1. For any two vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} in \mathbb{R}^n we have $|\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}| \leq ||\vec{x}|| \cdot ||\vec{y}||$. (Cauchy-Schawz)

Proof: Let's present a different proof from class. Denote $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$. Then the thing we need to prove becomes

$$(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2) \ge (x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n)^2$$

Subtracting the left hand side by right hand side we have:

$$(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2) - (x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i^2 y_j^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i^2 + 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i y_i x_j y_j\right)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_i y_j - x_j y_i)^2,$$

which is clearly nonnegative. It's also interesting to find when does the equality hold, which happens iff for each $i \neq j$ we have $x_i y_j = x_j y_i$. W.L.O.G. le'ts assume that for each i we have $x_i \neq 0$ or $y_i \neq 0$ (adding the '0' terms will not change the sum on either side). If $x_i = 0$ for some i, then we have $x_j y_i = 0$ for all $j \neq i$, and from $y_i \neq 0$ we have $x_j = 0$ for all j, so \vec{x} is a zero vector. Similarly, $y_i = 0$ for some i implies that \vec{y} is a zero vector. Otherwise, x_i, y_i are nonzero for all i, and thus it's possible to rearrange the equality $x_i y_j = x_j y_i$ to form $\frac{x_i}{y_i} = \frac{x_j}{y_j}$ for all i, j. This means $\frac{x_i}{y_i}$ is the same for all i, and therefore there exists a scalar t such that $\vec{x} = t\vec{y}$. Thus equality holds iff either vector is zero or a scalar mutiple of each other (notice that this invariant won't change even after adding the "zero coordinates" that we assumed didn't exist in the beginning).

Corollary: $||\vec{x} + \vec{y}||^2 = ||\vec{x}||^2 + ||\vec{y}||^2 + 2\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} \le ||\vec{x}||^2 + ||\vec{y}||^2 + 2||\vec{x}|| \cdot ||\vec{y}|| = (||\vec{x}|| + ||\vec{y}||)^2$ is the triangle inequality. This holds for *p*-norm for any $p \ge 1$, as a consequence of Minkowski's Inequality. The proof is however beyond my current capability.

2. For any set E, E° is open.

Proof: Recall the definition of $E^{\circ} = \{x : x \in E, \exists \epsilon > 0(B_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq E)\}$. Now for any $x \in E^{\circ}$, we have $B_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq E$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. This means, for any δ with $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ we have:

$$y \in B_{\delta}(x) \to ||y - x|| < \delta \to \forall z \in B_{\epsilon - \delta}(y) : ||z - x|| \le ||z - y|| + ||y - x|| < \epsilon - \delta + \delta = \epsilon$$

meaning that $y \in E^{\circ}$. Therefore $B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq E^{\circ}$ and thus E° is open.

3. For any set E, \overline{E} is closed.

Proof: We need to prove that \overline{E}^c is open. Recall that $\overline{E} = E^\circ \cup \delta E$, and we also have:

$$E^{\circ} = \{\vec{x} : \exists \epsilon > 0, B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \cap E^{c} = \phi\} \qquad \partial E = \{\vec{x} : \forall \epsilon > 0, B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \cap E^{c}, B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \cap E \neq \phi\}$$

This means that $\overline{E}^c\{\vec{x}: \exists \epsilon > 0, B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \cap E = \phi\}$. Clearly \overline{E}^c is disjoint from E, and since for each $\vec{x} \notin \overline{E}$ there is an open ball that is contained in E^c , \overline{E}^c is open.

4. For any set E, $(\overline{E})^c = (E^c)^{\circ}$.

Proof: For any \vec{x} we have

$$\vec{x} \in \overline{E} \leftrightarrow (\vec{x} \in E^{\circ} \lor \vec{x} \in \partial E) \leftrightarrow (\exists \epsilon > 0(B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \subseteq E)) \lor (\forall \epsilon > 0(B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \not\subseteq E) \land (B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \not\subseteq E^{c})) \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0(B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \not\subseteq E^{c}))$$

the last relation is indeed equivalent to $\vec{x} \notin (E^c)^\circ$, and hence concludes the proof.

5. For any set E we have $E^{\circ} \subseteq E \subseteq \overline{E}$.

Proof: For any $\vec{x} \in E^{\circ}$ there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \subseteq E$, so $\vec{x} \in B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \subseteq E$ and $\vec{x} \in E$. For the second part we use the previous identity: $(\overline{E})^c = (E^c)^{\circ} \subseteq E^c$, and therefore $E \subseteq \overline{E}$.

6. Any union of open sets is open.

Proof: Let \vec{x} be in the union U of open sets, meaning that $\vec{x} \in U_i$ for some open set U_i (where U_i is an open set that is 'part of' U). Then there exists an open ball $B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \subseteq U_i \subseteq U$; the former follows from the definition of openness and the latter follows from the definition of union.

Corollary: Any intersection of closed sets is closed.

7. Any *finite* intersection of open sets is open.

Proof: Consider the sets U_1, \dots, U_n and let \vec{x} be in their intersection. This means for each $i \in [1, n]$ there exists $\epsilon_i > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon_i}(\vec{x}) \subseteq U_i$. Letting $m = \min\{\epsilon_i : i \in [1, n]\} > 0$ and we have $B_m(\vec{x}) \subseteq U_i$ for all i, thus $B_m \subseteq \cap U_i$.

Corollary. Any finite union of closed sets is closed.

Note on finiteness. The condition of finiteness is necessary. For example, the set $\cap B_{\frac{1}{n}}(\vec{O}) = \vec{O}$ cannot be open although each $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(\vec{O})$ is open.

8. For each set E we have $E^{\circ} = \bigcup V : V \subseteq E$ and V open.

Proof: We have already proven that E° is open, and that $E^{\circ} \subseteq E$. This shows that E° is in fact one of the V's into the consideration and therefore $E^{\circ} \subseteq \cup V$.

Conversely, let $V: V \subseteq E$ and V open. Then for each $\vec{x} \in V$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(\vec{x}) \subseteq V \subseteq E^{\circ}$ so $\vec{x} \in E^{\circ}$ too. Thus $V \subseteq E^{\circ}$ and therefore $\cup V: V \subseteq E$ and V open $\subseteq E^{\circ}$.

Corollary. The following three are equivalent:

- \bullet E is open.
- $E^{\circ} = E$.
- $\partial E \cap E = \phi$.
- 9. For each set E we have $\overline{E} = \cap V : E \subseteq V$ and V closed.

Proof: By the previous proofs we have: $(\overline{E})^{\circ} = (E^{c})^{\circ} = \cup W : W \subseteq E^{c}$ and W open. Thus we have $\overline{E} = (\cup W)^{c} = \cap W^{c}$ where $W \subseteq E^{c}$ and W open. The last condition is the same as $E \subseteq W^{c}$ and W^{c} closed, so substituting W^{c} with V we get $\overline{E} = \cap V$ with $E \subseteq V$ and V closed.

Corollary. The following three are equivalent:

- E is closed.
- $\overline{E} = E$.
- $\partial E \subseteq E$.