

# AI Lab Report 6

## Working of Hopfield network and its applications in combinatorial problems

**Anuj Saha**

202351010

BTech CSE

IIT, Vadodara

202351010@iiitvadodara.ac.in

**G. Nikhil**

202351037

BTech CSE

IIT, Vadodara

202351037@iiitvadodara.ac.in

**Divyanshu Ghosh**

202351036

BTech CSE

IIT, Vadodara

202351036@iiitvadodara.ac.in

**Abstract**—This report presents implementation and analysis of Hopfield neural networks for associative memory and combinatorial optimization. We implement a binary Hopfield network with 100 neurons to store and retrieve patterns, achieving theoretical capacity limits of  $0.138N$  ( $\approx 14$  patterns). We solve the eight-rook problem using energy minimization with carefully chosen weights ( $A = B = 8$ ), achieving 98% success rate. For the 10-city TSP, we implement the Hopfield-Tank model with 4,950 unique pairwise weights, successfully generating valid tours through continuous dynamics and multiple restarts.

Complete code implementation can be found at [https://github.com/AnujSaha0111/CS307-Lab-Submissions/tree/main/Submission\\_6](https://github.com/AnujSaha0111/CS307-Lab-Submissions/tree/main/Submission_6)

**Index Terms**—Hopfield networks, associative memory, energy function, combinatorial optimization, traveling salesman problem

### I. INTRODUCTION

Hopfield networks are recurrent neural networks introduced by John Hopfield in 1982 for associative memory and optimization tasks. Unlike feedforward networks, they use bidirectional connections and energy minimization dynamics to reach stable states.

This work addresses three objectives: (1) implement a 100-neuron binary Hopfield network with Hebbian learning to analyze storage capacity and error correction, (2) solve the eight-rook constraint satisfaction problem using energy-based optimization, and (3) solve a 10-city traveling salesman problem using the Hopfield-Tank model.

The Hopfield network energy function for  $N$  neurons with states  $s_i \in \{-1, +1\}$  and weights  $W_{ij}$  is:

$$E = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} W_{ij} s_i s_j \quad (1)$$

The network evolves toward local minima, where stored patterns correspond to stable attractors.

### II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

#### A. Hopfield Network Fundamentals

A Hopfield network has  $N$  binary neurons with:

- Symmetric weights:  $W_{ij} = W_{ji}$
- Zero self-connections:  $W_{ii} = 0$

- Binary states:  $s_i \in \{-1, +1\}$
- Update rule:  $s_i(t+1) = \text{sign} \left( \sum_j W_{ij} s_j(t) \right)$

With asynchronous updates, energy  $E$  is non-increasing, guaranteeing convergence to stable states.

#### B. Hebbian Learning and Capacity

To store  $P$  patterns  $\{\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^P\}$ , use the Hebbian rule:

$$W_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^P \xi_i^p \xi_j^p \quad \text{for } i \neq j \quad (2)$$

For random uncorrelated patterns, theoretical capacity is:

$$P_{max} \approx 0.138N \quad (3)$$

For  $N=100$ , this gives approximately 13-14 patterns. Beyond this, retrieval reliability degrades due to pattern interference.

#### C. Energy-Based Optimization

For optimization problems, construct an energy function that:

- Has minimum at valid solutions
- Penalizes constraint violations
- Incorporates the objective to minimize

The network performs gradient descent to find local minima.

### III. BINARY HOPFIELD NETWORK IMPLEMENTATION

#### A. Network Architecture

We implement a network with  $N=100$  neurons using:

- Weight matrix  $W$  ( $100 \times 100$ , symmetric, zero diagonal)
- Bipolar states:  $s_i \in \{-1, +1\}$
- Asynchronous updates for guaranteed convergence
- Normalized Hebbian learning

#### B. Storage Algorithm

**Explanation:** The algorithm computes outer products of each pattern with itself, creating correlation matrices. Summing these encodes all patterns in distributed form. Normalization prevents unbounded weight growth.

**Algorithm 1** Hebbian Pattern Storage

---

**Require:** Patterns  $\{\xi^1, \dots, \xi^P\}$  each of length  $N$   
**Ensure:** Weight matrix  $W$

- 1: Initialize  $W \leftarrow \mathbf{0}_{N \times N}$
- 2: **for** each pattern  $\xi^p$  **do**
- 3:    $W \leftarrow W + \xi^p(\xi^p)^T$  {Outer product}
- 4: **end for**
- 5:  $W_{ii} \leftarrow 0$  for all  $i$  {Zero diagonal}
- 6:  $W \leftarrow W/N$  {Normalize}
- 7: **return**  $W$

---

**Algorithm 2** Asynchronous Pattern Recall

---

**Require:** Initial state  $s_0$ , Weight matrix  $W$ , max\_iterations  
**Ensure:** Final stable state  $s$

- 1:  $s \leftarrow s_0$
- 2: **for** iteration = 1 to max\_iterations **do**
- 3:   changed  $\leftarrow$  False
- 4:   order  $\leftarrow$  random\_permutation( $\{1, \dots, N\}$ )
- 5:   **for** each  $i$  in order **do**
- 6:      $h_i \leftarrow \sum_j W_{ij}s_j$  {Local field}
- 7:      $s_{\text{new}} \leftarrow \text{sign}(h_i)$
- 8:     **if**  $s_{\text{new}} \neq s_i$  **then**
- 9:        $s_i \leftarrow s_{\text{new}}$
- 10:     changed  $\leftarrow$  True
- 11:   **end if**
- 12: **end for**
- 13: **if** not changed **then**
- 14:   **break** {Converged}
- 15: **end if**
- 16: **end for**
- 17: **return**  $s$

---

*C. Recall Algorithm*

**Explanation:** Updates neurons one at a time in random order. Each neuron aligns with weighted input from others. Convergence occurs when no neuron changes state. Random ordering ensures fairness and avoids oscillations.

*D. Capacity Experiment Algorithm*

**Explanation:** Tests network capacity by storing  $P$  patterns and measuring recall success with noise. Success rate indicates how well the network handles given load.

## IV. EIGHT-ROOK PROBLEM

*A. Problem Formulation*

Place 8 rooks on  $8 \times 8$  board with exactly one per row and column. Use binary variables  $v_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$  where  $v_{i,j} = 1$  means rook at position  $(i,j)$ .

*B. Energy Function*

$$E(v) = \frac{A}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 (R_i - 1)^2 + \frac{B}{2} \sum_{j=1}^8 (C_j - 1)^2 \quad (4)$$

**Algorithm 3** Capacity Measurement Experiment

---

**Require:**  $N$  (neurons), trials,  $P\_list$  (pattern counts), noise\_frac  
**Ensure:** Success rates for each  $P$

- 1: results  $\leftarrow$  empty dictionary
- 2: **for** each  $P$  in  $P\_list$  **do**
- 3:   success\_count  $\leftarrow 0$
- 4:   **for** trial = 1 to trials **do**
- 5:     patterns  $\leftarrow$  generate\_random\_patterns( $N, P$ )
- 6:     network  $\leftarrow$  HopfieldNetwork( $N$ )
- 7:     network.store(patterns)
- 8:     test\_pattern  $\leftarrow$  random\_choice(patterns)
- 9:     noisy  $\leftarrow$  flip\_bits(test\_pattern,  $\lfloor \text{noise\_frac} \times N \rfloor$ )
- 10:     recalled  $\leftarrow$  network.recall(noisy)
- 11:     **if** recalled == test\_pattern **then**
- 12:       success\_count  $\leftarrow$  success\_count + 1
- 13:     **end if**
- 14:   **end for**
- 15:   results[ $P$ ]  $\leftarrow$  success\_count / trials
- 16: **end for**
- 17: **return** results

---

where  $R_i = \sum_j v_{i,j}$  (rooks in row  $i$ ) and  $C_j = \sum_i v_{i,j}$  (rooks in column  $j$ ).

**Weight Selection ( $A = B = 8$ ):**

- 1) **Symmetry:** Row and column constraints are identical, so equal weights.
- 2) **Sufficient Penalty:** Single violation contributes  $\frac{8}{2}(2-1)^2 = 4$  energy units.
- 3) **Smooth Landscape:** Moderate values (8-12) enable effective greedy search.
- 4) **Binary Scale:** Appropriate for  $v_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$  and small integer deviations.

*C. Solution Algorithm*

**Explanation:** Initializes with one rook per row (satisfies row constraints). Iteratively flips bits that decrease energy. Terminates when valid solution found ( $E=0$ ).

**Explanation:** Efficiently computes energy change by only evaluating affected row and column, avoiding full energy recomputation ( $O(1)$  vs  $O(64)$ ).

## V. TRAVELING SALESMAN PROBLEM

*A. Hopfield-Tank Model*

For  $N=10$  cities, use  $N \times N=100$  neurons where  $v_{i,t}$  represents "city  $i$  at time  $t$ ". Valid tour requires exactly one city per time and each city visited once.

*B. Energy Function*

$$E = \frac{A}{2} \sum_{t=1}^N \left( \sum_i v_{i,t} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{B}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \sum_t v_{i,t} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{C}{2} \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{i,j} d_{ij} v_{i,t} v_{j,t+1} \quad (5)$$

**Algorithm 4** Eight-Rook Greedy Solver**Require:** A, B (penalty weights), max\_iterations**Ensure:** Solution matrix  $v$  ( $8 \times 8$ )

```

1:  $v \leftarrow \mathbf{0}_{8 \times 8}$ 
2: for row  $i = 1$  to 8 do
3:    $j \leftarrow \text{random\_int}(1, 8)$ 
4:    $v_{i,j} \leftarrow 1$  {One per row initialization}
5: end for
6:  $E \leftarrow \text{compute\_energy}(v, A, B)$ 
7: for iteration = 1 to max_iterations do
8:    $(i, j) \leftarrow \text{random\_position}()$ 
9:    $\Delta E \leftarrow \text{energy\_delta\_flip}(v, i, j, A, B)$ 
10:  if  $\Delta E < 0$  then
11:     $v_{i,j} \leftarrow 1 - v_{i,j}$ 
12:     $E \leftarrow E + \Delta E$ 
13:  end if
14:  if all row sums = 1 and all col sums = 1 then
15:    return  $v$  {Valid solution found}
16:  end if
17: end for
18: return  $v$ 

```

**Algorithm 5** Compute Energy Delta for Flip**Require:**  $v$  (current state), position  $(i, j)$ , weights A, B**Ensure:**  $\Delta E$  (energy change if flipped)

```

1: old  $\leftarrow v_{i,j}$ 
2: new  $\leftarrow 1 - \text{old}$ 
3:  $R_i \leftarrow \sum_k v_{i,k}$  {Current row sum}
4:  $C_j \leftarrow \sum_k v_{k,j}$  {Current col sum}
5:  $E_{\text{before}} \leftarrow \frac{A}{2}(R_i - 1)^2 + \frac{B}{2}(C_j - 1)^2$ 
6:  $R'_i \leftarrow R_i - \text{old} + \text{new}$ 
7:  $C'_j \leftarrow C_j - \text{old} + \text{new}$ 
8:  $E_{\text{after}} \leftarrow \frac{A}{2}(R'_i - 1)^2 + \frac{B}{2}(C'_j - 1)^2$ 
9: return  $E_{\text{after}} - E_{\text{before}}$ 

```

**Terms:**

- A term: One city per time slot (column constraint)
- B term: Each city visited once (row constraint)
- C term: Tour length minimization

**C. Weight Count**For  $N=10$  cities:

- Neurons:  $M = 10 \times 10 = 100$
- Each connects to 99 others:  $100 \times 99 = 9900$  directed
- Symmetric weights:  $\frac{100 \times 99}{2} = 4,950$  unique
- Bias terms: 100
- **Total parameters: 5,050**

**D. Continuous Dynamics**

Neurons have continuous activations via sigmoid:

$$v_{i,t} = \sigma(u_{i,t}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\gamma u_{i,t}}} \quad (6)$$

Dynamics follow:

$$\tau \frac{du_{i,t}}{dt} = -u_{i,t} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial v_{i,t}} \quad (7)$$

Solved using Euler method with step size  $dt$ .**E. Algorithm****Algorithm 6** Hopfield-Tank TSP Solver**Require:** City coordinates, A, B, C,  $\gamma$ ,  $dt$ ,  $\tau$ , max\_steps**Ensure:** Activation matrix  $v$ 

```

1:  $d \leftarrow \text{compute\_distance\_matrix}(\text{coordinates})$ 
2:  $d \leftarrow d / \max(d)$  {Normalize to [0,1]}
3:  $u \leftarrow \text{random\_normal}(0, 0.05, \text{size}=(N,N))$ 
4: for step = 1 to max_steps do
5:    $v \leftarrow \sigma(u, \gamma)$  {Compute activations}
6:   row_sum  $\leftarrow v.\text{sum}(\text{axis} = 1)$ 
7:   col_sum  $\leftarrow v.\text{sum}(\text{axis} = 0)$ 
8:   grad  $\leftarrow \mathbf{0}_{N \times N}$ 
9:   grad  $\leftarrow \text{grad} + B \times (\text{row\_sum} - 1)[:, \text{None}]$ 
10:  grad  $\leftarrow \text{grad} + A \times (\text{col\_sum} - 1)[\text{None}, :]$ 
11:  for each time  $t$  do
12:     $t_{\text{next}} \leftarrow (t + 1) \bmod N$ 
13:     $t_{\text{prev}} \leftarrow (t - 1) \bmod N$ 
14:    grad[:,  $t$ ]  $\leftarrow \text{grad}[:, t] + C \times (d \cdot v[:, t_{\text{next}}] + d^T \cdot v[:, t_{\text{prev}}])$ 
15:  end for
16:   $du \leftarrow (-u - \text{grad}) \times (dt/\tau)$ 
17:   $u \leftarrow u + du$ 
18:  if step mod 200 == 0 then
19:     $u \leftarrow \text{clip}(u, -50/\gamma, 50/\gamma)$ 
20:  end if
21: end for
22:  $v \leftarrow \sigma(u, \gamma)$ 
23: return  $v$ 

```

**Explanation:** Initializes with small random internal states. Iteratively computes activations, evaluates gradient from three energy terms, and updates states via Euler integration. Periodic clipping prevents numerical overflow.

**F. Tour Extraction**

**Explanation:** Uses greedy argmax per time slot. If duplicates occur, repairs by assigning remaining cities based on highest activations.

**VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS****A. Capacity Experiments**

Experimental setup:

- $N = 100$  neurons
- $P = 2, 5, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15$  patterns tested
- Noise: 5%, 8%, 10% bit flips
- Trials: 40 per configuration

**Analysis:**

- Theoretical capacity  $0.138 \times 100 = 13.8$  matches experiments
- Performance excellent ( $> 90\%$ ) for  $P \leq 10$
- Rapid degradation beyond  $P = 13$
- Error correction robust at low loads: 80% success with 10% noise for  $P \leq 8$

**Algorithm 7** Extract Tour from Activation Matrix**Require:** Activation matrix  $v$  ( $N \times N$ )**Ensure:** Tour (permutation of cities)

```

1: tour  $\leftarrow [\text{argmax}_i v_{i,t} \text{ for each time } t]$ 
2: assigned  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
3: final_tour  $\leftarrow [-1] \times N$ 
4: for  $t = 0$  to  $N - 1$  do
5:   if tour[t] not in assigned then
6:     final_tour[t]  $\leftarrow$  tour[t]
7:     assigned  $\leftarrow$  assigned  $\cup$  {tour[t]}
8:   end if
9: end for
10: unassigned  $\leftarrow$  {cities not in assigned}
11: for  $t = 0$  to  $N - 1$  do
12:   if final_tour[t] == -1 then
13:     best  $\leftarrow \text{argmax}_{c \in \text{unassigned}} v_{c,t}$ 
14:     final_tour[t]  $\leftarrow$  best
15:     unassigned  $\leftarrow$  unassigned  $\setminus$  {best}
16:   end if
17: end for
18: return final_tour

```

TABLE I  
RECALL SUCCESS RATE VS PATTERN LOAD

P	5% noise	8% noise	10% noise
2	1.00	1.00	0.98
5	0.98	0.95	0.90
8	0.95	0.88	0.80
10	0.90	0.78	0.65
12	0.75	0.58	0.42
13	0.62	0.45	0.28
14	0.40	0.22	0.12
15	0.25	0.10	0.05

**B. Question 1: Error-Correcting Capability**

The error-correcting capability depends on pattern load:

**At Low Load ( $P \leq 10$ , or 70% capacity):**

- Can correct 8-10% bit flips with  $> 80\%$  success
- Basin of attraction around each pattern is large

**At Capacity ( $P \approx 13-14$ ):**

- Limited to 3-5% bit flips
- Success: 62% at 5% noise, 28% at 10% noise
- Basins shrink due to pattern interference

**Practical Guidelines:**

- Recommended: Store  $P \leq 0.1N$  for reliable error correction
- Expected correction: 5-8% bit flips with  $> 85\%$  success
- Beyond 10% noise: Correction fails even at low loads

**C. Eight-Rook Results**

Ran 100 trials:

- Success rate: 98% (98/100 found valid solutions)
- Average iterations: 847
- Final energy:  $E = 0.0$  for all solutions
- 2 failures reached max iterations but  $E < 0.5$

**Example Solution:**

Row sums: [1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1], Column sums: [1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]

TABLE II  
EIGHT-ROOK SOLUTION MATRIX

0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

**D. Question 2: Eight-Rook Energy Function**

**Energy Function:**

$$E(v) = \frac{A}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 (R_i - 1)^2 + \frac{B}{2} \sum_{j=1}^8 (C_j - 1)^2 \quad (8)$$

**Weight Choice ( $A=B=8$ ):**

- 1) Symmetric constraints require equal weights
- 2) Penalty of 4 units per violation is sufficient
- 3) Moderate values create smooth energy landscape
- 4) Empirically validated: 98% success rate

**E. TSP Results**

Setup:  $N=10$  cities,  $A=400$ ,  $B=400$ ,  $C=0.6$ , gain=7.0, 5000 steps, 8 restarts

TABLE III  
TSP TOUR LENGTHS ACROSS RESTARTS

Restart	Length	Valid?
0	324.56	Yes
1	298.72	Yes
2	342.18	Yes
3	287.34	Yes
4	305.91	Yes
5	319.45	Yes
6	276.82	Yes
7	311.27	Yes
<b>Best</b>	<b>276.82</b>	<b>Yes</b>

**Analysis:**

- All restarts produced valid tours (constraints satisfied)
- Tour length variation: 24% range (multiple local minima)
- Best solution 19% better than worst
- Large  $A$ ,  $B$  (400) enforce constraints; small  $C$  (0.6) optimizes length

**F. Question 3: TSP Weight Count**

For 10-city TSP:

- Neurons:  $M = 10 \times 10 = 100$
- Unique pairwise weights:  $\frac{100 \times 99}{2} = 4,950$
- Bias terms: 100
- Total parameters: 5,050

The large count (4,950) reflects fully connected architecture where every neuron influences all others through gradient terms in the energy function.

## VII. DISCUSSION

### A. Key Findings

#### Associative Memory:

- Capacity limit validated:  $\sim 0.138N$  patterns
- Strong error correction below capacity (8-10% noise)
- Graceful degradation beyond capacity

#### Optimization:

- Eight-rook: 98% success with simple energy function
- TSP: Valid tours via continuous dynamics, multiple restarts needed
- Parameter tuning critical for optimization tasks

### B. Strengths and Limitations

#### Strengths:

- Guaranteed convergence (asynchronous updates)
- Distributed pattern storage (robustness)
- Content-addressable memory
- Unified framework for memory and optimization

#### Limitations:

- Limited capacity ( $\sim 0.138N$ )
- Spurious attractors near capacity
- Local minima in optimization
- Parameter sensitivity
- Poor scaling (TSP:  $O(N^4)$  weights)

## VIII. CONCLUSION

We successfully implemented and analyzed Hopfield networks for associative memory and optimization. The 100-neuron network validated theoretical capacity (14 patterns) and demonstrated robust error correction (8-10% bit flips) below capacity. The eight-rook problem achieved 98% success using energy minimization with  $A=B=8$ . The TSP implementation with 4,950 weights generated valid tours through continuous dynamics.

Key insights: Hopfield networks excel at associative memory below capacity, energy-based formulations enable diverse problem-solving, but parameter tuning and multiple restarts are essential for optimization tasks. Scalability remains a challenge for large problems.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. C. MacKay, *Information Theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [2] J. J. Hopfield, "Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities," *Proc. National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 79, no. 8, pp. 2554–2558, 1982.
- [3] J. J. Hopfield and D. W. Tank, "'Neural' computation of decisions in optimization problems," *Biological Cybernetics*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 141–152, 1985.
- [4] D. J. Amit, H. Gutfreund, and H. Sompolinsky, "Storing infinite numbers of patterns in a spin-glass model of neural networks," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 55, no. 14, pp. 1530–1533, 1985.