```
In [1]: import json
       import random
       import sys
       import numpy as np
       sys.path.insert(1, '../../src')
       from ce.algorithms.greedy_heuristics import random_solution
       from ce.algorithms.local_search import iterated_local_search, multiple_start_local_search, two_edges_neighborhood
       from ce.tsp import create_tsp, TSP
       from ce.utils.experiments import experiment, quality_plots
       Multiple start local search and iterated local search
       Nina Zukowska 148278, Antoni Solarski 148270
In [2]: problem_instance_A_path = '../../data/TSPA.csv'
       problem_instance_B_path = '../../data/TSPB.csv'
       problem_instance_C_path = '../../data/TSPC.csv'
       problem_instance_D_path = '../../data/TSPD.csv'
In [3]: tspa = create_tsp(problem_instance_A_path)
       tspb = create_tsp(problem_instance_B_path)
       tspc = create_tsp(problem_instance_C_path)
       tspd = create_tsp(problem_instance_D_path)
       Algorithms
       Multiple start local search
       def multiple_start_local_search(tsp: TSP, init_solutions: List[List[int]], neighborhood_fn):
          best_solution, best_solution_cost, total_iterations = None, 1e9, 0
          for init_solution in init_solutions:
              solution, iterations = steepest_local_search_cache(tsp, init_solution, neighborhood_fn)
              solution_cost = tsp.get_solution_cost(solution)
              if solution_cost < best_solution_cost:</pre>
                 best_solution = solution
                 best_solution_cost = solution_cost
              total_iterations += iterations
          return best_solution, total_iterations
       Iterated local search
       def iterated_local_search(tsp: TSP, init_solution: List[int], time_limit: float, neighborhood_fn):
          best_solution, best_solution_cost, total_iteration = None, 1e9, 0
          solution = init_solution
          start_time = time.time()
          while time.time() - start_time < time_limit:</pre>
              solution, iterations = steepest_local_search_cache(tsp, solution, neighborhood_fn)
              solution_cost = tsp.get_solution_cost(solution)
              if solution_cost < best_solution_cost:</pre>
                 best_solution = solution
                 best_solution_cost = solution_cost
              total_iterations +=counter += 1
              solution = break_solution(solution, end(ls_counter)
          return best_solution, total_iterations
       Breaking the local optimum
       We randomly choose 20 consecutive nodes and replace them with randomly selected ones.
       def break_solution(solution: List[int], indexes: List[int], break_length=20) -> List[int]:
          start_index = np.random.randint(0, len(solution) - break_length)
           end_index = start_index + break_length
          outer_nodes = np.array(list(set(indexes) - set(solution[:start_index]) - set(solution[end_index:])))
           replacements = np.random.choice(outer_nodes, size=break_length, replace=False).tolist()
          return solution[:start_index] + replacements + solution[end_ndex:]
       Experiments
In [5]: n_runs = 20
       n_starts = 200
       experiment_names = ["multiple start local search", "iterated local search"]
       def msls_experiment_provider(tsp: TSP, random_inits):
          return lambda x: multiple_start_local_search(tsp, random_inits[x], two_edges_neighborhood)
       def ils_experiment_provider(tsp: TSP, random_inits, ls_counter, time_limit):
          return lambda x: iterated_local_search(tsp, random_inits[x], time_limit, two_edges_neighborhood, ls_counter)
       Instance C
       Multiple start local search
In [6]: %%time
       random.seed(13)
       np.random.seed(13)
       random\_inits\_msls\_c = [[random\_solution(tspc) for i in range(n\_starts)] for j in range(n\_runs)]
       *********************************
      cost: 49247.3, (48758 - 49472) | iter: 26476.2, (26317 - 26705) | time: 91.8s, (89.7s - 102.1s)
      *************************
      CPU times: total: 31min 2s
      Wall time: 31min 2s
       Iterated local search
In [7]: %%time
       time_limit = 90.0
       ls_counter = []
       random.seed(13)
       np.random.seed(13)
       random_inits_ils_c = [i[0] for i in random_inits_msls_c]
       *******************************
                                     iter: 30997.4, (29799 - 32030) |
                                                                     time: 90.1s, (90.0s - 90.2s)
      *******************************
      CPU times: total: 30min 1s
      Wall time: 30min 1s
In [8]: print(f'number of basic local searches: {sum(ls_counter) / len(ls_counter):0.1f}, ({min(ls_counter):0.0f} - {max(ls_counter):0.0f})')
      number of basic local searches: 816.2, (788 - 842)
In [9]: results_list_c, best_solutions_c = [msls_costs_c, ils_costs_c], [msls_best_solution_c, ils_best_solution_c]
In [10]: quality_plots(results_list_c, categories=experiment_names)
                                               Categorical Scatter Plot

    multiple start local search

                                                                                iterated local search
        49400
        49200
      Derformance See Appendix 48800
        48600
        48400
         multiple start local search
                                                                                         iterated local search
                                                      Algorithm
In [11]: tspc.plot(best_solutions_c)
      2000
                                                                          2000
      1750
                                                                          1750
      1500
                                                                          1500
      1250
                                                                          1250
                                                                          1000
      1000
       750
                                                                           750
       500
                                                                           500
       250
                                                                           250
                                                               4000
                                                                                                                                   4000
                   500
                        1000
                               1500
                                     2000
                                           2500
                                                  3000
                                                         3500
                                                                                      500
                                                                                            1000
                                                                                                   1500
                                                                                                         2000
                                                                                                                2500
                                                                                                                             3500
                                                                                                                      3000
       Instance D
       Multiple start local search
In [12]: %%time
       random.seed(13)
       np.random.seed(13)
       random\_inits\_msls\_d = [[random\_solution(tspd) for i in range(n\_starts)] for j in range(n\_runs)]
       ***********************************
                                     iter: 26868.2, (26659 - 27089) |
      CPU times: total: 31min 11s
      Wall time: 31min 11s
       Iterated local search
In [13]: %%time
       time_limit = 90.0
       ls_counter = []
       random.seed(13)
       np.random.seed(13)
       random_inits_ils_d = [i[0] for i in random_inits_msls_d]
       ************************
      cost: 45152.4, (44487 - 45623) |
                                     iter: 30639.5, (29583 - 31228) |
                                                                     time: 90.0s, (90.0s - 90.1s)
      *************************
      CPU times: total: 30min
In [14]: print(f'number of basic local searches: {sum(ls_counter) / len(ls_counter):0.1f}, ({min(ls_counter):0.0f} - {max(ls_counter):0.0f})')
      number of basic local searches: 800.8, (771 - 820)
       results_list_d, best_solutions_d = [msls_costs_d, ils_costs_d], [msls_best_solution_d, ils_best_solution_d]
       quality_plots(results_list_d, categories=experiment_names)
                                               Categorical Scatter Plot
                                                                                multiple start local search
                                                                                iterated local search
         46000
         45800
        45600
      45400
45200
        45000
        44800
        44600
         multiple start local search
                                                                                         iterated local search
                                                      Algorithm
In [17]: tspd.plot(best_solutions_d)
                                                                          2000
      2000
      1750
                                                                          1750
                                                                          1500
      1500
                                                                          1250
      1250
      1000
                                                                          1000
       750
                                                                           750
       500
                                                                           500
                                                                           250
       250
                        1000
                               1500
                                     2000
                                                  3000
                                                         3500
                                                               4000
                                                                                      500
                                                                                                                             3500
                                                                                                                                   4000
                   500
                                            2500
                                                                                             1000
                                                                                                   1500
                                                                                                         2000
                                                                                                                2500
                                                                                                                      3000
In [18]: with open('results_c.json', 'w', encoding='utf-8') as f:
          json.dump(results_list_c, f, ensure_ascii=False, indent=4)
       with open('best_solutions_c.json', 'w', encoding='utf-8') as f:
          json.dump(best_solutions_c, f, ensure_ascii=False, indent=4)
In [19]: with open('results_d.json', 'w', encoding='utf-8') as f:
          json.dump(results_list_d, f, ensure_ascii=False, indent=4)
       with open('best_solutions_d.json', 'w', encoding='utf-8') as f:
          json.dump(best_solutions_d, f, ensure_ascii=False, indent=4)
       Conclusions
       For all experiments, a local search with using cost deltas from previous runs was used.
       The results are in line with expectations. The iterated local search outperformed the multiple-start one given the same time of execution on both C and D problem instances.
```

C	Given that the metho	od used to 'break the s	solution' in ILS was ver	y primitive (removing	g 20 consecutive node	es from the solution	ı and replacing them	n with random ones	s), it's clear that ILS is a	ready-to-go improved version	on of MSLS that doesn't require	e much additional implemer	ntation effort.