

# Measures on Languages

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Notation

Let  $\Sigma$  denote a non-empty, countable alphabet. Unless otherwise specified, assume  $|\Sigma| < \infty$ . Write  $\varepsilon$  to mean the empty string.

Let  $\Sigma^*$  be the set of all finite strings from  $\Sigma$ . Write strings as  $w$  or  $s$ , whichever happens to be more convenient.

Let  $L$  denote a language, implicitly over  $\Sigma$ . In other words,  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ .

We treat the empty language  $\emptyset$  as distinct from the language with a single empty string  $\{\varepsilon\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a family of languages.

## 2 Measures

Given a family of languages  $\mathcal{L}$ , let  $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated on  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying the following:

(1)

$$\emptyset, \Sigma^* \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})$$

(2)

$$L \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}) \implies L^c = \Sigma^* \setminus L \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})$$

(3)

$$L_0, L_1, \dots \in \sigma(\mathcal{L}) \implies \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} L_k \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})$$

Then  $(\mathcal{L}, \sigma(\mathcal{L}))$  is a measurable space.

*Remark 1.* If  $\mathcal{L}$  happened to be a family of regular languages, there is no guarantee that  $\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  will still be a family of regular languages. A counter example is the following:

$$L_0 = \{\varepsilon\} \quad L_1 = \{ab\} \quad L_2 = \{aabb\} \quad \dots \quad L_k = \{a^k b^k\} \quad \dots$$

But taking the countable union yields:

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} L_k = \{a^k b^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

Which is not regular. □

## 2.1 Defining Measures

### 2.1.1 From Non-negative Integers

We first consider the non-negative integers  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $\eta$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The  $\sigma$ -finite conditions ensures that no strange singularities occur for any integers under consideration. We may later restrict  $\eta$  to be finite if necessary, if we want nicer conditions.

Observe that, by abuse of notation:

$$\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^k$$

In English:  $\Sigma^*$  is the union of the set (language) of finite strings of length  $k$ , denoted  $\Sigma^k$ .

Because we assumed  $|\Sigma| < \infty$ , this also means that:  $|\Sigma^k| = |\Sigma|^k$ .

Consider now some language  $L \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})$ . Also decompose  $L$  into disjoint sub-languages by length as follows:

$$L = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} L_k$$

Of course,  $L_k \subseteq \Sigma^k$ .

Because we are able to precisely calculate  $|\Sigma^k|$ , one “natural” way of defining a measure  $\lambda$  on the measurable space  $(\mathcal{L}, \sigma(\mathcal{L}))$  is as follows:

$$\lambda(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda(L_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|L_k|}{|\Sigma^k|} \eta(k)$$

We claim that  $(\mathcal{L}, \sigma(\mathcal{L}), \lambda)$  forms a measure space.

**Theorem 1.**  $\lambda$  is a measure.

*Proof.* We check (1) measure under empty set is zero and (2) countable additivity, which will satisfy the requirements of a measure.

- (1) Observe that  $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$  because the sum will be trivial.
- (2) Let  $L_0, L_1, L_2, \dots$  be a countable collection of pairwise disjoint languages. We decompose each of these languages into a countably indexed set, where  $L_{j,k}$  is the  $j$ th language's sub-language that only contains strings of length  $k$ . In other words:

$$L_j = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} L_{j,k}$$

Observe that by (de)-construction, for any fixed  $j$  and for all  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , we have  $L_{j,k_1}$  and  $L_{j,k_2}$  are pairwise disjoint.

However, we have a stronger condition because each  $L_j$  is assumed to be pairwise disjoint. Thus, for all  $j_1 \neq j_2$  and  $k_1 \neq k_2$ ,  $L_{j_1,k_1}$  and  $L_{j_2,k_2}$  are disjoint. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} L_j\right) &= \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} L_{j,k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} L_{j,k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|L_{j,k}|}{|\Sigma^k|} \eta(k) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda(L_j) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\lambda$  is indeed a measure. □