



VARMAX processes

In many applications, one may estimate many forms of data simultaneously, such that ones measurements are of the form

$$\mathbf{z}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{t,1} & \dots & z_{t,m} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

For such measurements, one generally wish to take the data from all m sources into account simultaneously. To do this, we introduce the notion of an mdimensional stochastic process, \mathbf{z}_t .





VARMAX processes

In many applications, one may estimate many forms of data simultaneously,

$$\mathbf{z}_{t} = [z_{t,1} \dots z_{t,m}]^{T}$$

For such measurements, one generally wish to take the data from all m sources into account simultaneously. To do this, we introduce the notion of an mdimensional stochastic process, \mathbf{z}_t .

The mean of the process is given as

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{z}} = E\{\mathbf{z}_t\} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} E\{z_{t,1}\} & \dots & E\{z_{t,m}\} \end{array}\right]^T$$

The covariance (matrix) function for the vector process is defined as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}(k) &= C\left\{\mathbf{z}_{t}, \mathbf{z}_{t-k}\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\left[\mathbf{z}_{t} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{z}}\right] \left[\mathbf{z}_{t-k} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{z}}\right]^{*}\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}^{*}(-k) \end{split}$$



$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}(k) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} & k = 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & k \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

If the process is also uncorrelated among data souces, $\Sigma_{\mathbf{z}}=\mathbf{I}.$ This is called a doubly white process.





VARMAX processes

The multivariate, or vector, ARMAX (VARMAX) process is defined as

$$\mathbf{A}(z)\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{B}(z)\mathbf{x}_{t-d} + \mathbf{C}(z)\mathbf{e}_t$$

where $\mathbf{A}(z)$ and $\mathbf{C}(z)$ are monic $(m \times m)$ matrix polynomials of order p and q, respectively, $\mathbf{B}(z)$ is a $(m \times s)$ matrix polynomial of order r, and \mathbf{x}_{t-d} is a d-step delayed s-dimensional exogenous input signal, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{A}(z) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_1 z^{-1} + \dots + \mathbf{A}_p z^{-p}$$

 $\mathbf{B}(z) = \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{B}_1 z^{-1} + \dots + \mathbf{B}_r z^{-r}$
 $\mathbf{C}(z) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{C}_1 z^{-1} + \dots + \mathbf{C}_q z^{-q}$

and \mathbf{e}_t is a multivariate zero-mean white noise process with variance Σ_e . To ensure stability, we require that all roots of $\det{\{\mathbf{A}(z)\}} = 0$, with respect to z, lie within the unit circle.

Excluding some parts yields the VMA, VAR, VARMA, etc, models.



VARMAX processes

Example: Consider a first-order vector MA (VMA) process $\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{C}(z)\mathbf{e}_t$, where $\mathbf{C}(z) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{C}_1z^{-1}$, with \mathbf{e}_t being a multivariate zero-mean white noise process with covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}_e$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(k) &= C\left\{\mathbf{y}_{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t-k}\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\left(\mathbf{e}_{t} + \mathbf{C}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{t-1}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{t-k} + \mathbf{C}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{t-k-1}\right)^{*}\right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{e} + \mathbf{C}_{1}\sum_{e} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} & k = 0 \\ \mathbf{C}_{2}\mathbf{E} & k = 1 \\ \sum_{e} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} & k = -1 \\ 0 & |k| > 1 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Note that $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(1) = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}^* - 1$ and that $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(k)$ is zero after lag one.



VARMAX processes

Consider a first-order vector MA (VMA) process $\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{C}(z)\mathbf{e}_t$, where $\mathbf{C}(z) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{C}_1 z^{-1}$, with \mathbf{e}_t being a multivariate zero-mean white noise process with covariance matrix Σ_e . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(k) &= C\left\{\mathbf{y}_{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t-k}\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\left(\mathbf{e}_{t} + \mathbf{C}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{t-1}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{t-k} + \mathbf{C}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{t-k-1}\right)^{*}\right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \Sigma_{c} + \mathbf{C}_{1}\Sigma_{c}\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} & k = 0\\ \mathbf{c}_{1}\Sigma_{c} & k = 1\\ \Sigma_{c}\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} & k = -1\\ 0 & |k| > 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{R_y}(1) = \mathbf{R_y^*} - 1$ and that $\mathbf{R_y}(k)$ is zero after lag one.

Example: Consider a first-order VAR process $\mathbf{A}(z)\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{e}_t$, where $\mathbf{A}(z) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_1 z^{-1}$, with \mathbf{e}_t being a zero-mean white noise process with covariance matrix Σ_e . Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(k) &= C\left\{\mathbf{y}_{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t-k}\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\left\{e_{t} - \mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\right\} \mathbf{y}_{t-k}^{*}\right\} \\ &= E\left\{e_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-k}^{*}\right\} - \mathbf{A}_{1} E\left\{\mathbf{y}_{t-1} \mathbf{y}_{t-k}^{*}\right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{c} - \mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{y}_{(-)} & k = 0 \\ -\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{y}(k - 1) & k > 0 \end{array}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{array}{cccc} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{c} - \mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{y}(-1) & k = 0 \\ -\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{y}_{y}(0) & k > 0 \end{array}\right. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_e = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0) + \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(-1) = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0) - \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0) \mathbf{A}_1^*$$



VARMAX processes

The autocorrelation matrix is formed as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{z}}(k) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1/2}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}(k)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{z}}^{-1/2}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{z}} = \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \left[\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}(0) \right]_{1,1} & \dots & \left[\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}(0) \right]_{m,m} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

with diag $\{x\}$ denoting the diagonal matrix formed with the vector x along the diagonal.



Example with $\pm 2/\sqrt{N} \approx \pm 0.063$.

We can use the ACF and the PACF for identification! The latter is formed using the multivariate Yule-Walker equations.



A useful trick

An often useful result when working with multivariate processes is that

$$\text{vec} \{\mathbf{ABC}\} = (\mathbf{C}^T \otimes \mathbf{A})\text{vec} \{\mathbf{B}\}$$

Example:

$$\operatorname{vec}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{array}\right]\right\} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} x_{11} \\ x_{21} \\ x_{12} \\ x_{22} \end{array}\right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array}\right] \otimes \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 10 & 2 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 & 12 & 14 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 & 3 & 0 & 20 & 4 \\ 18 & 21 & 0 & 24 & 28 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$



A useful trick

An often useful result when working with multivariate processes is that

$$\text{vec} \{\mathbf{ABC}\} = (\mathbf{C}^T \otimes \mathbf{A}) \text{vec} \{\mathbf{B}\}$$

Example:

$$\operatorname{vec}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{array}\right]\right\} = \left[\begin{array}{c} x_{11} \\ x_{21} \\ x_{12} \\ x_{22} \end{array}\right]$$

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 10 & 2 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 & 12 & 14 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 & 3 & 0 & 20 & 4 \\ 18 & 21 & 0 & 24 & 28 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we may express

$$\Sigma_e = \mathbf{R}_y(0) - \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_y(0) \mathbf{A}_1^*$$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{vec}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{e}\right\} &= \operatorname{vec}\left\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0)\right\} - \operatorname{vec}\left\{\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0)\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}\right\} \\ &= \operatorname{vec}\left\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0)\right\} - \left(\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{A}_{1}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0)\right\} \\ &= \left[\mathbf{I} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{A}_{1}\right] \operatorname{vec}\left\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0)\right\} \end{split}$$

where \bar{A} denotes the conjugate of A.



A useful trick

Consider a first-order VAR process with $\Sigma_e = I$ and

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\det \left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_1 z^{-1} \right) = 1 + 1.3 z^{-1} + 0.36 z^{-2} = 0$$

has the roots $z_1 = -0.9$ and $z_2 = -0.4$, which both lie within the unit circle,



A useful trick

Example: Consider a first-order VAR process with $\Sigma_e = \mathbf{I}$ and

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $_{\mathrm{As}}$

$$\det (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_1 z^{-1}) = 1 + 1.3 z^{-1} + 0.36 z^{-2} = 0$$

has the roots $z_1=-0.9$ and $z_2=-0.4$, which both lie within the unit circle, the process is stable. Setting

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

it holds that

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array}\right] - \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.4 & 0.8 \end{array}\right]$$



A useful trick

Example: Consider a first-order VAR process with $\Sigma_e = \mathbf{I}$ and

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_1 z^{-1}) = 1 + 1.3z^{-1} + 0.36z^{-2} = 0$$

has the roots $z_1=-0.9$ and $z_2=-0.4$, which both lie within the unit circle, the process is stable. Setting

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

it holds that

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array}\right] - \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.4 & 0.8 \end{array}\right]$$

or, using vec $\{\Sigma_e\} = [\mathbf{I} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}_1 \otimes \mathbf{A}_1] \text{ vec } \{\mathbf{R}_y(0)\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.75 & -0.20 & -0.20 & -0.16 \\ -0.05 & 0.60 & -0.04 & -0.32 \\ -0.05 & -0.04 & 0.60 & -0.32 \\ -0.01 & -0.08 & -0.08 & 0.36 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} \\ r_{21} \\ r_{12} \\ r_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R_y}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.6028 & 2.6355 \\ 2.6355 & 4.0492 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{R_y}(k) &= (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} 3.6028 & 2.6355 \\ 2.6355 & 4.0492 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

It is worth noting that, as expected, $r_{12} = r_{21}$