## **DEW POINT AFFECTED PROBABILITY**

## **Data Processing and Feature Engineering:**

1) I encoded the categorical variables into integer values using the Label Encoder. Here's the code:

```
[23] #colums_to_convert are all the columns that have object type
   columns_to_convert = ['THROW_SIDE_KEY', 'PITCH_TYPE_TRACKED_KEY', 'EVENT_RESULT_KEY', 'PITCH_RESULT_KEY']
   label_encoder = LabelEncoder()
   for col in columns_to_convert:
      data[col] = label_encoder.fit_transform(data[col])
```

## Determining the significance of features in relation to the output we aim to predict, which is the dew probability:

- 1)I began by investigating the key factors influencing dew point probability. Initial analysis using chi-square tests revealed a significant correlation between two categorical variables: 'pitch\_result\_key' and 'event\_result\_key.'
- 2)Considering the influence of atmospheric conditions on 'induced vertical break' and 'horizontal break,' I proceeded to analyze the correlations between all continuous variables using both Pearson's and Spearman's coefficients. I found some strong correlation between some variables.

```
Variable 2
OUT_KEY
           Variable 1
BATTER_IN_INNING_KEY
                      PITCH_NUMBER
                      PITCH_NUMBER
                                                             STRIKES
         INDUCED_VERTICAL_BREAK
INDUCED_VERTICAL_BREAK
178
183
                                         RELEASE_SPEED
VERTICAL_APPROACH_ANGLE
                    RELEASE_SPEED
                                         VERTICAL_APPROACH_ANGLE
                     RELEASE_SIDE HORIZONTAL_APPROACH_ANGLE
ELEASE_HEIGHT RELEASE_EXTENSION
                   RELEASE_HEIGHT
     HORIZONTAL_APPROACH_ANGLE
        VERTICAL_APPROACH_ANGLE
     Pearson's Correlation Spearman's Correlation
                                                   0.765956
                      0.678483
61
62
178
                     0.809877
                                                   0.815230
                     0.790129
0.646535
                                                   0.824165
                     0.781252
                    -0.512797
226
229
                      0.573896
                      0.779972
Strong Negative Correlations:
Empty DataFrame
Columns: [Variable 1, Variable 2, Pearson's Correlation, Spearman's Correlation]
```

3)To prepare for training, I used a correlation map or heatmap to finalize the attributes. During this process, I identified a set of variables that exhibit the strongest correlations with each other.

```
Network Graph of Factors Influencing Dew Point Probabilities

PLATE_PHROW_SIDE_KEY

HORIZONTAL_APPROACH_ANGLE

RELEASE_SIDE

HORIZONTAL_BREAK

VERTICAL_APPROACH_ANGLE

PLATE_Z RELEASE_SPEEL

INDUCED_VERTICAL_BREAK
```

## Methodology:

- 1)To prepare for modeling, I first organized the dataset by grouping it based on 'inning\_key' and 'pitcher\_key,' capitalizing on the assumption that pitcher behavior remains consistent within innings.
- 2)Within each of these individual groups, I utilized K-means Clustering to pinpoint anomalies, helping to identify any unusual patterns or outliers in the data.
- 3)Subsequently, I developed an Autoencoder model, where non-anomalous data within each group served as the input.
- 4)During the testing phase, I applied the Autoencoder model to the entire dataset, evaluating its performance across the board.
- 5)To estimate the likelihood of anomalies, I computed reconstruction errors, enabling the calculation of the probabilities for each pitch data point being affected by dew levels greater than 65 degrees.