| \mathbf{CS} | 5565, | ${\tt ECE5590CI},$ | CS494R | LAB 8- | 9 (SVMs a | and Unsupervise | d Learning) 9 | 95 Points |
|---------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Name | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

1. View the videos at the following URLs

```
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qhyyufR0930
```

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L3n2VF7yKkk

You may download the R Code for Labs and the Data Sets to use from the textbook website.

http://www-bcf.usc.edu/~gareth/ISL/

- 2. (45 points total) We have seen that we can fit an SVM with a non-linear kernel in order to perform classification using a non-linear decision boundary. We will now see that we can also obtain a non-linear decision boundary by performing logistic regression using non-linear transformations of the features.
 - (a) (5 points) Generate a data set with n = 500 and p = 2, such that the observations belong to two classes with a quadratic decision boundary between them. For instance, you can do this as follows:

```
> x1=runif (500) -0.5
```

- > x2=runif (500) -0.5
- $y = 1*(x1^2-x2^2 > 0)$
- (b) (5 points) Plot the observations, colored according to their class labels. Your plot should display X_1 on the x-axis, and X_2 on the yaxis.
- (c) (5 points) Fit a logistic regression model to the data, using X_1 and X_2 as predictors.
- (d) (5 points) Apply this model to the *training data* in order to obtain a predicted class label for each training observation. Plot the observations, colored according to the *predicted* class labels. The decision boundary should be linear.
- (e) (5 points) Now fit a logistic regression model to the data using non-linear functions of X_1 and X_2 as predictors (e.g. X_1^2 , $X_1 \times X_2$, $\log(X_2)$, and so forth).
- (f) (5 points) Apply this model to the *training data* in order to obtain a predicted class label for each training observation. Plot the observations, colored according to the *predicted* class labels. The decision boundary should be obviously non-linear. If it is not, then repeat (a)-(e) until you come up with an example in which the predicted class labels are obviously non-linear.
- (g) (5 points) Fit a support vector classifier to the data with X_1 and X_2 as predictors. Obtain a class prediction for each training observation. Plot the observations, colored according to the *predicted class labels*.
- (h) (5 points) Fit a SVM using a non-linear kernel to the data. Obtain a class prediction for each training observation. Plot the observations, colored according to the *predicted class labels*.
- (i) (5 points) Comment on your results.

- 3. (20 points total) At the end of Section 9.6.1, it is claimed that in the case of data that is just barely linearly separable, a support vector classifier with a small value of cost that misclassifies a couple of training observations may perform better on test data than one with a huge value of cost that does not misclassify any training observations. You will now investigate this claim.
 - (a) (5 points) Generate two-class data with p=2 in such a way that the classes are just barely linearly separable.
 - (b) (5 points) Compute the cross-validation error rates for support vector classifiers with a range of cost values. How many training errors are misclassified for each value of cost considered, and how does this relate to the cross-validation errors obtained?
 - (c) (5 points) Generate an appropriate test data set, and compute the test errors corresponding to each of the values of cost considered. Which value of cost leads to the fewest test errors, and how does this compare to the values of cost that yield the fewest training errors and the fewest cross-validation errors?
 - (d) (5 points) Discuss your results.

4. Unsupervised Learning

View the videos at the following URLs

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lFHISDj_4EQ

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YDubYJsZ9iM

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4u3zvtfqb7w

You may download the R Code for Labs and the Data Sets to use from the textbook website.

http://www-bcf.usc.edu/~gareth/ISL/

- 5. (10 points total) In Section 10.2.3, a formula for calculating PVE was given in Equation 10.8. We also saw that the PVE can be obtained using the sdev output of the prcomp() function. On the USArrests data, calculate PVE in two ways:
 - (a) (5 points) Using the sdev output of the prcomp() function, as was done in Section 10.2.3.
 - (b) (5 points) By applying Equation 10.8 directly. That is, use the prcomp() function to compute the principal component loadings. Then, use those loadings in Equation 10.8 to obtain the PVE.

These two approaches should give the same results.

Hint: You will only obtain the same results in (a) and (b) if the same data is used in both cases. For instance, if in (a) you performed **prcomp()** using centered and scaled variables, then you must center and scale the variables before applying Equation 10.3 in (b).

- 6. (20 points total) Consider the USArrests data. We will now perform hierarchical clustering on the states.
 - (a) (5 points) Using hierarchical clustering with complete linkage and Euclidean distance, cluster the states.
 - (b) (5 points) Cut the dendrogram at a height that results in three distinct clusters. Which states belong to which clusters?
 - (c) (5 points) Hierarchically cluster the states using complete linkage and Euclidean distance, after scaling the variables to have standard deviation one.
 - (d) (5 points) What effect does scaling the variables have on the hierarchical clustering obtained? In your opinion, should the variables be scaled before the inter-observation dissimilarities are computed? Provide a justification for your answer.