

Dissertation Proposal Defense: The Role of the Agential Value Heuristic in Determining the Success of Persuasive Communication Campaigns

Presented by: Anyun Chatterjee on November 13, 2025

Talk Roadmap

This defense will aim to answer five questions:

1. How do we decide who to initiate and maintain relationships with?
2. What can interpersonal communication theories explain about persuasion?
3. What do dual processing models explain about interpersonal persuasion?
4. What is agential value and how does it advance the three questions asked above?
5. How will I contextualize and operationalize agential value over the next year(ish)?

References from slides

Bellur, S., & Sundar, S. S. (2014). How Can We Tell When a Heuristic Has Been Used?

Design and Analysis Strategies for Capturing the Operation of Heuristics.

Communication Methods and Measures, 8(2), 116–137.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2014.903390>

Berger, C. R., & Calabrese, R. J. (1975). Some Explorations in Initial Interaction and Beyond: Toward a Developmental Theory of Interpersonal Communication. *Human Communication Research*, 1(2), 99–112.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1975.tb00258.x>

Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. J. Wiley.

Boot Camp Translation | CCTSI. (n.d.). Retrieved October 14, 2025, from

<https://cctsi.cuanschutz.edu/community/bootcamptranslation>

Bourdieu, P. (1977). *Outline of a theory of practice* (R. Nice, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511812507>

Boutyline, A., & Soter, L. K. (2021). Cultural schemas: What they are, how to find them, and what to do once you've caught one. *American Sociological Review*, 86(4), 728–758.

Carpenter, S. (2018). Ten Steps in Scale Development and Reporting: A Guide for Researchers. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 12(1), 25–44.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2017.1396583>

Chen, S., Duckworth, K., & Chaiken, S. (1999). Motivated heuristic and systematic processing. *Psychological Inquiry*, 10(1), 44–49.

Chen, S., Shechter, D., & Chaiken, S. (1996). Getting at the truth or getting along: Accuracy-versus impression-motivated heuristic and systematic processing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71(2), 262.

Christov-Moore, L., Bolis, D., Kaplan, J., Schilbach, L., & Iacoboni, M. (2023). Trust in Social Interaction: From Dyads to Civilizations. In P. S. Boggio, T. S. H. Wingenbach, M. L. da Silveira Coêlho, W. E. Comfort, L. Murrins Marques, & M. V. C. Alves (Eds.), *Social and Affective Neuroscience of Everyday Human Interaction: From Theory to Methodology*. Springer. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK595472/>

Cropanzano, R., Anthony, E. L., Daniels, S. R., & Hall, A. V. (2017). Social exchange theory: A critical review with theoretical remedies. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(1), 479–516.

Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900.

DeVellis, R. F., & Thorpe, C. T. (2021). *Scale development: Theory and applications*. Sage publications.

- Foa, U. G. (1971). Interpersonal and Economic Resources. *Science*, 171(3969), 345–351. JSTOR.
- Fuchs, C. (2020). Erich Fromm and the Critical Theory of Communication. *Humanity & Society*, 44(3), 298–325. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160597620930157>
- Griffin, K. (1967). The contribution of studies of source credibility to a theory of interpersonal trust in the communication department. *Psychological Bulletin*, 68(2), 104–120.
- Homans, G. C. (1974). *Social behavior: Its elementary forms*.
- Hovland, C. I., & Weiss, W. (1951). The influence of source credibility on communication effectiveness. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 15(4), 635–650.
- J. Kitchen, P., Kerr, G., E. Schultz, D., McColl, R., & Pals, H. (2014). The elaboration likelihood model: Review, critique and research agenda. *European Journal of Marketing*, 48(11/12), 2033–2050.
- Kahan, D. M., Braman, D., Gastil, J., Slovic, P., & Mertz, C. K. (2007). Culture and Identity-Protective Cognition: Explaining the White-Male Effect in Risk Perception. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, 4(3), 465–505.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-1461.2007.00097.x>
- Kuang, K. (2018). Reconceptualizing Uncertainty in Illness: Commonalities, Variations, and the Multidimensional Nature of Uncertainty. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 42(3), 181–206.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.2018.1492354>
- Kunda, Z. (1990). The case for motivated reasoning. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(3), 480–498. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.108.3.480>

- Lazarsfeld, P. F., & Merton, R. K. (1954). Friendship as a social process: A substantive and methodological analysis. *Freedom and Control in Modern Society*, 18(1), 18–66.
- McPherson, M., & Smith, J. A. (2019). Network Effects in Blau Space: Imputing Social Context from Survey Data. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*, 5, 2378023119868591. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023119868591>
- McPherson, M., Smith-Lovin, L., & Cook, J. M. (2001). Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27(1), 415–444.
- Mead, G. H., Morris, C. W., Huebner, D. R., & Joas, H. (2015). *Mind, self, and society* (The definitive edition). University of Chicago Press.
- Norman, N., Bennett, C., Cowart, S., Felzien, M., Flores, M., Flores, R., Haynes, C., Hernandez, M., Rodriguez, M. P., Sanchez, N., Sanchez, S., Winkelman, K., Winkelman, S., Zittleman, L., & Westfall, J. M. (2013). Boot Camp Translation: A Method For Building a Community of Solution. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 26(3), 254–263. <https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2013.03.120253>
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1983). Central and peripheral routes to persuasion: Application to advertising. *Advertising and Consumer Psychology*, 1, 3–23.
- Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. (1998). Not So Different After All: A Cross-Discipline View Of Trust. *The Academy of Management Review*, 1998-07, Vol.23 (3), p.393-404. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1998.926617>
- Schudson, M. (1989). How Culture Works: Perspectives from Media Studies on the Efficacy of Symbols. *Theory and Society*, 18(2), 153–180.
- Shalowitz, M. U., Isacco, A., Barquin, N., Clark-Kauffman, E., Delger, P., Nelson, D., Quinn, A., & Wagenaar, K. A. (2009). Community-based participatory research: A

review of the literature with strategies for community engagement. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 30(4), 350–361.

Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1986). The Social Identity Theory of Intergroup Behavior. In J. T.

Jost & J. Sidanius (Eds.), *Political Psychology* (pp. 276–293). Psychology Press.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203505984-16>

Thibaut, J. W., & Kelley, H. H. (1959). *The social psychology of groups* (12.-14. [print]). Wiley.

Weatherall, C. O., James Owen. (2019, September 1). *How Misinformation Spreads—And Why We Trust It*. Scientific American.

<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-misinformation-spreads-and-why-we-trust-it/>

Westfall, J. M., Zittleman, L., Felzien, M., Norman, N., Tamez, M., Backlund-Jarquin, P., & Nease, D. (2016). Reinventing the wheel of medical evidence: How the boot camp translation process is making gains. *Health Affairs*, 35(4), 613–618.

Wilson, E. J., & Sherrell, D. L. (1993). Source effects in communication and persuasion research: A meta-analysis of effect size. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 21, 101–112.

Yan, Z., Wang, T., Chen, Y., & Zhang, H. (2016). Knowledge sharing in online health communities: A social exchange theory perspective. *Information & Management*, 53(5), 643–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2016.02.001>

Zollman, K. J. (2013). Network epistemology: Communication in epistemic communities. *Philosophy Compass*, 8(1), 15–27.

