

Chapter 6: Working Classes

Class Foundations: Abstract Data Types (ADTs)

- ADT is collection of data and operations to do work on that data
- Without understanding ADTs, programmers create classes that are "classes" in name only - in reality, they are little more than convenient carrying cases for loosely related collections of data and routines.
- With an understanding of ADTs, programmers can create classes that are easier to implement initially and easier to modify over time.
- Main idea is giving yourself the ability to work in the problem domain rather than at the low-level implementation domain.

Example of the Need for an ADT

- Suppose writing a program to control text output to the screen using a variety of typefaces, point sizes, and font attributes.
- If you use an ADT, you'll have a group of font routines bundled with the data they operate on. The collection of font routines and data is an ADT
- If not using an ADT, you'll need an ad hoc approach to manipulating fonts
 - o `currentFont.size = 16`
- If you have a collection of library routines, code may be slightly more readable
 - o `currentFont.size = PointsToPixels(12)`
- Or could provide a more specific name for the attribute
 - o `currentFont.sizeInPixels = PointsToPixels(12)`
- But what you cant do is have both `currentFont.sizeInPixels` and `currentFont.sizeInPoints`, because if both in play, then `currentFont` wont have any way to know which of the two to use.

Benefits of Using ADTs

- The problem with the ad hoc approach isn't that its bad practice. Rather, its that you can replace the approach with a better programming practice that produces these benefits:
 - o You can hide implementation details
 - o Changes don't affect the whole program
 - o You can make the interface more informative
 - o Its easier to improve performance
 - o The program is more obviously correct
 - o The program becomes more self-documenting
 - o You don't have to pass data all over your program
 - o You're able to work with real world entities rather than low level implementation structures
- `currentFont.SetSizeInPoints(sizeInPoints)`
- `currentFont.SetSizeInPixels(sizeInPixels)`
- `currentFont.SetBoldOn()`
- `currentFont.SetBoldOff()`

- currentFont.SetItalicOn()
- currentFont.SetItalicOff()
- currentFont.SetTypeFace(faceName)

More Examples of ADTs

- Light
 - o turn on
 - o turn off
- Blender
 - o turn on
 - o turn off
 - o set speed
- Fuel Tank
 - o fill tank
 - o drain tank
 - o get tank capacity
 - o get tank status
- Stacks, Lists, Queues

ADTs and Classes

- One way of thinking of a class is as an abstract data type plus inheritance and polymorphism (same interface for differing underlying data types) --> __repr__, __add__, etc definitions
- Good Class Interfaces
- Good Abstraction
 - o Every routine in the interface is working towards consistent end within the scope of the abstraction
- Bad Abstraction
 - o Miscellaneous collection of functions
- Each class should implement one and only one ADT, or if you can't determine what ADT the class implements, it's time to reorganize the class into one or more well-defined ADTs

```

Bad
Public:
void addEmployee(Employee)    1 Employee
void removeEmployee(Employee) 1

Employee NextItemInList()    2 List Item
Employee FirstItem()         2
Employee LastItem()          2

Good
Public:
void addEmployee(Employee)    1 Employee
void removeEmployee(Employee) 1
Employee NextEmployee()       1
Employee FirstEmployee()      1
Employee LastEmployee()       1

Private:
ListContainer m_EmployeeList  2 the class used is now hidden

```

- Provide service in pairs, if you have an operation, there is usually an opposite or inverse operation
- **Make interfaces programmatic, not semantic**
 - o Programmatic part consists of data types and other attributes that can be enforced by a compiler
 - o Semantic part is the assumptions about how the interface will be used that cannot be enforced by a compiler (proper initialization, sequences)
 - The semantic interface should be well documented
 - Look for ways to convert semantic elements to programmatic by using Asserts or other techniques
 - o **Beware of erosion of the interfaces abstraction under modification**
 - o **DONT ADD PUBLIC INTERFACE ROUTINES THAT ARE OUT OF LINE WITH ORIGINAL PURPOSE AND CLASS-IFICATION**

Good Encapsulation

- Minimize accessibility
 - o Don't expose member data in public
 - use getters and setters
 - o Avoid putting private implementation details into a classes interface
 - o Don't make assumptions about the class's users
 - should be designed and implemented to adhere to the contract implied by the class interface
 - o Avoid friend classes (actual thing, not a metaphor)
 - o Don't put a routine into the public interface just because it uses only public routines
 - o Favor read-time convenience to write-time convenience
 - code is read far more times than it is written
 - o Don't do semantic violations
 - o **"It isn't abstract if you have to look at the underlying implementation to understand what's going on"**

Design and Implementation Issues

- Defining good class interfaces goes a long way towards creating a high quality program
- The internal class design and implementations are also important.

Containment - ("has a" Relationships)

- Containment is the simple idea that a class contains a primitive data element of object.\

- More is written about inheritance b/c its difficult, but Containment still slaps
- "has a"
 - o employee has a phone number
 - o has a name
 - o has a tax ID
- Implement "has a" through private inheritance as a last resort
- Limit yourself to 7+-2 data members

Inheritance - ("is a" Relationships)

- Inheritance is the idea that one class is a specialization of another class.
- The purpose of inheritance is to create simpler code by defining a base class that specifies common elements of two or more derived classes
- The common elements can be
 - o routine interfaces
 - o implementations
 - o data members
 - o data types

Inheritance as a Whole

- When deciding to use, need to make several decisions:
 - o For each member routine, will the routine be visible to derived classes? Will it have a default implementation? Will the default implementation be overridable?
 - o For each data member (including variables, named constants, enumerations, and so on), will the data member be visible to derived classes?
- How to make these decisions:
 - o Implement "is a" through public interface
 - if the derived class isn't going to adhere COMPLETELY to the same interface contract defined by the base class, inheritance is not the right technique
 - o "Design and document for inheritance, or prohibit it"

Inherited routines

- Abstract overridable routine: means the derived class inherits from the routines interface, but not its implementation
- Overridable routine: means that the derived class inherits the routines interface and a default implementation, and it is allowed to the default implementation
- Non-overridable routine: means that the derived class inherits the routines interface and its default implementation and is not allowed to override the routines implementation
- **"don't reuse names of non-overridable base-class routines in derived classes"**

Other rules of thumb:

- Move common interfaces, data and behavior as high as possible in the inheritance tree. The higher you move interfaces, data and behavior, the more easily derived classes can use them

- Be suspicious of classes of which there is only one instance. A single instance might indicate that the design confuses objects with classes. Can the variation of the derived class be represented in data rather than as a distinct class?
- Be suspicious of base classes of which there is only one derived class
- Be suspicious of classes that override a routine and do nothing inside the derived routine
- **Fix the base class to handle variations in derived**

Multiple Inheritance

- **Is a thing, but gets overly complex easily**
- **(very short section on this saying to stay away from it, lmao)**

Member Functions and Data

- Guidelines:
 - o Keep number of routines in a class as small as possible
 - higher number of routines per class are associated with higher fault rates
 - o Disallow implicitly generated member functions and operators you don't want
 - o Minimize the number of different routines called by a class
 - Higher fault rates correlate to the total number of routines called from within a class
 - The more classes a class uses, the higher its fault rates
 - o Minimize indirect routine calls to other classes
 - `account.ContactPerson().DaytimeContactInfo().PhoneNumber() = BAD`
- Basically:
 - o Minimize the number of kinds of object instantiated
 - o Min number of different direct routine calls on instantiated objects
 - o Min num of routine calls on objects returned by other instantiated obj

Constructors

- Guidelines:
 - o Initialize all member data in all constructors
 - o Enforce singleton property by using a private constructor
 - o Prefer deep copies to shallow copies until proven otherwise