# Splicing-Based Measures on Formal Languages

### 1 Introduction

In this sketch we study measure spaces on formal languages and a few consequences.

## 2 Preliminaries

#### 2.1 Measure Theory

Let X be a set. A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma \subseteq 2^X$  is a set that satisfies the following:

- (a)  $\emptyset \in \Sigma$  and  $X \in \Sigma$ .
- (b) If  $A \in \Sigma$ , then  $X \setminus A \in \Sigma$ .
- (c) If  $(A_n) \subseteq \Sigma$  is a countable collection of sets, then:

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \Sigma$$

The pairing  $(X, \Sigma)$  is called a measureable space.

A measure is a function  $\mu \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  such that:

- (a)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- (b) If  $(A_n) \subseteq \Sigma$  is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint sets, then:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_n\right)$$

Together  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is called a measure space.

#### 2.2 Formal Language Theory

An alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of symbols. A finite word w is a finite sequence of symbols from  $\Sigma$ , and let  $\emptyset$  denote the empty word, which is also finite. The length of a word is written |w|. Write  $\Sigma^n$  to mean the set of all words of length n, and write  $\Sigma^*$  to mean the set of all finite words. A language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is a set of words.

#### 2.3 Metric Spaces

A metric space (M,d) is a set M with a distance  $d: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  such that for all  $x,y,z \in M$ :

- (a)  $d(x,y) \ge 0$
- (b) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y
- (c) d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- (d)  $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

## 3 Splicing-Based Measures

Consider a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ . The *n*-splice of a language written as  $L^n$  is defined as:

$$L^n = L \cap \Sigma^n$$

We then have the following relations:

$$L = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L^n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (L \cap \Sigma^n) = L \cap \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^n = L \cap \Sigma^* = L$$

Suppose that  $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \eta)$  is a probability measure space on  $\mathbb{N}$  with the probability measure  $\eta$ , one way to define a measure  $\lambda_{\eta}$  is as follows:

$$\lambda_{\eta}\left(L\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|L^{n}|}{|\Sigma^{n}|} \eta\left(n\right)$$

**Theorem 1.**  $(\Sigma^*, 2^{\Sigma^*}, \lambda_{\eta})$  is a measure space.

*Proof.* Since the  $2^{\Sigma^*}$  is the largest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Sigma^*$ , it suffices to show that  $\lambda_{\eta}$  is a measure.

To see that  $\emptyset$  is mapped to 0:

$$\lambda_{\eta}(\emptyset) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\emptyset|}{|\Sigma^{n}|} \eta(n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 0 = 0$$

Now take  $(A_n) \subseteq \Sigma^*$  to be a countable collection of disjoint sets. Write  $A_n^k$  to denote the k splice of the nth set. In other words:

$$A_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_n^k$$

Observe that all such  ${\cal A}_n^k$  are pairwise disjoint by construction, and so:

$$\lambda_{\eta}\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{n}\right)=\lambda_{\eta}\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}A_{n}^{k}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\lambda_{\eta}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}A_{n}^{k}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left|A_{n}^{k}\right|}{\left|\Sigma^{n}\right|}\eta\left(k\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\lambda_{\eta}\left(n\right)$$

We conclude that  $(\Sigma^*, 2^{\Sigma^*}, \lambda_{\eta})$  forms a measure space.

We can generalize this more. Suppose that  $\nu = (\nu_n)$  is a countable collection of measures where each  $\nu_n$  is defined on the splice  $\Sigma^n$ . Then we can extend a definition of  $\lambda_{\eta,\nu}$  as:

$$\lambda_{\eta,\nu}\left(A\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu\left(A^{n}\right) \eta\left(n\right)$$

**Theorem 2.**  $(\Sigma^*, 2^{\Sigma^*}, \lambda_{\eta, \nu})$  is a measure space.

*Proof.* As with before, we only show that  $\lambda_{\eta,\nu}$  is a measure.

For  $\emptyset$  we have again:

$$\lambda_{\eta,\nu}\left(\emptyset\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 0 = 0$$

Again take  $(A_n) \subseteq \Sigma^*$  to be a countable disjoint collection of sets, and  $A_n^k$  to be the k splice of  $A_n$ . Then:

$$\lambda_{\eta,\nu}\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{n}\right) = \lambda_{\eta,\nu}\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}A_{n}^{k}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\lambda_{\eta,\nu}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}A_{n}^{k}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\nu_{k}\left(A_{n}^{k}\right)\eta\left(k\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\lambda_{\eta,\nu}\left(A_{n}\right)$$

This shows that  $(\Sigma^*, 2^{\Sigma^*}, \lambda_{\eta,\nu})$  is a measure space.

#### 4 Measure Induced Metrics

For a measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , an interesting consequence is that a metric space can be defined on  $\Sigma^*$  as follows:

$$d(A, B) = \mu(A \triangle B)$$

Where  $\triangle$  is the symmetric set difference. We now set out to show this.

**Lemma 1.**  $(A\triangle C)\subseteq (A\triangle B)\cup (B\triangle C)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that we may rewrite the above as follows:

$$(A \setminus C) \cup (C \setminus A) \subseteq [(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus C)] \cup [(B \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)]$$

It then suffices to show that:

$$A \setminus C \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus C)$$
  $C \setminus A \subseteq (B \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)$ 

We take turns examining these.

If  $x \in A \setminus C$ , then this implies that  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin C$ . There are now two cases, where  $x \in B$  or  $x \notin B$ . First assume that  $x \in B$ , which will imply that  $x \in B \setminus C$ . Now assume that  $x \notin B$ , which will imply that  $x \in A \setminus B$ . Either way, the implication is that  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus C)$ , and so it follows that  $A \setminus C \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus C)$ .

If  $x \in C \setminus A$ , then this implies that  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin A$ . The argument is similar to the above, in which either  $x \in B$  or  $x \notin B$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in B \setminus A$ , and otherwise if  $x \notin B$  implies that  $x \in C \setminus B$ . Collectively, the two imply that  $C \setminus A \subseteq (B \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)$ .

Collectively, this shows that  $(A\triangle C)\subseteq (A\triangle B)\cup (B\triangle C)$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space, then for  $d: \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  defined as:

$$d(A, B) = \mu(A \triangle B)$$

Is a metric function.

*Proof.* We prove the conditions necessary for a metric: identity, symmetry, and triangle inequality.

As  $\mu$  is a measure, then for any  $A \in \Sigma$ :

$$d(A, A) = \mu(A \triangle A) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

By the symmetry of symmetric set difference, for any  $A, B \in \Sigma$ :

$$d(A, B) = \mu(A \triangle B) = \mu(B \triangle A) = d(B, A)$$

For any  $A, B, C \in \Sigma$ , we have by convexity as shown in the lemma above:

$$A\triangle C\subseteq (A\triangle B)\cup (B\triangle C)$$

Then by sub-additivity of measures:

$$d\left(A,C\right) = \mu\left(A\triangle C\right) \leq \mu\left(\left(A\triangle B\right) \cup \left(B\triangle C\right)\right) \leq \mu\left(A\triangle B\right) + \mu\left(A\triangle C\right) = d\left(A,B\right) + d\left(B,C\right)$$

Because  $(\Sigma^*, 2^{\Sigma^*}, \lambda_{\eta,\nu})$  is a measure space, the consequence is that for any languages  $L_1, L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , we also have a metric space as defined above.