

Sr. No .	Website	Purpose of the website	Things liked in the website (Design Perspective)	Things disliked in the website (Design Perspective)	Overall evaluation (Design)	URL
1	passport.gov.in	To facilitate passport services, application submission, and tracking.	- Functional layout with key services clearly visible.- Simple and clear navigation .- Mobile-friendly design .	- Inconsistent alignment (e.g., some sections are center-aligned , others are left-aligned).- Uneven padding in sections making the page feel cramped in some areas.- Outdated fonts , basic sans-serif, feels not modern.- Too much text in some sections without proper spacing .	Average (Bad): The website is functional but suffers from outdated design , poor alignment , and cluttered layout.	www.passport.gov.in
2	amazon.com	An online marketplace for buying and selling products.	- Clear alignment of product listings and categories.- Well-structured padding between items in the product grid.- Custom font provides a modern touch.- Responsive design across different devices.	- Inconsistent padding in some sections, especially on mobile.- Misaligned elements in some categories (e.g., search bar and sidebar).- Font sizes vary and can feel disjointed between product titles and descriptions.- Cluttered homepage with too many ads and recommendations .	Good: Functional design but feels overloaded with information, ads, and inconsistent padding .	www.amazon.com
3	ebay.com	An auction-based e-commerce platform.	- Clear divisions for categories and product listings.- Adequate padding between content and sidebar.- Readable font style for product descriptions.- Visible search bar makes navigation easy.	- Inconsistent alignment (e.g., search results are left-aligned , but product images are centered).- Tight padding on mobile makes the content feel cramped .- Overcrowded with offers , search results, and ads.- Dated fonts that look less professional compared to modern sites.	Average (Bad): Layout is clear , but too cluttered and suffers from poor alignment and outdated UI .	www.ebay.com
4	netflix.com	Streaming service for movies, TV shows, and original content.	- Centered layout makes it easy to focus on key content.- Strong visual hierarchy with bold headlines and large imagery.- Modern fonts (Netflix's custom font) that are easy to read.- Well-spaced padding between the content blocks.	- Too many autoplay previews create unnecessary distractions.- Uneven padding on mobile views, some sections feel too spacious while others are cramped.- Overuse of large fonts and bold headlines can feel overwhelming.- Cluttered mobile experience due to large media content and overlapping sections.	Good: Excellent overall design, but autoplay previews and some mobile layout issues reduce the experience.	www.netflix.com
5	imdb.com	Movie database and entertainment information platform.	- Clean sections with good typography (easy-to-read fonts).- Good use of alignment for the main content (movie info and reviews).- Well-defined divisions between content categories (e.g., movie details, trailers).	- Cluttered homepage with competing ads and promotions .- Too many elements in the visual hierarchy (e.g., trending movies and ads compete for attention).- Inconsistent padding in different sections.- Font sizes vary, making it hard to focus on the most important content.	Good: Organized layout, but suffers from overloaded homepage with too many ads and uneven padding .	www.imdb.com
6	wikipedia.org	Online encyclopedia for general knowledge.	- Minimalist design that focuses on content.- Simple, clear font (serif) for readability.- Well-defined divisions like table of contents, sections, and infoboxes.- Clear and clean layout .	- Text-heavy design can be overwhelming without enough visual breaks .- Lack of multimedia (e.g., images, videos) makes some articles feel dry.- Poor visual hierarchy in long articles, making it harder to find relevant content.- Inconsistent padding and alignment issues with some articles feeling crowded.	Average (Bad): Functional but overloaded with text and lacking modern design elements like images or dynamic content.	www.wikipedia.org
7	quora.com	Q&A platform for user-generated content.	- Simple layout with easy-to-read fonts .- Clear search bar at the top for easy navigation.- Adequate padding between questions and answers.- Clean divisions between answers, questions, and comments.	- Inconsistent alignment of Q&A sections (some are centered , others left-aligned).- Overcrowded feed with irrelevant content.- Too much space between some questions, making the page feel disjointed.- Disruptive ads that interfere with content flow.	Average (Bad): Good layout but suffers from cluttered content and poor content moderation , leading to a disjointed experience .	www.quora.com
8	bbc.com	News platform for global updates and articles.	- Well-structured sections for breaking news, top stories, and categories.- Good padding between content blocks.- Readable font (sans-serif) with clear hierarchy.- Responsive design, works well on mobile.	- Overcrowded homepage with too much information.- Poor visual hierarchy due to competing elements (headlines, ads, and images).- Some sections feel tight , and content is too dense .	Good: Well-structured, but suffers from too much content on the homepage, leading to a cluttered visual experience .	www.bbc.com