## 70001 - Sample example questions with solutions

- Q1) A camera pixel measures the following LDR values at 1-stop apart: 32, 39, 52, 79, 132, 239, 255. The camera response function is known to be the function: f(x) = 4/3x + 0.1.
  - i) Estimate the linear values [0, 1] for these exposures after inverting the camera response function.
  - ii) Combine the above obtained linear values into an HDR value [0, 1] with uniform weighting.

## **Solution:**

Camera response function f(x) = 4/3x + 0.1. Inverse function  $f^{-1}(x) = (y - 0.1)*0.75$ .

i) First we compute the values in [0, 1] from original [0, 255]:  $32 \rightarrow 0.1098$ ,  $39 \rightarrow 0.12157$ ,  $52 \rightarrow 0.15294$ ,  $79 \rightarrow 0.211765$ ,  $132 \rightarrow 0.3294$ ,  $239 \rightarrow 0.5647$ . The last value 255 is ignored as it is saturated.

Transforming these values by the inverse response function  $f^{1}(x)$  linearizes them to the following values 1-stop apart: 0.01911765, 0.039706, 0.07794, 0.157353, 0.313235, 0.62794.

- ii) Given uniform weights for all values, we combine these 6 values into the final HDR value as:
- $$\begin{split} I &= ((0.01911765)/1 *1/6) + ((0.039706)/2 *1/6) + ((0.07794)/4 *1/6) + \\ &\quad ((0.157353)/8 *1/6) + ((0.313235)/16 *1/6) + ((0.62794)/32 *1/6) = \\ &\quad 0.0031863 + 0.0033088 + 0.0032475 + 0.00327818 + 0.00326286 + 0.0032705 \\ &\quad = 0.019554. \end{split}$$
- Q2) Assume a completely uniform grey sky illuminates a diffuse surface ( $\rho_d = 0.4$ ) on the ground. A camera looking at the diffuse surface records a reflected radiance of 0.3 W/(m<sup>2</sup>sr). What is the incident radiance on the surface from all directions of the grey sky?

**Solution:** Total reflected radiance towards camera  $L(\omega_r) = 0.3$ . The incident constant illumination from all directions  $L(\omega_i)$  can be computed using for the following irradiance equation:

$$L(\omega_r) = 0.3 = \rho_d/\pi * \int_{\Omega} L(\omega_i) \cos \theta_i \; d\omega.$$

Since  $L(\omega_i)$  is constant from all directions in the upper hemisphere, the equation simplifies to:

$$0.3 = (0.4/\pi) * L(\omega_i) * \int_{\Omega} \cos\theta_i d\omega.$$

 $\int_{\Omega} \cos \theta_i \, d\omega$  over the upper hemisphere =  $\pi$ . Thus, the incident constant radiance  $L(\omega_i)$  is give as:

$$L(\omega_i) = 0.3/0.4 = 0.75 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{sr}).$$

- Q3) ) If you construct a light-field camera with the main sensor resolution of 4000×4000, and a micro-lens array of resolution 200×200, what is the resolution of the viewing (u,v) plane of the light-field, and what is the resolution of the focal (s,t) plane of the light-field?
- **Solution:** With such a light-field camera, we can create images of a scene with  $200\times200$  resolution (equal to the number of micro-lenses) which is the resolution of the (s,t) focal plane. However, each micro-lens collects  $20\times20$  rays of the scene, making the resolution of the viewing plane (u,v) to be  $20\times20$ .
- Q4) Given index of refraction  $\eta = 1.65$ , estimate the reflectance at normal incidence  $\mathbf{R}_0$ . Assuming this is a dielectric surface, compute the unpolarized reflectance  $\mathbf{R}$  at an angle of incidence  $\theta_i = 45^\circ$  using the Schlick approximation formula. Also compute the angle of transmittance  $\theta_t$  across the interface for light incident at  $\theta_i$ .

**Solution:** Given index of refraction  $\eta = 1.65$ , the reflectance at normal incidence  $R_0$  can be estimated using the following:  $R_0 = (1 - \eta)^2/(1 + \eta)^2 = (1 - 1.65)^2/(1 + 1.65)^2 = 0.4225/7.0225 = 0.06016$ .

Employing the Schlick approximation formula, the unpolarized reflectance  $R = R_0 + (1 - R_0)(1 - \cos\theta)^5 = 0.06016 + 0.93984*(1 - 0.707107)^5 = 0.06016 + 0.93984* 0.002155 = 0.062185.$ 

The angle of transmittance  $\theta_t$  for light incident at  $\theta_i = 45^\circ$  is given by Snell's law:  $\eta_i \sin \theta_i = \eta_t \sin \theta_t$ .

Thus  $\theta_t = \sin^{-1}(\sin\theta_i/\eta_t)$ , since  $\eta_i = 1.0$ . This give  $\theta_t = \sin^{-1}(0.70717/1.65) = 25.3755^\circ$ .

- **Q5**) Compute the reflected radiance on a diffuse surface with albedo  $\rho_d = 0.5$  for the following two cases:
  - i) A light probe with constant radiance of  $\pi$ .
  - ii) An area light source of surface area 1.5 m² emitting unit radiance towards the surface. The area source is at a distance of 4m from the surface and incident at an angle  $\theta_i = 30^\circ$  from the surface normal. The area light is itself oriented at an angle  $\theta_o = 20^\circ$  with the incident direction.

## **Solution:**

- i) We need to compute the following integral:  $I = \int_{\Omega} f_r(x, \omega_i, \omega_r) L_i(\omega_i) \cos\theta_i d\omega_i$ , where  $\Omega$  is the upper hemisphere. For a diffuse BRDF  $f_r(x, \omega_i, \omega_r) = \rho_d/\pi$ , and the incident illumination has a constant value of  $\pi$ . Thus, the integral simplifies to:  $I = \int_{\Omega} (0.5/\pi) * \pi * \cos\theta_i d\omega_i = 0.5 \int_{\phi=0 \to 2\pi} \int_{\theta=0 \to \pi/2} \cos\theta_i * \sin\theta_i d\theta_i d\phi_i$ .  $= 0.5 * 2\pi * \int_{\theta=0 \to \pi/2} \cos\theta_i * \sin\theta_i d\theta_i = \pi * \frac{1}{2} = \pi/2$ .
- ii) We need to convert the angular integral  $I = \int_{\Omega} f_r(x, \omega_i, \omega_r) \ L_i(\omega_i) \cos\theta_i \ d\omega_i$  into an area integral over the light source as  $I_A = \int_A f_r(x, \omega_i, \omega_r) \ L_i(\omega_i) \cos\theta_i (\cos\theta_o/d^2) \ dA$ , where d is the distance to the area light source.

The above simplifies to  $I_A = (0.5/\pi) * 1.0 * \cos(30^\circ) * \cos(20^\circ)/(4*4) * 1.5 = 0.01492 * 0.866 * 0.93969 = 0.01214 W/(m<sup>2</sup> sr).$ 

**Q6**) Sample  $\omega_h$  from the isotropic Ward distribution:  $p(\omega_h) \sim \exp{-(\tan^2\theta_h/\alpha^2)}$ , given  $\alpha = 0.2$  and using two random variables  $\mu_1 = 0.3$ , and  $\mu_2 = 0.5$ . (Hint:  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  control sampling of  $\theta_h$ ,  $\varphi_h$ , resp.)

**Solution:** The isotropic Ward distribution can be sampled by inverting the given CDF and separating the two variables  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$ . Then the sampling of each variable requires drawing a random number u in [0, 1] and mapping it through the analytic inverse CDF as follows:

$$\theta_h = \arctan(\alpha \sqrt{-\log u_I})$$

$$\varphi_h = 2\pi u_2$$
.

Given  $\alpha = 0.2$ , and two random variables  $u_1 = 0.3$ , and  $u_2 = 0.5$ , we first  $u_1$  to sample  $\theta_h$  as:

$$\theta_h$$
 = arctan(0.2 \*  $\sqrt{-\log 0.3}$ ) = arctan(0.2 \* 1.09725) = arctan(0.21945) = 0.2160269 = 12.377357°.

We then employ  $u_2$  to sample  $\varphi_h$  as:  $\varphi_h = 2\pi * 0.5 = \pi = 180.0^\circ$ .

- Q7) i) Given a two layered diffusion medium with known reflectance  $\mathbf{R}_1 = 0.25$  and transmittance  $\mathbf{T}_1 = 0.65$  of the top layer, and a measured total reflectance due to the two layers  $\mathbf{R}_{12} = 0.35$ , compute the reflectance of the second layer  $\mathbf{R}_2$ .
  - ii) Also, given the measured total transmittance across both layers  $T_{12} = 0.45$ , compute the transmittance of just second layer  $T_2$ .

## **Solution:**

i) Applying the Kubelka-Munk formula:  $R_{12} = R_1 + T_1 R_2 T_1/(1 - R_1 R_2)$ 

Therefore,  $(R_{12} - R_1)(1 - R_1*R_2) = T_1*R_2*T_1$ . Substituting the values:  $(0.35 - 0.25)(1 - 0.25*R_2) = 0.65*0.65*R_2$ . This becomes:  $0.1 - 0.025*R_2 = 0.4225*R_2$ .

Thus 
$$R_2 = 0.1/(0.4225 + 0.025) = 0.1/0.4475 = 0.22346$$

ii) Now applying the formula:  $T_{12} = T_1 * T_2/(1 - R_1 * R_2)$ 

Therefore:  $0.45 = 0.65 * T_2 / (1 - 0.25 * 0.22345)$ , which leads to  $T_2 = 0.45 / 0.65 * 0.9441375 = 0.65363365$ .