

Towards the homogenization of parameters within the estimators module

Bruno Khelifi

July 9, 2020

Contents

1	What we have	1
2	Analysis	3
3	Proposals	4

This note explains how the 'sigma' parameters are used in different estimators. This work aims to unify their usage and synthaxe.

1 What we have

- ExcessMapEstimator
 - `n_sigma` (float): Confidence level for the asymmetric errors expressed in number of sigma.
 - `n_sigma_ul` (float): Confidence level for the upper limits expressed in number of sigma.
 - Note: the output object is not an `astropy.Table` -> not consistent, no metadata of the confidence level for ULs, no 'sigma' threshold for the UL computation (up to the user to choose it from the output)
- FluxPointsEstimator
 - `sigma` (int): Sigma to use for asymmetric error computation.
 - `sigma_ul` (int): Sigma to use for upper limit computation.

- Note: call of ‘FluxEstimator’ (see below), no ‘sigma’ threshold for the UL computation (up to the user to choose it from the output)
- FluxEstimator
 - sigma (int): Sigma to use for asymmetric error computation.
 - sigma_ul (int): Sigma to use for upper limit computation.
 - Note: here sigma_ul is not well described (the confidence level or the sigma threshold?), call of ‘ParameterEstimator’ (see below)
- LightCurveEstimator
 - sigma (int): Sigma to use for asymmetric error computation.
 - sigma_ul (int): Sigma to use for upper limit computation.
 - Note: usage of ‘FluxEstimator’
- ParameterEstimator
 - sigma (int): Sigma to use for asymmetric error computation.
 - sigma_ul (int): Sigma to use for upper limit computation.
 - ‘err’: from the fit result directly, not using sigma!; whereas ‘errp-errn’ uses sigma via self.fit.confidence -> not consistent
 - ‘ul’: uses sigma_ul from self.fit.confidence -> not using stats.compute_upper_limit(self.n_sigma)
 - ‘ts’: Likelihood(null value) - Likelihood(minimum)
 - Note: same comments than for ‘FluxEstimator’
- ASmoothMapEstimator
 - threshold (float): Significance threshold
 - Note: the so call significance is neither a cash or wstat one!

$$(\text{counts} - \text{background}) / \text{np.sqrt}(\text{counts} + \text{background}) \rightarrow \text{not consistent, the threshold value is not stored as meta-data in the final map}$$
- TSMAPEstimator
 - error_sigma (int): Sigma for flux error
 - ul_sigma (int): Sigma for flux upper limits.

- threshold (float): If the TS value corresponding to the initial flux estimate is not above this threshold, the optimizing step is omitted to save computing time. -> not consistent with ‘ASmoothMapEstimator’: Threshold on (Cash_0 - Cash_1), not on significance
- Note: UL as `result["flux"] + ul_sigma * result["flux_err"]` -> Not consistent with the ‘ParameterEstimator’ method and not using `stats.compute_upper_limit(self.n_sigma_ul)`, threshold not stored as metadata
- SensitivityEstimator
 - sigma (float, optional): Minimum significance
- ExcessProfileEstimator
 - n_sigma (float, optional): Number of sigma to compute errors.
 - n_sigma_ul (float, optional): Number of sigma to compute upper limit.
 - Note: flux_err and flux_ul algorithms different from the TSMaPEstimator, not storing the confidence level in metadata, no ‘sigma’ threshold for the UL computation (up to the user to choose it from the output)
- modeling.fit
 - sigma (float): Number of standard deviations for the confidence level
 - Note: significance computed with `stats.excess_matching_significance`
- stats.counts_statistics
 - in `excess_matching_significance`, significance (float): Significance
 - elsewhere usage of n_sigma (float)

2 Analysis

- The names for the same parameter types can be different (e.g. error_sigma, n_sigma, etc)

- We can have the same parameter name for different parameter types (e.g. threshold)
- We have different default values according to the estimators (e.g. `n_sigma_ul` 2 or 3)
- All estimators except ‘ExcessMapEstimator’ use an `astropy.Table`
- The thresholds are not always stored as meta-data
- Not using the same algo to compute ULs
- It seems that we are not always using the same algorithms for the error and ULs computations (TBC)

3 Proposals

- For all the above-mentioned classes (even in modeling):
 - `n_sigma` (float, default: 1): Number of sigma to compute errors
 - `n_sigma_ul` (float, default: 2): Number of sigma (confidence level) to compute upper limit OR `conf_level` (float, default: 0.9545): confidence level to compute ULs
 - `n_sigma_th_ul` (float, default: 3): Number of sigma (confidence level) above which to compute upper limit
 - `ExcessMapEstimator`: change the output from dict to `astropy.Table`
 - `ParameterEstimator`: usage of `stats.compute_upper_limit?`
 - `TSMAPEstimator` and `ASmoothMapEstimator`: usage of our stat class
 - add in tables the metadata (`n_sigma`, `n_sigma_ul`, `n_sigma_th_ul`)