

Gammapy modeling

Christoph Deil (MPIK)

Jan 5, 2017

Status

- **gammapy.utils.modeling** - our own Parameter and ParameterList class; some experimental code for XML serialisation
- **gammapy.spectrum.models** - some models using gammapy.utils.modeling, partly with to_sherpa converter
- **gammapy.image.models** - uses **Astropy models**; not used much, 2D image modeling tutorials use **Sherpa models** directly
- **gammapy.cube** has some Sherpa models (used so far) and started CombinedModel3D (no parameter handling yet)
- In short: a big mess

Options

- There are different good options, especially **Astropy** and **Sherpa** provide modeling / fitting frameworks. Both have a powerful modeling language to create complex and composite models, and many pre-implemented models.
- It is possible to extend Astropy & Sherpa and add what we need, but it's not very nice. For the built-in models an additional problem is where to pass x/y or lon/lat.
- There are other options that should be mentioned, but that I won't discuss today: astromodels, saba, Fermi ST, Gammalib, tensorflow ...

Short-term Plan

- Change existing code to use `gammapy.utils.modeling`
Milestone: no more `import gammapy.modeling` and `import sherpa`
- Add XML & YAML serialisation
Milestone: read CTA 1DC and gamma-cat models as Gammapy objects
- Add simple model evaluation on maps
Milestone: a flux and predicted counts cube of the CTA 1DC GPS survey
- For fitting, have a simple, common interface to optimisers.
Milestone: a fit with `scipy.optimize` and/or `iminuit` and/or `Sherpa` and/or `emcee`
- Write down a PIG and start work this week.
The work can be split among a few people. Interested?

Long-term plan

- The short-term plan will allow most studies / use cases for H.E.S.S. and CTA
- But it will not be efficient computationally efficient.
There's a ton of tricks one can do, e.g. use gradients, avoid evaluating the same multiple times (i.e. cache parts of model evaluation), analytical special cases, ...
- In the future, there could be whole new modeling frameworks, either in Gammapy or on top of Gammapy.
E.g. implement models in TensorFlow and use a CPU/GPU cluster to achieve orders of magnitude speedup over what Gammapy, but also others like Fermi ST or ctools do.
- And we're also not going to have a nice modeling language that supports linked parameters (hard to add, very hard to serialise) and model composition via expressions (easy to add).
- I think we can just re-evaluate feature / performance / user feedback / developer manpower and if we want build something better, probably while keeping the end-user modeling interface, i.e. without annoying users.