

Quality Assurance for Spatial Research Data

M. Wagner and C. Henzen, “Quality assurance for spatial research data,” *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 11, no. 6, p. 334, 2022

1st Niklas Lübcke

*Faculty of Information, Media & Design
SRH Heidelberg
Heidelberg, Germany
niklas.lubcke@gmail.com*

2nd Michael Wagner

*Center for Information Services and High Performance Computing (ZIH)
Technische Universität Dresden
Dresden, Germany
michael.wagner@tu-dresden.de*

3rd Christin Henzen

*Working Group Geo UX, German UPA
Technische Universität Dresden
Dresden, Germany
christin.henzen@tu-dresden.de*

Abstract—For spatial data sources in Earth System Science (ESS), the authors (M. Wagner and C. Henzen) propose a revised maturity matrix including FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable) criteria and a spatial data quality matrix where maturity levels are related to quality metrics. Both metrics are then mapped to phases within the research data lifecycle to produce a QA workflow, which the authors have implemented in the interactive questionnaire tool RDMO (Research Data Management Organiser). data lifecycle

Index Terms—quality assurance; data maturity; maturity matrix; spatial data quality; FAIR

I. INTRODUCTION

This document is a model and instructions for L^AT_EX. Please observe the conference page limits.

II. EASE OF USE

A. Maintaining the Integrity of the Specifications

The IEEEtran class file is used to format your paper and style the text. All margins, column widths, line spaces, and text fonts are prescribed; please do not alter them. You may note peculiarities. For example, the head margin measures proportionately more than is customary. This measurement and others are deliberate, using specifications that anticipate your paper as one part of the entire proceedings, and not as an independent document. Please do not revise any of the current designations.

III. PREPARE YOUR PAPER BEFORE STYLING

Before you begin to format your paper, first write and save the content as a separate text file. Complete all content and organizational editing before formatting. Please note sections III-A–?? below for more information on proofreading, spelling and grammar.

Keep your text and graphic files separate until after the text has been formatted and styled. Do not number text heads—L^AT_EX will do that for you.

Identify applicable funding agency here. If none, delete this.

A. Abbreviations and Acronyms

Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE, SI, MKS, CGS, ac, dc, and rms do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable [1].

B. Units

- Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as “3.5-inch disk drive” [2].
- Avoid combining SI and CGS units, such as current in amperes and magnetic field in oersteds. This often leads to confusion because equations do not balance dimensionally. If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.
- Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: “Wb/m²” or “webers per square meter”, not “webers/m²”. Spell out units when they appear in text: “. . . a few henries”, not “. . . a few H”.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Wagner and C. Henzen, “Quality assurance for spatial research data,” *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 11, no. 6, p. 334, 2022.
- [2] S. SCImago (n.d.), “Isprs international journal of geo-information,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100427639&tip=sid&clean=0>