

**MTL104 Linear Algebra and Its Applications**  
**I Semester 2025-26**  
**Practice Sheet I: Hints and Solutions**

1. Let  $F$  be a field. Show that
  - (a) The additive identity in  $F$  is unique. **Hint:** Assume there are two additive identities  $0$  and  $0'$ . Write down  $0 + 0'$  and use the defining property of an additive identity on each side to simplify. Ask yourself: which axiom lets you conclude  $0 = 0'$ .
  - (b) The additive inverse of an element of  $F$  is unique. **Hint:** Suppose  $a \in F$  has two inverses  $b$  and  $c$ . Use the definition of additive inverse and the fact that  $0$  is unique to write  $a + b = 0 = b + a$  and  $a + c = 0 = c + a$ . Try to use the fact that adding the same element to equal elements preserves equality (why?), and use associativity/commutativity to rearrange terms to deduce  $b = c$ .
  - (c) The multiplicative identity of  $F$  is unique. **Hint:** Assume there are two multiplicative identities  $1$  and  $1'$ . Consider  $1 \cdot 1'$  and apply the identity property to each factor. Deduce that  $1 = 1'$ .
  - (d) The multiplicative inverse of a nonzero element of  $F$  is unique. **Hint:** Suppose  $a \in F$  with  $a \neq 0$  has two inverses  $b$  and  $c$ . By the definition of multiplicative inverse and the fact that  $1$  is unique, write  $a \cdot b = 1 = b \cdot a$  and  $a \cdot c = 1 = c \cdot a$ . Use the multiplicative identity and associativity/commutativity to transform  $b$  to  $c$ .
2. Let  $F$  be a field. Show that  $(-1) \cdot x = -x$  for every  $x \in F$ . We show that  $(-1) \cdot x$  is an additive inverse of  $x$ , i.e.

$$x + (-1) \cdot x = 0.$$

Using the field axioms we proceed:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x + (-1) \cdot x &= x + x \cdot (-1) && \text{(commutativity of multiplication)} \\
 &= x \cdot 1 + x \cdot (-1) && \text{(multiplicative identity: } x = x \cdot 1) \\
 &= x(1 + (-1)) && \text{(distributivity)} \\
 &= x \cdot 0 && \text{(since } 1 + (-1) = 0) \\
 &= 0 && \text{(see note below).}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Note (showing  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ ).** Using distributivity and existence of additive inverses,

$$x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (0 + 0) = x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0.$$

Add the additive inverse  $-(x \cdot 0)$  to both sides to obtain

$$x \cdot 0 + (-(x \cdot 0)) = (x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0) + (-(x \cdot 0)),$$

and by associativity and the definition of additive inverse the left side is  $0$  and the right side simplifies to  $x \cdot 0$ . Hence  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ .

Since  $x + (-1) \cdot x = 0$ , the element  $(-1) \cdot x$  is an additive inverse of  $x$ . The additive inverse of  $x$  is unique, so  $(-1) \cdot x = -x$ .

**Axioms used.**

- Existence of multiplicative identity:  $x \cdot 1 = x$ .
- Commutativity of multiplication (to swap factors when convenient). This could have been avoided.

- Distributive law:  $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ .
- Existence of additive inverse (for 1 there is  $-1$  with  $1 + (-1) = 0$ ).
- Additive identity 0.
- Associativity of addition and uniqueness of additive inverse (used in the final uniqueness step).

3. Let  $F$  be a field and let  $a, b, c \in F$ .

- (a) If  $a + b = c + b$ , then  $a = c$ . Suppose  $a + b = c + b$ . By the existence of additive inverses there is  $-b \in F$  with  $b + (-b) = 0$ . Add  $-b$  to both sides (Think: why  $x = y \implies x + z = y + z$ !) and use associativity of addition:

$$(a + b) + (-b) = (c + b) + (-b).$$

By associativity,

$$a + (b + (-b)) = c + (b + (-b)).$$

Since  $b + (-b) = 0$ , this becomes  $a + 0 = c + 0$ , and by the property of the additive identity  $a = c$ .

- (b) If  $ab = cb$  and  $b \neq 0$ , then  $a = c$ . Suppose  $ab = cb$  and  $b \neq 0$ . By the existence of multiplicative inverses (for nonzero elements) there exists  $b^{-1} \in F$  with  $bb^{-1} = 1$ . Multiply the equality  $ab = cb$  on the right by  $b^{-1}$  (Think: why  $x = y \implies xz = yz$ !) and use associativity of multiplication:

$$(ab)b^{-1} = (cb)b^{-1}.$$

By associativity,

$$a(bb^{-1}) = c(bb^{-1}).$$

Since  $bb^{-1} = 1$ , this gives  $a \cdot 1 = c \cdot 1$ , and then by the multiplicative identity property  $a = c$ .

#### Axioms used.

- Existence of additive identity 0 and additive inverses (to add  $-b$ ).
- Associativity of addition (to regroup when adding  $-b$ ).
- Existence of multiplicative identity 1 and multiplicative inverses for nonzero elements (to use  $b^{-1}$ ).
- Associativity of multiplication (to regroup when multiplying by  $b^{-1}$ ).

4. Let  $F$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Then  $p$  is prime.

By definition, the characteristic char  $F$  is the smallest positive integer  $m$  such that

$$\underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{m \text{ times}} = 0.$$

If  $m$  were composite, say  $m = ab$  with  $1 < a, b < m$ , then

$$\left(\underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{a \text{ times}}\right) \cdot \left(\underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{b \text{ times}}\right) = \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{ab=m \text{ times}} = 0,$$

so the product of two nonzero elements would be zero, contradicting that  $F$  is a field (How/why?). Hence  $m = p$  must be prime.

5. (a) Let  $F$  be a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $1 \in F$ , closure under addition implies that  $n \cdot 1 \in F$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and existence of additive inverses gives  $k \cdot 1 \in F$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \neq 0$ , then  $a \cdot 1 \in F$  and  $b \cdot 1 \in F$  with  $b \cdot 1 \neq 0$ . Since  $F$  is a field,  $(b \cdot 1)^{-1} \in F$ , and hence

$$\frac{a}{b} = (a \cdot 1)(b \cdot 1)^{-1} \in F.$$

Thus  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq F$ . Consequently,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the smallest subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (b) Let  $d$  be a square-free integer. Show that

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) := \{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

is a field with the usual addition and multiplication. In particular  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  (here  $i^2 = -1$ ) are fields.

We verify the field axioms by elementary calculations.

(*Closure and basic elements*). Clearly  $0 = 0 + 0\sqrt{d}$  and  $1 = 1 + 0\sqrt{d}$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . If

$$x = a + b\sqrt{d}, \quad y = c + e\sqrt{d} \quad (a, b, c, e \in \mathbb{Q}),$$

then

$$x + y = (a + c) + (b + e)\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}),$$

so the set is closed under addition, and

$$x \cdot y = (a + b\sqrt{d})(c + e\sqrt{d}) = (ac + bed) + (ae + bc)\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}),$$

so it is closed under multiplication. The additive inverse of  $x$  is  $-x = (-a) + (-b)\sqrt{d}$ , which belongs to the set.

(*Multiplicative inverses*). Let  $x = a + b\sqrt{d}$  be nonzero. We show  $x^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Compute

$$x(a - b\sqrt{d}) = (a + b\sqrt{d})(a - b\sqrt{d}) = a^2 - b^2d.$$

If  $a^2 - b^2d \neq 0$ , then

$$x^{-1} = \frac{a - b\sqrt{d}}{a^2 - b^2d} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}).$$

It remains to rule out the possibility  $a^2 - b^2d = 0$  when  $x \neq 0$ . Suppose  $a^2 - b^2d = 0$ . If  $b = 0$  then  $a^2 = 0$  so  $a = 0$  and  $x = 0$ , contradicting  $x \neq 0$ . Hence  $b \neq 0$  and

$$d = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \in \mathbb{Q},$$

so  $d$  would be a rational square. But  $d$  is an integer and square-free, so it cannot be a (nontrivial) rational square; this contradiction shows  $a^2 - b^2d \neq 0$ . Therefore every nonzero element has an inverse in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ .

Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  contains  $0, 1$ , is closed under  $+$  and  $\cdot$ , every element has additive inverse, and every nonzero element has multiplicative inverse: it is a field.

### Remarks.

- If  $d > 0$  then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ; if  $d < 0$  then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ .
- The examples  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  follow immediately by taking  $d = 2, 3, -1$ .

6. Consider the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} x - y - 3z = 3, \\ 2x + z = 0, \\ 2y + 7z = c. \end{cases}$$

The system is consistent exactly when  $c = -6$ .

For  $c = -6$  put  $z = t$ . Then

$$x = -\frac{1}{2}t, \quad y = -3 - \frac{7}{2}t, \quad z = t.$$

Hence the solution set is the line

$$(x, y, z) = (0, -3, 0) + s(-1, -7, 2), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

obtained by setting  $t = 2s$ .

For part (c), the first two planes intersect in the above line. The normal vector of the third plane  $2y + 7z = 4$  is  $(0, 2, 7)$ , which satisfies

$$(0, 2, 7) \cdot (-1, -7, 2) = 0,$$

so the third plane is parallel to the line of intersection of the first two planes. Since the required right-hand side for the third plane to meet that line is  $-6$  (not  $4$ ), the plane  $2y + 7z = 4$  is a parallel translate that does not meet the line; therefore the three planes do not have a common point.

7. Let  $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$  with operations

$$x \oplus y := xy, \quad \alpha \odot x := x^\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then  $(V, \oplus, \odot)$  is a real vector space.

All operations are well-defined since  $xy > 0$  and  $x^\alpha > 0$  for  $x > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Additive structure* (“ $\oplus$ ”).

- Commutativity:  $x \oplus y = xy = yx = y \oplus x$ .
- Associativity:  $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = (xy)z = x(yz) = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ .
- Additive identity (zero vector):  $1$ , since  $x \oplus 1 = 1 \oplus x = x$ .
- Additive inverse: for each  $x$ ,  $x^{-1} \in V$  and  $x \oplus x^{-1} = 1$ .

*Scalar multiplication* (“ $\odot$ ”).

- Unital:  $1 \odot x = x^1 = x$ .
- Compatibility:  $\alpha \odot (\beta \odot x) = (x^\beta)^\alpha = x^{\alpha\beta} = (\alpha\beta) \odot x$ .
- Distributivity over vector addition:

$$\alpha \odot (x \oplus y) = (xy)^\alpha = x^\alpha y^\alpha = (\alpha \odot x) \oplus (\alpha \odot y).$$

- Distributivity over scalar addition:

$$(\alpha + \beta) \odot x = x^{\alpha+\beta} = x^\alpha x^\beta = (\alpha \odot x) \oplus (\beta \odot x).$$

- Zero scalar:  $0 \odot x = x^0 = 1$ , which is the additive identity, as required.

Thus all vector space axioms hold; hence  $V$  is a real vector space.

**Remark (isomorphism with  $\mathbb{R}$ ).** The map  $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) = \ln x$ , is a vector space isomorphism (this concept will be revisited later) because

$$\phi(x \oplus y) = \ln(xy) = \ln x + \ln y = \phi(x) + \phi(y), \quad \phi(\alpha \odot x) = \ln(x^\alpha) = \alpha \ln x = \alpha \phi(x).$$

So  $(V, \oplus, \odot) \cong (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ .

8. Let  $V$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices of real numbers. Define an operation of “addition” by

$$A \diamond B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$$

for all  $A, B \in V$ . Define an operation of “scalar multiplication” by

$$\alpha \star A = 0$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \in V$ . Under the operations  $\diamond$  and  $\star$  the set  $V$  is not a vector space. Identify all the vector space axioms which fail to hold.

We verify the standard vector-space axioms one by one.

- *Closure of addition.* For  $A, B \in V$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$  is an  $n \times n$  real matrix, so  $A \diamond B \in V$ . **Holds.**
- *Commutativity of addition.*  $A \diamond B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA) = \frac{1}{2}(BA + AB) = B \diamond A$ . **Holds.**
- *Associativity of addition.* We would need

$$(A \diamond B) \diamond C \stackrel{?}{=} A \diamond (B \diamond C).$$

In general these are not equal because

$$(A \diamond B) \diamond C = \frac{1}{4}(ABC + BAC + CAB + CBA),$$

while

$$A \diamond (B \diamond C) = \frac{1}{4}(ABC + ACB + BCA + CBA),$$

and the middle two terms differ in general.

**Counterexample ( $2 \times 2$ ).** Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(A \diamond B) \diamond C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \diamond (B \diamond C) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix},$$

so associativity fails. **Fails.**

- *Existence of additive identity.* We seek  $E \in V$  with  $A \diamond E = A$  for all  $A$ . For  $E = I$ ,

$$A \diamond I = \frac{1}{2}(AI + IA) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A) = A.$$

Hence the additive identity exists and is  $I$ . **Holds.**

- *Existence of additive inverses.* We would need for each  $A$  some  $B$  with  $A \diamond B = I$ . But for the usual zero matrix  $0$ ,

$$0 \diamond B = \frac{1}{2}(0 \cdot B + B \cdot 0) = 0 \quad \text{for every } B,$$

and  $0 \neq I$ . Thus there is no  $B$  with  $0 \diamond B = I$ , so additive inverses do not exist for all elements. **Fails.**

- *Closure under scalar multiplication.* For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \in V$ ,  $\alpha \star A = 0 \in V$ . **Holds.**
- *Identity of scalars:*  $1 \star A \stackrel{?}{=} A$ . But  $1 \star A = 0 \neq A$  (unless  $A = 0$ ), so this axiom **fails**.
- *Compatibility of scalar multiplication:*  $(\alpha\beta) \star A = \alpha \star (\beta \star A)$ . Both sides equal 0, so this axiom **holds**.
- *Distributivity of scalar addition over vector:*  $(\alpha + \beta) \star A \stackrel{?}{=} \alpha \star A \diamond \beta \star A$ . The left-hand side is 0. The right-hand side is  $0 \diamond 0 = \frac{1}{2}(0 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0) = 0$ . So equality holds; this axiom **holds**.
- *Distributivity of scalar multiplication over vector addition:*  $\alpha \star (A \diamond B) \stackrel{?}{=} \alpha \star A \diamond \alpha \star B$ . Both sides are 0, so this axiom **holds**.

9. **Result 1.** For every  $x \in V$ , one has  $0 \cdot x = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in V$ , then

$$0 \cdot x = (0 + 0) \cdot x \quad (\text{reason: } 0 = 0 + 0 \text{ in the field})$$

$$= 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot x \quad (\text{reason: distributive property of scalar multiplication over field addition})$$

Now add the additive inverse  $-(0 \cdot x)$  to both sides to obtain

$$0 = 0 \cdot x \quad (\text{reason: adding the additive inverse yields the zero vector}).$$

This proves  $0 \cdot x = 0$ .

**Result 2.** For every  $x \in V$ , one has  $(-1) \cdot x = -x$ .

*Proof.* Recall  $1 \cdot x = x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x + (-1) \cdot x &= 1 \cdot x + (-1) \cdot x = (1 + (-1)) \cdot x && (\text{reason: distributive property } a \cdot x + b \cdot x = (a+b) \cdot x) \\ &= 0 \cdot x && (\text{reason: } 1 + (-1) = 0 \text{ in the field}) \\ &= 0 && (\text{reason: Result 1: } 0 \cdot x = 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x + (-1) \cdot x = 0$ , so  $(-1) \cdot x$  is the additive inverse of  $x$ . By uniqueness of additive inverses we conclude

$$(-1) \cdot x = -x.$$

10. Yes, a nonzero vector space can have finite cardinality if it is defined over a finite field. For instance, take a finite field  $F$  and consider  $V = F$  as a vector space over  $F$ . In fact, we have the following result, which can be proved after recalling the ideas of basis and dimension.

**Claim 1.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements. A nonzero vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  is finite *if and only if*  $\dim V$  is finite. In that case, the cardinality of  $V$  denoted by  $|V|$  is given by

$$|V| = q^{\dim V}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\dim V = n < \infty$ , choose a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Every vector in  $V$  has a unique coordinate  $n$ -tuple over  $\mathbb{F}$  and conversely every  $n$ -tuple over  $F$  determines a vector in  $V$ , so

$$|V| = q^n,$$

which is finite. Conversely, suppose  $V$  is finite. If  $\dim V$  were infinite then  $V$  would contain arbitrarily large finite linearly independent subsets. Let  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be any finite linearly independent subset; then  $\text{span}(S)$  has exactly  $q^n$  elements. As  $n$  grows the spans give arbitrarily large finite subsets of  $V$ , hence  $V$  would be infinite — contradiction. Therefore  $\dim V$  must be finite, and the previous paragraph gives  $|V| = q^{\dim V}$ .

**Claim 2.** If  $F$  is an infinite field and  $V$  is a nonzero vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , then  $V$  is infinite.

*Proof.* Pick a nonzero vector  $v \in V$ . The map

$$F \rightarrow V, \quad a \mapsto av,$$

is injective because  $av = a'v$  implies  $(a - a')v = 0$  and  $v \neq 0$  forces  $a = a'$ . Thus  $|F| \leq |V|$ . Since  $F$  is infinite,  $V$  is infinite.

**Examples.** Over the finite field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  the space  $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$  is nonzero and has  $2^3 = 8$  elements. Over the infinite field  $\mathbb{R}$  the 1-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}$  (viewed as a vector space over itself) is infinite.

11. Let  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$  denote two distinct objects, neither of which is in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define an addition and scalar multiplication on  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$  as you could guess from the notation. Specifically, the sum and product of two real numbers are as usual, and for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  define

$$t \cdot \infty = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } t < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases} \quad t \cdot (-\infty) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } t < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t + \infty &= \infty + t = \infty + \infty = \infty, & t + (-\infty) &= (-\infty) + t = (-\infty) + (-\infty) = -\infty, \\ \infty + (-\infty) &= (-\infty) + \infty = 0. \end{aligned}$$

With these operations of addition and scalar multiplication, is  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$  a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ? Explain.

In any vector space the cancellation law must hold:

$$x + y = x + z \implies y = z.$$

We show cancellation fails in this  $V$ . Take

$$x = \infty, \quad y = 1, \quad z = 2.$$

Using the addition rules we have

$$\infty + 1 = \infty, \quad \infty + 2 = \infty,$$

hence

$$\infty + 1 = \infty + 2.$$

But  $1 \neq 2$ . Thus cancellation does not hold in  $V$ , so  $V$  cannot be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

12. Let  $V$  be the set of all complex-valued functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(-t) = \overline{f(t)} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation.

Define the operations:

$$(f + g)(t) = f(t) + g(t), \quad (cf)(t) = cf(t) \quad (c \in \mathbb{R}).$$

(a) Show that  $V$ , with these operations, is a vector space over the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Give an example of a function in  $V$  which is not real-valued.

(a) To check that  $V$  is a real vector space, we verify closure properties.

If  $f, g \in V$ , then

$$(f + g)(-t) = f(-t) + g(-t) = \overline{f(t)} + \overline{g(t)} = \overline{f(t) + g(t)} = \overline{(f + g)(t)}.$$

Hence  $f + g \in V$ .

If  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in V$ , then

$$(cf)(-t) = cf(-t) = c \overline{f(t)} = \overline{cf(t)}.$$

Thus  $cf \in V$ .

The other vector space axioms (associativity, distributivity, existence of additive identity and inverses) hold automatically since they are inherited from the structure of functions and the field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore,  $V$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Alternatively, one may view  $V$  as a subset of the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}}$  equipped with the standard operations. Since  $V$  is nonempty and closed under addition and scalar multiplication, it follows that  $V$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Hence  $V$  itself is a vector space.

(b) An example of a function in  $V$  which is not real-valued is

$$f(t) = it.$$

Indeed,

$$f(-t) = -it, \quad \overline{f(t)} = \overline{it} = -it.$$

So  $f(-t) = \overline{f(t)}$  and hence  $f \in V$ . But  $f(t)$  is purely imaginary for  $t \neq 0$ , so  $f$  is not real-valued.

13. Let  $V$  be the set of all fifth-degree polynomials with standard operations. Then  $V$  is not a vector space. The reason is that  $V$  is not closed under addition. For example, consider

$$f(x) = x^5 + x - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = -x^5.$$

Both  $f, g \in V$  since they are fifth-degree polynomials. However,

$$f(x) + g(x) = (x^5 + x - 1) + (-x^5) = x - 1,$$

which is not a fifth-degree polynomial, and hence  $f + g \notin V$ .

Therefore,  $V$  is not a vector space.

14. We that the set of differentiable real-valued functions  $f$  on the interval  $(-4, 4)$  such that

$$f'(-1) = 3f(2)$$

is a subspace of  $V = \mathbb{R}^{(-4,4)}$ .

Let

$$W = \{f : (-4, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is differentiable and } f'(-1) = 3f(2)\}.$$

We check the subspace criteria:

- The zero function  $f(x) = 0$  belongs to  $W$  it is differentiable,  $f'(-1) = 0$  and  $3f(2) = 0$ . Hence  $0 \in W$ .
- If  $f, g \in W$ , then  $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$  and  $g'(-1) = 3g(2)$ . For any scalars  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$(\alpha f + \beta g)'(-1) = \alpha f'(-1) + \beta g'(-1) = \alpha \cdot 3f(2) + \beta \cdot 3g(2) = 3(\alpha f(2) + \beta g(2)),$$

so  $\alpha f + \beta g \in W$ .

Thus  $W$  is a subspace of the vector space  $V = \mathbb{R}^{(-4,4)}$ .

15. Suppose  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the set

$$W_b = \{f \in C([0, 1]) : \int_0^1 f(x) dx = b\}$$

of continuous real-valued functions on  $[0, 1]$  whose integral equals  $b$  is a subspace of  $C([0, 1])$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .

First note that continuity guarantees the Riemann integral on  $[0, 1]$  is well defined for each  $f \in C([0, 1])$ .

(*Necessity.*) Suppose  $W_b$  is a subspace of  $C([0, 1])$ . Then the zero vector (the zero function) must lie in  $W_b$ . The zero function  $0$  satisfies

$$\int_0^1 0 dx = 0,$$

so  $0 \in W_b$  implies  $b = 0$ .

(*Sufficiency.*) Conversely, assume  $b = 0$ . We show  $W_0$  is a subspace.

- The zero function  $0$  belongs to  $W_0$  since  $\int_0^1 0 dx = 0$ .
- Closure under addition: if  $f, g \in W_0$ , then by linearity of the integral

$$\int_0^1 (f + g)(x) dx = \int_0^1 f(x) dx + \int_0^1 g(x) dx = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

so  $f + g \in W_0$ .

- Closure under scalar multiplication: if  $f \in W_0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\int_0^1 (\alpha f)(x) dx = \alpha \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \alpha \cdot 0 = 0,$$

so  $\alpha f \in W_0$ .

Thus  $W_0$  contains 0 and is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, hence is a subspace of  $C([0, 1])$ .

Combining the two directions,  $W_b$  is a subspace of  $C([0, 1])$  iff  $b = 0$ .

16. If  $U$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $U$  is closed under addition and under taking additive inverses, then  $U$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

**Counterexample.** Let

$$U = \{(m, 0) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then  $U \neq \emptyset$ . If  $(m, 0), (n, 0) \in U$ , then

$$(m, 0) + (n, 0) = (m + n, 0) \in U,$$

so  $U$  is closed under addition. Also for every  $(m, 0) \in U$  its additive inverse is

$$-(m, 0) = (-m, 0) \in U,$$

so  $U$  is closed under additive inverses.

However  $U$  is *not* a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  because it is not closed under scalar multiplication by real numbers. For example,

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot (1, 0) = (1/2, 0) \notin U,$$

since  $1/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $U$  is not a vector subspace.

**Remark.** The two properties given (nonempty, closed under addition and additive inverses) say precisely that  $U$  is an additive subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}^2, +)$ . Additive subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  need not be linear subspaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ ; to be a subspace one must additionally require closure under multiplication by *all* real scalars.

17. Let  $\{W_i : i \in I\}$  be any collection of subspaces of a vector space  $V$ , where  $I$  is an indexing set. Define

$$W = \bigcap_{i \in I} W_i = \{v \in V : v \in W_i \text{ for every } i \in I\}.$$

- Since each  $W_i$  is a subspace,  $0 \in W_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Hence  $0 \in W$ .
- If  $u, v \in W$ , then  $u, v \in W_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . As each  $W_i$  is a subspace,  $u + v \in W_i$  for all  $i$ , so  $u + v \in W$ .
- If  $u \in W$  and  $\alpha$  is a scalar, then  $u \in W_i$  for all  $i$ , hence  $\alpha u \in W_i$  for all  $i$ , so  $\alpha u \in W$ .

Thus  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

**Remark on empty intersections.** If  $I = \emptyset$ , then by convention

$$\bigcap_{i \in \emptyset} W_i = V,$$

since every element of  $V$  vacuously belongs to all sets in the empty collection. Hence the intersection of an empty family of subspaces is  $V$  itself, which is a subspace.

**Union of subspaces.** In general, the union of subspaces need not be a subspace. For example, in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  let

$$W_1 = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad W_2 = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Both are subspaces, but

$$W_1 \cup W_2$$

is not closed under addition:  $(1, 0) \in W_1$ ,  $(0, 1) \in W_2$ , but  $(1, 0) + (0, 1) = (1, 1) \notin W_1 \cup W_2$ .

**Remark on empty unions.** If  $I = \emptyset$ , then by convention

$$\bigcup_{i \in \emptyset} W_i = \emptyset,$$

which is not a subspace (since it does not contain 0).

18. Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be subspaces of a vector space  $V$ . Then we prove that

$$W_1 \cup W_2$$

is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if  $W_1 \subseteq W_2$  or  $W_2 \subseteq W_1$ .

*Proof. (If.)* If  $W_1 \subseteq W_2$  then  $W_1 \cup W_2 = W_2$ , which is a subspace. Similarly if  $W_2 \subseteq W_1$ .

*(Only if.)* Suppose  $W_1 \cup W_2$  is a subspace. We show one of  $W_1, W_2$  is contained in the other. Assume for contradiction that neither is contained in the other. Then there exist

$$u \in W_1 \setminus W_2 \quad \text{and} \quad v \in W_2 \setminus W_1.$$

Both  $u$  and  $v$  lie in the subspace  $W_1 \cup W_2$ , so their sum  $u + v$  must also lie in  $W_1 \cup W_2$  (closure under addition). Two cases:

- If  $u + v \in W_1$ , then since  $u \in W_1$  and  $W_1$  is a subspace, subtracting  $u$  (equivalently adding  $-u$ ) gives

$$v = (u + v) - u \in W_1,$$

contradicting  $v \notin W_1$ .

- If  $u + v \in W_2$ , then since  $v \in W_2$  and  $W_2$  is a subspace, subtracting  $v$  gives

$$u = (u + v) - v \in W_2,$$

contradicting  $u \notin W_2$ .

In either case we obtain a contradiction. Hence our assumption was false, so one of the subspaces must be contained in the other. This completes the proof.  $\square$

19. Statement: If  $V_1, V_2, U$  are subspaces of  $V$  with  $V_1 + U = V_2 + U$ , then  $V_1 = V_2$ .

**Answer:** False.

**Counterexample.** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ , let

$$U = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (\text{the } x\text{-axis}),$$

and let

$$V_1 = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (\text{the } y\text{-axis}),$$

$$V_2 = \{(t, t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (\text{the line } y = x).$$

Then  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are distinct subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For every  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we have

$$(a, b) = (a, 0) + (0, b) \in U + V_1,$$

and also

$$(a, b) = (a - b, 0) + (b, b) \in U + V_2.$$

Hence  $U + V_1 = \mathbb{R}^2 = U + V_2$ , so  $V_1 + U = V_2 + U$  but  $V_1 \neq V_2$ . Thus the statement is false.

**Statement.** If  $V_1, V_2, U$  are subspaces of  $V$  with  $V = V_1 \oplus U$  and  $V = V_2 \oplus U$ , then  $V_1 = V_2$ .

**Answer:** False.

**Counterexample.** Use the same  $V, U, V_1, V_2$  as above. Note that

$$\mathbb{R}^2 = V_1 \oplus U \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R}^2 = V_2 \oplus U,$$

because in each case the sum is direct (each complementary subspace meets  $U$  only in 0) and equals all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Yet  $V_1 \neq V_2$ . Thus even when both decompositions are direct sums with the same complement  $U$ , the complementary subspace need not be unique.

**Remark.** In general, for a fixed subspace  $U$  there are many different complements  $W$  with  $V = W \oplus U$ . The complement is unique only if one imposes an extra structure (for example a specific projection operator or an inner product and one demands the orthogonal complement), but not in the purely algebraic setting.

20. **There is a minor notational issue in the question.** Note that both  $F^{n \times 1}$  and  $M_{n \times 1}(F)$  is used to denote the vector space of all  $n \times 1$  (column) matrices with entries from  $F$ .

Let  $A$  be a fixed  $m \times n$  matrix over a field  $F$ . The set

$$\ker A := \{X \in F^{n \times 1} : AX = 0\}$$

is a subspace of the vector space  $F^{n \times 1}$ .

We must check that  $\ker A$  is nonempty and closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication.

- *Nonempty:* The zero vector  $0 \in F^{n \times 1}$  satisfies  $A0 = 0$ , so  $0 \in \ker A$ .
- *Closed under linear combinations:* Let  $X, Y \in \ker A$  and let  $c \in F$ . Then  $AX = 0$  and  $AY = 0$ . Using the distributive and compatibility properties of matrix multiplication with scalar multiplication,

$$A(cX + Y) = c(AX) + AY = c \cdot 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence  $cX + Y \in \ker A$ .

Therefore  $\ker A$  is a subspace of  $F^{n \times 1}$ .

**Remark.** This is the general fact that the kernel (null space) of any linear transformation (here  $X \mapsto AX$ ) is a subspace of the domain. This will be revisited later in the course.

21. Let  $V$  be the vector space of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let

$$V_e = \{f \in V : f(-x) = f(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

be the subset of even functions, and

$$V_o = \{f \in V : f(-x) = -f(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

the subset of odd functions.

- (a) Prove that  $V_e$  and  $V_o$  are subspaces of  $V$ .
- (b) Prove that  $V_e + V_o = V$ .
- (c) Prove that  $V_e \cap V_o = \{0\}$ .

**(a)  $V_e$  and  $V_o$  are subspaces.**

We show the argument for  $V_e$ ; the proof for  $V_o$  is analogous.

*Nonempty.* The zero function  $0(x) \equiv 0$  satisfies  $0(-x) = 0 = 0(x)$ , so  $0 \in V_e$ .

*Closed under addition.* If  $f, g \in V_e$  then for all  $x$ ,

$$(f + g)(-x) = f(-x) + g(-x) = f(x) + g(x) = (f + g)(x),$$

so  $f + g \in V_e$ .

*Closed under scalar multiplication.* If  $f \in V_e$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$(\alpha f)(-x) = \alpha f(-x) = \alpha f(x) = (\alpha f)(x),$$

so  $\alpha f \in V_e$ .

Hence  $V_e$  is a subspace. The same three checks with  $f(-x) = -f(x)$  show  $V_o$  is a subspace.

**(b)  $V_e + V_o = V$ .**

Let  $f \in V$ . Define functions  $f_e$  and  $f_o$  by

$$f_e(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)), \quad f_o(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)).$$

Then for all  $x$ ,

$$f_e(-x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(-x) + f(x)) = f_e(x),$$

so  $f_e \in V_e$ , and

$$f_o(-x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(-x) - f(x)) = -\frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) = -f_o(x),$$

so  $f_o \in V_o$ . Moreover

$$f_e(x) + f_o(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) + \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) = f(x),$$

hence  $f = f_e + f_o \in V_e + V_o$ . Since  $f$  was arbitrary,  $V_e + V_o = V$ .

**(c)  $V_e \cap V_o = \{0\}$ .**

Let  $h \in V_e \cap V_o$ . Then for all  $x$  we have both  $h(-x) = h(x)$  and  $h(-x) = -h(x)$ . Combining these equalities gives

$$h(x) = -h(x) \quad \text{for all } x,$$

so  $2h(x) = 0$  and hence  $h(x) = 0$  for every  $x$ . Thus  $h$  is the zero function, and  $V_e \cap V_o = \{0\}$ . **(d)** From the last two items it follows that,  $V$  is the direct sum

$$V = V_e \oplus V_o.$$

That is, every function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be decomposed as a sum of an even function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and an odd function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in a unique way,  $f = g + h$ .

22. Let  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  denote the vector space of all real sequences (addition and scalar multiplication defined coordinatewise). For each subset below write **Yes** if it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  and **No** if it is not; give a short reason.

- (a) Sequences that have infinitely many zeros (for example,  $(1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, \dots)$ ).

**Answer: No.** *Reason:* Sum of two such sequences need not have infinitely many zeros. Example:  $a = (1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  and  $b = (0, 1, 0, 1, \dots)$  each have infinitely many zeros but  $a + b = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$  has no zero.

- (b) Sequences which are eventually zero (there exists  $N$  with  $x_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq N$ ).

**Answer: Yes.** *Reason:* The sum and scalar multiples of eventually-zero sequences are eventually zero (take the maximum tail index).

- (c) Absolutely summable sequences ( $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty$ ).

**Answer: Yes.** *Reason:* The set  $\ell^1 = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty\}$  is a linear space: absolute summability is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication (triangle inequality and homogeneity).

- (d) Bounded sequences (there exists  $M$  with  $|x_k| \leq M$  for all  $k$ ).

**Answer: Yes.** *Reason:* Sum and scalar multiples of bounded sequences are bounded (use triangle inequality and scalar factor).

- (e) Decreasing sequences ( $x_{n+1} \leq x_n$  for every  $n$ ).

**Answer: No.** *Reason:* Not closed under scalar multiplication by negative scalars: if  $x$  is decreasing and  $\alpha < 0$ , then  $\alpha x$  is nondecreasing, so may fail to be decreasing.

- (f) Convergent sequences.

**Answer: Yes.** *Reason:* Limits are linear: sum and scalar multiple of convergent sequences converge to the corresponding sums/products of limits.

- (g) Arithmetic progressions (sequences of the form  $a, a + k, a + 2k, \dots$  for some  $a, k \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**Answer: Yes.** *Reason:* If  $x_n = a + (n - 1)k$  and  $y_n = b + (n - 1)\ell$  then  $\alpha x + \beta y$  has the form  $(\alpha a + \beta b) + (n - 1)(\alpha k + \beta \ell)$ , so closed under linear combinations; the zero sequence corresponds to  $a = k = 0$ .

- (h) Geometric progressions (sequences of the form  $a, ak, ak^2, ak^3, \dots$  for some  $a, k \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**Answer: No.** *Reason:* Sum of two geometric sequences with different ratios is typically not geometric (so not closed under addition).