



# AR and ARMA Processes

Andreas Jakobsson



## The autoregressive process



The process  $y_t$  is called an *autoregressive* (AR) process if

$$A(z)y_t = y_t + a_1y_{t-1} + \dots + a_py_{t-p} = e_t$$

where  $A(z)$  is a *monic* polynomial of order  $p$  (in  $z^{-1}$ ), i.e.,

$$A(z) = 1 + a_1z^{-1} + \dots + a_pz^{-p}$$

with  $a_p \neq 0$ , and  $e_t$  is a zero-mean white noise process with variance  $\sigma_e^2$ . All zeros of the generating polynomial  $A(z)$  are always within the unit circle.

## The autoregressive process

Note that an AR-process is a white noise passed through a linear filter, i.e., that

$$A(z)y_t = e_t \Rightarrow y_t = \frac{1}{A(z)}e_t$$

Thus, the spectrum of an AR-process may be expressed as

$$\phi_y(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{|A(\omega)|^2}$$

## The autoregressive process

Note that an AR-process is a white noise passed through a linear filter, i.e., that

$$A(z)y_t = e_t \Rightarrow y_t = \frac{1}{A(z)}e_t$$

Thus, the spectrum of an AR-process may be expressed as

$$\phi_y(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{|A(\omega)|^2}$$

The mean of an AR process is zero, as

$$E\{y_t + a_1y_{t-1} + \dots + a_py_{t-p}\} = E\{e_t\} = 0$$

Thus,  $m_y(1 + a_1 + \dots + a_p) = m_yA(1) = 0$ , which implies that  $m_y = 0$  as all the zeros of  $A(z)$  are within the unit circle, implying that  $A(1) \neq 0$ .

## The autoregressive process

Note that an AR-process is a white noise passed through a linear filter, i.e., that

$$A(z)y_t = e_t \Rightarrow y_t = \frac{1}{A(z)}e_t$$

Thus, the spectrum of an AR-process may be expressed as

$$\phi_y(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{|A(\omega)|^2}$$

The mean of an AR process is zero, as

$$E\{y_t + a_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + a_p y_{t-p}\} = E\{e_t\} = 0$$

Thus,  $m_y(1 + a_1 + \dots + a_p) = m_y A(1) = 0$ , which implies that  $m_y = 0$  as all the zeros of  $A(z)$  are within the unit circle, implying that  $A(1) \neq 0$ .

To form the auto-covariance, post-multiply the process with  $y_{t-k}$  and take the expectation, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} E\{e_t y_{t-k}\} &= E\{y_t y_{t-k} + a_1 y_{t-1} y_{t-k} + \dots + a_p y_{t-p} y_{t-k}\} \\ &= r_y(k) + a_1 r_y(k-1) + \dots + a_p r_y(k-p) \end{aligned}$$

As  $e_t$  is uncorrelated with  $y_{t-\ell}$ , for  $\ell > 0$ ,  $E\{e_t y_{t-k}\} = \sigma_e^2 \delta_K(k)$ , then

$$r_y(k) + a_1 r_y(k-1) + \dots + a_p r_y(k-p) = \sigma_e^2 \delta_K(k)$$

This is the so-called Yule-Walker equations.

## The autoregressive process

*Example:*

Consider a real-valued AR(1) process. The Yule-Walker equations then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} r_y(0) + a_1 r_y(1) &= \sigma_e^2 \\ r_y(1) + a_1 r_y(0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have exploited that  $r_y(k) = r_y(-k)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} r_y(0) &= \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1 - a_1^2} \\ r_y(1) &= -a_1 r_y(0) = -a_1 \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1 - a_1^2} \end{aligned}$$

As  $r_y(k) + a_1 r_y(k-1) = 0$ , we may extend this to a general  $k$  as

$$r_y(k) = \left(-a_1\right)^{|k|} \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1 - a_1^2}$$

where we have again exploited the symmetry of  $r_y(k)$ . The PSD is

$$\phi_y(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{[1 + a_1 e^{j\omega}][1 + a_1 e^{-j\omega}]} = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1 + a_1^2 + 2a_1 \cos \omega}$$

## The autoregressive process

Expressed in matrix form, the Yule-Walker equations may be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_y(0) & r_y(-1) & \dots & r_y(-n) \\ r_y(1) & r_y(0) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & r_y(-1) \\ r_y(n) & \dots & & r_y(0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_e^2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $a_k = 0$  for  $k > p$ . Let

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = [a_1 \dots a_n]^T$$


Using all but the first row then yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_y(1) \\ \vdots \\ r_y(n) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} r_y(0) & \dots & r_y(-n+1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_y(n-1) & \dots & r_y(0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

or, with obvious definitions,  $\mathbf{r}_n + \mathbf{R}_n \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{0}$ , implying that


$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{r}}_n$$

## The autoregressive process



AR(1)-process  $Y(t) = -0.8Y(t-1) + e(t)$ ; (a) realisation, (b) covf. func., (c) scatter-plot and (d) spectral density.

AR(2)-process  $Y(t) = 1.131Y(t-1) - 0.64Y(t-2) + e(t)$ ; (a) realisation, (b) covf. func., (c) scatter-plot and (d) spectral density.




From the ACF, we are unable to determine the model order. In this case, it is an AR(4)-process, such that

$$A(z) = 1 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + a_3 z^{-3} + a_4 z^{-4}$$

As  $\phi_y(\omega) = \sigma_e^2 |A(\omega)|^{-2}$ , the roots of  $A(z)$  determines where the peaks of the PSD will be located. If  $y_t \in \mathbb{R}$ , these will be symmetric; the PSD will thus have two peaks for the positive frequencies.

## The autoregressive moving average process



An ARMA( $p,q$ )-process contains both an AR- and an MA-part, such that

$$A(z)y_t = C(z)e_t$$

where  $e_t$  is a zero-mean white noise process with variance  $\sigma_e^2$ . The process is stationary if the roots of  $A(z) = 0$  lie within the unit circle.

An ARMA( $p,q$ ) process will satisfy

$$m_y = 0$$

$$\phi_y(\omega) = \frac{|C(\omega)|^2}{|A(\omega)|^2} \sigma_e^2$$

$$r_y(k) + \sum_{\ell=1}^p a_\ell r_y(k - \ell) = 0 \quad \text{for } |k| > q$$

## The autoregressive moving average process

*Example:*

Consider a real-valued ARMA(1,1) process, defined as

$$y_t + a_1 y_{t-1} = e_t + c_1 e_{t-1}$$

where  $e_t$  is a zero-mean white noise process. The autocovariance of  $y_t$  may then be formed by multiplying with  $y_{t-k}$  and taking the expectation, i.e.,

$$E\{y_t y_{t-k} + a_1 y_{t-1} y_{t-k}\} = E\{e_t y_{t-k} + c_1 e_{t-1} y_{t-k}\}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} r_y(0) + a_1 r_y(1) &= E\{e_t y_t\} + c_1 E\{e_{t-1} y_t\} \\ &= E\{e_t (-a_1 y_{t-1} + e_t + c_1 e_{t-1})\} + c_1 E\{e_{t-1} y_t\} \\ &= \sigma_e^2 + c_1 E\{e_{t-1} (-a_1 y_{t-1} + e_t + c_1 e_{t-1})\} \\ &= (1 + c_1^2 - c_1 a_1) \sigma_e^2 \\ r_y(1) + a_1 r_y(0) &= E\{e_t y_{t-1}\} + c_1 E\{e_{t-1} y_{t-1}\} = c_1 \sigma_e^2 \end{aligned}$$

and  $r_y(k) = -a_1 r_y(k-1)$ , for  $k \geq 2$ .

Inserting  $r_y(1)$  from the latter equation into the former yields

$$\begin{aligned} r_y(0) &= -a_1 (c_1 \sigma_e^2 - a_1 r_y(0)) + (1 + c_1^2 - c_1 a_1) \sigma_e^2 \\ &= \frac{1 + c_1^2 - 2c_1 a_1}{1 - a_1^2} \sigma_e^2 \\ r_y(1) &= \left( c_1 - a_1 \frac{1 + c_1^2 - 2c_1 a_1}{1 - a_1^2} \right) \sigma_e^2 = \frac{(1 - c_1 a_1)(c_1 - a_1)}{1 - a_1^2} \sigma_e^2 \end{aligned}$$

Normalizing with  $r_y(0)$ , the ACF is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_y(1) &= \frac{(1 - c_1 a_1)(c_1 - a_1)}{1 + c_1^2 - 2c_1 a_1} \\ \rho_y(k) &= (-a_1)^{k-1} \rho_y(1) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\rho_y(k)$  exhibit an exponential decay for lags larger than one. In general, for an ARMA( $p,q$ ) process, this exponential decay will start after  $\lceil q - p \rceil$ .