

1. Overall Distributions

- **Age**
 - Distribution is approximately normal but **right-skewed**: a long tail of older passengers.
 - **Mean age ≈ 29.7 , median ≈ 28** , with a concentration between ages 20–40.
 - Noticeable “child bump” under age 10, reflecting families with young children.
- **Fare**
 - Highly **right-skewed**: a few very expensive tickets (up to \$512), but **75% of fares \leq \$50**.
 - When you cap fares at \$100 for visualization, the majority cluster under \$50, with a small tail stretching out to high values.

2. Passenger Class & Embarkation

- **Pclass Composition**
 - **3rd Class** is the largest cohort ($\sim 55\%$), **1st Class** makes up $\sim 25\%$, and **2nd Class** about $\sim 20\%$.
 - Reflects the ship’s design: many steerage passengers, fewer in luxury.
- **Boarding Port**
 - **Southampton (S)** accounts for $\sim 70\%$ of embarkations, **Cherbourg (C)** $\sim 19\%$, and **Queenstown (Q)** $\sim 11\%$.
 - Little variation by class: even 1st-class passengers predominantly boarded at Southampton.

3. Survival Rates by Group

- **By Class**
 - **1st Class**: ~ 62 – 64% survived
 - **2nd Class**: ~ 47 – 49% survived
 - **3rd Class**: ~ 24 – 26% survived
 - Strong downward trend: lower classes had sharply lower odds of survival.
- **By Gender**
 - **Females**: $\sim 75\%$ survival
 - **Males**: ~ 19 – 20% survival
 - “Women and children first” policy clearly in effect.
- **By Age Group**
 - **Children (<18)**: modestly higher survival (~ 50 – 55%) than overall ($\sim 38\%$).
 - **Adults (18 – 60)**: around $\sim 35\%$ survival.
 - **Seniors (>60)**: small sample, survival ~ 20 – 25% , similar to adult males.

4. Fare & Survival

- **Average Fare Paid**
 - **Survived**: mean fare \approx \$48
 - **Did not survive**: mean fare \approx \$22
 - Indicates wealthier passengers had better access to lifeboats.

- **Fare Bands**
 - Passengers paying > \$50 had ~60–70% chance of survival
 - Those paying < \$20 had < 30% survival.

5. Correlation Insights

- **Survival vs. Pclass:** **−0.35** (strong negative)
- **Survival vs. Fare:** **+0.26** (moderate positive)
- **Survival vs. Age:** **−0.08** (weak negative)
- **Survival vs. SibSp/Parch:** near zero (little direct effect)

6. Family Size Dynamics

- **SibSp (siblings/spouses aboard)**
 - **0:** ~68% of passengers
 - **1:** ~22%
 - **≥2:** ~10%
- **Parch (parents/children aboard)**
 - **0:** ~76%
 - **1–2:** ~20%
 - **>2:** ~4%
- **Survival vs. Family Size**
 - Slight peak in survival when traveling with **one** family member (SibSp = 1 or Parch = 1), but declines when family groups grow large (SibSp ≥ 3).

7. Class vs. Age & Fare Patterns

- **Age by Class**
 - Median ages: 1st ≈ 37, 2nd ≈ 29, 3rd ≈ 24.
 - Younger demographic in lower classes—but all classes span children to seniors.
- **Fare by Class**
 - Median fares: 1st ≈ \$60, 2nd ≈ \$20, 3rd ≈ \$8.
 - Clear separation: first-class passengers paid ~7× what 3rd-class did.

8. Two-Dimensional Views

- **Age vs. Fare (colored by Survival)**
 - Survivors populate both low-fare child clusters and high-fare adult clusters.
 - Little “magic age”—rather, high fare and female gender drive survival.
- **Embarkation vs. Survival**
 - **Cherbourg** boards show a slightly higher survival (~42%) compared to Southampton (~38%) and Queenstown (~32%), likely because more 1st-class passengers boarded at C.

Key Takeaway

Survival hinged primarily on **class**, **gender**, and **ticket fare**—a proxy for socioeconomic status and priority in evacuation. Age and family size had secondary effects: children and those with a single relative onboard had marginally better odds, but these factors pale compared to being a wealthy female in first class.