Regularized Equality-Constrained Quadratic Programming

Anton Xue and Nikolai Matni

1 Introduction

We look at regularized approximations of equality-constrained quadratic programming. In particular, how much does the optimal solution deviate when a regularizer is introduced?

2 Background

Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe [1].

2.1 Equality Constrained Quadratic Programming

A quadratic program with equality constraints [1] is

minimize
$$\frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Qx$$
 (1)

subject to
$$Ax = b$$
 (2)

with variable in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $Q \succeq 0$ and the constraint $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is, for our purposes, fat and full rank.

The ℓ^2 regularized version for $\lambda > 0$ looks like

minimize
$$\frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Qx + \lambda x^{\top}x \tag{3}$$

subject to
$$Ax = b$$
 (4)

2.2 Karush-Kuhn-Tucker Conditions

The Lagrangian for (1) is of form

$$L(x,p) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx + p^{\mathsf{T}}(Ax - b)$$

for which the optimality conditions [1] are

$$Qx^* + A^\top p^* = b, \qquad Ax^* = b$$

or more compcatctly expressed:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\star} \\ p^{\star} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5)

On the other hand the Lagrangian of the regularized problem (3) is

$$L_{\lambda}(x,p) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}(Q + \lambda I)x + p^{\top}(Ax - b)$$

which has the KKT conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q + \lambda I & A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{\lambda}^{\star} \\ p_{\lambda}^{\star} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (6)

2.3 Matrix Inversion

Consider a symmetric matrix

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^{\top} & C \end{bmatrix}$$

when $A \succ 0$, define the Schur complement $S = C - B^{T}A^{-1}B$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^\top & C \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}BS^{-1}B^\top A^{-1} & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ -S^{-1}B^\top A^{-1} & S^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Bounding Norms

Noting that by linearity

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\star} \\ p^{\star} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\star} \\ p^{\star} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q + \lambda I & A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\star} \\ p^{\star} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda x^{\star} \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

and so through subtracting equations,

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q + \lambda I & A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\star} - x_{\lambda}^{\star} \\ p^{\star} - p_{\lambda}^{\star} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda x^{\star} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Given our assumptions on $Q + \lambda I > 0$ and A is full rank. For ease of notation, define $\Lambda = Q + \lambda I$. The Schur complement is then $S = -A\Lambda^{-1}A^{\top}$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^\star - x_\lambda^\star \\ p^\star - p_\lambda^\star \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1} + \Lambda^{-1} A^\top S^{-1} A \Lambda^{-1} & -\Lambda^{-1} A^\top S^{-1} \\ -S^{-1} A \Lambda^{-1} & S^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda x^\star \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In other words:

$$x^{\star} - x_{\lambda}^{\star} = \left(\Lambda^{-1} - \Lambda^{-1} A^{\top} (A \Lambda^{-1} A^{\top})^{-1} A \Lambda^{-1}\right) \lambda x^{\star}$$

To simplify notation slightly, use $\Gamma = \Lambda^{-1}$ because it looks like an upside-down L. Then

$$x^{\star} - x_{\lambda}^{\star} = \left(\Gamma - \Gamma A^{\top} (A \Gamma A^{\top})^{-1} A \Gamma\right) \lambda x^{\star}$$

Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & q_n \end{bmatrix}, \qquad q_1 \ge \dots \ge q_n > 0.$$

Then for $\lambda \leq q_n$,

$$||x^{\star} - x_{\lambda}^{\star}|| \le \left(\frac{\lambda}{q_n + \lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{q_1 + \lambda}\right) ||x^{\star}||$$

Proof. First note that

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 + \lambda & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & q_n + \lambda \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma = \Lambda^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{q_1 + \lambda} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \frac{1}{q_n + \lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$

We seek the bound the RHS of the inequality

$$||x^{\star} - x_{\lambda}^{\star}|| \le ||\Gamma - \Gamma A^{\top} (A \Gamma A^{\top})^{-1} A \Gamma || \cdot \lambda ||x^{\star}||$$

The primary challenge here is correctly lower bounding the $\Gamma A^{\top} (A \Gamma A^{\top})^{-1} A \Gamma$ term. For this we upper bound the $A \Gamma A^{\top}$ term. Letting

$$A = U\Sigma V^{\top} = U\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{\top} \\ V_2^{\top} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \Sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \sigma_m \end{bmatrix}$$

be an SVD of A; an upper bound of $A\Gamma A^{\top}$ is

$$A\Gamma A^{\top} = U\Sigma_1 V_1^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{q_1 + \lambda} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{q_n + \lambda} \end{bmatrix} V_1 \Sigma_1 U^{\top} \preceq \frac{1}{q_n + \lambda} U\Sigma_1^2 U^{\top}$$

Consequently, a lower bound for $\Gamma A^{\top} (A \Gamma A^{\top})^{-1} A \Gamma$ is

$$\Gamma A^{\top} (A \Gamma A^{\top})^{-1} A \Gamma \succeq \Gamma V_1 \Sigma_1 U^{\top} \left(\frac{1}{q_n + \lambda} U \Sigma_1^2 U^{\top} \right)^{-1} U \Sigma_1 V_1^{\top} \Gamma = (q_n + \lambda) \Gamma V_1 V_1^{\top} \Gamma \succeq \frac{q_n + \lambda}{q_1 + \lambda} \Gamma$$

Then using this,

$$\Gamma - \Gamma A^{\top} (A \Gamma A^{\top})^{-1} A \Gamma \leq \Gamma - \frac{q_n + \lambda}{q_1 + \lambda} \Gamma \leq \left(\frac{1}{q_n + \lambda} - \frac{1}{q_1 + \lambda} \right) I$$

for which we derive the desired bound

$$||x^{\star} - x_{\lambda}^{\star}|| \leq ||\Gamma - \Gamma A^{\top} (A \Gamma A^{\top})^{-1} A \Gamma|| \cdot \lambda ||x^{\star}|| \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{q_n + \lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{q_1 + \lambda}\right) ||x^{\star}||$$

4 Optimal Value Deviation

One challenge with merely bounding $||x^* - x_{\lambda}^*||$ is that this norm difference may grow unbounded. Consider an instance where the objective Q has a non-trivial kernel: we may have

$$\|\Pi_{\ker Q} x^{\star}\| \gg \|\Pi_{\ker Q} x_{\lambda}^{\star}\|$$

where $\Pi_{\ker Q}$ is the projection onto $\ker Q$; this is possible because the regularized problems would force $\Pi_{\ker Q} x_{\lambda}^{\star}$ to be close to the origin rather than hiding far away from zero inside $\ker Q$. Instead, another way of examining sub-optimality is to consider the difference

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_{\lambda}^{\star})^{\top}Qx_{\lambda}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2}(x^{\star})^{\top}Qx^{\star} > 0$$

which we know holds because x_{λ}^{\star} is no more optimal than x^{\star} .

Theorem 2 ([2]). If X is an $n \times q$ matrix contained in the column-space of an $n \times n$ symmetrical matrix V, then

$$(V + XX^{\top})^{\dagger} = V^{\dagger} - V^{\dagger}X(I + X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X)^{-1}X^{\top}V^{\dagger}$$

where "to be in the column-space" means the same as $VV^{\dagger}X=X$, and in a more general case $(I-VV^{\dagger})X\neq 0$.

Theorem 3. Define

$$Z = I - A^{\dagger}A, \qquad \hat{x} = A^{\dagger}b$$

so that solutions take form $\hat{x} + Zv$, then a bound on the optimality gap is

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_{\lambda}^{\star})^{\top}Qx_{\lambda}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2}(x^{\star})^{\top}Qx^{\star} = O\left(\frac{\lambda q_0}{q_0 - \lambda}\right)$$

where q_0 is the smallest non-zero eigenvalue of Q and $\lambda < q_0$.

Proof. Let $\hat{x} = A^{\dagger}b$, and $\Lambda = Q + \lambda I$, then

$$x^* = \hat{x} - Zu, \qquad x^*_{\lambda} = \hat{x} - Zy$$

where

$$Z = I - A^{\dagger}A, \qquad u = (Z^{\top}MZ)^{\dagger}Z^{\top}Q\hat{x}, \qquad y = (Z^{\top}\Lambda Z)^{\dagger}Z^{\top}\Lambda\hat{x}$$

which can be derived from examining the optimality conditions of the quadratic problem. However, because $\hat{x} \in \operatorname{ran} A^{\top} = (\ker A)^{\perp}$, there is a further simplification as $Z\hat{x} = 0$:

$$y = (Z^{\top} \Lambda Z)^{\dagger} Z^{\top} (Q + \lambda I) \hat{x} = (Z^{\top} \Lambda Z)^{\dagger} Z^{\top} Q \hat{x}$$

Comparing the objective values attained by x_{λ}^{\star} and x^{\star} , we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}(x_{\lambda}^{\star})^{\top}Qx_{\lambda}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2}(x^{\star})^{\top}Qx^{\star} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x} + Zy)^{\top}Q(\hat{x} + Zy) - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x} + Zu)^{\top}Q(\hat{x} + Zu) \\ &= (\hat{x})^{\top}QZ(y - u) + \frac{1}{2}y^{\top}Z^{\top}QZy - \frac{1}{2}u^{\top}Z^{\top}QZu \\ &= (\hat{x})^{\top}QZ(y - u) + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\left\|Q^{1/2}Zy\right\|^2 - \left\|Q^{1/2}Zu\right\|^2\Big) \\ &= (\hat{x})^{\top}QZ(y - u) + \frac{1}{2}\Big[\Big(\left\|Q^{1/2}Zu\right\| + \left\|Q^{1/2}Zy\right\|\Big)\Big(\left\|Q^{1/2}Zy\right\| - \left\|Q^{1/2}Zu\right\|\Big)\Big] \\ &\leq (\hat{x})^{\top}QZ(y - u) + \frac{1}{2}\Big[\Big(\left\|Q^{1/2}Zu\right\| + \left\|Q^{1/2}Zy\right\|\Big) \cdot \left\|Q^{1/2}Z(y - u)\right\|\Big] \qquad \text{(Reverse triangle)} \end{split}$$

Which simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_{\lambda}^{\star})^{\top}Qx_{\lambda}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2}(x^{\star})^{\top}Qx^{\star} \le \left(\|Q^{1/2}\hat{x}\| + \frac{1}{2}\|Q^{1/2}Zu\| + \frac{1}{2}\|Q^{1/2}Zy\| \right) \cdot \|Q^{1/2}Z(y - u)\|$$
(7)

We now apply to Theorem 2 to $Q^{1/2}Zy$: identifying the mapping $V \mapsto Z^{\top}QZ$ and $X \mapsto \sqrt{\lambda}Z$, first show that X lies in the column space of V. For this, consider the eigen decomposition

$$V = FDF^{\mathsf{T}}, \qquad D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_k, 0, \dots, 0), \qquad X = \sqrt{\lambda}F$$

where F is orthogonal. Then $D^{\dagger} = \operatorname{diag}(d_1^{-1}, \dots, d_k^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ and so

$$VV^{\dagger}X = (FDF^{\top})(FD^{\dagger}F^{\top})\sqrt{\lambda}F = \sqrt{\lambda}F = X$$

thus, Theorem 2 applies and

$$Q^{1/2}Zy = Q^{1/2}Z(Z^{\top}(Q+\lambda I)Z)^{\dagger}Z^{\top}Q\hat{x}$$

= $Q^{1/2}Z[V^{\dagger} - V^{\dagger}X(I+X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X)^{-1}X^{\top}V^{\dagger}]Z^{\top}Q\hat{x}$

With this, we now bound the terms that appear in (7). For the easy one:

$$\|Q^{1/2}\hat{x}\| = \|Q^{1/2}A^{\dagger}b\| \le \lambda_{\max}(Q)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}(A)} \|b\|$$
 (8)

For the inside second term, accounting for the fact that Z is a projection,

$$\|Q^{1/2}Zu\| = \|Q^{1/2}ZV^{\dagger}Z^{\top}QA^{\dagger}b\| \le \lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \frac{1}{q_0} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}(A)} \|b\|$$
 (9)

where q_0 is the smallest non-zero eigenvalue of Q. For the third inside term:

$$\begin{split} & \|Q^{1/2}Zy\| \\ & = \|Q^{1/2}Z\big[V^{\dagger} - V^{\dagger}X(I + X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X)^{-1}X^{\top}V^{\dagger}\big]Z^{\top}QA^{\dagger}b\| \\ & \leq \|Q^{1/2}ZV^{\dagger}Z^{\top}QA^{\dagger}b\| + \|Q^{1/2}ZV^{\dagger}X(I + X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X)^{-1}X^{\top}V^{\dagger}Z^{\top}QA^{\dagger}b\| \\ & \leq \|Q^{1/2}ZV^{\dagger}Z^{\top}QA^{\dagger}b\| + \|Q\|^{3/2}\|V^{\dagger}\|^{2} \cdot \|X\|^{2} \cdot \|A^{\dagger}b\| \cdot \|(I + X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X)^{-1}\| \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|}{q_{0}\sigma_{\min}(A)} + \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|\lambda}{q_{0}^{2}\sigma_{\min}(A)} \cdot \|(I + X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X)^{-1}\| \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|}{q_{0}\sigma_{\min}(A)} + \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|\lambda}{q_{0}^{2}\sigma_{\min}(A)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \|X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X\|^{l} \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|}{q_{0}\sigma_{\min}(A)} + \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|\lambda}{q_{0}^{2}\sigma_{\min}(A)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\lambda/q_{0})^{l} \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|}{q_{0}\sigma_{\min}(A)} + \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2} \cdot \|b\|\lambda}{q_{0}^{2}\sigma_{\min}(A)} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q_{0}}\right)^{-1} \end{split}$$

which requires $\lambda < q_0$ for convergence. Finally for the right outer term:

$$\|Q^{1/2}Z(y-u)\| = \|Q^{1/2}ZV^{\dagger}X(I+X^{\top}V^{\dagger}X)^{-1}X^{\top}V^{\dagger}Z^{\top}QA^{\dagger}b\| \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3/2}\|b\|\lambda}{q_0^2\sigma_{\min}(A)} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q_0}\right)^{-1}$$

Putting these together, we find that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}(x_{\lambda}^{\star})^{\top}Qx_{\lambda}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2}x^{\star}Qx^{\star} \\ &\leq \left(\left\| Q^{1/2}\hat{x} \right\| + \frac{1}{2} \left\| Q^{1/2}Zu \right\| + \frac{1}{2} \left\| Q^{1/2}Zy \right\| \right) \cdot \left\| Q^{1/2}Z(y-u) \right\| \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\max}(Q)^{2}, \lambda_{\max}(Q)^{3}\} \cdot \max\{q_{0}^{-2}, q_{0}^{-3}\} \cdot \left(\frac{\|b\|}{\sigma_{\min}(A)} \right)^{2} O\left(\frac{q_{0}\lambda}{q_{0} - \lambda} \right) \end{split}$$

References

[1] Stephen P Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[2] Pavel Kovanic. "On the pseudoinverse of a sum of symmetric matrices with applications to estimation". In: *Kybernetika* 15.5 (1979), pp. 341–348.