Putnam 2019

A1 Determine all possible values of $A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC$ where A, B, and C are nonnegative integers.

Answer. Any integer that's nonnegative and have remainders 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 modulo 9.

Solution. We first have $A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC = \frac{1}{2}(A+B+C)((A-B)^2 + (B-C)^2 + (A-C)^2)$, so the fact that A, B, C are all nonnegative means that $A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC$ is also nonnegative. Next, consider the numbers k with A = B = k and C = k + 1 for $k \ge 0$, we have:

$$A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC = \frac{1}{2}(A + B + C)((A - B)^2 + (B - C)^2 + (A - C)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(3k + 1)(0 + 1 + 1) = 3k + 1$$

so all numbers in terms of 3k + 1 can be expressed in the terms above (i.e. 1, 4, 7 modulo 9). Meanwhile, A = k and B = C = k + 1 gives us

$$\frac{1}{2}(A+B+C)((A-B)^2+(B-C)^2+(A-C)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(3k+2)(1+1+0) = 3k+2$$

which covers all nonnegative numbers congruent to 2, 5, 8 modulo 9. and finally, setting A = k, B = k + 1, C = k + 2 gives

$$\frac{1}{2}(3k+3)(1^2+1^2+4^2) = 3(3k+3) = 9(k+1)$$

which gives us all multiples of 9 that's at least 9. The number 0 can be achieved by setting A = B = C = 0, therefore giving us the representation of all nonnegative integers with remainders 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 modulo 9.

To show that numbers with remainders 3 and 6 modulo 9 cannot be represented in the form we desire, it suffices to show that if $A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC$ is divisible by 3, then it's divisible by 9. We first notice that $x^3 \equiv x \pmod{3}$ (since $x^3 - x = x(x-1)(x+1)$ and one of x, x-1, x+1 is divisible by 3). Therefore we need A+B+C to be divisible by 3. This gives us one of the following two scenarios:

$$A \equiv B \equiv C$$
 $\{A, B, C\} = \{0, 1, 2\} \pmod{3}$

in the first case, we have $(A-B)^2+(B-C)^2+(A-C)^2\equiv 0\pmod 3$; in the second case, we have $(A-B)^2+(B-C)^2+(A-C)^2\equiv 1+1+1\equiv 0\pmod 3$. This means that $(A-B)^2+(B-C)^2+(A-C)^2$ is divisible by 3 and so is A+B+C. Therefore the product $\frac{1}{2}(A+B+C)((A-B)^2+(B-C)^2+(A-C)^2)$ will be divisible by 9.

- **A2** In the triangle $\triangle ABC$, let G be the centroid, and let I be the center of the inscribed circle. Let α and β be the angles at the vertices A and B, respectively. Suppose that the segment IG is parallel to AB and that $\beta = 2 \tan^{-1}(1/3)$. Find α .
- **A3** Given real numbers $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{2019}$ with $b_{2019} \neq 0$, let $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2019}$ be the roots in the complex plane of the polynomial

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{2019} b_k z^k.$$

Let $\mu = (|z_1| + \cdots + |z_{2019}|)/2019$ be the average of the distances from $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2019}$ to the origin. Determine the largest constant M such that $\mu \geq M$ for all choices of $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{2019}$ that satisfy

$$1 \le b_0 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{2019} \le 2019.$$

Answer. $M = 2019^{-\frac{1}{2019}}$.

Solution. We know that the product of roots is $-\frac{b_0}{b_{2019}}$ here. By AM-GM inequality,

$$\mu \ge \sqrt[2019]{(|z_1| \cdot \dots \cdot |z_{2019}|)} = \sqrt[2019]{\frac{b_0}{b_{2019}}} \ge \sqrt[2019]{\frac{1}{2019}}$$

To show that equality can hold, consider $b_k = 2019^{\frac{k}{2019}}$, which satisfies $1 \le b_0 < b_1 < \cdots < b_{2019} \le 2019$. Consider ϵ as one of the 2020-th root of unity that is not 1 (that is, $\epsilon^{2020} = 1$). Then we have

$$P(2019^{-\frac{1}{2019}}\epsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{2019} 2019^{\frac{k}{2019}} 2019^{-\frac{k}{2019}} \epsilon^k = \sum_{k=0}^{2019} \epsilon^k = \frac{\epsilon^{2020} - 1}{\epsilon - 1} = 0$$

and therefore the 2019 roots are indeed all the 2020th root of unity that isn't 1 multiplied by $2019^{-\frac{1}{2019}}$, hence $\mu = 2019^{-\frac{1}{2019}}$.

A5 Let p be an odd prime number, and let \mathbb{F}_p denote the field of integers modulo p. Let $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be the ring of polynomials over \mathbb{F}_p , and let $q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be given by $q(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a_k x^k$ where $a_k = k^{(p-1)/2} \mod p$. Find the greatest nonnegative integer n such that $(x-1)^n$ divides q(x) in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

Answer. $\frac{p-1}{2}$.

Solution. We first claim that for each 0 < n < p, if n is the highest n such that $(x-1)^n$ divides P(x), then n-1 is the highest power such that $(x-1)^{n-1}$ divides P'(x) (we do differentiation the same manner as how we do it in $\mathbb{R}[x]$). To see this, let $P(x) = (x-1)^n Q(x)$ where Q is not divisible by x-1. Then

$$P'(x) = (x-1)^n Q'(x) + n(x-1)^{n-1} Q(x) = (x-1)^{n-1} ((x-1)Q'(x) + nQ(x))$$

and since 0 < n < p, we have P'(x) divisible by $(x-1)^{n-1}$ but $(x-1)Q'(x) + nQ(x) \equiv nQ(x) \pmod{(x-1)}$, and therefore n-1 is the highest power of x-1 dividing P'(x), as claimed. This means that, if n is the highest power of x-1 dividing P and n < p, then $P(x), P'(x), \cdots, P^{(n-1)}(x)$ are divisible by x-1 but not $P^{(n)}(x)$ (where $P^{(n)}(x)$ denotes the n-th derivative).

Now, consider our polynomial q and the derivatives. For each n < p, the n-th derivative is

$$q^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a_k k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1) x^k = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^{(p-1)/2} k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1) x^k$$

Notice that x-1 divides $q^{(n)}(x)$ iff $q^{(n)}(1)=0$. Therefore we're interested in the value of the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^{(p-1)/2} k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1)$$

when evaluated in \mathbb{F}_p .

Denote, now, $f(x) = x^{(p-1)/2}x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$, which is a degree (p-1)/2 + n polynomial. This means it can be written in the form

$$\sum_{k=(p-1)/2}^{(p-1)/2} b_k x^k$$

2

Then we're looking at the term

$$f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(p-1) = \sum_{k=(p-1)/2}^{(p-1)/2+n} b_k (1^k + \dots + (p-1)^k)$$

If g is a primitive root of p, then provided p-1 does not divide k,

$$1^{k} + \dots + (p-1)^{k} = g^{0} + g^{k} + \dots + g^{(p-2)k} = \frac{g^{(p-1)k-1}}{g^{k} - 1} = 0$$

so if
$$(p-1)/2 + n < p-1$$
, $\sum_{k=(p-1)/2}^{(p-1)/2+n} b_k (1^k + \dots + (p-1)^k) = 0$. This would mean that

$$q(n)(x)$$
 is divisible by $(x-1)$ for all $n=0,1,\cdots,\frac{(p-3)}{2}$.

When $n = \frac{p-1}{2}$, we have the leading term, $b_{(p-1)/2}$ as 1, so in this case $q^{(n)}(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. We thus conclude that the highest power of n with $q^{(n)}(x)$ divisible by (x-1) is $\frac{p-3}{2}$, and therefore the highest power we're looking for is $\frac{p-1}{2}$.

B1 Denote by \mathbb{Z}^2 the set of all points (x,y) in the plane with integer coordinates. For each integer $n \geq 0$, let P_n be the subset of \mathbb{Z}^2 consisting of the point (0,0) together with all points (x,y) such that $x^2 + y^2 = 2^k$ for some integer $k \leq n$. Determine, as a function of n, the number of four-point subsets of P_n whose elements are the vertices of a square.

Answer.

Solution. We first claim that all pairs (x, y) with $x^2 + y^2 = 2^k$ are of the following:

$$\begin{cases} (\pm 2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}, \pm 2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}) & k \text{ odd} \\ (0, \pm 2^{\frac{k}{2}}), (\pm 2^{\frac{k}{2}}, 0) & k \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

To see why, let ℓ be the highest power of 2 dividing both x and y (which exists so long as x and y are not both zero). This means, $x=2^{\ell}x_0$ and $y=2^{\ell}y_0$, where at least one of x_0 and y_0 is odd. Given that $x^2+y^2=2^{2\ell}(x_0^2+y_0^2)$, we need $x_0^2+y_0^2$ to be power of 2. If one of x_0 is odd and the other is even, then $x_0^2+y_0^2$ is odd and the only possibility here will be $x_0^2+y_0^2=1$, which menas $(x_0,y_0)=(\pm 1,0)$ or $(0,\pm 1)$. Otherwise, both are odd and both $x_0^2,y_0^2\equiv 1\pmod 4$. Therefore, $x_0^2+y_0^2\equiv 2\pmod 4$. This means that $x_0^2+y_0^2=2$ is the only possibility, and therefore $x_0,y_0=(\pm 1,\pm 1)$.

Now to first show that we have 5n + 1 such constructions, we first notice that when n = 0, the only possibility is (1,0), (0,1), (-1,0), (0,-1), and that the 5 new constructions consisting at least one point in $P_n \setminus P_{n-1}$ for all n > 0 are the following:

- For n even, we can have $(2^{n/2},0), (2^{n/2-1},2^{n/2-1}), (0,0), (2^{n/2-1},-2^{n/2-1})$ as one square, and have this square rotate by $90^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}, 270^{\circ}$ around the origin. Finally, we have one big square at $(2^{n/2},0), (0,2^{n/2}), (-2^{n/2},0), (0,-2^{n/2})$.
- The case where n odd is similar. We have $(2^{(n-1)/2}, 2^{(n-1)/2}), (2^{(n-1)/2}, 0), (0, 0), (0, 2^{(n-1)/2}),$ and again have it rotate by 90° , 180° , 270° around the origin. Finally, we have one big square at $(2^{(n-1)/2}, 2^{(n-1)/2}), (2^{(n-1)/2}, -2^{(n-1)/2}), (-2^{(n-1)/2}, 2^{(n-1)/2}), (-2^{(n-1)/2}, -2^{(n-1)/2}).$

We're therefore left to show that these are the only solutions. We first notice that a square is a parallelogram, which means that the two opposite vertices have the same coordinate-wise sum as the other two opposite vertices. Now, let k be the minimum power of 2 that divides all the x-coordinates of the square, i.e. one of them is $\pm 2^k$. By considering the sum of opposite vertices, we must have other one vertex that is $\pm 2^k$ (either the side, or diagonal). This means that this square must have 2 points from the following 6: $(\pm 2^k, \pm 2^k)$ (4 points here), and $(\pm 2^k, 0)$.

B2 For all $n \ge 1$, let $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n})}{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n})\cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})}$. Determine $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^3}$.

Answer. $\frac{8}{\pi^3}$

Solution. We first notice the following:

$$\cos^{2} A - \cos^{2} B = (\cos A - \cos B)(\cos A + \cos B) = (-2\sin\frac{A+B}{2}\sin\frac{A-B}{2})(2\cos\frac{A+B}{2}\cos\frac{A-B}{2})$$
$$= \sin(A+B)\sin(B-A)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})} - \frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n})} = \frac{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n}) - \cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})}{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n})\cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})} = \frac{\sin(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n})\sin(\frac{\pi}{2n})}{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n})\cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})}$$

which means

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n})}{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n})\cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2n}} (\frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})} - \frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n})}) = \frac{1}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2n}} (\frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2n})} - \frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2n})})$$

when $n \to \infty$, $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2n}) \to \frac{\pi}{2n}$, $\frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2n})} \to 1$ and $\frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2n})} \to \frac{1}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2n})} \to \frac{1}{(\frac{\pi}{2n})^2}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2n}} \left(\frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2n})} - \frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2n})} \right) \to \frac{2n}{\pi} \left(\left(\frac{2n}{\pi} \right)^2 - 1 \right)$$

and so

$$\frac{a_n}{n^3} \to \frac{2}{\pi} ((\frac{2}{\pi})^2 - \frac{1}{n^2}) = \frac{8}{\pi^3}$$

B5 Let F_m be the m'th Fibonacci number, defined by $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ and $F_m = F_{m-1} + F_{m-2}$ for all $m \ge 3$. Let p(x) be the polynomial of degree 1008 such that $p(2n+1) = F_{2n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 1008$. Find integers j and k such that $p(2019) = F_j - F_k$.

Answer. j = 2019, k = 1010.

Solution. Start with $p_0 = p$, and for each $i \ge 1$, $p_{i+1}(x) = p_i(x+2) - p_i(x)$. We notice the following:

- By considering the expansion $(x+2)^k x^k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {k \choose i} 2^{k-i} x_i$, we have $\deg(p_{i+1}) = \deg(p_i) 1$ whenever $\deg(p_i) \ge 1$.
- For each $k \leq 1008$, we can inductively show that for all $n = 0, 1, \dots, 1008 k$, $p_k(2n+1) = F_{2n+1+k}$. Indeed, this is true for k = 0. If this is true for some $k \geq 0$ (but $k \leq 1007$) then for $n = 0, \dots, 1007 k$, we have

$$p_{k+1}(2n+1) = p_{k+1}(2n+3) - p_k(2n+1) = F_{2n+3+k} - F_{2n+1+k} = F_{2n+2+k}$$

as desired.

So from above, we have $p_{1008}(1) = F_{1009}$, but $deg(p_{1008}) = 0$ so p_{1008} is a constant (i.e. F_{1009}).

Next, we'll recover p(2019) by the following: we see that $p_{1008}(3) = F_{1009}$, and moreover for all $k \le 1007$,

$$p_k(2(1008 - k) + 3) = p_{k+1}(2(1008 - k) + 1) + p_k(2(1008 - k) + 1)$$
$$= p_{k+1}(2(1008 - k) + 1) + F_{k+2(1008 - k) + 1} = p_{k+1}(2(1008 - k) + 1) + F_{2016 - k + 1}$$

So we can do telescoping sum to get

$$p(2019) - p_{1008}(3) = \sum_{k=0}^{1007} p_k(2(1008 - k) + 3) - p_{k+1}(2(1008 - k) + 1) = F_{2016 - k + 1}$$

That is,

$$p(2019) = F_{1009} + F_{1010} + \dots + F_{2017} = F_{2017} + \sum_{k=505}^{1008} F_{2k-1} + F_{2k} = F_{2017} + \sum_{k=505}^{1008} F_{2k+1}$$

$$= F_{2017} + (F_{1011} + F_{1013} + \dots + F_{2017})$$

But then $F_{1011} + F_{1013} + \dots + F_{2017} = F_{2018} - F_{1010}$, so $F_{2017} + (F_{1011} + F_{1013} + \dots + F_{2017}) = F_{2017} + F_{2018} - F_{1010} = F_{2019} - F_{1010}$.