
Advanced Software Engineering **(CS6401)**

Autumn Semester (2024-2025)

Dr. Judhistir Mahapatro
Department of Computer Science and
Engineering
National Institute of Technology Rourkela

Software Project Management

Topics covered in Previous Lecture:

- ▶ Empirical Estimation
- ▶ Heuristic estimation technique- COCOMO

Project Estimation Techniques

- Estimation of various project parameters is an important planning activity
- Different parameters of a project
 - Project Size
 - Effort required
 - Project Duration
 - Cost
- Accurate estimation of these parameters is important
- Not only help in quoting an appropriate project cost to the customer, but also form the basis for resource planning and scheduling



Main categories:

- Empirical Estimation Techniques
 - It is based on making an educated guess of the project parameters
 - Prior experience with development of similar products is helpful
 - Although Empirical Estimation is based on common sense and subjective decisions
 - Two such formalizations such as **Expert Judgement** and **Delphi Technique**



Expert Judgement

- It is a widely used size estimation technique.
- An expert makes an educated guess about the problem size after analyzing the problem thoroughly.
- Expert estimates the cost of different components (i.e. modules or subsystems)



Expert Judgement

- Shortcomings
 - Outcome subject to human error and individual bias.
 - Expert may overlook some factors inadvertently.
 - Expert may not have relevant experience and knowledge of all aspects of a project.

Example: expert is conversant with database but not with programming language and which leads to the size estimation far from being accurate.



Expert Judgement

- Refined form is the estimation made by a group of experts
 - lack of familiarity with a particular aspect
 - Personal bias
 - Desire to win the contract through overly optimistic estimates
 - Individual oversight is minimized when a estimation is done by a group of experts.



Expert Judgement

- A group of experts still may exhibit bias
 - Due to political or social considerations, entire expert team may be biased
 - Decision may be dominated by overly assertive members



Delphi Cost Estimation

- Team consisting of a group of experts and a coordinator.
- Coordinator provides a copy of the SRS to each expert and a form for recording his cost estimates.
- Anonymously carry out the task and submit it to coordinator
- Estimators mention any unusual characteristics of the product which has influenced their estimates



Delphi Cost Estimation

- Coordinator prepares the summary of the responses of the estimators and also includes any unusual rationale noted by any of the estimators.
- Prepared summary information is distributed to the estimators.
 - Based on this summary, estimators re-estimate the cost.



Delphi Cost Estimation

- This process is iterated for several rounds.
 - **no discussion** among the estimators is allowed during the process.
 - Otherwise, many estimators will be influenced by the **rationale of a senior or experienced** estimators.
 - After several iterations, coordinator compiles the results and preparing the final estimate.
 - Though it consumes more time and effort, removes unjustly be influenced by overly **assertive and senior members**.
-



Heuristic Techniques

- It assumes that the **relationships** that exist among the different project **parameters** can be modeled using suitable **mathematical expression**.
- Heuristic estimation models can be divided into two broad categories:
 - Single variable models
 - Multivariable models



Heuristic Techniques

- Single variable model **assume that** various project characteristics can be predicted based on a single previously estimated basic (independent) characteristic of the software (such as project size).

$$\text{Estimated parameter} = C_1 \times e^{d1}$$

‘e’ represents a characteristic of the software that has already been estimated (independent variable)

- Estimated parameter is the dependent parameter (to be estimated). For example, **effort, project duration, staff size**, etc.
-



Heuristic Techniques

- A multivariable model assumes that a parameter can be predicted based on the values of more than one independent parameters.

$$\text{Estimated parameter} = C_1 \times e_1^{d1} + C_2 \times e_2^{d2} + \dots$$

where, e_1 and e_2 are the basic independent variables of the software already estimated.

The constants C_1 and d_1 are usually determined from the analysis of the historical data (of past projects).

- Multivariable estimation model is more accurate over single variable estimation model.
-



COCOMO – A Heuristic Estimation Technique

- COnstructive COst estimation MOdel (COCOMO) was proposed by Boehm.
 - It prescribes a three stage process for project estimation.
 - It uses both single and multivariable estimation models at different stages of estimation.
 - Three stages of COCOMO estimation technique are – basic COCOMO, intermediate COCOMO, and complete COCOMO.
-



Basic COCOMO Model

- Boehm postulated that any software development project can be classified into one of the following three categories based on the development complexity –
 - organic,
 - semidetached,
 - embedded.
- Different sets of formulas for different categories to estimate the effort and duration from the size estimate.



Basic COCOMO Model

- Three basic classes of software development projects
 - According to Boehm, consider **not** only the characteristics of the product **but also** those of the development team and development environment.
 - Three product development classes correspond to development of application (ex: **data processing programs**), utility (ex: **linkers and compilers** etc), and system software (ex: **operating system**).
 - System programs interact directly with the hardware and programming complexities arise out of the requirement meeting timing constraints and concurrent processing tasks.



Basic COCOMO Model

- According to Brooks, Product development complexity (as difficult to write) for the three categories (application, utility, and system software) are **1:3:9**



Basic COCOMO Model

Organic:

If the project is a **well-understood** application program, the development team is **reasonably small**, and the team members are **experienced** in developing similar types of projects.

Semidetached:

If the development team consists of **experienced** and **inexperienced** staff. Team members may have **limited experience** on related systems but may be **unfamiliar** with some aspects of the system being developed.



Basic COCOMO Model

Embedded:

If the project is strongly coupled to **hardware**, or if **stringent regulations** on the operational procedure exist.

Team members may have **limited experience** on related systems but may be unfamiliar with some aspects of the system.



Basic COCOMO Model

- For three product categories
 - Boehm provides expressions to **predict** the effort (in units of person-months) and development time from the size estimation given in kilo lines of code (KLOC).



Basic COCOMO Model

- **One person month** is the effort an individual can typically put in a month.
 - Implicitly takes into account the productivity losses that normally occur due to time lost in holidays, weekly offs, coffee break, etc.
- **Person-month (PM)** is considered to be an appropriate unit for measuring effort, because developers are typically assigned to a project for a certain number of months.



Basic COCOMO Model

- Effort estimation of 100 PM does not imply that 100 persons should work for 1 month. Neither does it imply that 1 should be employed for 100 months to complete the project.
- Effort estimation simply denotes **the area under the person-month curve** for the project.
 - Different personnel may work at different points in the project development.
- The number of personnel working on the project usually increases or decreases by an integral number.



Basic COCOMO Model

- It is a single variable heuristic model
- The expression for the basic COCOMO estimation

$$\text{Effort} = a_1 \times (\text{KLOC})^{a_2} \quad \text{PM}$$

$$T_{\text{dev}} = b_1 \times (\text{Effort})^{b_2} \quad \text{months}$$

Where, KLOC is the estimated size of the software product expressed in Kilo Lines of Code.

- a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 are constants.
 - T_{dev} is the estimated time to develop the software, expressed in months.
 - **Effort** is the total effort required to develop the software product, expressed in PMs
- According to Boehm, every line of source code should be calculated as one LOC irrespective of the actual number of instructions on that line.

Basic COCOMO Model

- For the three classes of software products, the formulas for estimating the effort based on the code size are as follows:

Organic: $\text{Effort} = 2.4(\text{KLOC})^{1.05} \text{ PM}$

Semi-detached: $\text{Effort} = 3.0(\text{KLOC})^{1.12} \text{ PM}$

Embedded: $\text{Effort} = 3.6(\text{KLOC})^{1.20} \text{ PM}$

- For the three classes of software products, the formulas for estimating the development time based on the effort are as follows:

Organic: $T_{\text{dev}} = 2.5(\text{Effort})^{0.38} \text{ months}$

Semi-detached: $T_{\text{dev}} = 2.5(\text{Effort})^{0.35} \text{ months}$

Embedded: $T_{\text{dev}} = 2.5(\text{Effort})^{0.32} \text{ months}$



Basic COCOMO Model

- The effort is somewhat **superlinear** (that is, slope of the curve > 1) in the size of the software product.
 - The exponent is more than 1.
- The effort required to develop a product increases rapidly with project size.
- The development time is a **sublinear** function of the size of the product.
 - That is, when the size of the product increases by two times, the time to develop the product does not double but rises moderately.



Basic COCOMO Model

- Duration curve does not **increase superlinearly**.
 - The curve to behave similar to those in the effort-size plots.
 - This anomaly can be explained by the fact that COCOMO assumes that a project development is carried out not by a single person but a team of developers.
 - For a project of any given size, the development time is roughly the same for all the three categories of product.
-



Basic COCOMO Model

- According to COCOMO formulas, embedded programs require much higher effort than either application or utility programs.
- We can interpret it to mean that there is **more scope for parallel activities** for system programs than those in utility or application programs.



Basic COCOMO Model

- Cost Estimation
 - Project cost can be obtained by multiplying the estimated effort (in man-month) by the manpower cost per month.
 - Assumption that the entire project cost is incurred on account of the manpower cost alone.
 - In addition to manpower cost, a project would incur **overhead costs** due to hardware, software required for the project, and company overheads for administration, office space, electricity, etc.
-



Intermediate COCOMO Model

- The basic COCOMO model assumes that effort and development time are **functions of the product size** alone.
- Other parameters affect the effort and development time
 - Example: Effort to develop a product would vary depending on the development environment
- All relevant parameter must be taken into account
- It recognizes this fact and refines the initial estimates



Intermediate COCOMO Model

- **Note:** the intermediate COCOMO model **refines** the **initial estimate** obtained using the basic COCOMO expressions by **scaling the estimate up or down** based on the evaluation of a set of attributes of software development.



Intermediate COCOMO Model

- It uses a set of 15 cost drivers (multipliers) that are determined based on various attributes of software development
- These cost drivers are multiplied with the initial cost and effort estimates (obtained from basic COCOMO) appropriately scale those to up or down.
- **Example:** if modern programming practices are used, then a cost driver value < 1 is multiplied to downward the scale of initial estimate.



Intermediate COCOMO Model

- If stringent reliability requirements on the software, initial estimates are scaled upward.
- Boehm requires the managers to rate 15 different parameters for a project on a scale of 1 to 3.
- With each grading, suggested appropriate cost drivers to refine the initial estimates.



Intermediate COCOMO Model

- Cost drivers identified by Boehm can be classified as being attributes of following items:

Product: the characteristics of the product that are considered include the inherent complexity of the product, reliability requirements etc.

Computer: execution speed required, storage space required etc.

Personnel: Experience level of personnel, their programming capability, analysis capability

Development environment: the automation (CASE) tools used for software development.



Complete COCOMO Model

- Basic and intermediate model considers a software product as a single homogeneous entity.
- Large systems are made up of several **sub-systems**.
- Subsystems have different characteristics.
- Some considered to be **Organic, semidetached and embedded**.
- Not only their development complexity different, but some subsystems the **reliability requirement** may be high, some of the **development team** have no prior experience of similar development, and so on.



Complete COCOMO Model

- The complete COCOMO model considers these characteristics of the subsystems and estimates the effort and development time as the **sum of the estimates for the individual sub-systems**.
 - It reduces the margin of error in the final estimate.
 - To further improve the accuracy of the results, the different parameter values of the model can be fine-tuned and validated against an organizations **historical project database** to obtain more accurate estimation.
-



Complete COCOMO Model

- COCOMO are not totally accurate and lack full scientific justification.
 - It is required for an engineering approach to software project management.
 - Companies consider computed cost estimates to be satisfactory, if these are within the 80 percentage of the final cost.
 - These are gross approximations.
-



COCOMO2

- Present day software projects are **much larger** in size and **reuse of existing software** to develop a new
- Component-based development and service-oriented architecture
- New life cycle models and development paradigms are being developed for **web-based** and **component-based software**
- During 1980s rarely any program was interactive, and graphical user interfaces were almost non-existence.
- Effort spent on developing GUI part is often as much as the effort spent on developing the actual functionality of the software
- Boehm proposed COCOMO2 in 1995



COCOMO2

- It provides three models to arrive at increasingly accurate cost estimations.
- These models can be used to estimate project costs at different phases of the software product.



COCOMO2

1. Application composition model:

- It can be used to estimate the cost for prototype development.
- a prototype is usually developed to resolve user interface issues.

2. Early design model:

- estimation of cost at the architectural design stage

3. Post-architecture model:

- cost estimation during detailed design and coding stages.
 - Post-architecture model can be considered as an update of the original COCOMO.
-



- Other two models help consider the these factors:
 - interactive and GUI driven
 - GUI development constitute a significant part of the overall development effort
 - Interactive program concerns several issues that affect productivity such as the extent of reuse.

COCOMO2

- **Application composition model**

- It is based on counting the # of screens, reports and modules(components)
- Each of these components is considered to be an object
- These are used to compute the object points of the application
- Effort is estimated in the application composition as follows:
 1. Estimate the number of screens, reports and modules from an analysis of the SRS document.



COCOMO2

Application composition model

2. Determine the complexity level of each screen and report, and rate these as a simple, medium or difficult.

- The complexity of the screen or a report is determined by the number of tables and views it contains.



COCOMO2

3. The weights have been designed to correspond to the amount of effort required to implement an instance of an object at the assigned complexity class.

Table1: SCREEN complexity assignments for the data tables

#of views	Tables<4	Tables<8	Tables >= 8
< 3	Simple	Simple	Medium
3 to 7	Simple	Medium	Difficult
> 8	Medium	Difficult	Difficult



COCOMO2

4. Add all the assigned complexity values for the object instances together to obtain the object points.

Table2: REPORT complexity assignments for the data tables

#of sections	Tables<4	Tables<8	Tables >= 8
0 or 1	Simple	Simple	Medium
2 or 3	Simple	Medium	Difficult
4 or more	Medium	Difficult	Difficult



COCOMO2

Table3: Table of Complexity Weights for each class for each object types

Object type	Simple	Medium	Difficult
Screen	1	2	3
Report	2	5	8



5. Estimate percentage of reuse expected in the system. Then, evaluate New Object-Point (NOP) count as follows,

$$NOP = \frac{(Object - points)(100 - \% of reuse)}{100}$$

COCOMO2

6. Determine the productivity which depends on the experience of the developers as well as the maturity of the CASE environment used.

Table4: productivity table

Developers experience	Very low	low	Nomina	High	Very high
CASE maturity	very low	low	Nominal	High	very high
PRODUCTIVITY	4	7	13	25	50



COCOMO2

7. Finally, the estimated effort in person-months is computed as

$$\text{Effort} = \frac{NOP}{PRODUCTIVITY}$$



COCOMO2

Early design model:

- UFP are converted into Source Lines of Code
- Typical programming environment, each UFP would correspond to about 128 lines of C, 29 lines of C++, 329 lines of assembly code
- Off course, conversion depends on factors such as extent of reusable libraries supported.
- Seven cost drivers that characterise the post-architecture model are used.
- These are rated on seven point scale.

COCOMO2

- Cost drivers include product reliability and complexity, the extent of reuse, platform sophistication, personal experience, CASE support, and schedule.

$$\text{Effort} = KLOC \times \prod_i \text{CostDriver}_i$$

COCOMO2

- Post-architecture model
- The effort is calculated using the following formula, which is similar to the original COCOMO model.

$$\text{Effort} = a * KLOC^b \times \prod_i \text{CostDriver}_i$$

- It differs from the original COCOMO model in the choice of the set of cost drivers and the range of the values of the exponent ***b***.
- The exponent ***b*** can take values in the range of 1.01 to 1.26