like the ones on lines 6 and 8. You must use an alias for the intermediate stage. It's not that drastic a limitation, because if you created the template as a multi-step construction, it's most probably because you wanted to do a multi-step invocation. . .

14.2 Double-Stage Function Templates

For function templates, this can give very powerful things. You can have a place in your code where compile-time parameters are given, which delivers another, crafted-just-for-your-needs, template which you can instantiate later on. See for example 19.5 and the interpolate function-in-template.

Policies are particularly good with this idiom. Section 24.1 presents a template that transforms a standard D function into a memoized one. Here is what could be done if it was a two-steps template:

```
/*
 * memoizer will store the first million tuple of args
 * and discard half of them when the maximum is reached,
 * to free some memory. At this stage, the function it
 * will memoize is not known. The user decided this
 * particular instantiation was the best memoizing
 * strategy in her code. memoizer could (and will!) be
 * applied on many different functions.
 */
alias memoize!(Storing.maximum, 1_000_000, Discarding.fraction, 0.5f) memoizer;
```

```
auto longCalculation1(int i, double d) { ... }
auto longCalculation2(double d, string s) { ... }

/*
 * longCalculation1 and longCalculation2 will both profit
 * from the memoization even though they have different
 * signatures, different arguments and return a different type.
 */
alias memoizer!longCalculation1 mlc1;
alias memoizer!longCalculation2 mlc2;
```

Todo: OK, now maybe I should provide an updated version of memoize in 24.1.

14.3 Named-Fields Tuples

Let's have another example, to use IFTI (4.3). In Phobos, the function std.typecons.tuple lets you create a tuple on the fly. It's a very nice example of IFTI in action:

```
auto tuple1 = tuple(1, 3.14159, "abc"); // tuple1 is a Tuple!(int,double,string)
auto tuple2 = tuple('a','b','c'); // tuple2 is a Tuple!(char,char,char)
```

But Phobos' Tuple is more powerful than that. It can have named parameters:

```
Tuple!(int, "counter", string, "name") myCounter;
myCounter.counter = -1;
myCounter.name = "The One and Only Counter Around There";
```

As of this writing, Phobos doesn't provide a tuple factory function allowing named arguments in an nice, automated manner:

```
auto myCounter = tuple!("counter", "name")(-1, "Who's his Daddy's counter?");
myCounter.counter = 1;

// Or even:
alias tuple!("counter", "name") makeCounter;

auto c1 = makeCounter(0, "I count ints!");
auto c2 = makeCounter("Hello", "I'm a strange one, using strings");

c2.counter ~= " World!";
```

In the previous example, c1 is a Tuple!(int,string), whereas c2 is a Tuple!(string,string). That means makeCounter is a factory function for tuples with two fields, named counter and name, which see their types determined later on. I want this, so let's code it.

First, it's obvious we need a two-stage template:

```
import std.typetuple: allSatisfy;

template tuple(names...)
if (names.length && allSatisfy!(isAStringLiteral, names))
{
    auto tuple(T...)(T args)
    {
      (...)
    }
}
```

The constraint is here to check that the user gives at least one name and also that all passed names are indeed string literals template parameters. I use std.typetuple.allSatisfy to verify the condition on all of them. I cannot use directly std.traits.isSomeString because this template acts on types, whereas I need something checking a string literal.

```
import std.traits: isSomeString;

template isAStringLiteral(alias name)
{
    enum isAStringLiteral = isSomeString!(typeof(name));
}
```

That being in place, we need to create the correct Tuple. Arguments names are provided right after each type (so as to allow for a mix between named and anonymous fields:

```
/*
 * The first two fields are named, and the fourth.
 * The third is anonymous.
 */
alias Tuple!(int, "counter", string, "name", double, double, "total") MyTuple;
```

For our function, we consider that, given n names, the first n arguments will be named and the remaining (if any) will be anonymous. That give us another constraint: if the user provide less than n arguments, we refuse the input and stop the compilation right there.

```
import std.typetuple: allSatisfy;

template tuple(names...)
if (names.length && allSatisfy!(isAStringLiteral, names))
{
   auto tuple(T...)(T args) if (T.length >= names.length)
   {
     (...)
   }
}
```

Now, we just need the alternate the names and the argument types. Happily, this document describe a Interleave template in 22.2.1, that does just that:

```
import std.typetuple: allSatisfy;

template tuple(names...)
if (names.length && allSatisfy!(isAStringLiteral, names))
{
    auto tuple(T...)(T args) if (T.length >= names.length)
    {
        return Tuple!(Interleave!(T).With!(names))(args);
    }
}
```

And, presto, here we have our named-tuple factory function. Isn't that nice? The closure example in 4.6 could use it to simplify its returned value.

Todo: And a curried template.

15 __FILE__ and __LINE__

This section is still a Work In Progress:

This section needs some heavy testing. My D config was broken when I wrote this part. Take everything in there with a *big* grain of salt. It's on my todo list to test and rewrite everything.

In section 3.5, we've seen that template parameters can have default values. There are also two special, reserved, symbols that are defined in D: __FILE_ and __LINE__. They are used in standard (non-mixin) templates, but their behaviour will remind you of mixins: when instantiated, they get replaced by strings containing the file name and the line in the file of the *instantiation call site*. Yes, it's a sort of two-way dialogue: module a.d defines template T. Module b.d asks for a T instantiation. This instantiation is done in module a.d, but will line and filename taken from b.d!

They are mostly declared like this:

```
struct Unique(T, string file, size_t line)
{
    enum size_t l = line;
    enum string f = file;
    T t;
}
auto unique(T, string file = __FILE__, size_t line = __LINE__)(T t)
{
    return Unique!(T, file, line)(t);
}
```

As Unique's name suggests, this is a way to obtain unique instantiations. Except if you call the very same template twice in the same line of your file, this pretty much guarantee your instantiation will be the only one. Remember that

template arguments become part of the template scope name when instantiation is done (2).

```
// file thefile.d
module thefile;
auto u = unique(1); // Unique!(int, "thefile.d", 4)
auto v = unique(1); // Unique!(int, "thefile.d", 6)
static assert(!is( typeof(v) == typeof(u) ))
```

Even though u and v are declared the same way, they have different types. Apart from *one-of-a-kind* types, this is also useful for debugging: you can use the strings in error messages:

```
auto flatten(Range, file == __FILE__, line == __LINE__)(Range range)
{
    static if (rank!Range == 0)
        static assert(0, "File: " ~ file ~ " at line: " ~ line
                        ~ ", flatten called with a rank-0 type: "
                        ~ Range.stringof);
    else static if (rank!Range == 1)
        return range;
    else
        return Flatten!(Range)(range);
}
  And here is a little gift:
template Debug(alias toTest, file == __FILE__, line == __LINE__)
    template With(Args...)
        static if (is( toTest!Args ))
            alias toTest!Args With;
        else
            static assert(0, "Error: " ~ to!string(toTest)
                            ~ " called withs arguments: "
                            ~ Args.stringof);
}
/* Usage */
Debug!(templateToBeTested).With!(Argument0, Argument1, Argument2);
```

That way, no need to modify your beautiful templates. Todo: Test that.

16 User-Defined Literals

In this article, Walter Bright makes a convincing case for using templates as user-defined literals. His example is now the std.conv.octal wrapper that

allowed D to (see if it's possible to) ditch octal literals as a compiler construct and push them into library-space. That way, you can use:

```
auto o1 = octal!"755";
// or even:
auto o2 = octal!755;
```

as a stand-in replacement for the 0755 octal literal. It's no more complicated to type (a few chars to add at most), it's clearer for a reader of your code (who may miss the beginning 0) that he's dealing with an octal there. Best of all, the implementation is there as library code: easy to reach, easy to debug¹⁴ and easy to duplicate for other encodings. That way, this could be done:

```
auto h1 = hexa!"deadbeef";
auto b1 = binary!1011011;
// Or even:
auto number = base!(36, "4d6r7th2h7y");
```

Behind the scene, octal reads its string or int argument and converts it into an int or a long depending on the argument size. It's a nice piece of work that could pave the way to similar well-integrated language extensions.

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Also, DSL in strings in D, see Statically-Checked Writeln in 33, encoding informations in types (17) and annotating types (22.3).

17 Encoding Information With Types

This is an extension of the previous section's idea, that you can find for example in std.range.assumeSorted and std.range.SortedRange. These Phobos constructs encode some information in a type (in this case, the fact than the range is sorted, with an associated predicate). That way, subsequent operations acting on a range can use better algorithm if they know it's sorted.

This kind of encoding can be used for many different schemes:

- For a matrix library, you could have a, for example, assumeHermitian or assumeTriangular that just wraps a pre-existing matrix.
- For a XML/HTML library, you could imagine a Validated struct that's used to indicate, er, validated content. Any external input will have to pass trough a validate function that delivers the Validated object. Subsequent operations will work only on Validated data, not raw data.
- A units library (as in, $kg.m/s^2$, not unit-testing) is mainly a bunch of double (or complex) values wrapped in a multi-checked type that allows only some operations, depending on types. meter(5) + kilo(gram(10)) is a no-no, but meter(5)*kilo(gram(10)) is OK.

 $^{^{14}}$ And less intimidating than diving into compiler code.

Part III

Around Templates: Other Compile-Time Tools

There is more to compile-time metaprogramming in D than just templates. This part will describe the most common tools: string mixins (18), compile-time function evaluation (19) and __traits (20), as seen in relation with templates. For the good news is: they are all interoperable. String mixins are wonderful to inject code in your templates, compile-time-evaluable functions can act as template parameters and can be templated. And, best of best, templated compile-time functions can return strings which can in turn be mixed-in... in your templates. Come and see, it's fun!

18 String Mixins

String mixins put D code were they are called, just before compilation. Once injected, the code is *bona fide* D code, like any other. Code is manipulated as strings, hence the name.

18.1 Syntax

The syntax is slightly different from mixin templates (12):

```
mixin("some code as a string");
```

You must take care not to forget the parenthesis. String mixins are a purely compile-time tool, so the string must also be determined at compile-time.

18.2 Mixing Code In, With Templates

Of course, just injecting predefined code is a bit boring:

There is no interest in that compared to directly writing standard D code. The fun begins with D powerful constant folding ability: in D, strings can be concatenated at compile-time. That's where string mixins meet templates: templates can produce strings at compile-time and can get strings as parameters. You already saw that in section 11 on operator overloading and section 13 on opDispatch, since I couldn't help doing a bit of foreshadowing.

Now, imagine for example wanting a template that generates structs for you. You want to be able to name the structs as you wish. Say we would like the usage to look like that:

```
module mine;
import utils;
mixin(MyStruct!"First"); // creates a new type called First (a struct)
mixin(MyStruct!"Second"); // and another one called Second
// "First" code was injected right there, in module 'mine'.
First f1, f2;
Second s1;
assert(is( typeof(f1) == First));
  Here comes the generating code:
module utils;
template MyStruct(string name)
    enum string MyStruct = "struct " ~ name
                         ~ " { "
                         ~ "/+ some code +/"
                         ~ " }";
}
// For example, with name == "First", it will return
    "struct First { /+ some code +/ }"
//
//
```

In this case, the string is assembled inside the template during instantiation, exposed through the eponymous trick and then mixed in where you want it. Note that the string is generated in the utils module containing MyStruct, but that First and Second are defined exactly where the mixin() call is. If you use the mixin in different modules, this will define as many different structs, all named the same way. This might be exactly what you want, or not.

To get the same struct type in different modules, the code must be organized a bit differently: the structs must be generated in the template module.

```
mixin("alias " ~ name ~ " result;");
}

module mine;
import utils;

MyStruct!"First" f1, f2;
MyStruct!"Second" s1;
```

Usage is a different, as you can see. In this case, First is generated inside MyStructImpl and exposed through an alias (this particular alias statement is itself generated by a string mixin). In fact, the entire code could be put in the mixin:

Here is an example using the ternary ?: operator to do some compile-time selection of code, similar to what can be done with static if (3.3):

```
struct S!(GetSet.yes, int)
{
    private int value;
    int get() @property { return value;}
    void set(int _value) { value = _value;}
}

gs.set(1);
assert( gs.get == 1);
```

18.3 Limitations

Code crafting is still a bit awkward, because I haven't introduced CTFE yet (see 19). So we are limited to simple concatenation for now: looping for example is possible with templates, but far easier with CTFE. Even then, it's already wonderfully powerful: you can craft D code with some 'holes' (types, names, whatever) that will be completed by a template instantiation and then mixed in elsewhere. You can create other any kind of D code with that.

You can put mixin() expressions almost were you want to, but... TODO: Test the limits:inside static if expressions, for example

Escaping strings

One usual problem with manipulating D code as string is how to deal with strings in code? You must escape them. Either use \" to create string quotes, a bit like was done in section 4.1 to generate the error message for select. Or you can put strings between q{ and }.

19 Compile-Time Function Evaluation

19.1 Evaluation at Compile-Time

Compile-Time Function Evaluation (from now on, CTFE) is an extension of the constant-folding that's done during compilation in D code: if you can calculate 1 + 2 + 3*4 at compile-time, why not extend it to whole functions evaluation? I'll call evaluable at compile-time functions CTE functions from now on.

It's a very hot topic in D right now and the reference compiler has advanced by leaps and bounds in 2011. The limits to what can be done with CTE functions are pushed farther away at each new release. All the foreach, while, if/then/else statements, arrays manipulation, struct manipulation, function manipulation... are there. You can even do pointer arithmetics! When I began this document (DMD 2.055), the limitations were mostly: no classes and no exceptions (and so, no enforce). This was changed with DMD 2.057, allowing the manipulation of classes at compile-time.

In fact danger lies the other way round: it's easy to forget that CTE functions must also be standard, runtime, functions. Remember that some actions only make sense at compile-time or with compile-time initialized constants: indexing on tuples for example:

19.2 __ctfe

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Write something on this new functionality, which enables testing inside a function whether we are at compile-time or runtime.

19.3 Templates and CTFE

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Some juicy examples should be added.

That means: you can feed compile-time constants to your classical D function and its code will be evaluated at compile-time. As far as templates are concerned, this means that function return values can be used as template parameters and as enum initializers:

Template functions can very well give rise to functions evaluated at compile-time:

19.4 Templates and CTFE and String Mixins, oh my!

And the fireworks is when you mix (!) that with string mixins: code can be generated by functions, giving access to almost the entire D language to craft it. This code can be mixed in templates to produce what you want. And, to close the loop: the function returning the code-as-string can itself be a template, using another template parameters as its own parameters.

Concretly, here is the getting-setting code from section 18.2, reloaded:

```
enum GetSet { no, yes}

string priv(string type, string index)
{
    return
        "private "~type~"value"~index~";\n"
        ~ type~" get"~index~"() @property { return value"~index~";}\n"
        ~ "void set"~index~"("~type~" _value) { value"~index~" = _value;}";
}

string pub(string type, string index)
{
    return type ~ "value" ~ index ~ ";";
```

```
}
string GenerateS(GetSet getset = GetSet.no, T...)()
    string result;
    foreach(index, Type; T)
        static if (getset = GetSet.yes)
                     result ~= priv(Type.stringof, to!string(index));
        else
            result ~= pub(Type.stringof, to!string(index));
    return result;
}
struct S(GetSet getset = GetSet.no, T...)
    mixin(GenerateS!(getset,T));
}
S!(GetSet.yes, int, string, int) gs;
/* Generates:
struct S!(GetSet.yes, int, string, int)
    private int value0;
    int get0() @property { return value0;}
    void set0(int _value) { value0 = _value;}
    private string value1;
    string get1() @property { return value1;}
    void set1(string _value) { value1 = _value;}
    private int value2;
    int get2() @property { return value2;}
    void set2(int _value) { value2 = _value;}
}
*/
gs.set1("abc");
assert(gs.get1 == "abc");
```

This code is much more powerful than the one we saw in section 18.2: the number of types is flexible, and an entire set of getters/setters is generated when asked to. All this is done by simply plugging string-returning functions together, and a bit of looping by way of a compile-time foreach.

19.5 Simple String Interpolation

All this play with the concatenating operator (~) is becoming a drag. We should write a string interpolation function, evaluable at compile-time of course, to help us in our task. Here is how I want to use it:

As you can see, the string to be interpolated is passed as a template parameter. Placeholders use a character normally not found in D code: #. The n^{th} parameter is #n, starting from 0. As a concession to practicality, a lone # is considered equivalent to #0. Args to be put into the string are passed as standard (non-template) parameters and can be of any type.

```
template interpolate(string code)
{
    string interpolate(Args...)(Args args) {
        string[] stringified;
        foreach(index, arg; args) stringified ~= to!string(arg);
        string result;
        int i;
        int zero = to!int('0');
        while (i < code.length) {</pre>
            if (code[i] == '#') {
                int j = 1;
                int index;
                while (i+j < code.length
                     && to!int(code[i+j])-zero >= 0
                     && to!int(code[i+j])-zero <= 9)
                {
                     index = index*10 + to!int(code[i+j])-zero;
                     ++j;
                }
                result ~= stringified[index];
                i += j;
            }
            else {
                result ~= code[i];
                ++i;
            }
        }
        return result;
    }
}
```

Todo: The syntax could be extended somewhat: inserting multiple strings, inserting a range of strings, all arguments to the end.

19.6 Example: extending std.functional.binaryFun

This section is still a Work In Progress:

This one is dear to my heart. Mapping n ranges in parallel is one of the first things that I wanted to do with ranges, for examples to create ranges of structs with constructor taking more than one parameter.

Phobos has two really interesting templates: $\mathtt{std.functional.unaryFun}$ and $\mathtt{std.functional.binaryFun}$.

Todo: Explain that this aims to extend that to n-args functions.

TODO: Augh, the introduction, as of DMD 2.058 of a nice closure syntax more or less alleviate the need for such a construction.

```
bool isaz(char c) {
    return c >= 'a' && c <= 'z';
}
bool isAZ(char c) {
    return c >= 'A' && c <= 'Z';
bool isNotLetter(char c) {
    return !isaz(c) && !isAZ(c);
}
int letternum(char c) {
    return to!int(c) - to!int('a') + 1;
}
int arity(string s) {
    if (s.length == 0) return 0;
    int arity;
    string padded = " " ~ s ~ " ";
    foreach(i, c; padded[0..$-2])
        if (isaz(padded[i+1])
         && isNotLetter(padded[i])
         && isNotLetter(padded[i+2]))
            arity = letternum(padded[i+1]) > arity ?
                    letternum(padded[i+1])
                   : arity;
    return arity;
}
```

```
string templateTypes(int arit) {
    if (arit == 0) return "";
    if (arit == 1) return "A";
    string result;
    foreach(i; 0..arit)
        result ~= "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ"[i] ~ ", ";
    return result[0..$-2];
}
string params(int arit) {
    if (arit == 0) return "";
    if (arit == 1) return "A a";
    string result;
    foreach(i; 0..arit)
        result ~= "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ"[i]
               ~ " " ~ "abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"[i]
               ~ ", ";
    return result[0..$-2];
}
string naryFunBody(string code, int arit) {
    return interpolate!"auto ref naryFun(#0)(#1) { return #2;}"
                      (templateTypes(arit), params(arit), code);
}
template naryFun(string code, int arit = arity(code))
{
    mixin(naryFunBody(code, arit));
```

19.7 Sorting Networks

Sorting networks are a nice example of what compile-time code generation can buy you. Sorting is a very vast subject and obtaining near-optimal sort in many circumstances is quite difficult. But in some cases, if you know your input length, you can build a pre-defined list of comparisons between elements and swap them if they are not in the correct order. Here, I will represent sorting networks as pairs of indices in the input range: given indices i and j, compare input[i] and input[j], and so on.

For example, given an array 15 of length n, Table 1 presents possible (optimal, in this case) sorting networks.

The code to generate the list of indices can be found in Knuth's *The Art of Computer Programming* or on the net. The code given below I translated from Common Lisp (!) to D, and is taken from Doug Hoyte's Let Over Lambda, a

 $^{^{15}}$ Or a random-access range.

n	Sorting network
2	[[0, 1]]
3	[[0, 2], [0, 1], [1, 2]]
4	[[0, 2], [1, 3], [0, 1], [2, 3], [1, 2]]
5	[[0, 4], [0, 2], [1, 3], [2, 4], [0, 1], [2, 3], [1, 4], [1, 2], [3, 4]]

Table 1: The first few sorting networks

very opinionated book about Common Lisp's macros.

```
int ceilLog2(int n)
{
    int i;
    if ((n & (n-1)) == 0) i = -1;
    while (n > 0) \{ ++i; n/= 2; \}
    return i;
}
/**
 * Given a length n, returns an array of indices pairs
 * corresponding to a sorting network for length n.
 * Looks a bit like C code, isn't it?
*/
int[2][] sortingNetwork(int n)
{
    int[2][] network;
    auto t = ceilLog2(n);
    auto p = 1 << (t-1);
    while (p > 0)
        auto q = 1 << (tee-1);
        auto r = 0;
        auto d = p;
        while (d > 0)
            for(int i = 0; i <= (n-d-1); ++i)
                if (r == (i & p)) network ~= [i, i+d];
            d = q-p;
            q /= 2;
            r = p;
        }
        p /= 2;
    return network;
}
```

sortingNetwork returns an array of indices pairs. From this, it's easy to generate code. The basic building block done by interpolate (19.5):

```
string buildSortingCode(size_t 1)()
{
    enum network = sortingNetwork!(1);
    string result;
    foreach(elem; network) result ~=
        interpolate!(
        "t1 = input[#0];
        t2 = input[#1];
        if (!binaryFun!pred(t1, t2))
        {
            auto temp = t2;
            input[#1] = t1;
            input[#0] = temp;
        }\n")(elem[0], elem[1]);
    return result;
}
```

And from there, I want a template that pre-generates a templated sorting network function. As for std.algorithm.sort, a predicate pred determines the way individual range elements are compared.

The strange mixin-in-a-template construction is there to cut the template in two, to allow user code like this:

```
// somewhere in your code
alias networkSort!32 sort32;
// somewhere else
sort32(myArr);
sort32!"a > b"(myArr);
```

sort32 is designed to work on 32-elements-long random-access ranges, without knowing in advance the nature of the range nor the predicate used to sort.

If you call it on ranges with a length greater than 32, it will sort only the first 32 elements. For less than 32 elements, the enforcement will fail.

So, what does that little sorting routine buy us? It appears to be quite efficient for small-size arrays, compared to std.algorithm.sort, but sees its performance degrade after a point. Table 2 compares one million sorting of n-elements randomly-shuffled arrays done with networkSort and std.algorithm.sort and gives the ratio of speed-up brought by pre-computing the sorting code.

\overline{n}	Sorting network	Standard sort	ratio
11			Tatio
	(ms)	(ms)	
5	324	532	1.642
10	555	1096	1.975
10	803	1679	2.091
20	1154	2314	2.005
25	1538	3244	2.109
30	2173	3508	1.614
35	4075	4120	1.011
40	5918	5269	0.890
45	7479	5959	0.797
50	9179	6435	0.701

Table 2: Comparing networkSort and std.algorithm.sort

So, at least on this benchmark, networkSort outperforms Phobos with a speed-up of up to 100% for ranges between 5 and 40 elements. ¹⁶ Of course, Phobos' sort is much more versatile, since it works on ranges with a length known only at runtime, but if you know your input's length in advance networkSort can be nice to use.

In any cases, the idea to take home away is that, if you've a good idea of what your runtime data will look like, you probably can pre-generate optimal code for it at compile time and then do some triaging at runtime.

20 traits

The general __traits syntax can be found online here. Traits are basically another compile-time introspection tool, complementary to the is expression (see Appendix A). Most of time, __traits will return true or false for simple type-introspection questions (is this type or symbol an abstract class, or a final function?). As D is wont to do, these questions are sometimes ones you could ask using is or template constraints, but sometimes not. What's interesting is that you can do some introspection on types, but also on symbols or expressions.

20.1 Yes/No Questions with __traits

Seeing how this is a document on templates and that we have already seen many introspection tools, here is a quick list of what yes/no questions you can

 $^{^{16}}$ FWIW, on my computer, the cut-off seems to be for about 38-39 elements.

ask which can or *cannot* be tested with is:¹⁷

Question	Doable with other tools?	
isArithmetic	Yes	
isAssociativeArray	Yes	
isFloating	Yes	
isIntegral	Yes	
isScalar	Yes	
isStaticArray	Yes	
isUnsigned	Yes	
isAbstractClass	No	
isFinalClass	No	
isVirtualFunction	No	
isAbstractFunction	No	
isFinalFunction	No	
isStaticFunction	No	
isRef	No	
isOut	No	
isLazy	No	
hasMember	No (Yes?)	
isSame	No	
compiles	Yes (in a way)	

Table 3: Comparison between __traits and other introspection tools

These can all be quite useful in your code, but I'll shy away from them since they are not heavily template-related. More interesting in my opinion is using __traits to get new information about a type. These are really different from other introspection tools and I will deal with them in more detail right now.

20.2 identifier

identifier gives you a symbol's name as a string. This is quite interesting, since some symbols are what I'd call *active*: for example, if foo is a function, foo.stringof will try to first call foo and the .stringof will fail. Also, .stringof, though eminently useful, sometimes returns strangely formatted strings. identifier is much more well-behaved.

Let's get back to one of the very first template in this doc, nameOf on page 10. Initially, it was coded like this:

But, this fail for functions:

¹⁷As with any other affirmation in this document, readers should feel free to prove me wrong. That shouldn't be too difficult.

```
int foo(int i, int j) { return i+j;}
auto name = nameOf!foo; // Error, 'foo' must be called with 2 arguments
   It's much better to use __traits (also, the eponymous trick (3.1):

template nameOf(alias a)
{
    enum string nameOf = __traits(identifier, a);
}

int foo(int i, int j) { return i+j;}

enum name = nameOf!foo; // name is available at compile-time
assert(name == "foo");
```

Note that this works for many (all?) kinds of symbols: template names, class names, even modules: auto

```
import std.typecons;
enum name2 = nameOf!(nameOf); // "nameOf(alias a)"
enum name3 = nameOf!(std.typecons); //"typecons"
```

20.3 getMember

In a nutshell, __traits(getMember, name, "member") will give you direct access to name.member. This is the real member: you can get its value (if any), set it anew, etc. Any D construct with members is OK as a name. If you wonder why the aggregate is called directly by its own name whereas the member needs a string, it's because the aggregate is a valid symbol (it exists by itself), when the member's name has no existence outside the aggregate (or even worse, may refer to another, unrelated, construct).

Aggregates

I'll use aggregate as a catch-all term for any D construct that has members. Structs and classes are obvious aggregates, as are interfaces, but it's interesting to keep in mind that templates too have members (remember section 2? A template is a named, parameterized scope). So all __traits calls shown in this section can be used on templates. That's interesting to keep in mind. Even more interesting, to my eyes, is that modules are also aggregates even though they are not first-class citizens in D-land. You'll see examples of this in the following sections.

20.4 allMembers

This one is cool. Given an aggregate name, __traits(allMembers, aggregate) will return a tuple of string literals, each of which is the name of a member.

For a class, the parent classes' members are also included. Built-in properties (like .sizeof) are not included in that list. Note that I did say 'tuple': it's a bit more powerful than an array, because it can be iterated over at compile-time.

The names are not repeated for overloads, but see the next section for a way to get the overloads.

So the above code is a nice way to get members, both fields (like i) and methods (like foo). In case you wonder what "__ctor" and "__dtor" are, it's the internal D name for constructors and destructors. But it's perfectly usable in your code! For structs, the list far less cluttered since they only get the constructor and destructor's names and opAssign, the assignment operator (=).

Since this trait returns strings, it can be plugged directly into getMember. See a bit farther down a way to get a nice list of all members and their types.

Now, let's ramp things up a bit: what about class and struct templates? Let's try this out:

```
class MyClass(T)
{
    T field;
}
assert([_traits(allMembers, MyClass)] == ["MyClass"]);
```

Oh, what happened? Remember from Part I that struct, classes and functions templates are just syntactic sugar for the 'real' syntax:

```
template MyClass(T)
{
    class MyClass
    {
        T field;
}
```

```
}
```

So, MyClass is just the name of the external template, whose one and only member is...the MyClass class. So all is well. If you instantiate the template, it functions as you might expect:

If you remember one of the very first use we saw for templates in 2, that is as a named, parameterized scope, this can give rise to interesting introspection:

```
template Temp(A, B)
{
    A a;
    B foo(A a, B b) { return b;}
    int i;
    alias A AType;
    alias A[B] AAType;
}

assert([__traits(allMembers, Temp)]
    == ["a", "foo", "i", "AType", "AAType"]);
assert([__traits(allMembers, Temp!(double,string))]
    == ["a", "foo", "i", "AType", "AAType"]);
```

As you can see, you also get aliases' names. By the way, this is true for structs and templates also...

Another fun fact is that D modules are amenable to __traits's calls:

```
// file a.d
module a;
int foo(int i) { return i;}
class MyClass { }

// file b.d
module b;
import a; // bring 'a' as a symbol in the module's scope.
import std.algorithm;
enum aMembers = [__traits(allMembers, a)];
enum algos = [__traits(allMembers, std.algorithm)]; // huuuge list of names
enum myself = [__traits(allMembers, b)]; // auto-introspection!
void main()
{
```

```
assert(aMembers == ["object", "foo", "MyClass"]);
assert(myself == ["object", "a", "std", "main"]);
}
```

In the previous code, you see that among a members, there is "object" (the implicit object.d module imported by the runtime). And since auto-introspection is possible, we also get b's members. There, there is also "a", as it's imported into b, and "std", the global package that shows here whenever you import a std.* module. It would be easy to imagine a template that recursively explore the members, find the modules and try to recurse into them to get a complete import-tree with a template. Halas "std" blocks that, since the package itself do not have a member. 18

Like for the other aggregates, you get the aliased names also, and the unit tests defined in the module. 1920

What's the point of inspecting a module?

Well, first that was just for fun and to see if I could duplicate a module or create a struct with an equivalent members list (all forwarding to the module's own members). But the real deal for me was when using string mixins to generate some type. If the user uses the mixin in its own module, it could create conflicts with already-existing names. So I searched for a way for a mixin template to inspect the module it's currently being instantiated in.

Then, I wanted to write a template the, given a class name, would give me the entire hierarchy it's in (as the local module scope would see it, that was enough for me). This Hierarchy template should be shown in this document.

Then, while testing std.traits.ParameterTypeTuple, I saw that it gives the parameter typetuple of *one* function, even when it's overloaded. So inspecting a module is also a way to get the full list of functions with a particular name and getting the parameter typetuple for each of them.

Todo: Inject Hierarchy here. Todo: Code a more powerful version of ParameterTypeTuple.

20.5 derivedMembers

Really it's the same as above, except that you do not get a class' parents members, only the class' own members.

20.6 getOverloads

Given:

¹⁸ If someone finds a way to determine with a template that b imports std.algorithm, I'd be delighted to see how it's done!

¹⁹ For those of you curious about it, they are named __unittestXXX where XXX is a number. Their type is more or less void delegate()

²⁰ I didn't try static constructors in modules. Don't hesitate to play with them and tell me what happens.

- an aggregate name or an instance of that agregrate
- a member name as a string

Then, __traits(getOverloads, name, "member") will gives you a tuple of all local overloads of name.member. By 'local', I mean that for classes, you do not get the parents classes overloads. There is a difference between using getOverloads on a type and on an instance: in the former case, you get ..., well I don't know exactly what it is you get but it's a bit akin to static members. On an instance, you get an expression that is the exact member overload. The documentation is misleading: it says getOverloads returns an array, but than cannot be the case, as all overloads must have a different type. What's cool is that, by using "__ctor", you also get a direct access to a type's constructor overloads. That can be quite handy in some cases.

20.7 Getting All Members, Even Overloaded Ones

Now, if you're like me, the urge to mix allMembers (which returns the members' names without overloads) and getOverloads (which returns the overload of one member) is quite great. So let's do that.

First, a bit of machinery: I want the members to be described by a name and a type. Let's create a struct holder, templated of course:

Todo: Getting qualified names would be better.

```
struct Member(string n, T)
{
    enum name = n; // for external access
    alias T Type;
}
```

Given a member name, we'll need a template that delivers the associated Member:

```
template MakeMember(alias member)
{
   alias Member!(nameOf!member, typeof(member)) MakeMember;
}
```

Now, given an aggregate name and a member name (as a string, since these do not exist by themselves), we want a list of Member structs holding all the information:

staticMap is explained in section 22.1.1. Now, that already works:

```
class MyClass
    int i;
    this() { i = 0;}
    this(int j) { i = j;}
    ~this() { }
    void foo(int j) { ++i;}
    int foo(int j, int k = 0) { return i+j;}
}
void main()
{
    alias Overloads!(MyClass, "foo") o;
    /*
    prints:
    foo, of type: void(int j)
    foo, of type: int(int j, int k = 0)
    foreach(elem; o)
        writeln(elem.name, ", of type: ", elem.Type.stringof);
}
```

We indeed got two Member instances, one for each overload. Each Member holds the name "foo" and the overload type. Except, there is a catch: for a field, there are no overloads. Aliases are also problematic and not correctly dealt with. We need to pay attention to that in Overloads:

Alias is just there to get around a limitation in D syntax²¹. The entire template may be a bit daunting, but it's to give it a (mostly) correct way to deal with the many kinds of member an aggregate may have: fields, methods, type aliases, symbols aliases, template names... As of this writing, it does not deal correctly with unittest{} blocks, even though they are members and should appear.

The last step is to get this for all members of a given aggregate. It's quite easy:

```
template GetOverloads(alias a)
2
3
       template GetOverloads(string member)
            alias Overloads!(a, member) GetOverloads;
5
6
   }
7
8
   template AllMembers(alias a)
9
10
11
       alias staticMap!(GetOverloads!(a), __traits(allMembers, a)) AllMembers;
12
   }
```

The strange GetOverloads two-stage construction is just a way to map it more easily on line 11. So, this was quite long to explain, but it works nicely:

```
void main()
{
    alias AllMembers!MyClass o;
    /*
    prints:
    i, of type: int
    __ctor, of type: MyClass()
    __ctor, of type: MyClass(int j)
    __dtor, of type: void()
    foo, of type: void(int j)
    foo, of type: int(int j, int k = 0)
    toString, of type: string()
    toHash, of type: uint()
    opCmp, of type: int(Object o)
    opEquals, of type: bool(Object o)
    opEquals, of type: bool(Object lhs, Object rhs)
```

²¹ Or maybe a bug?

```
factory, of type: Object(string classname)
  */
  foreach(elem; o)
     writeln(elem.name, ", of type: ", elem.Type.stringof);
}
```

That's cool, every member and overload is acounted for: the two contructors are there, the destructor also, and of course i, of type int.

Todo: Example: store all members in a hashtable or a polymorphic association list. As a mixin, to be put inside types to enable runtime reflection? (a.send("someMethod", args), a.setInstanceVariable("i",5))

20.8 Testing for Interface Implementation

The previous section gave us a way to get an aggregate member list. From there, it's an easy step to get an interface's member list and to see if a given symbol's members contains the entire interface member list:

```
* Statically check if symbol 'a' implements interface I
 * (that is, if all members of I are found in members of a.
 */
template implements(alias a, I) if (is(I == interface))
{
    alias implementsImpl!(a, AllMembers!I) implements;
}
template implementsImpl(alias a, Items...)
    static if (Items.length == 0)
        enum implementsImpl = true;
    else static if (staticIndexOf!(Items[0], AllMembers!a) == -1)
        enum implementsImpl = false;
    else
        enum implementsImpl = implementsImpl!(a, Items[1..$]);
}
interface I
    int foo(int i);
    void foo();
    string toString();
}
class Bad
    void foo(int i) {}
}
```

```
struct Good
{
   int field;
   int foo(int i) { return i;}
   void foo() { writeln("Hello, World!");}

   string toString() { return "I'm a good struct!";}
}

assert( implements!(Good, I));
assert(!implements!(Bad, I));
```

20.9 getVirtualFunctions

It's in the same family than getOverloads and such. It'll give you the list of virtual overloads for a class method. Given a class name, finding all overloads of all fields, even overriden ones, is let as a exercise to the reader.

20.10 parent

__traits(parents, symbol) will return the symbol that's the parent of it. It's not the parent in a 'class hierarchy' sense, ²² it deals with qualified names and strip one level. Once you reach the toplevel scope, it returns the module name (this can be dangerous, because modules themselves do not have parents). See:

```
module test;
class C
    int i;
    int foo(int j)
        int k; // k is "test.C.foo.k"
        assert(nameOf!(__traits(parent, k)) == "foo");
        return i+k;
    }
}
// toplevel (C is in fact test.C)
static assert(nameOf!(__traits(parent, C)) == "test");
void main()
    auto c = new C(); // c is "test.main.c"
    assert(nameOf!(__traits(parent, c)) == "main");
    assert(nameOf!(__traits(parent, c.i)) == "C");
    c.foo(1);
}
```

²² If you came here to see a way to get all parents of a class, see section 26.1.

Even if there is no qualifiedIdentifier in __traits, we can construct a template to get it:

```
template qualifiedName(alias a)
    // does it have a parent?
    static if (__traits(compiles, __traits(parent, a)))
    // If yes, get the name and recurse
        enum qualifiedName = qualifiedName!(__traits(parent, a))
                           ~ "." ~ nameOf!(a);
    // if not, it's a module name. Stop there.
        enum qualifiedName = nameOf!a;
}
/* given the previous C class */
void main()
{
    auto c = new C();
    assert(qualifiedName!c == "test.main.c");
    assert(qualifiedName!(c.foo) == "test.C.foo"); // same in both cases
    assert(qualifiedName!(C.foo) == "test.C.foo");
}
```

20.11 Local Scope Name

Sometimes, when dealing with mixin templates (12) or string mixins (18), you'll inject code in an unknown scope. To get your way round, it can be useful to get the local scope's name. Intuitively, the previous example could help with that: just create a local variable, get the qualified name of its parent to determine in which scope the mixin is. Then, expose the scope name. Let's call this one scopeName and the associated inquisitive template getScopeName.

```
mixin template getScopeName()
{
    enum scopeName = qualifiedName!( \ _ \ _ traits(parent, scopeName));
}
```

The idea is to declare local enum called **scopeName** and take the qualified name of its own parent in the same expression (yes, that works!).

To use getScopeName, just mix it in where you need a local scope name:

```
module test;

class C
{
    mixin getScopeName; // 1
    int i;
```

```
int foo(int j)
{
    int k;
    mixin getScopeName; // 2
    writeln(scopeName);
    return i+k;
}

void main()
{
    auto c = new C();
    writeln(c.scopeName); // "test.C" (1)
    c.foo(1); // "test.C.foo" (2)

mixin getScopeName; // 3
    writeln(scopeName); // "test.main" (3)
}
```

21 Wrapping it all Together

This section is still a Work In Progress:

I have to dig some cute examples in my code base. Using string mixins and CTFE and templates all together.

Part IV

Examples

This part will present various examples showing what can be done with D templates, be it type manipulation, code generation or language extension ... Most examples are code snippets that were useful to me at one time or another. I hope they will be useful to you too.

Contributors welcome!

Even more than for other parts, I welcome any short and synthetic example of what can be done with templates. Do not hesitate to chime in and push some code in this doc!

22 Type Sorcery

One of the most fundamental use of templates is type sorcery: type creation, type manipulation, etc. D being a statically type language, all of your creations will have a defined type. Sometimes, these can be cumbersome to write or manipulate. Templates can help you in this.

22.1 Mapping, Filtering and Folding Types

As we saw in section 10, template tuple parameters can hold type tuples (that's even their original role). Since these can be iterated, indexed or sliced, they are ideal candidates to some standard iteration algorithms. As for ranges, you can map another template on type tuples, filter the types you want to extract or fold (reduce) them into another type.

And non-types?

What about acting on expression tuples? You can do that too. Even though this section is called *Type* Sorcery, all templates here can work on expression tuples too. Do not feel limited.

22.1.1 Mapping on Type Tuples

Mapping a type tuple is simply applying another (unary) template on all types of a type tuple. Phobos already defines staticMap in std.typetuple, but it's a nice exercise to code it again. We want a template that takes another template name (as an alias parameter), say Wrapper, and a typetuple (TO, T1, T2, ..., Tn) and returns Wrapper!TO, Wrapper!T1, Wrapper!T2, ..., Wrapper!Tn.

```
template staticMap(alias M, T...)
{
```

```
static if (T.length == 0) // End of sequence
    alias TypeTuple!() staticMap; // stop there
else
    alias TypeTuple!(M!(T[0]), staticMap!(M, T[1..$])) staticMap;
}
```

We use the auto-flattening of type tuples to aggregate the results into a unique tuple. Notice how indexing and slicing make for a not-so-complicated piece of code.

Even a simple template such as this one can have great uses:

- Getting rid of all qualifiers in a type list, by mapping std.traits.Unqual
 on the types.
- Generating a huge quantity of types by using a typetuple-returning mapper (see below).
- Given a bunch of function types, getting their return types or parameter typetuples.

22.1.2 Example: Testing a Function

The seconde use in the previous section's list can be useful to unit-test a part of your code. Suppose you have a templated function that is supposed to work on any built-in type. You do not need to generate all possible combinations of types. Just use staticMap to generate them for you:

```
* Given a type T, generates all qualified versions of T
* that you find interesting (eleven versions all in all).
*/
template Qualified(T)
{
    alias TypeTuple!(
                      T, const(T), immutable(T), shared(T),
                     T[],const(T)[],immutable(T)[], shared(T)[],
                      const(T[]), immutable(T[]), shared(T[])
                     ) Qualified;
}
// All 16 built-in types you're interested in.
alias TypeTuple!(
                 bool,
                 ubyte, byte,
                 ushort, short,
                 uint, int,
                 ulong, long,
                 float, double, real,
                  char, wchar, dchar
                 ) ValueTypes;
```

```
// Bang, 11*16 types generated.
alias staticMap!(Qualified, ValueTypes) QualifiedTypes;

// If you're really a pervert (note that there will be duplicates)
alias staticMap!(Qualified, QualifiedTypes) DoublyQualifiedTypes;
```

Now, if your function is supposed to work on all the generated qualified types, just test it:

```
void myFun(T)(T t) { ... }
/* Here we generate the qualified types, see above */
(\ldots)
temp
late test(alias fun)
    void on(T...)()
        foreach(Type; T)
            static if (!__traits(compiles, fun(Type.init)))
                pragma(msg, "Bad testing combination: "
                           ~ fun.stringof ~ " and " ~ Type.stringof);
    }
}
unittest
{
    test!(myFun).on!(QualifiedTypes);
}
```

22.1.3 Filtering Type Tuples

You can search for and extract some types from a tuple, using a predicate to chose which type (or more generally, which tuple element) you want to keep. A predicate in this particular case means 'a template that, when given a type as argument, will return either true or false'. The test done on the tuple element can be as complicated as you want, particularly using is() expressions (see Appendix A).

That gives us the following code:

```
template staticFilter(alias Pred, T...)
{
    static if (T.length == 0) // End of sequence
        alias TypeTuple!() staticFilter;
    else static if (Pred!(T[0]))
        alias TypeTuple!(T[0], staticFilter!(Pred, T[1..$])) staticFilter;
    else
        alias TypeTuple!( staticFilter!(Pred, T[1..$])) staticFilter;
}
```

Using staticFilter is quite simple. Let's get integral types from a tuple, by way of std.traits.isIntegral:

```
alias TypeTuple!(int, double, float, string, byte, bool, float, void) Types;
alias staticFilter!(isIntegral, Types) OnlyIntegrals;
static assert(is(OnlyIntegrals == TypeTuple!(int, byte, bool)));
```

What about separating types from non-types? First, let creates a template that is true for pure types and false on non-types:

```
template isType(T)
{
    enum isType = true;
}

template isType(alias a)
{
    static if (is(a))
        enum isType = true;
    else
        enum isType = false;
}
```

Hey, wait! OK with having two specialised templates, one on template type parameters and another on aliases. But why the static if? It's because user-defined types (MyClass and such) are both a type and a symbol (we saw that in section 1). For this particular use, I want them to be considered as types, opposed to other symbols (function names, module names, variables, ...). Hence the is() test. If you simplify the second isType to just give false, what you get is a builtin-type detector, which may also be interesting:

```
template isBuiltinType(T)
{
    enum isBuiltinType = true;
}

template isBuiltinType(alias a)
{
    enum isBuiltinType = false;
}

And, here we go:

class MyClass {}
int foo(int i) { return i;}

alias staticFilter!(isType, 1, int, 3.14, "abc", foo, MyClass) Types;
alias staticFilter!(isBuiltinType, 1, int, 3.14, "abc", foo, MyClass) Builtins;

static assert(is(Types == TypeTuple!(int, MyClass)));
static assert(is(Builtin == TypeTuple!(int)));
```

But that is, admittedly, pretty run-of-the-mill stuff. Though useful from time to time, it's quite rare for someone to be given a pure type tuple like this. A much more common use for the likes of **staticFilter** is when creating complex types.

22.1.4 Example: building a Graph

As a first example, imagine you have a Graph(Node, Edge) struct, templated on the nodes (vertice) and edges types (themselves templated). When you create a Graph with a factory function (5.2), it would be nice to be able to mix nodes and edges in a natural way. That is, given graph, node and edge functions that do the obvious thing, you want to autorize calls like:

This allows the user building her **Graph** to create nodes and edges between these nodes in a natural way (as opposed to, say, batch-building all nodes and then adding edges between them). But, as a library writer, that means your **graph** factory function has the following signature:

```
auto graph(NodesOrEdges...)(NodesOrEdges args)
if (/* sanity check test on NodesOrEdges */)
```

Both the sanity check performed by the template constraint (8) and the building code inside graph can be quite complicated. staticFilter helps by separating the arguments between nodes and edges. Without extending this example too much, say we have at our disposal the following predicate templates:

```
template isNode(N) {/* true iff N is a Node!(LabelType, ValueType)*/}
template isEdge(E) {/* true iff E is an Edge!(LabelType)*/}

template isNodeOrEdge(T)
{
    static if (isNode!T || isEdge!T)
        enum isNodeOrEdge = true;
    else
        enum isNodeOrEdge = false;
}
```

And let's suppose also all *bona fide* Nodes and Edges have .LabelType and .ValueType members exposing their inner types (as shown in subsection 3.2). Then, getting all nodes and edges is easy:

```
alias staticFilter!(isNode, NodesOrEdges) Nodes;
alias staticFilter!(isEdge, NodesOrEdges) Edges;
```

This is where things get interesting: obtaining the edges and nodes types is just a first building block. Now graph must check at a minimum the following elements:

- 1. All arguments must be nodes or edges.
- 2. Is there at least *one* node in the list?
- 3. If yes, do all nodes have the same LabelType and the same ValueType, or, at least, is there a common type between all the labels' types and another one for the values stored in the nodes?
- 4. Do edges' LabelTypes have a common type? (Note that there can be zero edges).
- 5. Do the edges labels have the correct type to refer to the provided nodes?

Note that all these checks are done only on types and thus can be done at compile-time, thereby ensuring a pretty solid static check on the graph built. What cannot be done in this way is verifying during compilation that edges do indeed refer to existing nodes.

Let's use what we have seen until now to create the GraphCheck template, before seeing another staticFilter example:

```
import std.traits: CommonType;
template GraphCheck(NodesOrEdges...)
{
    enum GraphCheck = GraphCheckImpl!(NodesOrEdges).result;
}
template GraphCheckImpl(NodesOrEdges...)
    alias staticFilter!(isNode, NodesOrEdges) Nodes;
    alias staticFilter!(isEdge, NodesOrEdges) Edges;
    // 1. All arguments must be nodes or edges
    static if (Nodes.length + Edges.length != NodesOrEdges.length)
    static assert(0, "Some args are not nodes or edges.");
    // 2. There must be at least one node
    static if (Nodes.length == 0)
    static assert(0, "You must provide at least one node.");
    // 3. Is there a common type for the nodes' labels and values?
    // First step: extracting labels and values
    template GetLabel(T) if (isNode!T || isEdge!T)
        alias T.LabelType GetLabel;
```

```
}
    template GetValue(T) if (isNode!T)
        alias T.ValueType GetValue;
    }
    alias staticMap!(GetLabel, Nodes) NodesLabels;
    alias staticMap!(GetValue, Nodes) NodesValues;
    static if (is(CommonType!(NodesLabels) == void)) // no common type
    static assert(0, "The nodes do not have all the same label type.");
    static if (is(CommonType!(NodesValues) == void))
    static assert(0, "The nodes do not have all the same value type.");
    // 4. Same for edges
    alias staticMap!(GetLabel, Edges) EdgesLabels;
    static if (is(CommonType!(EdgesLabels) == void))
    static assert(0, "The edges do not have all the same label type.");
    // 5. Edges - Node compatibility
    static if(!is(CommonType!NodesLabels == CommonType!EdgesLabels))
        static assert(0, "Nodes and edges do not have the same label type.");
    enum result = true;
}
```

This is one huge template, but staticFilter sits square in the middle and greatly simplifies the code. Now, graph signature is simply:

```
auto graph(NodesOrEdges...)(NodesOrEdges args) if (GraphCheck!NodesOrEdges)
{ ... }
```

This section is still a Work In Progress:

The second example will be one code generating a struct, with string literal and type parameters.

22.1.5 Folding Type Tuples

With mapping and filtering, folding (aka, reducing) is the third standard operation on sequences. The idea is the same than std.algorithm.reduce: given a seed S and a binary function bin, calculate bin(bin(bin(S, T[0]),T[1],T[2],...)): apply bin on the seed and the first type of the tuple, then take the resulting

 $[\]overline{^{23}}$ In fact, it's the mother of all operations on sequences, since map and filter can be defined using reduce.

type as a new seed and re-apply bin to this and the second type of the tuple, and so on, until the entire tuple is used.

So, what's the use of such a function? It's used to *collapse* a type tuple into one type. This one type can a simple type (for example, the 'biggest' type in the tuple, for some definition of big) or a complex structure built iteratively step by step along the tuple: a binary tree holding all the types, for example, or the reverse of the tuple, or even all the types but sorted according to a predicate.

Here, we will see two examples: getting the maximum type and sorting a type tuple.

But first, here is staticReduce:

From here, how do we get the biggest type? Simple, just apply a Max binary template to your type list:

```
template Max(T1, T2)
{
    static if (T1.sizeof >= T2.sizeof)
        alias T1 Max;
    else
        alias T2 Max;
}

alias TypeTuple!(int, bool, double, float delegate(float), string[]) Types;

alias staticReduce!(Max, Types) MaxType;
    static assert(is(MaxType == double));
```

You can vary your definition of Max according to taste. Here I used the builtin .sizeof property to compare two unknown types. To compare on the names, I'd have used .stringof and so on.

22.1.6 Sorting Types

Ivory Tower Wankery!

I mean, when would sorting types be useful? It can be useful, read on...

When can sorting a tuple be useful? Mainly for the same reason you'd want to sort any sequence:

- easily find a type (in log(n)),
- easily get afterwards the first p types or last p types,
- compare two type tuples for equivalence,
- easily getting rid of duplicates (transforming a tuple into a set of types).

I'll focus on the third use, comparing two type tuples. See for example the std.variant.Algebraic template struct. Algebraic! (Type0, Type1, ... TypeN) can hold a value of one of Type0, ... TypeN. But of course, in a certain sense, the previous type is also the same than Algebraic! (Type1, TypeN, ... Type0) or any other reordering of the types. But that's not the case currently:

```
import std.variant;

Algebraic!(int, double, string) algOne = 1;
Algebraic!(double, int, string) algTwo = algOne; // fail!
```

Using sorted types internally (or even using a factory function to create algebraic values, that always returned sorted Algebraic) would allow for a seamless use of algebraic values.

Here is one way to sort types using $\mathtt{staticReduce}$. The standard situation is the following: you have a list of already sorted types (the initial tuple's first n types) which is your current state, the value being constructed. $\mathtt{staticReduce}$ takes this list and puts the first remaining unsorted type in it and then reiterates on the next unsorted type. So the basic step is to add a new type to a sorted list.

A small problem arises: the state is just one type, but it has to store all the sorted types. It cannot be a naked type tuple, since these auto-flatten (see 10.1). We will use std.typecons.Tuple to wrap it. Inner types of a Tuple are accessible through the .Types alias. I'm afraid that will uglify the code a bit.

Finally, what predicate to use? .sizeof is not adequate: many different types have the same size and that would have a bad consequence, as the initial order would influence the sorted order. I'll just use the stringified version of the types, obtained by the built-in .stringof property:

```
template Max(T1, T2)
{
    static if (T1.stringof >= T2.stringof)
        alias T1 Max;
    else
        alias T2 Max;
}

template AddToSorted(Sorted, Type)
{
    // Length 0: already sorted
        static if (Sorted.Types.length == 0)
            alias Tuple!(Type) AddToSorted;

// Smaller than the first one: put Type in first place
    else static if (is(Max!(Sorted.Types[0], Type) == Sorted.Types[0]))
```

```
alias Tuple!(Type, Sorted.Types) AddToSorted;
// Bigger than the last one: put Type at the end
    else static if (is(Max!(Sorted.Types[$-1], Type) == Type))
        alias Tuple!(Sorted.Types, Type) AddToSorted;
// Else, compare to the middle type and recurse left or right of it
    else static if (is(Max!(Sorted.Types[$/2], Type) == Type))
        alias Tuple! (Sorted. Types [0..$/2],
                     AddToSorted!(Tuple!(Sorted.Types[$/2..$]),Type).Types)
              AddToSorted;
    else
        alias Tuple!(AddToSorted!(Tuple!(Sorted.Types[0..$/2]),Type).Types,
                     Sorted.Types[$/2..$])
              AddToSorted;
}
template Sort(Types...)
    alias staticReduce!(AddToSorted, Tuple!(), Types) Sort;
}
```

As I said, in the end Sort is just applying AddToSorted to the target tuple. The initial (seed) state for staticReduce is an empty typetuple, Tuple!(). Now, does that work? You bet:

```
alias TypeTuple!(int, bool, string function(int), float[]) Types1;
alias TypeTuple!(int, float[], string function(int), bool) Types2;
static assert(is(Sort!Types1 == Sort!Types2));
```

If you do not like sorting types alphabetically by name, you can resort to other definitions of Max or, even better, make a version of Sort that accepts another, binary, template as an argument and uses this template as way to determine ordering.

What about non-types?

As was said at the very beginning of the section (22.1, on page 103), the templates presented here work most of the time for other template parameters: pure numbers, strings, aliases, ... Here you'd have to change AddToSorted second parameter to accept non-types. Or, another way to do it would be to first map a stringifier on the tuple's elements and then sort the resulting strings.

22.2 Zipping Types, Interleaving Types, Crossing Types

Todo: Determine if this subsection is really useful. Is there any short and telling example?

22.2.1 Interleaving Types

```
* Given (T0, T1, T2, ..., Tn) and (U0, U1, ..., Um) will returns
 * the interleaving of the first part with the second part:
 * (T0, U0, T1, U1, ...
 * If one of the inputs is shorter than the other,
 * the longer part is put at the end of the interleaving.
template Interleave(First...)
{
    template With(Second...)
        static if (First.length == 0)
            alias Second With;
        else static if (Second.length == 0)
            alias First With;
        else
            alias TypeTuple!( First[0], Second[0]
                             , Interleave!(First[1..$]).With!(Second[1..$]))
             With;
    }
}
```

22.3 Annotating Types

This section is still a Work In Progress:

The idea is to wrap values in a template adding some meta data. Ideally, I'd like to get things like the following code to work:

```
auto arr4 = array(filter!((a) => a%2==0))(arr3);
// getting rid of some properties
auto arr5 = arr3.discardProperty!"positive";
assert(arr5.Properties == TypeTuple!(Property!("sorted", (a,b) => a < b)));
auto arr6 = annotate!("negative")([-4, -3, -2, -1]);
auto arr7 = annotate!("sorted", (a,b) => a < b)(arr6);
assert(arr3.property!"sorted" == arr7.property!"sorted"); // same predicate</pre>
```

23 Tuples as Sequences

This section is still a Work In Progress:

The idea here is to treat tuples of values (is in tuple(1, "abc", 3.14, 3, 0) as sequences: map a (polymorphic, aka templated) function on them, filter them, etc. Why do that: imagine for example having a bunch of ranges, all of a different type. If you want to group them in a range and process them, you can't because a range must be homogeneous in type. The functions presented there lift that restriction.

23.1 Mapping on Tuples

```
/**
 * Helper template to get a template function return type.
 */
template RT(alias fun)
{
    template RT(T)
    {
        alias typeof(fun(T.init)) RT;
    }
}

/// Maps on a tuple, using a polymorphic function. Produces another tuple.
Tuple!(StaticMap!(RT!fun, T)) mapTuple(alias fun, T...)(Tuple!T tup)
{
    StaticMap!(RT!fun, T) res;
    foreach(i, Type; T) res[i] = unaryFun!fun(tup.field[i]);
    return tuple(res);
}
```

23.2 Filtering Tuples

This section is still a Work In Progress:

The idea here is to filter a tuple with a predicate acting on types. It's quite useful when you can get a bunch of parameters in a function and want only some of them. See 22.1.3 to see an example with the graph function.

```
template FilterTupleTypes(alias pred, alias tup)
    static if (tup.field.length)
        static if (pred(tup.field[0]))
            alias TypeTuple!(tup.Types[0], FilterTupleTypes!(pred, tuple(tup.expand[1..$]
            alias FilterTupleTypes!(pred, tuple(tup.expand[1..$])) FilterTupleTypes;
    }
    else
    {
        alias TypeTuple!() FilterTupleTypes;
}
template FilterTupleIndices(alias pred, alias tup, size_t ind)
    static if (tup.field.length)
        static if (pred(tup.field[0]))
            alias TypeTuple!(ind, FilterTupleIndices!(pred, tuple(tup.expand[1..$]), ind+
        else
            alias /+TypeTuple!(+/FilterTupleIndices!(pred, tuple(tup.expand[1..$]), ind+1
    }
    else
    {
        alias TypeTuple!() FilterTupleIndices;
}
/// Filter a tuple on its values.
Tuple!(FilterTupleTypes!(pred, tup)) filterTuple(alias pred, alias tup)()
    FilterTupleTypes!(pred, tup) result;
    alias FilterTupleIndices!(pred, tup, 0) indices;
    foreach(i, ind; indices)
        result[i] = tup.field[ind];
```

```
return tuple(result);
}

(1, "abc", 2, "def", 3.14)
->
((1,2),("abc","def"),(3,14))
```

24 Fun With Functions

This section will present some templated wrappers around functions, to provide some additional usefulness. It's not part of section 4 because it uses struct templates and it's not part of section 5 because the wrapper struct is not the main focus of attention.

24.1 Memoizing a Function

When a function does long calculations, it might be efficient to store the computed results in an external structure and to query this structure for the result instead of calling the function again. This is called memoizing (not memorizing...) and this sectino will show how to use a template to have some memoizing fun.

The previously-seen results are stored in an associative array, indexed on tuples of arguments. To get a function return type or parameter type tuple, just use Phobos' std.traits.ReturnType and std.traits.ParameterTypeTuple, which are templates that accept function *names* or types.

```
struct Memoize(alias fun)
{
    alias ReturnType!fun RT;
    alias ParameterTypeTuple!fun PTT;
    RT[Tuple!(PTT)] memo; // stores the result, indexed by arguments.
    RT opCall(PTT args)
                                      // Have we already seen these args?
        if (tuple(args) in memo)
            return memo[tuple(args)]; // if yes, use the stored result
        else // if not, compute the result and store it.
            RT result = fun(args);
            memo[tuple(args)] == result;
            return result;
    }
}
Memoize!fun memoize(alias fun)()
```

```
return Memoize!fun();
}

Usage is very simple:

int veryLongCalc(int i double d, string s) { ... }

auto vlcMemo = memoize!(veryLongCalc);

// calculate veryLongCalc(1, 3.14, "abc")

// takes minutes!
int res1 = vlcMemo(1, 3.14, "abc");
int res2 = vlcMemo(2, 2.718, "def");// minutes again!
int res3 = vlcMemo(1, 3.14, "abc"); // a few ms to get res3
```

The above code is trivial and could be optimized in many ways. Mostly, a real memoizing template should also modify its behavior with storing policies. For example:

- No-limit or limited size store?
- In case of limited-size store: how to define the limit and what should be the eviction policy?
 - First-in/First-out memo?
 - Least recenly used memo?
 - Least used?
 - Time-to-live?
 - Discard all and flush the store?
 - Discard only a fraction?
 - Stop memoizing?

The last X results could be stored in a queue: each time a result is pushed into the associative array, push the arguments tuples in the queue. Once you reach the maximum store limit, discard the oldest one or (for example) half the stored values.

Here is a possible small implementation. It makes for a nice example of enabling/disabling code with static if and enum-based policies. Note that I use D dynamic arrays as a primitive queue. A real queue could probably be more efficient, but there isn't one in the standard library as of this writing.

```
enum Storing {
    always, // there is no tomorrow
    maximum // sustainable growth
}
enum Discarding {
    oldest, // only discard the oldest result
    fraction, // discard a fraction (0.5 == 50%)
    all // burn, burn!
```

```
}
struct Memoize(alias fun,
               Storing storing,
               Discarding discarding)
{
    alias ReturnType!fun RT;
    alias ParameterTypeTuple!fun PTT;
    static if (storing == Storing.maximum)
        Tuple!(PTT)[] argsQueue;
        size_t maxNumStored;
    }
    static if (discarding == Discarding.fraction)
        float fraction;
    RT[Tuple!(PTT)] memo; // stores the result, indexed by arguments.
    RT opCall(PTT args)
        if (tuple(args) in memo)
                                      // Have we already seen these args?
            return memo[tuple(args)]; // if yes, use the stored result
        }
                                      // if not,
        else
            static if (storing == Storing.always)
                RT result = fun(args);// compute the result and store it.
                memo[tuple(args)] = result;
                return result;
            else // Storing.maximum
                if (argsQueue.length >= maxNumStored)
                    static if (discarding == Discarding.oldest)
                        memo.remove(argsQueue[0]);
                        argsQueue = argsQueue[1..$];
                        writeln("Discarding oldest.");
                    else static if (discarding == Discarding.fraction)
                        auto num = to!size_t(argsQueue.length * fraction);
                        foreach(elem; argsQueue[0..num])
                            memo.remove(elem);
                        argsQueue = argsQueue[num..$];
```

```
writeln("Discarding fraction.");
                    }
                    else static if (discarding == Discarding.all)
                         memo = null;
                         argsQueue.length = 0;
                         writeln("Discarding all.");
                }
                RT result = fun(args);// compute the result and store it.
                memo[tuple(args)] = result;
                argsQueue ~= tuple(args);
                return result;
            }
        }
    }
}
  And a few factory function to help creating those Memoize structs:
// No runtime arg -> always store
Memoize!(fun, Storing.always, Discarding.all)
memoize(alias fun)()
    Memoize! (fun,
             Storing.always,
             Discarding.all) result;
    return result;
}
// One runtime size_t arg -> maximum store / discarding all
Memoize! (fun, Storing.maximum, Discarding.all)
memoize(alias fun)(size_t max)
{
    Memoize! (fun,
             Storing.maximum,
             Discarding.all) result;
    result.maxNumStored = max;
    return result;
}
// Two runtime args (size_t, double) -> maximum store / discarding a fraction
Memoize! (fun, Storing.maximum, Discarding.fraction)
memoize(alias fun)(size_t max, double fraction)
    Memoize! (fun,
             Storing.maximum,
             Discarding.fraction) result;
```

result.maxNumStored = max;

Note that, due to the introduction of an opCall operator, it's not possible to use a struct literal. We have to first create the struct, then initialize its fields.

Most of the time, the type of runtime arguments is enough to determine what you want as a memoizing/storing behavior. Only for the (rarer?) policy of discarding only the oldest stored result does the user need to indicate it with a template argument:

```
int veryLongCalc(int i double d, string s) { ... }

// Store the first million results, flush the memo on max
auto vlcMemo1 = memoize!(veryLongCalc)(1_000_000);

// Store the first million results, flush half the memo on max
auto vlcMemo2 = memoize!(veryLongCalc)(1_000_000, 0.5f);

// Store first twenty results, discard only the oldest
auto vlcMemo3 = memoize!(veryLongCalc, Discarding.oldest)(20);
```

24.2 Currying a Function

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Some explanations would greatly help there.

Another useful transform on functions is to $curry^{24}$ them: to transform a n-args function into n one-parameter functions inside another.

Todo: Show some example: mapping a range for example.

```
template CheckCompatibility(T...)
{
   template With(U...)
```

²⁴from Haskell Curry, the guy who formalized the idea.

```
{
        static if (U.length != T.length)
            enum With = false;
        else static if (T.length == 0) // U.length == 0 also
            enum With = true;
        else static if (!is(U[0] : T[0]))
            enum With = false;
        else
            enum With = CheckCompatibility!(T[1..$]).With!(U[1..$]);
    }
}
struct Curry(alias fun, int index = 0)
    alias ReturnType!fun RT;
    alias ParameterTypeTuple!fun PTT;
    PTT args;
    auto opCall(V...)(V values)
        if (V.length > 0
         && V.length + index <= PTT.length)
        // Is fun directly callable with the provided arguments?
        static if (__traits(compiles, fun(args[0..index], values)))
            return fun(args[0..index], values);
        // If not, the new args will be stored. We check their types.
        else static if (!CheckCompatibility!(PTT[index..index + V.length]).With!(V))
            static assert(0, "curry: bad arguments. Waited for "
                            ~ PTT[index..index + V.length].stringof
                            ~ " but got " ~ V.stringof);
        // not enough args yet. We store them.
        else
        {
            Curry!(fun, index+V.length) c;
            foreach(i,a; args[0..index]) c.args[i] = a;
            foreach(i,v; values) c.args[index+i] = v;
            return c;
        }
    }
}
auto curry(alias fun)()
    Curry!(fun,0) c;
    return c;
}
```

24.3 Juxtaposing functions

Todo: See where the code is. It used heavy-duty type manipulation IIRC.

25 Relational Algebra

Inspiration for this example comes from This blog article.

Todo: I have the code somewhre. What it should do: extracting from a tuple: project, select. Also, natural/inner/outer join, cartesian product. And intersection/union/difference. rename!("oldField", "newField"). Databases are just dynamic arrays of tuples.

26 Fun With Classes and Structs

26.1 Class Hierarchy

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Two things I'll show here: how to get a class parents an how to determine an entire hierarchy of classes in a local scope.

26.2 Cloning, sort of

Todo: (Elsewhere) creating a class from a struct?

26.3 Generic Maker Function

Like this:

27 Library Typedef

```
From Trass3r:
```

```
enum Type
{
    Independent,
    Super,
```

```
Sub,
    Parallel,
}
struct Typedef( T,
                Type type = Type.Sub,
                T init = T.init,
                string _f = __FILE__,
                int _1 = __LINE__ )
{
    T payload = init;
    static if ( type != Type.Independent )
        this( T value )
        {
            payload = value;
        }
    static if ( type == Type.Sub)
        // typedef int foo; foo f;
        // f.opCast!(t)() == cast(t) f
        T opCast(T)()
        {
            return payload;
    static if ( type == Type.Sub || type == Type.Parallel )
        alias payload this;
    static if ( type == Type.Super )
        typeof( this ) opAssign( T value )
            payload = value;
            return this;
    else static if ( type == Type.Sub )
        @disable void opAssign( T value );
}
```

28 Emitting Events

This example template, comprising Fields and Notify, comes from Andrej Mitrovic. He has be kind enough to allow me to put it there and to give me some explanations for the logic behind this template. He's using it in his experimental GUI library to signal an event.

```
template isSomeStringLiteral(alias a)
{
    enum isSomeStringLiteral = isSomeString!(typeof(a));
```

```
}
mixin template Fields(T, fields...)
    if (allSatisfy!(isSomeStringLiteral, fields))
{
    alias typeof(this) This;
    static string __makeFields(T, fields...)()
        string res;
        foreach(field; fields) res ~= T.stringof~ " " ~ field ~ ";\n";
        return res;
    }
    static string __makeOpBinaryFields(string op, fields...)()
        string res;
        foreach(field; fields)
            res ~= "res." ~ field
                 ~ " = this." ~ field ~ op ~ " rhs." ~ field ~ ";\n";
        return res;
    }
    mixin(__makeFields!(T, fields)());
    This opBinary(string op)(This rhs)
        This res;
        mixin(__makeOpBinaryFields!(op, fields)());
        return res;
    void opOpAssign(string op)(This rhs)
        mixin("this = this " ~ op ~ " rhs;");
    }
}
  The user mix it in its own types:
struct Point {
    mixin Fields!(int, "x", "y", "z", "w");
struct Size {
    mixin Fields!(int, "width", "height");
 This goes hand in hand with the Notify struct template:
struct Notify(T)
{
```

```
alias void delegate(ref T) OnEventFunc;
OnEventFunc onEvent;
void init(OnEventFunc func) {
   onEvent = func;
}
string toString()
   return raw.toString;
auto opEquals(T)(T rhs)
   return rhs == rhs;
void opAssign(T)(T rhs)
   if (rhs == raw)
       return; // avoid infinite loops
   // temp used for ref
   auto temp = rhs;
   onEvent(temp);
}
auto opBinary(string op, T)(T rhs)
{
   mixin("return raw " ~ op ~ " rhs;");
}
void opOpAssign(string op, T)(T rhs)
   // temp used for ref
   mixin("auto temp = raw " ~ op ~ " rhs;");
    if (temp == raw)
        return; // avoid infinite loops
   onEvent(temp);
public T raw; // raw access when we don't want to invoke event()
alias raw this;
```

Which you mix in classes you want to notify any changes to their internal state:

```
class Widget
{
    this()
    {
        point.init((Point pt) { writefln("changed point to %s", pt); });
        size.init((Size sz) { writefln("changed size to %s", sz); });
    }
    Notify!Point point;
    Notify!Size size;
}
```

For example, a user might change the point (position) field of a widget via:

```
Point moveBy = Point(10, 0); widget.point += moveBy;}.
```

This doesn't modify the field yet, but only triggers onEvent(moveBy), which in turn emits a signal containing the Widget reference and the requested position doMove.emit(this, moveBy). This gets processed by a chain of an arbitrary number of listeners. These listeners take moveBy by reference and this comes in handy when e.g. a Widget is part of a Layout. The Layout simply adds itself to the chain of listeners and edits the ref argument if it wants to, or even returns false to completely deny any changes to a field.

This allows for some great flexibility. For example, say a user subclasses from Widget (let's call it Child), and overrides onMove() of the widget to limit it to the position of:

```
min: Point(10, 10) (top-left)
max: Point(100, 100) (bottom-right)
```

This Widget has a parent Widget (call it Parent), which has a layout set. The layout might allow any child Widgets of the Parent to only be set between the following positions:

```
min: Point(20, 20)
max: Point(80, 80)
```

Additionally the layout might take a child's size into account so the Widget never overflows the min and max points of the layout. If this Widget was at Point(20, 20) and had Size(50, 50) it means the layout will limit the Widget's minimum and maximum points to:

```
min: Point(20, 20)
max: Point(30, 30)
```

When the Widget is at Point (30, 30) its bottom-right point will be Point (80, 80), which is the maximum bottom-right point the layout has set. The layout won't allow the Widget to be at position Point (10, 10) either, even though Widget's onMove method allows it.

So if the user tries to call:

```
child.point = Point(120, 120);
```

First, Child's onMove is the first listener of some doMove signal. It will modify the ref argument and set it to Point(100, 100) as the user coded it that way. Then, the layout will modify it to Point(30, 30) since it takes Widget's size into account so it doesn't overflow.

There could be any number of listeners, and you could add a listener to any position in the chain of events (maybe you want to intercept and modify the argument before it reaches Parent's layout if you want to set temporary limits to a Widget's position).

Finally, there is always one last listener. This one is an internal function that actually modifies the <code>.raw</code> field, and calls into internal painting and blitting functions to make the <code>Widget</code> appear into its new position. Also, any listener can return <code>false</code> to break further processing of events and deny modifying the field.

Notice that assignments never happen, external code has to use the .raw field to actually modify the internal payload, which avoids calling onEvent().

29 Fields

From Jacob Carlborg's Orange serialization library:

30 Extending an enum

From Simen Kjærås (posted on the D newsgroup).

```
string EnumDefAsString(T)() if (is(T == enum)) {
    string result = "";
    foreach (e; __traits(allMembers, T))
        result ~= e ~ " = T." ~ e ~ ",";
    return result;
}
template ExtendEnum(T, string s)
    if (is(T == enum) &&
        is(typeof({mixin("enum a{"~s~"}");})))
{
mixin(
    "enum ExtendEnum {"
   ~ EnumDefAsString!T() ~ s
   ~ "}");
}
enum bar
    a = 1,
    b = 7,
    c = 19
import std.typetuple;
alias ExtendEnum!(bar, q{ // Usage example here.
      d = 25
    }) bar2;
foreach (i, e; __traits(allMembers, bar2)) {
    static assert( e == TypeTuple!("a", "b", "c", "d")[i] );
assert( bar2.a == bar.a );
assert( bar2.b == bar.b );
assert( bar2.c == bar.c );
assert( bar2.d == 25 );
static assert(!is(typeof( ExtendEnum!(int, "a"))));
static assert(!is(typeof( ExtendEnum!(bar, "25"))));
```

31 Static Switching

TODO: What, no compile-time switch? Let's create one. Example of: tuples, type filtering (in constraints), recursion, etc.

32 Generic Structures

This section is still a Work In Progress:

This section will present some generic structures of growing complexity.

32.1 Gobble

Let's begin with Gobbler, a small exercise in tuple manipulation and operator overloading. Gobbler is a struct wrapping a tuple and defining only one operator: the right-concatenation operator (~).

```
struct Gobbler(T...)
{
    alias T Types;
    T store;
    Gobbler!(T, U) opBinary(string op, U)(U u) if (op == "~")
    {
        return Gobbler!(T, U)(store, u);
    }
}

Gobbler!() gobble() { return Gobbler!()();}

gobble creates an empty gobbler and is there to activate the aspiration:
auto list = gobble ~ 1 ~ "abc" ~ 3.14 ~ "another string!";
```

TODO: Indexing the Gobbler.

assert(is(list.Types == TypeTuple!(int, string, double, string)));

32.2 Polymorphic Association Lists

An association list as a sort of 'flat' associative array: it holds key-value pairs in a linear list. A polymorphic (aka, templated) one is a tuple holding a bunch of key-value keys, but with more flexibility on the types for keys and values. Different trade-off can be done here between the runtime or compile-time nature of keys and values.

This section is still a Work In Progress:

This section will present one solution.

Usage: a bit like Lua tables: structs, classes (you can put anonymous functions in them?), namespaces. Also, maybe to add metadata to a type?

```
template Half(T...)
{
    static if (T.length <= 1)
        alias TypeTuple!() Half;
    else
        alias TypeTuple!(T[0], Half!(T[2..$])) Half;
}
struct AList(T...)
    static if (T.length >= 2 && T.length % 2 == 0)
        alias Half!T Keys;
    else static if (T.length >= 2 && T.length % 2 == 1)
        alias Half!(T[0..$-1]) Keys;
        alias TypeTuple!() Keys;
    static if (T.length >= 2)
        alias Half!(T[1..$]) Values;
    else
        alias TypeTuple!() Values;
    template at(alias a)
    {
        static if ((staticIndexOf!(a, Keys) == -1) && (T.length % 2 == 1)) // key not fou
            enum at = T[$-1]; // default value
        else static if ((staticIndexOf!(a, Keys) == -1) && (T.length % 2 == 0))
            static assert(0, "AList: no key equal to " ~ a.stringof);
        else //static if (Keys[staticIndexOf!(a, Keys)] == a)
            enum at = Values[staticIndexOf!(a, Keys)];
}
alias AList!( 1,
                     "abc"
                     'd'
            , <sup>2</sup>,
```

32.3 A Polymorphic Tree

So, what's a polymorphic tree? It's just a tuple hoding other tuples as elements, as a standard tree container, only all values can be of a different type. Obviously this means that trees holding values of different types will also be of a different type, since the entire content's type is part of the tree signature. It can be a bit baffling to see one, but with a few helper functions to transform a tree or extract some values, it can be quite interesting to use.

Just to get a little feel for them and to use a less-used example for trees, imagine wanting to manipulate mark-up text in D. You could create your document as a D structure and then invoke some functions to transform it into DDoc text, or a LATEX document, a Markdown one or even HTML:

```
auto doc =
document(
    title("Ranges: A Tutorial"),
    author("John Doe"),
    tableOfContents,
    /* level-one section */
    section!1(
        title("Some Range Definitions"),
        "Ranges are a nice D abstraction...",
        definition(
            "Input Range",
            "The most basic kind of range, it must define the following methods:",
            list(definition("front", "..."),
                 definition("popFront", "..."),
                 definition("empty", "..."))
        )
    )
    section!1(
        title("Some ranges examples"),
        "···",
        code(
            "auto m = map!((a) => a*a)([0,1,2,3]);
             assert(m.length == 4);"
        ),
        link("http://dlang.org/", "Website")
```

```
)
    section!1(
        title("Beyond ranges"),
        "..."
))
);
auto latex = doc.to!"LaTeX";
auto html = doc.to!"HTML";
auto ddoc = doc.to!"Ddoc";
auto simple = doc.to!"text";
```

In the previous (imaginary, but tempting for me) code, doc is a tuple made by the document factory function and holding small specifically marked pieces of text: title, section or link. Each is a factory function producing a user-defined struct following a few simple conventions. If all types have a to!"HTML" member that transforms their content into HTML code, then the entire document can be dumped as a HTML file. The different types need not be classes inheriting from a common base and that must be shoe-horned into a defined hierarchy: template constraints (8) do the verification for you. Think ranges.

This is an example of a polymorphic tree...

32.4 Expression Templates

Expression templates are a kind of polymorphic tree, but restricted to some known operations (most of the times unary/binary/ternary operators) and their operands. It allows one to store for example an arithmetic operation like this:

The advantages are that you can then manipulate the resulting tree to simplify the expression or avoid temporary evaluations. The previous expression could be simplified to hold the equivalent of \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} (getting rid of the multiplication by one.

More generally, you can encode a programming language expression in such a tree:

```
AST!"
if (x == 0)
then
{
    writeln(x);
}
else
{
    ++x;
```

```
foo(x,y);
}"
=>
If(Comparison!("==", Symbol!"x", value(0)), // Condition
// then branch
Block!(FunctionCall!("writeln", Symbol!"x")),
// (optional) else branch
Block!(Unary!("++", Symbol!"x"),
FunctionCall!("foo", Symbol!"x", Symbol!"y")))
```

This way lies madness and the power of macros, because you can then manipulate the resulting Abstract Syntax Tree in any way you wish, rewrite the code it represents, convert it back into a string and write it into the file that will be given to the compiler.

So,

- 1. Define a compile-time parser,
- 2. feed it (a reasonable part of) the D grammar,
- 3. define some new authorized constructs and the associated AST and the way this new constructs can be behind-the-scene assembled from existing part of the D language (aka, macros),
- 4. write code in your new D extension, your precious,
- 5. feed it with the macros to a program that will create the resulting AST, modifying it like you wish and reassemble it into *bona fide* D code.
- 6. and will then feed it to the standard D compiler.

And *voila*, your own toy D extension. Or, you know, you could just bug Walter till he adds the syntax you want into the language.

33 Statically-Checked Writeln

Todo: As an intro to compile-time parsing, for a limited (!) domain-specific language.

34 Extending a Class

There is regularly a wish in the D community for something called Universal Function Call Syntax (UFCS): the automatic transformation of a.foo(b) into foo(a,b) when a has no member called foo and there is a free function called foo in the local scope. This already works for arrays (hence, for strings) but not for other types.

There is no way to get that in D for built-in types except by hacking the compiler, but for user-defined types, you can call templates to the rescue.

opDispatch can be used to forward to an external free function. A call this.method(a,b) becomes method(this,a,b).

```
mixin template Forwarder
{
    auto opDispatch(string name, Args...)(Args args)
    {
        mixin("return " ~ name ~ "(args);");
    }
}
```

In D, a void return clause is legal:

```
return;
// or return void;
```

So if name(this,a,b) is a void-returning function, all is OK.

The main limitation of this trick is that it doesn't work across modules boundaries. Too bad.

35 Pattern Matching With Functions

This section is still a Work In Progress:

The idea is to group a bunch of templates together and use their pattern matching ability. Maybe to be put in section 4?

36 Generating a Switch for Tuples

Case 0:, etc.

Or more generaly, the idea to craft specific runtime code given compile-time information. See also subsection 19.7.

Appendices

A A Crash Course on the is(...) Expression

A.1 General Syntax

The is(...) expression gives you some compile-time introspection on types (and, as a side-effect, on D expressions). It's described here in the D website. This expression has a quirky syntax, but the basic use is very simple and it's quite useful in conjunction with static if (see section 3.3) and template constraints (see section 8). The common syntaxes are:

If what's inside the parenthesis is valid (see below), is() returns true at compile-time, else it returns false.

A.2 is(Type)

Let's begin with the very first syntax: if Type is a valid D type in the scope of the is expression, is() returns true. As a bonus, inside a static if, the optional identifier becomes an alias for the type. For example:

```
template CanBeInstantiatedWith(alias templateName, Types...)
{
    // is templateName!(Types) a valid type?
    static if (is( templateName!(Types) ResultType ))
    // here you can use ResultType (== templateName!(Types))
        alias ResultType CanBeInstantiatedWith;
    else
        alias void CanBeInstantiatedWith;
}
```

Note that the previous code was done with templates in mind, but it is quite robust: if you pass as templateName something that's not a template name (a function name, for example), the is will see templateName!(Types) has no valid type and will return false. CanBeInstantiatedWith will correctly be set to void and your program does not crash.

Testing for an alias

Sometimes you do not know if the template argument you received is a type or an alias (for example, when dealing with tuples elements). In that case, you can use <code>!is(symbol)</code> as a test. If it really is an alias and not a type, this will return <code>true</code>.

An interesting use for this form of is, is testing whether or not some D code is valid. Consider: D blocks are seen as delegates by the compiler (Their type is void delegate()). Using this in conjunction with typeof let you test the validity of a block statement: if some code is valid, typeof({ some code }()) (note the () at the end of the delegate to 'activate' it) has a real D type and is will return true.

Let's put this to some use. Imagine you have a function template fun and some arguments, but you do not know if fun can be called with this particular bunch of arguments. If it's a common case in your code, you should abstract it as a template. Let's call it validCall and make it a function template also, to easily use it with the arguments:

```
bool validCall(alias fun, Args...)(Args args)
    static if (is( typeof({ /* code to test */
                            fun(args);
                            /* end of code to test */
                          }())))
       return true;
    else
        return false;
}
// Usage:
T add(T)(T a, T b) { return a+b;}
assert( validCall!add(1, 2.3)); // generates add!(double)
assert(!validCall!add(1, "abc")); // no template instantiation possible
string conc(A,B)(A a, B b) { return to!string(a) ~ to!string(b);}
assert( validCall!conc(1, "abc")); // conc!(int, string) is OK.
assert(!validCall!conc(1)
                              ); // no 1-argument version for conc
struct S {}
assert(!validCall!S(1, 2.3); // S is not callable
```

Note that the tested code is simply 'fun(args);'. That is, there is no condition on fun's type: it could be a function, a delegate or even a struct or class with opCall defined. There are basically two ways fun(args); can be invalid code: either fun is not callable as a function, or it is callable, but args are not valid arguments.

By the way, fun as it may be to use this trick, D provides you with a cleaner way to test for valid compilation:

```
__traits(compiles, { /* some code */ })
```

__traits is another of D numerous Swiss Army knife constructs. You can find the compiles documentation here. Section 20 is dedicated to it.

A.3 is(Type : AnotherType) and is(Type == AnotherType)

The two other basic forms of is return true if Type can be implicitly converted to (is derived from) AnotherType and if Type is exactly AnotherType, respectively. I find them most interesting in their more complex form, with a list of template parameters afterwards. In this case, the template parameters act a bit as type variables in an equation. Let me explain:

```
is(Type identifier == SomeComplexTypeDependingOnUAndV, U, V)
```

really means: 'excuse me, Mr. D Compiler, but is Type perchance some complex type depending on U and V for some U and V? If yes, please give me those.'

Strangely, you can only use it with the <code>is(Type identifier, ...)</code> syntax: you must have <code>identifier</code>. The good new is, the complex types being inspected can be templated types and the parameter list can be any template parameter: not only types, but integral values, For example, suppose you do what everybody does when encountering D templates: you create a templated n-dimensional vector type.

```
struct Vector(Type, int dim) { ... }
```

If you did not expose Type and dim (as aliases for example, as seen in sections 3.2 and 5.3), you can use is to extract them for you:

```
Vector!( ?, ?) myVec;
// is myVec a vector of ints, of any dimension?
static if (is(typeof(myVec) mv == Vector!(int, dim), dim))

// is it a 1-dimensional vector?
static if (is(typeof(myVec) mv == Vector!(T, 1), T))
```

```
is (A != B)?
```

No, sorry, this doesn't exist. Use !is(A == B). But beware this will also fire if A or B are not legal types (which makes sense: if A is not defined, then by definition it cannot be equal to B). If necessary, you can use is(A) && is(B) && !is(A == B).

is A a supertype to B?

Hey, is(MyType: SuperType) is good to know if MyType is a subtype to SuperType. How do I ask if MyType is a supertype to SubType? Easy, just use is(SubType: MyType).

For me, the main limitation is that template tuple parameters are not accepted. Too bad. See, imagine you use std.typecons.Tuple a lot. At one point, you need a template to test if something is a Tuple!(T...) for some T which can be 0 or more types. Though luck, is is a bit of a letdown there, as you cannot do:

```
template isTuple(T)
{
    static if (is(T tup == Tuple!(InnerTypes), InnerTypes...)
(...)
```

But sometimes D channels its inner perl and, lo! There is more than one way to do it! You can use IFTI (see 4.3) and our good friend the is(typeof(...())) expression there. You can also use __traits, depending on you mood, but since this appendix is specifically on is:

```
template isTuple(T)
2
   {
       static if (is(typeof({
3
4
                  void tupleTester(InnerTypes...)(Tuple!(InnerTypes) tup) {}
5
                  T.init possibleTuple;
6
                  tupleTester(possibleTuple);
                  }())))
7
            enum bool isTuple = true;
9
       else
            enum bool isTuple = false;
10
11
```

Line 4 defines the function template tupleTester, that only accepts Tuples as arguments (even though it does nothing with them). We create something of type T on line 5, using the .init property inherent in all D types, and try to call tupleTester with it. If T is indeed a Tuple this entire block statement is valid, the resulting delegate call indeed has a type and is returns true.

There are two things to note here: first, isTuple works for any templated type called Tuple, not only std.typecons.Tuple. If you want to restrict it,

change tupleTester definition. Secondly, we do not get access to the inner types this way. For std.typecons.Tuple it's not really a problem, as they can be accessed with the someTuple.Types alias, but still...

By the way, the template parameter list elements can themselves use the A : B or A == B syntax:

```
static if (is( T t == A!(U,V), U : SomeClass!W, V == int[n], W, int n))
```

This will be OK if T is indeed an A instantiated with an U and a V, themselves verifying that this U is derived from SomeClass!W for some W type and that V is a static array of ints of length n to be determined (and possibly used afterwards). In the if branch of the static if U, V, W and n are all defined.

A.4 Type Specializations

There is a last thing to know about is: with the is(Type (identifier) == Something) version, Something can also be a type specialization, one of the following D keywords: function, delegate, return, struct, enum, union, class, interface, super, const, immutable or shared. The condition is satisfied if Type is one of those (except for super and return, see below). identifier then becomes an alias for some property of Type, as described in table 4.

Specialization	Satisfied if	identifier becomes
function	Type is a function	The function parameters type tuple
delegate	Type is a delegate	The delegate function type
return	Type is a function or	The return type
	a delegate	
struct	Type is a struct	The struct type
enum	Type is an enum	The enum base type
union	Type is an union	The union type
class	Type is a class	The class type
interface	Type is an interface	The interface type
super	Type is a class	The type tuple (Base Class, Interfaces)
const	Type is const	The type
immutable	Type is immutable	The type
shared	Type is shared	The type

Table 4: Effect of type specializations in is

Let's put that to some use: we want a factory template that will create a new struct or a new class, given its name as a template parameter:

```
import std.algorithm;

template make(alias aggregate)
   if (is(typeof(aggregate) == class)
   || is(typeof(aggregate) == struct))
{
   auto make(Args...)(Args args)
   {
```

```
alias typeof(aggregate) A;
    static if (is(A a == class))
        return new A(args);
    else
        return A(args);
}

struct S {int i;}
class C {int i; this(int ii) { i = ii;}}

auto array = [0,1,2,3];

auto structRange = map!( make!S )(array);
auto classRange = map!( make!C )(array);
assert(equal(structRange, [S(0), S(1), S(2), S(3)]));
assert(equal(structRange, [new C(0), new C(1), new C(2), new C(3)]));
```

You can find another example of this kind of is in section 6, with the duplicator template.

B Resources and Further Reading

```
This section is still a Work In Progress:

Any new resource welcome!
```

Being a relatively young language, D doesn't have dozens of books or online sites dedicated to its learning. Still, there are a few resources on the Internet that pertains to D templates and associated subjects.

B.1 D Templates

Here are some resources on D templates.

B.1.1 D Reference

Your first stop should be the dlang.org pages on templates. They are quite easy to read, don't hesitate to peruse them and bother the authors if anything is unclear or blatantly false. The dlang.org website is also a github project, here. Table 5 lists the pages dealing with templates.

B.1.2 The D Programming Language

The main D book as of this writing is of course The D Programming Language (also known as TDPL), by Andrei Alexandrescu. It's a very good reference book, and fun to read too. TDPL has a very interesting approach to

URL	Subject
http://dlang.org/template.html	The general page on templates
http://dang.org/templates-revisited.	An article on D templates and the
html	difference with C++ ones
http://dlang.org/tuple.html	An article on tuples
http://dlang.org/	Another article dealing with tem-
variadic-function-templates.html	plate tuple parameters
http://dlang.org/concepts.html	Template Constraints
http://dlang.org/template-mixin.html	Mixin Templates
http://dlang.org/mixin.html	A small article on string mixins
http://dlang.org/version.html#	static if
staticif	
http://dlang.org/expression.html#	is
IsExpression	
http://dlang.org/declaration.html#	Type Aliasing
alias	
http://dlang.org/	Operator Overloading
operatoroverloading.html	

Table 5: dlang.org pages dealing with templates

templates: Andrei first introduces them as a natural extension of functions, classes, and structs syntax, to get parametrized code. It's only afterwards that templates-as-scopes are introduced. Table 6 lists the chapters that deals with templates.

Chapter	Subject
5.3, 5.4, 5.10, and 5.12	functions
6.14	classes
7.1.10	structs
7.4	alias
7.5	templates themselves
7.6	mixin templates
12	operator overloading

Table 6: TDPL Chapters Dealing With Templates

B.1.3 Programming in D

Another quite interesting book is the translation from Turkish of Ali Çehreli's book PROGRAMMING IN D, which you can find for free here: http://ddili.org/ders/d.en/index.html. More precisely, as far as this document is concerned, the chapter on templates is already translated and can be found at http://ddili.org/ders/d.en/templates.html. Ali's book aims to be a gentle introduction to D, so the rhythm is less gung ho than TDPL. If you do not want to read the present document, 25 the previously linked chapter is your best bet as a template tutorial.

²⁵ It's probably too late. Should I link to Ali's book earlier?

B.1.4 The D Wiki

Apart from books, the D wiki has a tutorial on templates that can be found at http://prowiki.org/wiki4d/wiki.cgi?D__Tutorial/D2Templates, that explains std.functional.unaryFun very thoroughly, dealing in passing with various subjects such as templates, string mixins, and instantiation scope. I found it a very pleasant read and a nice explanation of a difficult part of Phobos.

B.2 D Metaprogramming

I found Nick Sabalausky's article at http://www.semitwist.com/articles/EfficientAndFlexible/SinglePage/ to be a very good read, and full of nifty ideas. In particular, Nick shows how to use compile-time information to craft code such that runtime arguments can then be used to "influence" compile-time values. Yes you read that right, and my description does not do it justice: read on, and see for yourselves. I definitely must put a section somewhere in this doc on this.

The code shown in the article can be found in a github project, at https://github.com/Abscissa/efficientAndFlexible

B.3 Templates in Other Languages

This section is still a Work In Progress:

C++ templates, obviously. Articles, online books, and such. A small text on Boost. Also, maybe Java and C# generics.

B.4 Genericity in Other Languages

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Haskell and ML concerning types. In general, any type trick that Haskellers live by: encoding information in types, using types to forbid unwanted states and so on.

B.5 Metaprogramming in Other Languages

This section is still a Work In Progress:

Maybe Lisp / Scheme / Clojure macros. Nemerle macros too.

Index

FILE_ andLINE	D Zen, 55
debugging, 77 unique types, 76traits, 46, 55 allMembers, 92 getOverloads, 95 getting all members, 96	enabling/disabling code, 17, 117 enum template, 50 enum-based policy, 117 eponymous trick, 14, 17, 20, 21, 62, 65 functions storage classes, 30
parent, 100	string mixins, 80 exposing a type through an alias, 15
anonymous class templates, 46 classes, 46 delegates, 28 function templates, 28 functions, 27 arithmetic operators, 67 array base element type, 19 arrays, 18, 20, 26 and expression tuples, 57 UFCS, 133	expression tuple, 57 factory function, 34, 41, 43 function composition, 20 function template, 24 function templates anonymous function templates, 28 wrapping a function memoize, 116, 117 generics, 13, 24
auto return, 25	Haskell, 62
Boost, 6 C++, 6, 9, 22, 23, 55	idiom enabling/disabling code, 17, 117 factory function, 34 functions in templates, 73 parameterized base class, 46 policy, 117 template specialization, 23 two templates, 15, 65 wrapper template, 71 IFTI, 25, 43, 138 for constructors, 38 Implicit Function Template Instantiation, see IFTI in and out clauses, 31 inner member alias, 41 inner struct, 38, 39 templated, 39 introspection, 94 withtraits, 90 is expression, 17, 51, 55, 64, 135, 137 compared to constraints, 56
and templates, 83 limitations, 82 Curiously Recurring Template Pattern, 49	in a constraint, 55 limitation, 138 type specialization, 30, 65, 139 types specializations, 65

is(typeof()), 136, 138	definition, 18 for ranges, 19
Java, 13	of ranges, 43
lisp, 62, 87	recursion, 18, 20, 26, 35, 43, 62, 64 areAllRanges, 62
mantra, 26–28, 39, 46, 49	returning a function, 27
minted (LATEX package), 7	Scheme, 62
mixin templates, 68	
limitations, 69	scope, 11, 16, 94
module, 10	client, 69
implicit object.d module, 95	constraint, 51
modules as aggregates, 94	global scope operator, 21
111044165 45 4581654065, 71	local scope, 69, 133, 135
nested templates instantiations, 47	local scope name, 101 mixin templates, 67
opCall, (), <mark>39</mark>	injecting functionality, 68
opDispatch, 70	symbols lookup, 68
operator	multiple templates instantiations,
-	13
arithmetic, 67	named, 12
concatenation, (~), 68	outside the scope of this document,
global scope operator (.), 21	21
opCall, (), 21, 39, 121	scopes are not first-class in D, 26
overloading, 66	static if, 16
optional code, 17	template declaration, 12
namentarized base class 16	template instantiation, 13
parameterized base class, 46	template scope, 77
perl	top-level, 10
inner perl in D, 138	
Phobos, 6, 15, 17, 19, 23, 24, 26, 29,	secondary template, 15
32–36, 40, 51, 52, 58–60, 65,	static assert, 121
74, 75, 77, 78, 86, 89, 90, 95,	static if, 20, 43, 55
103–105, 109, 111, 116, 138,	enabling/disabling code, 31
139, 142	invariant clause, 45
predicate template	functions storage classes, 30
on type tuples, 62	nested, 17, 30, 43, 62, 120
predicate templates, 52, 55, 56	recursion, 120
$\mathtt{areAllRanges}, 62$	std
for ranges, 52	algorithm, 23, 26, 33, 34
member testing, 55	filter, $\frac{32}{}$
operator testing, 55	map, $26, 32, 35$
	reduce, $26, 36, 109$
qualified name, 100	$\mathtt{sort}, 23, 89, 90$
	conv
range, 19, 27, 35, 36, 40, 41, 52, 56	octal, 77
base element type, 19	functional
higher-level ranges, 17	adjoin, $\frac{32}{}$
members, 40	binaryFun, 15 , 86
of ranges, 19, 40, 41	unaryFun, $15, 86, 142$
range templates, 52	range, 17, 19, 23, 26, 33, 34, 40,
rank, 18, 19, 26	52

assumeSorted, 78	template
SortedRange, 78	and CTFE, 83
traits	arguments
$isIntegral, \frac{105}{}$	templates as, 12
isSomeString, 75	constraints, 51, 52, 55, 74, 75
ParameterTypeTuple, $60, 95, 116$	and opDispatch, 70
ReturnType, $24, 35, 116$	limitations, 53
TypeTuple, 60	curried templates, 21
Unqual, 104	declaration, 9
typecons	definition, 10
Tuple, 29, 58-60, 111, 138, 139	double-decker template
$\mathtt{tuple}, \textcolor{red}{60}, \textcolor{red}{74}$	${\tt CheckCompatibility.With, 120}$
typetuple, 65 , 103	function templates, 24
allSatisfy, 75	anonymous function templates,
TypeTuple, 58	28
variant	instantiation, 12
Algebraic, 111	mixin templates, 67
storage class, 30	parameters
enum as a kind of, 11	alias, 9, 10, 20, 35, 38, 63, 116,
function parameters, 30	117, 121
string mixins, 56, 70, 79–81	as a base class, 46
limitations, 82	IFTI, <mark>25</mark>
struct template, 33, 41	integral value, 20, 121
inner alias parameters, 34	literal value, 9
memoize, 116, 117	storage class, 30
syntax	template this parameter, 40
anonymous function templates, 28	$tuple, \frac{9}{5}, \frac{57}{5}$
class templates, 44	$type, \frac{9}{63}$
parameterized inheritance, 44	void, <mark>13</mark>
enum template, 50	predicate templates, 16
function templates, 24	recursion, 18
interface templates, 49	specialization, 21, 22, 51
is expression, 135 , 137	compared to constraints, 56
mixin templates, 67	tuple parameter, 57
nested static ifs, 17	wrapper templates, 71
opDispatch, 69	templated constructor, 38
operator overloading, 66	templated method, 35, 36, 39
static if, 16	tuple
string mixins, 79	and ranges, 61
struct templates, 33	containing void, 61
template constraints	empty, 60
for class templates, 52	expression tuple, 57
template declaration, 9	the many kinds of, 59
template instantiation, $11, 12$	type tuple, 57
templated constructors, 37	and class templates, 63
templates constraints, 51	eliminating occurrence of a type,
templates specialization, 22	64
union templates, 50	manipulation, 65
Universal Function Call Syntax, 133	recursion, 62

```
UFCS, 133

variadic functions, 61

void

as a template parameter, 13
in tuples, 61
```