

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}') = 0.7$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.
- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}') = 0.7$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0.5}$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{0.7}$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.

$$T(x' \rightarrow x) = T(x \rightarrow x')$$

- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}') = 0.7$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.

$$T(x' \rightarrow x) = T(x \rightarrow x')$$
$$a(x \rightarrow x') = \min(1, \frac{f(x')}{f(x)})$$

- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}') = 0.7$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.

$$\begin{aligned} T(x' \rightarrow x) &= T(x \rightarrow x') \\ a(x \rightarrow x') &= \min(1, \frac{f(x')}{f(x)}) = \min(1, 1.4) \end{aligned}$$

- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $f(\mathbf{x}') = 0.7$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.

$$\begin{aligned} T(x' \rightarrow x) &= T(x \rightarrow x') \\ a(x \rightarrow x') &= \min(1, \frac{f(x')}{f(x)}) = \min(1, 1.4) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0.5}$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{0.7}$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.

$$\begin{aligned} T(x' \rightarrow x) &= T(x \rightarrow x') \\ a(x \rightarrow x') &= \min(1, \frac{f(x')}{f(x)}) = \min(1, 1.4) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

$$a(x \rightarrow x') = \min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0.5}$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{0.7}$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.

$$\begin{aligned} T(x' \rightarrow x) &= T(x \rightarrow x') \\ a(x \rightarrow x') &= \min(1, \frac{f(x')}{f(x)}) = \min(1, 1.4) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

$$a(x \rightarrow x') = \min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')}) = \min(1, 0.5)$$



# 1 Metropolis-Hastings Mutation Strategy

Given a function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0.5}$  at position  $x$  and another neighboring function evaluation  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{0.7}$  at position  $x'$ , what is the probability of accepting a mutation from  $x$  to  $x'$  according to Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in the following two cases:

*The general formula is :*

$$\min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')})$$

- a) Assume that the mutation is proposed with uniform random perturbation.

$$\begin{aligned} T(x' \rightarrow x) &= T(x \rightarrow x') \\ a(x \rightarrow x') &= \min(1, \frac{f(x')}{f(x)}) = \min(1, 1.4) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

- b) Assume that the mutation is proposed with a transition probability  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $T(x \rightarrow x') = 0.3$  and  $T(x' \rightarrow x) = 0.1$

$$a(x \rightarrow x') = \min(1, \frac{f(x')T(x' \rightarrow x)}{f(x)T(x \rightarrow x')}) = \min(1, 0.5) = 0.5$$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) =$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) =$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) =$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) =$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) =$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) =$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) =$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) =$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) =$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) = .25 * .75$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) =$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) =$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) = .25 * .75 \approx 0.1875$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) =$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) =$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) = .25 * .75 \approx 0.1875$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) = .25 * .25 * .75$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) =$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) = .25 * .75 \approx 0.1875$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) = .25 * .25 * .75 \approx .047$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) =$



## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

- a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) = .25 * .75 \approx 0.1875$
- b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) = .25 * .25 * .75 \approx .047$
- c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) = .25 * .25 * .25 * .75$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) = .25 * .75 \approx 0.1875$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) = .25 * .25 * .75 \approx .047$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) = .25 * .25 * .25 * .75 \approx .012$

## 2 Russian Roulette

If the path termination probability  $q=0.75$ , then what is the probability of the path tracing to stop after the following number of bounces:

Termination probability:  $q = 0.75$

Further tracing probability:  $(1-q) = 0.25$

a) 2 bounces.  $p(2) = .25 * .75 \approx 0.1875$

b) 3 bounces.  $p(3) = .25 * .25 * .75 \approx .047$

c) 4 bounces.  $p(4) = .25 * .25 * .25 * .75 \approx .012$

*The general formula is:*

$$p(n) = (1 - q)^{n-1}q$$

### 3 Photon Mapping

Assume that 50 photons are deposited on a surface within a radius  $r = 0.5$  m. Each photon is carrying a flux  $\Delta\Phi = 2Watt$ . Compute the reflected radiance estimate at the surface assuming a diffuse BRDF of albedo  $\rho_d = 0.5$ .

We have the equation

$$L(x, \vec{\omega}) = \sum_{p=1}^n f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) \frac{\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p')}{\pi r^2}$$

### 3 Photon Mapping

Assume that 50 photons are deposited on a surface within a radius  $r = 0.5$  m. Each photon is carrying a flux  $\Delta\Phi = 2Watt$ . Compute the reflected radiance estimate at the surface assuming a diffuse BRDF of albedo  $\rho_d = 0.5$ .

We have the equation

$$L(x, \vec{\omega}) = \sum_{p=1}^n f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) \frac{\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p')}{\pi r^2}$$

$$f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) = \frac{\rho_d}{\pi}$$

### 3 Photon Mapping

Assume that 50 photons are deposited on a surface within a radius  $r = 0.5$  m. Each photon is carrying a flux  $\Delta\Phi = 2Watt$ . Compute the reflected radiance estimate at the surface assuming a diffuse BRDF of albedo  $\rho_d = 0.5$ .

We have the equation

$$L(x, \vec{\omega}) = \sum_{p=1}^n f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) \frac{\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p')}{\pi r^2}$$

$$f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) = \frac{\rho_d}{\pi}$$

Substitute  $\rho_d = 0.5$ ,  $\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p') = 2$ ,  $r = 0.5$  and  $n = 50$

### 3 Photon Mapping

Assume that 50 photons are deposited on a surface within a radius  $r = 0.5$  m. Each photon is carrying a flux  $\Delta\Phi = 2Watt$ . Compute the reflected radiance estimate at the surface assuming a diffuse BRDF of albedo  $\rho_d = 0.5$ .

We have the equation

$$L(x, \vec{\omega}) = \sum_{p=1}^n f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) \frac{\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p')}{\pi r^2}$$

$$f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) = \frac{\rho_d}{\pi}$$

Substitute  $\rho_d = 0.5$ ,  $\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p') = 2$ ,  $r = 0.5$  and  $n = 50$

$$L(x, \vec{\omega}) = 50 * \frac{0.5}{\pi} * \frac{2}{\pi * 0.5^2}$$

### 3 Photon Mapping

Assume that 50 photons are deposited on a surface within a radius  $r = 0.5$  m. Each photon is carrying a flux  $\Delta\Phi = 2Watt$ . Compute the reflected radiance estimate at the surface assuming a diffuse BRDF of albedo  $\rho_d = 0.5$ .

We have the equation

$$L(x, \vec{\omega}) = \sum_{p=1}^n f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) \frac{\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p')}{\pi r^2}$$

$$f_r(x, \vec{\omega}_p', \vec{\omega}) = \frac{\rho_d}{\pi}$$

Substitute  $\rho_d = 0.5$ ,  $\Delta\Phi_p(x, \vec{\omega}_p') = 2$ ,  $r = 0.5$  and  $n = 50$

$$L(x, \vec{\omega}) = 50 * \frac{0.5}{\pi} * \frac{2}{\pi * 0.5^2} = 20.264 W/m^2sr$$