

Solution to IMO 2017 shortlisted problems.

Anzo Teh

1 Algebra

A1 Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, k , and M be positive integers such that

$$\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} = k \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = M.$$

If $M > 1$, prove that the polynomial

$$P(x) = M(x+1)^k - (x+a_1)(x+a_2)\dots(x+a_n)$$

has no positive roots.

Solution. We will actually prove that $(x+a_1)(x+a_2)\dots(x+a_n) > M(x+1)^k$ for all $x > 0$. Now, dividing by M on both sides (i.e. dividing by $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ on the left hand side) (bearing in mind that M is positive) we get that the desired inequality is equivalent to $(1 + \frac{x}{a_1})(1 + \frac{x}{a_2})\dots(1 + \frac{x}{a_n}) = \frac{x+a_1}{a_1} \cdot \frac{x+a_2}{a_2} \dots \frac{x+a_n}{a_n} > (x+1)^k$.

Before we proceed, we prove a key fact: for all $x > 0$ and all i we have $(1 + \frac{x}{a_i})^{a_i} \geq 1 + x$, with equality happening if and only if $a_i = 1$. Here I will show two proofs to it:

- Expanding the left hand side (thankfully a_i is a positive integer) gives

$$(1 + \frac{x}{a_i})^{a_i} = \sum_{j=0}^{a_i} \binom{a_i}{j} x^j = 1 + x + \sum_{j=2}^{a_i} \binom{a_i}{j} x^j$$

Clearly the last term is positive if $x > 0$ and $a_i > 1$.

- Consider the expression $f(x) = (1 + \frac{x}{a_i})^{a_i} - (1 + x)$, where $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(x) = (1 + \frac{x}{a_i})^{a_i-1} - 1$. This derivative is positive if $x > 0$ and $a_i - 1 > 0$ (i.e. $a_i > 1$), which will follow that $f(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$ if $a_i > 1$.

Thus we have $(1 + \frac{x}{a_i}) \geq (1 + x)^{\frac{1}{a_i}}$ for all $x > 0$ with equality iff $a_i = 1$. This means, $(1 + \frac{x}{a_1})(1 + \frac{x}{a_2})\dots(1 + \frac{x}{a_n}) \geq (x+1)^{\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}} = (x+1)^k$, with equality iff $a_i = 1$ for all i . This cannot happen, otherwise $M = 1$. So the strict inequality always holds.

A2 Let q be a real number. Gugu has a napkin with ten distinct real numbers written on it, and he writes the following three lines of real numbers on the blackboard:

- In the first line, Gugu writes down every number of the form $a - b$, where a and b are two(not necessarily distinct) numbers on his napkin.
- In the second line, Gugu writes down every number of the form qab , where a and b are two (not necessarily distinct) numbers from the first line.
- In the third line, Gugu writes down every number of the form $a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2$, where a, b, c, d are four (not necessarily distinct) numbers from the first line.

Determine all values of q such that, regardless of the numbers on Gugu's napkin, every number in the second line is also a number in the third line.

Answer. 0 and ± 2 .

Solution. Let a_1, \dots, a_{10} be the numbers on the napkin. Then the numbers on the first line are in the form of $a_i - a_j$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq 10$. Thus all the numbers on the second line are in the form of $q(a_i - a_j)(a_k - a_\ell)$, and the numbers on the third line is in the form of $(a_{11} - a_{12})^2 + (a_{21} - a_{22})^2 - (a_{31} - a_{32})^2 - (a_{41} - a_{42})^2$. Thus letting $q = 0$ yields all numbers on the second line as 0, which we can choose $a_{11} = a_{12} = \dots = a_{41} = a_{42}$. Now for $q = 2$ we have $2(a_i - a_j)(a_k - a_\ell) = (a_j - a_k)^2 + (a_i - a_\ell)^2 - (a_i - a_k)^2 - (a_j - a_\ell)^2$ and for $q = -2$ we have $(a_i - a_j)(a_k - a_\ell) = (a_i - a_k)^2 + (a_j - a_\ell)^2 - (a_j - a_k)^2 - (a_i - a_\ell)^2$. Thus these are all valid values.

To show that these are the only values, consider when $a_i = i$, so the numbers on the first line are $-9, -8, \dots, 8, 9$. Thus $q(1)(1) = q$ is on the second line, and all numbers on the third line are integers. It then follows that q is an integer. Next, $q(9)(9) = 9^2 \cdot q$ is on the second line while the numbers on the third line cannot exceed $9^2 + 9^2 = 2 \cdot 9^2$ and cannot be less than $-(9^2 + 9^2) = -2 \cdot 9^2$. It then follows that $-2 \leq q \leq 2$. This left with 5 choices: $-2, -1, 0, 1, 2$. Finally, to see why ± 1 doesn't work, consider a new sequence $-\pi, 0, 1, \dots, 8$ on the napkin, so the first line contains the numbers $\pm(i + \pi j)$ where $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore the number $q(1)(1 + \pi) = q + q\pi$ is indeed on the second line. The numbers on the third line are in the form of $a\pi^2 + b\pi + c$. Notice that b must be even, since each of the summand $\pm(i + \pi j)^2 = \pm(i^2 + 2\pi ij + \pi^2 j^2)$, with the coefficient of π equal to $2ij$, which is even. Now there must be a combination of the four numbers that gives $a = 0$, $b = c = q$ since π is transcendental (i.e. $a'\pi^2 + b'\pi + c' = 0$ means that $a' = b' = c' = 0$). The fact that b must be even means that q must also be even, so $q = \pm 1$ doesn't work.

A3 Let S be a finite set, and let \mathcal{A} be the set of all functions from S to S . Let f be an element of \mathcal{A} , and let $T = f(S)$ be the image of S under f . Suppose that $f \circ g \circ f \neq g \circ f \circ g$ for every g in \mathcal{A} with $g \neq f$. Show that $f(T) = T$.

Solution. Now consider arbitrary x and consider the sequence $a_k = f^k(x)$, with $k \geq 0$. Since S is finite, $f^i(x) = f^j(x)$ for some $i \neq j$, and subsequently $f^{i+k}(x) = f^{j+k}(x)$ for all $k \geq 0$. This means that this sequence a_k is eventually periodic. Now we determine the minimal such i , namely $m(x)$, such that f is periodic from this $i := m(x)$. Also let $t(x)$ be the minimal index such that $f^i(x) = f^{i+t(x)}(x)$ for all $i \geq m(x)$. Clearly, $a_k \in T = f(S)$ if and only if $k \geq \min(m(x), 1)$, and $a_k \in f(T) = f(f(S))$ if and only if $k \geq \min(m(x), 2)$ (in general, $a_k \in f^\ell(S)$) iff $k \geq \min(m(x), \ell)$.

Consider $g(x)$ defined in such a manner:

- If $m(x) \leq 1$, then $g(x) = f(x)$.
- Otherwise, $g(x) = f^{m(x)t(x)+1}(x)$.

Observe that if $m(x) = 1$ then $g(x) = f(x) = f^{t(x)+1}(x) = f^{m(x)t(x)+1}(x)$ so $g(x) = f^{m(x)t(x)+1}(x)$ would hold for all x with $m(x) \geq 1$. Also $m(g(x)) = 0$ for all $m(x) \geq 1$, since in this case we have $m(x)t(x) + 1 > m(x)$. We first show that $f \circ g \circ f = g \circ f \circ g$. If $m(x) \leq 1$, then $m(f^k(x)) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, and thus $f \circ g \circ f(x) = g \circ f \circ g(x) = f^3(x)$. Otherwise, $m(f(x)) = m(x) - 1 \geq 1$ (and $t(f(x)) = t(x)$ so we have $f \circ g \circ f(x) = f \circ (f^{(m(x)-1)t(x)+1} f(x)) = f^{(m(x)-1)t(x)+2} f(x) = f^{(m(x)-1)t(x)+3}(x) = f^{m(x)t(x)+3}(x)$, and $g \circ f \circ g(x) = g \circ f f^{m(x)t(x)+1}(x) = g \circ f^{m(x)t(x)+2}(x) = f^{m(x)t(x)+3}(x)$ Now we also have $f(T) \neq T$ if and only if $m(x) \geq 2$ for some x . Here, $m(f(x)) = m(x) - 1 \geq 1$ while $m(g(x)) = 0$ as before, so $f \neq g$. Thus this g is a legitimate counterexample when $f(T) \neq T$.

A4 A sequence of real numbers a_1, a_2, \dots satisfies the relation

$$a_n = -\max_{i+j=n} (a_i + a_j) \quad \text{for all } n > 2017.$$

Prove that the sequence is bounded, i.e., there is a constant M such that $|a_n| \leq M$ for all positive integers n .

Solution. Suppose that it is unbounded. We first prove that it has to be unbounded in both directions (positive and negative). Indeed, if for any $M > \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{2017}|\}$ there exists $a_n > M$ (so $n > 2017$), then from $a_i + a_j = -a_n < -M$ for some i, j with $i + j = n$ we get $\min\{a_i, a_j\} < \frac{-M}{2}$. Similarly if for any $M > \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{2017}|\}$ there exists $a_n < -M$ then from $a_i + a_j = -a_n > M$ for some i, j with $i + j = n$ we get $\max\{a_i, a_j\} > \frac{M}{2}$. Thus if the sequence is unbounded in any direction, it has to be unbounded in the opposite direction, too.

Now let a_n to be the first number in the sequence greater than $M = \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{2017}|\}$, and by the unboundedness of the sequence there must also exist m with $a_m > a_n$; we shall assume in the rest of the proof that this m is minimal possible. The fact that $a_n < a_m = -\max_{i+j=m} (a_i + a_j)$ means that whenever $i + j = m$ we have $a_i + a_j \leq -a_m$. In particular, $a_{n-i} \leq -a_m - a_n < -a_n - a_n = -2a_n$. This implies the existence of an integer k (take $k = n - i$) less than m such that $a_k < -2a_n$, which also implies that $a_k < -\max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{2017}|\}$, so $k > 2017$. This would mean $a_k = -(a_i + a_j)$ for some i, j with $i + j = k$ by the definition of \max , so $a_i + a_j = -a_k > 2a_n$ as $a_k < -2a_n$. Thus we have $\max\{a_i, a_j\} > a_n$. Since $i, j < m$, this will contradict the fact that m is the minimal possible index with $a_m > a_n$. Hence the proof is complete with contradiction reached.

A5 An integer $n \geq 3$ is given. We call an n -tuple of real numbers (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) Shiny if for each permutation y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n of these numbers, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i y_{i+1} = y_1 y_2 + y_2 y_3 + y_3 y_4 + \dots + y_{n-1} y_n \geq -1.$$

Find the largest constant $K = K(n)$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i x_j \geq K$$

holds for every Shiny n -tuple (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) .

Answer. $-\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$.

Solution. We first show that this K cannot be improved. Consider $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily small, and $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = \epsilon, x_n = \frac{-1}{2\epsilon}$. Then for permutation y_1, \dots, y_n of x_1, \dots, x_n we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i y_{i+1} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} + (n-2)\epsilon^2 & y_1 = \frac{-1}{2\epsilon} \text{ or } y_n = \frac{-1}{2\epsilon} \\ -1 + (n-3)\epsilon^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and is hence shiny. On the other hand,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i x_j = -\frac{n-1}{2} + \binom{n-1}{2} \epsilon^2$$

which can be made arbitrarily close to $-\frac{n-1}{2}$.

Now to prove the bound, we'll show that average of $x_i x_j$ for $i \neq j$ is at least $-\frac{1}{n}$. Consider, now, putting the x_i 's on a circle in an arbitrary fashion, and label y_1, \dots, y_n in that order.

Case 1. If there are two neighbouring numbers with same sign, i.e. both ≥ 0 or both < 0 , then we can make those two as y_1 and y_n . It then follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i y_{i+1} + y_1 y_n \geq -1 + 0 = -1$$

so the average of the numbers $x_i x_j$ in this circular arrangement is indeed $\geq \frac{-1}{n}$.

Case 2. If each of the neighbouring numbers have alternating sign, then we're in the situation where n is even and exactly half are nonnegative. Consider $\mathbb{E}(x_i x_j | \text{Cond})$, the average of $x_i x_j$ under the condition Cond. Then $\mathbb{E}(x_i x_j | x_i x_j \geq 0) \geq 0$, and by considering all the permutations y_1, \dots, y_{2n} of alternating signs, we have $\mathbb{E}(x_i x_j | x_i x_j < 0) \geq \frac{-1}{n-1}$. But then there are $\frac{n^2}{4}$ pairs of $x_i x_j$ with < 0 product, and $\frac{n(n-2)}{4}$ pairs with ≥ 0 product. It then follows that the overall average is at least

$$\frac{n^2}{2n(n-1)} \cdot \frac{-1}{n-1} = -\frac{n}{2(n-1)^2} > -\frac{1}{n}$$

as desired.

A6 (IMO 2) Let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers. Determine all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any real numbers x and y ,

$$f(f(x)f(y)) + f(x+y) = f(xy).$$

Answer. $0, x-1, 1-x$.

Solution. We first see that these functions work: we get $0, xy-1, 1-xy$ on both sides for each of the cases. It then remains to show that these are the only functions that work.

First, we note that substituting $x = y = 0$ means $f(f(0)^2) = 0$, so 0 is a value of f . Now let x_0 be any number such that $f(x_0) = 0$. Then substituting $x = 0$ gives $f(0) + f(x_0 + y) = f(x_0 y)$. Suppose, now, $x_0 \neq 1$. Then there exists a unique y such that $x_0 y = x_0 + y$ (i.e. $y = \frac{x_0}{x_0-1}$). This means $f(0) = 0$. Now, substituting $x = 0$ gives $f(y) = 0$ for all y .

It then remains to exhibit the case where x_0 can only be 1 . That is $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 1$. This means $f(0) + f(1+y) = f(y)$ for all y . In particular, recall that $f(f(0)^2) = 0$, then $f(0)^2 = 1$, or $f(0) = \pm 1$. Given also that if f is a solution then so is $-f$, it suffices to show the case $f(0) = -1$. In particular, $f(1+y) = 1 + f(y)$ for all y , or simply,

$$f(y+n) = n + f(y), \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1}$$

In particular, we have $f(y) = n \Leftrightarrow y = n+1$ whenever n is an integer. We now have the following equation: for each integer n :

$$\begin{aligned} (x-1)(y-1) = n &\Leftrightarrow xy - (x+y) = n-1 \Leftrightarrow f(xy) - f(x+y) = n-1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(f(x)f(y)) = n-1 \Leftrightarrow f(x)f(y) = n \end{aligned}$$

In other words for all nonzero integer n and $x_1, x_2 \neq 1$, by substituting $x = x_2$ and $y = \frac{x_1}{x_1-1}$,

$$\frac{x_2-1}{x_1-1} = n \Leftrightarrow \frac{f(x_2)}{f(x_1)} = n \tag{2}$$

In particular, $f(2-x) = -f(x)$ by considering $n = -1$, hence $f(-x) = -f(x) - 2$.

Now in view of (2), we consider substituting $2 - x, 2 - y$ into the original equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(f(x)f(y)) + (2 - f(x + y)) &= f(f(2 - x)f(2 - y)) + f(4 - (x + y)) \\ &= f((2 - x)(2 - y)) \\ &= f(xy - 2(x + y)) + 4 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

so comparing the original equation (subtracting one with the other) gives

$$2f(x + y) = f(xy) - f(xy - 2(x + y)) - 2 \quad (4)$$

We now show that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$f(x + y) - f(x) = f(y) + 1 \quad (5)$$

Indeed, fix $d = 2(x + y)$ into (4) gives $2(f(d) + 1) = f(X) - f(X - 2d)$ for all $X \geq -\frac{d^2}{4}$. For X under this range, take $d' = d + k$ for some integer k such that $X \geq -\frac{(d')^2}{4}$, then we have

$$2(f(d) + 1) = 2(f(d') + 1 + (d - d')) = f(X) - f(X - 2d') + 2(d - d') = f(X) - f(X - 2d)$$

using $f(X - 2d) = f(X - 2d') + 2(d - d')$ given that $d - d'$ is an integer. Finally, plugging $n = 2$ into (2) we get $f(2d) = 2f(d) + 1$, and therefore $f(X) - f(X - 2d) = 2(f(d) + 1) = f(2d) + 1$, so substituting $x = X - 2d$ and $y = 2d$ gives $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) + 1$, as desired.

We are now in a position to show that f is injective. Indeed, if $f(x_0) = f(x_1)$ then for all y we have

$$f(x_0 + y) = f(x_0) + f(y) + 1 = f(x_1) + f(y) + 1 = f(x_1 + y)$$

Therefore, for all real y we have

$$f(x_0y) = f(f(x_0)f(y)) + f(x_0y) = f(f(x_1)f(y)) + f(x_1y) = f(x_1y)$$

If $x_0 = 0$ then $f(x_0) = f(0) = -1 = f(x_1)$, and by (1) this means $x_1 = 0$ too, so $x_1 = 0$. If neither is 0, pick $y = \frac{1}{x_0}$ we get $0 = f(1) = f(\frac{x_1}{x_0})$, forcing $x_1 = x_0$.

Finally, for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, notice that $xy - (x + y) = (x - 1)(y - 1) - 1$. Therefore by (5),

$$f(f(x)f(y)) = f(xy) - f(x + y) = f(xy - (x + y)) + 1 = f((x - 1)(y - 1))$$

Thus by injectivity of f , $f(x)f(y) = (x - 1)(y - 1)$. Given also that $f(0) = -1$, we have $f(x) = x - 1$, as desired.

A8 A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the following property:

For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(f(x) + y)(f(y) + x) > 0$, we have $f(x) + y = f(y) + x$.

Prove that $f(x) + y \leq f(y) + x$ whenever $x > y$.

Solution. Consider function $g(x) = f(x) - x$. Our goal is to show that g is nonincreasing. This would have been the case if g is constant, so we'll assume that g is nonconstant. Notice that the condition reads $(g(x) + x + y)(g(y) + x + y) > 0$ implies $g(x) = g(y)$, so for all $a \neq b$, if $f(x_a) = a$ and $f(x_b) = b$ then $(a + x_a + x_b)(b + x_a + x_b) \leq 0$. It follows that if $a < b$ we must have $a + x_a + x_b \leq 0$ and $b + x_a + x_b \geq 0$.

For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $S_a = \{x : g(x) = a\}$. Also for each a with $S_a \neq \emptyset$, define $m_a = \inf S_a$ and $M_a = \sup S_a$. We first show that each S_a is bounded (on both ends). If $S_a = \emptyset$ we're done. Otherwise, choose $b \neq a$ and x_b such that $g(x_b) = b$; such x_b, b exist

since g is nonconstant. Now by the problem condition, $(g(x) + x + y)(g(y) + x + y) > 0$ implies $g(x) = g(y)$, so for all $x_a \in a$, we have $(a + x_a + x_b)(b + x_a + x_b) \leq 0$. If $a < b$, then $a + x_a + x_b \leq 0$ and $b + x_a + x_b \geq 0$, meaning that $-(b + x_b) \leq x_a \leq -(a + x_b)$, and therefore $S_a \subseteq [-(b + x_b), -(a + x_b)]$. Similarly we may show that if $a > b$ we have $S_a \subseteq [-(a + x_b), (-b + x_b)]$.

Now suppose that the conclusion is false, i.e. there exists $a < b$, $x_a < x_b$ such that $g(x_a) = a, g(x_b) = b$. This means that $m_a < M_b$. We first show that $S_r = \emptyset$ for all r with $a < r < b$. Indeed, if $f(x_0) = r$. then for all $a \in S_a$ we have

$$(a + x_a + x_0)(r + x_a + x_0) \leq 0 \quad (6)$$

so with $a < r$ we have $r + x_a + x_0 \geq 0$. Similarly we have

$$(r + x_b + x_0)(b + M_b + x_0) \leq 0 \quad (7)$$

so with $b < r$, this means $r + x_b + x_0 \leq 0$. Thus $x_b \leq -(r + x_0) \leq x_a$, contradicting $x_a < x_b$.

We now consider any sets S_c with $b < c$. If $S_c = \emptyset$ there's nothing to prove; otherwise we show that $m_b - M_c \geq M_b - m_a$. Using the same trick, for any $x_b \in S_b$ and $x_c \in S_c$ we have

$$(b + x_b + x_c)(c + x_b + x_c) \leq 0 \quad (8)$$

and with $b < c$, $b + x_b + x_c \leq 0$. By considering all pairs $(x_b, x_c) \in S_b \times S_c$ we have $b + M_b + M_c \leq 0$. But by comparing a and b again, for each $x_a \in S_a, x_b \in S_b$ we have

$$(a + x_a + x_b)(b + x_a + x_b) \leq 0 \quad (9)$$

and with $a < b$, $b + x_a + x_b \geq 0$. Considering all such (x_a, x_b) we get $b + m_a + m_b \geq 0$, which then gives us

$$b + M_b + M_c \leq 0 \leq b + m_a + m_b \quad (10)$$

i.e. $M_b - m_a \leq m_b - M_c$.

A similar argument to the above yields that if $d < a$ then $M_b - m_a \leq m_d - M_a$.

Finally, the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} is the disjoint union of the different S_a 's for all real a 's. From above, this statement literally translates into

$$S_a \cup S_b \cup \bigcup_{d < a} S_d \cup \bigcup_{c > b} S_c \quad (11)$$

and $M_b - m_a \leq m_d - M_a$ means $\bigcup_{d < a} S_d \subseteq [M_b + M_a - m_a, +\infty)$; $M_b - m_a \leq m_b - M_c$ means $\bigcup_{c > b} S_c \subseteq (-\infty, m_b - M_b + m_a]$. Recall that $M_b > m_a$, and the gap between $[M_b + M_a - m_a, +\infty)$ and $(-\infty, m_b - M_b + m_a]$ (i.e. the length of intervals not covered) is $M_b + M_a - m_a - (m_b - M_b + m_a) = (M_b - m_a) + (M_a - m_a) + (M_b - m_b)$. But we also have $S_a \subseteq [m_a, M_a], S_b \subseteq [m_b, M_b]$ so they jointly can only cover an interval of at most $(M_a - m_a) + (M_b - m_b)$, leaving (at least) a measure of $(M_b - m_a) > 0$ uncovered. This is the desired contradiction.

2 Combinatorics

- C1** A rectangle \mathcal{R} with odd integer side lengths is divided into small rectangles with integer side lengths. Prove that there is at least one among the small rectangles whose distances from the four sides of \mathcal{R} are either all odd or all even.

Solution. Consider partitioning this big rectangle into small squares of side length 1, and colour it in the chessboard fashion, with the corners being black (all corners have the same

colour since the sides lengths are both odd). This also implies the number of black squares is exactly one more than that of white squares, so there must contain a small rectangle that contains more black square than white squares. This will only happen when all this small rectangle has all four corners having black square. Now each of the four corners correspond to two adjacent sides of \mathcal{R} , and its distance from the two adjacent sides are both odd and both even if and only if it's coloured black. Since all these four corners are all black squares, the distance of the small rectangle from all four pairs of adjacent sides have the same parity, hence having the same parity to all the sides of \mathcal{R} .

- C2** Let n be a positive integer. Define a chameleon to be any sequence of $3n$ letters, with exactly n occurrences of each of the letters a, b , and c . Define a swap to be the transposition of two adjacent letters in a chameleon. Prove that for any chameleon X , there exists a chameleon Y such that X cannot be hanged to Y using fewer than $3n^2/2$ swaps.

Solution. For each of the n^2 pairs of (a, b) we denote s_{ab} to be the number of pairs of (a, b) such that a comes before b . Define $s_{ba}, s_{ac}, s_{ca}, s_{bc}, s_{cb}$, respectively. Notice also that $s_{ab} + s_{ba} = s_{ac} + s_{ca} = s_{ac} + s_{ca} = n^2$. We first proceed with a lemma: at each swap of characters i and j (assume $i \neq j$ otherwise we just get one free swap without changing the sequence), the numbers s_{ij} and s_{ji} each changes by exactly one. To see why, if another character, say k , is not involved in the swap, then it comes before any other character ℓ after a swap if and only if it comes before that character ℓ before the swap. The only characters that can cause a change in the values s_{anything} are i and j themselves, which will cause s_{ij} to decrease by 1 and s_{ji} to increase by 1 (assuming the sequence changes from ij to ji).

Now, to make this string s to match another string t , we must have $s_{ij} = t_{ij}$ for any combination of ij . Consider the strings $t_1 = a \cdots ab \cdots bc \cdots c$ and $t_2 = c \cdots cb \cdots ba \cdots a$. In the first example, $t_{ab} = n^2, t_{ba} = 0, t_{ac} = n^2, t_{ca} = 0, t_{bc} = n^2, t_{cb} = 0$; in the second example it's just the opposite: $t_{ab} = 0, t_{ba} = n^2, t_{ac} = 0, t_{ca} = n^2, t_{bc} = 0, t_{cb} = n^2$. To change from s to t_1 there must be at least $s_{ba} + s_{ca} + s_{cb}$ swaps; to change from s to t_2 there must be at least $s_{ab} + s_{ac} + s_{bc}$ swaps. These two expressions add up to $3n^2$, so one of them must be at least $3n^2/2$.

- C3** Sir Alex plays the following game on a row of 9 cells. Initially, all cells are empty. In each move, Sir Alex is allowed to perform exactly one of the following two operations:

- Choose any number of the form 2^j , where j is a non-negative integer, and put it into an empty cell.
- Choose two (not necessarily adjacent) cells with the same number in them; denote that number by 2^j . Replace the number in one of the cells with 2^{j+1} and erase the number in the other cell.

At the end of the game, one cell contains 2^n , where n is a given positive integer, while the other cells are empty. Determine the maximum number of moves that Sir Alex could have made, in terms of n .

Answer. $2 \sum_{i=1}^8 \binom{n}{i} - 1$.

Solution. We first show that in the process of creating the 2^n , the sum of the numbers in nonempty cells at any moment must have at most 8 ones in its binary representation. To see why, we claim that $2^{a_1} + \cdots + 2^{a_k}$ has at most k digits in its binary representation with equality if and only if all a_i 's are pairwise distinct. We proceed by induction: $k = 1$ is clear. Now suppose that $c = 2^{a_1} + \cdots + 2^{a_k}$ has at most k one's in its binary expansion, and consider $c + 2^{a_{k+1}}$. Let j to be the least index $\geq a_{k+1}$ that has 0 on the binary representation of c , then $c + 2^{a_{k+1}}$ has 1 on j and 0 on all i for all $i < j$ and $i \geq a_{k+1}$. Hence the net change of the 1's is $1 - (j - a_{k+1}) \leq 1$, with equality iff $j = a_{k+1}$, i.e. no

bits flipped from 1 to 0. This established our boundary. As for the equality case, it means that there is no bits flipped from 1 to 0 at all, which follows that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k must be all distinct (it will become clear if we arrange the numbers in the way $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$). The fact that there are only 9 cells means that there are at most 9 one's in any numbers at all times. However, if equality actually holds, then all the nine numbers $2^{a_1}, \dots, 2^{a_9}$ are pairwise different. The first operation cannot be done since there is no empty cell; the second operation cannot be done either since there is no two cells with the same number. This means the game must end here, but it doesn't end with the state of 2^n as desired. This shows that any number (that is the sum of the non-empty cells) any time has at most 8 one's in its binary digits.

Now we show that Sir Alex can do the algorithm such that all numbers with at most 8 one's and $\leq 2^n$ can be sum of cells at some time. Now we identify all such numbers and sort it in ascending order. We use a stronger claim: we can do it such that at some point, any number with at most 8 one's is presented on the grid, represented exactly in the way of binary representation. Again we use induction, and we consider the next number with this property. We start with 0, obviously. At each point, suppose the number c is represented on the grid in the manner we desire. We now have two cases:

- If c has at most 7 digits that are ones, then we can add another one at the cells. If c is now even we are done (since $c+1$ will have 1 more one than c); otherwise, let j be the minimal index such that the digits 0 to j are all one. This means that $2^j, 2^{j-1}, \dots, 1$ are all in the cells and, after adding 1, we can iteratively merge them so that a single 1 is left.
- Otherwise, c has exactly 8 digits. If j is the least index such that c has 1 at digit j , then the next number with the desired property is $c + 2^j$ ($c + d$ with $1 \leq d < 2^j$ has more digit than c since c is divisible by 2^j). Now we add 2^j , and do the merging in a fashion similar to the first case; the resulting configuration would be optimal.

Summarizing above, we will have $\sum_{i=1}^8 \binom{n}{i}$ numbers represented in the process. This means $\sum_{i=1}^8 \binom{n}{i}$ insertion of cell is done, which means the number of nonempty cells has been increased by $\sum_{i=1}^8 \binom{n}{i}$ times. Since there is only one cell left, there are $\sum_{i=1}^8 \binom{n}{i} - 1$ decrement of the number cells, i.e. merging. Hence the total numbers of moves is $2 \sum_{i=1}^8 \binom{n}{i} - 1$.

C6 Let $n > 1$ be a given integer. An $n \times n \times n$ cube is composed of n^3 unit cubes. Each unit cube is painted with one colour. For each $n \times n \times 1$ box consisting of n^2 unit cubes (in any of the three possible orientations), we consider the set of colours present in that box (each colour is listed only once). This way, we get $3n$ sets of colours, split into three groups according to the orientation.

It happens that for every set in any group, the same set appears in both of the other groups. Determine, in terms of n , the maximal possible number of colours that are present.

Answer. $1^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$.

Solution. Let the coordinates be (x, y, z) , and let X_1, \dots, X_n be such that X_i is the set of colours used by cubes with x -coordinate equals i . Denote Y_1, \dots, Y_n and Z_1, \dots, Z_n similarly. Denote, also, the sets T_1, \dots, T_k as the distinct elements among $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$; we see that for each T_i , there exist nonempty sets $I_X(i), I_Y(i), I_Z(i)$ such that $X_k = T_i, \forall k \in I_X(i)$; $Y_k = T_i, \forall k \in I_Y(i)$; $Z_k = T_i, \forall k \in I_Z(i)$. In addition, we see that $I_X(i) \cap I_X(j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$; similarly for I_Y and I_Z 's. In particular we have $k \leq n$.

Now the number of colours are given by $|\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_i|$; we first prove the bound by showing that for each ℓ with $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, $|\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} T_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell-1} T_i| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} T_i| - |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell-1} T_i| \leq (n - \ell + 1)^2$. (I.e. $(n - \ell + 1)^2$ is the upper bound on the number of *new* colours introduced by T_{ℓ} that's not in $T_1, \dots, T_{\ell-1}$). Indeed, for each ℓ , the colours of T_1, \dots, T_{ℓ} have been used in those where either the x -coordinates in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} I_X(i)$, or y -coordinates in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} I_Y(i)$, or z -coordinates in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} I_Z(i)$. It then follows that the new colours in T_{ℓ} not in $T_1, \dots, T_{\ell-1}$ must be part of the cubes where the x -, y -, z - coordinates are in neither of those the choices above. Considering only those where x -coordinates in one of $I_X(\ell)$, the number of combinations of yz -coordinates is at most $(n - |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell-1} I_Y(i)|)(n - |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell-1} I_Z(i)|) \leq (n - \ell + 1)^2$ since each I_Y is nonempty and disjoint from each other; same for I_Z . In addition, the set of new colors among those with x -coordinates in any of $I_X(\ell)$ is the same, hence it suffices to consider just one of these among the $I_X(\ell)$ set. It then follows that the number introduced cannot exceed $(n - \ell + 1)^2$.

We now describe a construction as follows; colours in each category are pairwise distinct from others.

- All cells (a, a, a) gets its own colour for $a = 1, \dots, n$.
- For any $a < b$, each $(a, a, b), (b, b, a)$ share a colour; $(a, b, a), (b, a, b)$ share a colour, $(b, a, a), (a, b, b)$ share a colour.
- For any $a < b < c$, $(a, b, c), (b, c, a), (c, a, b)$ share a colour, $(c, b, a), (b, a, c), (a, c, b)$ share a colour (different than the previous one), and this colour is different from the previous two cases and pairwise different for each triple $(a < b < c)$.

Then the number of colours is $n + 3\binom{n}{2} + 2\binom{n}{3} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$.

To show that this construction is valid, we have $X_i = Y_i = Z_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$: indeed we see that any colour in one is also in the other two by our construction.

Remark. In fact, one may generalize this to d -dimension and show that the answer is $\sum_{i=1}^n i^{d-1}$. The argument on the bound can also be easily be applicable for multi-dimensional case. For construction, consider each partition of the d indices into I_1, \dots, I_k , and suppose that a_1, \dots, a_k are pairwise distinct such that a cube has j -th coordinate equal to a_i for all $j \in I_i$. Then for each $c = 1, \dots, k-1$, this cube shares the same colour as another cube with j -th coordinate equal to a_{i+c} for all $j \in I_i$ (indices taken modulo k).

In any case, the two-dimension special case is incredibly helpful in solving this.

3 Geometry

- G1** Let $ABCDE$ be a convex pentagon such that $AB = BC = CD$, $\angle EAB = \angle BCD$, and $\angle EDC = \angle CBA$. Prove that the perpendicular line from E to BC and the line segments AC and BD are concurrent.

Solution. Let P be the intersection of the diagonals AC and BD , and Q be the intersection of circles ABP and CDP . Now, by angle chasing we get $\angle AQB = \angle APB = \angle CPD = \angle CQD$ and $\angle BAQ = \angle DPQ = \angle DCQ$, so the triangles BAQ and DCQ are similar. Since $AB = CD$, these triangles are in fact congruent, so $AC = CQ$ and $BQ = DQ$. Now, this means that BQ is the perpendicular bisector of AC and CQ is the perpendicular bisector of BD . Thus P is the orthocenter of triangle BQC .

The next step is to show that E, P, Q are collinear, which finished the proof. To achieve this, we first observe that $\angle BAQ = \angle DPQ = 90^\circ - \angle PQC = 90^\circ - \angle CBQ$. Now, $\angle BAE = \angle BCD = 180^\circ - \angle CBQ - \angle CQB = 180^\circ - 2\angle CBQ = 2\angle BAQ$, so AQ is an angle bisector of BAE . We also know that BQ bisects $\angle ABC$ since BQ is the perpendicular bisector of AC , so the distance from Q to lines BC, BA, AE are equal.

Similarly the distance from Q to lines BC, CD, DE are equal. Thus EQ bisects AED too. To see that E, P, Q are collinear, or equivalently EQ is perpendicular to BC , it suffices to show that if EA and ED intersect BC at L and M , respectively, then we have $EL = EM$. This is indeed true as it can be computed that $\angle ELM = \angle EML = 180^\circ - 2\angle BAC - 2\angle CBD$.

- G2** (IMO #4) Let R and S be different points on a circle Ω such that RS is not a diameter. Let ℓ be the tangent line to Ω at R . Point T is such that S is the midpoint of the line segment RT . Point J is chosen on the shorter arc RS of Ω so that the circumcircle Γ of triangle JST intersects ℓ at two distinct points. Let A be the common point of Γ and ℓ that is closer to R . Line AJ meets Ω again at K . Prove that the line KT is tangent to Γ .

Solution. One of the most crucial claim to the proof is that $AT \parallel KR$. To see why, $\angle TRK = \angle SRK = \angle SJK = \angle ATS = \angle ATR$. Next, since AR is tangent to Ω , we have $\angle ART = \angle ARS = \angle SKR$. Thus triangles RKS and TRA are similar. Now, let B be such that A is the midpoint of BT . We have $\angle BAR = \angle TSK$ and $\frac{BA}{AR} = \frac{AT}{AR} = \frac{SR}{SK}$, so triangles BAR and TSK are also similar. Finally, since S is the midpoint of RT and A the midpoint of BT , we have $BR \parallel AS$, so $\angle RTK = \angle RBA = \angle SAT$. The last inequality means that KT is tangent to Γ .

- G3** Let O be the circumcenter of an acute triangle ABC . Line OA intersects the altitudes of ABC through B and C at P and Q , respectively. The altitudes meet at H . Prove that the circumcenter of triangle PQH lies on a median of triangle ABC .

Solution. Let D be the altitude from A to BC . We consider the homothety centered at A bringing triangle PQR to $DP'Q'$, which brings the circumcenter to PQR to that of $DP'Q'$ too. It thus sufficient to show that the circumcenter of $DP'Q'$ lies on the median from A .

Now, DP' is perpendicular to AC by the definition of homothety (since HP is perpendicular to AC). Let AO intersect BC at F , and the circumcircle of ABC again at E (so AE is the diameter). Let the perpendicular from E to BC to be G . Then we have $\frac{DF}{FG} = \frac{AF}{FE}$ since AD is perpendicular to GE . Similarly, since DP' is parallel to CE , we have $\frac{DF}{FC} = \frac{P'F}{FE}$. Thus $DF \cdot FE = FG \cdot AF = P'F \cdot FC$, i.e. $\frac{FG}{FC} = \frac{P'F}{AF}$, i.e. $P'G \parallel AC$. This gives $DP'G = 90^\circ$, and so the circumcenter of $DP'G$ is the midpoint of DG . In other words, the perpendicular bisector of DP' passes through the midpoint DG . Analogously, we can also show that the perpendicular bisector of DQ' passes through midpoint of DG . Thus the midpoint of DG is actually the circumcenter of $DP'Q'$. Since D and G are symmetric with respect to the midpoint of BC (well-known fact), the midpoint of BC is indeed the circumcenter of $DP'Q'$, hence lying on the median from A .

- G4** In triangle ABC , let ω be the excircle opposite to A . Let D, E and F be the points where ω is tangent to BC, CA , and AB , respectively. The circle AEF intersects line BC at P and Q . Let M be the midpoint of AD . Prove that the circle MPQ is tangent to ω .

Solution. We first show that if $J \neq D$ is the second intersection of AD with the excircle ω then J lies on the circle MPQ . Let I be the A -excenter, then $\angle AEI = \angle AFI = 90^\circ$, so I lies on circle AEF . Let $G \neq D$ be the second intersection of AD with circle AEF , then $\angle AGI = \angle DGI = 90^\circ$. Since DJ is a chord on the excircle, and G is the perpendicular from the center I to DJ , $DG = GJ$. Finally, since A, P, Q, E, F, G are concyclic, $PD \cdot DQ = AD \cdot DG = 2MD \cdot DG = MD \cdot 2DG = MD \cdot DJ$, completing the proof of this claim.

It remains to show that circles MPQ and ω are tangent at J . Let PQ and EF intersect at H (possibly point of infinity), then since PQ is the radical axis of circles AEF and MPQ and EF the radical axis of AEF and ω , H is the radical center of the three circles (i.e. the radical axis of MPQ and ω). Now, the tangents to ω at E, C , and line DJ

concur at A , so quadrilateral $EDCJ$ is harmonic. This means that the tangents to ω at D , at J , and EF are concurrent too. Since PQ is tangent to ω , these lines must concur at H . We then conclude then HJ is tangent to ω and since HJ is the radical axis of ω and MPQ , they are indeed tangent.

- G5** Let $ABCC_1B_1A_1$ be a convex hexagon such that $AB = BC$, and suppose that the line segments AA_1 , BB_1 , and CC_1 have the same perpendicular bisector. Let the diagonals AC_1 and A_1C meet at D , and denote by ω the circle ABC . Let ω intersect the circle A_1BC_1 again at $E \neq B$. Prove that the lines BB_1 and DE intersect on ω .

Solution. Denote the common perpendicular bisector as ℓ . Throughout the solution we observe the following facts:

- ABB_1A_1 , ACC_1A_1 , BCC_1B_1 are isocles trapezoid and are cyclic.
- The intersection of diagonals of each of the trapezoids lie on ℓ (holds for D , in particular), so are the circumcenters of each of the trapezoids.
- The intersection of the lateral sides of the trapezoids also lie on ℓ ; here we are particularly interested in $P = AC \cap A_1C_1$.

First we show that B, E, P are collinear. Indeed, the power of point of P to circle ω is $PA \cdot PC$ and the power of point of P to circle A_1BC_1 is $PA_1 \cdot PC_1$. Since $PA = PA_1$ and $PC = PC_1$, P lies on the radical axis of the two circles, which is BE . Next, denote O as the circumcenter of AA_1C_1C . We have $\angle AOC = 2\angle AC_1C = 2\angle DC_1C = \angle DC_1C + \angle DCC_1 = \angle ADC$, so $ACOD$ is cyclic, i.e. $PB \cdot PE = PA \cdot PC = PO \cdot PD$ (recall that P, D, O are collinear, so are P, E, B as proven). Thus $BEDO$ is also cyclic.

Now let DE and BB_1 intersect at X . Recall that BB_1 and ℓ are perpendicular, and that $EBOD$ is cyclic, which gives $\angle PBX + \angle EXB = \angle PEX = \angle PED = \angle POB$, i.e. $\angle EXB = \angle POB - \angle PBX = \angle POB - (\angle PBO - \angle XBO) = 90^\circ - \angle PBO$ (since PO and BX are perpendicular). Now $\angle PBO = \angle EBO = \angle ABO + \angle EBA = 90^\circ - \angle BAC + \angle EAB$, so $\angle EXB = \angle BAC - \angle EBA = \angle BCA - \angle ECA = \angle BCE$, so X lies on the circle $ABCE$ which is ω , indeed.

- G7** A convex quadrilateral $ABCD$ has an inscribed circle with center I . Let I_a, I_b, I_c and I_d be the incenters of the triangles DAB, ABC, BCD and CDA , respectively. Suppose that the common external tangents of the circles AI_bI_d and CI_bI_d meet at X , and the common external tangents of the circles BI_aI_c and DI_aI_c meet at Y . Prove that $\angle XIY = 90^\circ$.

Solution. We first notice the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. $I_BI_D \perp AC$ and $I_AI_C \perp BD$.

Proof. Indeed, the fact that $ABCD$ has an inscribed circle means that $AB + CD = AD + BC$ (readers can verify this by considering the point-of-tangency of the incircle with the four sides, and by considering the power of point from vertices A, B, C, D to the incircle). Now, if T_B and T_D are the point of tangency of the incircles ABC and ACD then we have $AT_B - T_BC = AB - BC = AD - DC = AT_D - T_DC$. This means T_B and T_D coincides, and the lemma follows.

The proof for I_AI_C perpendicular to BD follows similarly. □

Lemma 2. Let O_A, O_C be the circumcenters of AI_BI_D and CI_BI_D , respectively. Then O_A lies on AI and O_C lies on CI .

Proof. Indeed, since AC is perpendicular to I_BI_D , AO_A and AC are symmetric about the internal angle bisector of $\angle I_BAI_D$. This means that $I_BAC = I_DAA_O$ and $I_DAC = I_BAA_O$. Also, $\angle BAI = \angle DAI$ by the property of inscribed circle, so $\angle I_BAI + \angle I_BAC =$

$\angle I_B A I + \angle I_B A B = \angle B A I = \angle D A I = \angle I_D A I + \angle I_D A D = \angle I_D A I + \angle I_D A C$, where we also used the fact that $A I_B$ bisects $\angle B A C$ and $A I_D$ bisects $\angle C A D$. Since $\angle I_B A I_D = \angle I_B A I + \angle I_D A I = \angle I_B A C + \angle I_D A C$, the condition $\angle I_B A I = \angle I_D A C$ and $\angle I_D A I = \angle I_B A C$ must hold true, hence proving that O_A lies on $A I$. Similarly, O_C lies on $C I$. \square

With these, we first notice that $I_B I_D$ is the radical axis of the circles $A I_B I_D$ and $C I_B I_D$, so $O_A O_C$ is perpendicular to $I_B I_C$ too. This gives $O_A O_C \parallel A C$, so $\frac{I O_A}{I O_C} = \frac{A O_A}{C O_C}$ (notice that the last ratio is the ratio of the radii of the circles $A I_B I_D$ and $C I_B I_D$). Since X is the intersection of the common external tangents of the two circles, it lies on $O_A O_C$ and satisfies $\frac{X O_A}{X O_C} = \frac{A O_A}{C O_C} = \frac{I O_A}{I O_C}$. Thus by the angle bisector theorem, $X I$ is the external angle bisector of $\angle O_A I O_C$, also the external angle bisector of $A I C$. Similarly, $Y I$ is the external angle bisector of $B I D$.

It then remains to prove that the external angle bisectors of $A I C$ and $B I D$ are perpendicular to each other. Let the inscribed circle of $A B C D$ to be tangent to $A B, B C, C D, D A$ to points U, V, W, Z , respectively. Then $A I$ is perpendicular to $U Z$ and $C I$ is perpendicular to $V W$. Thus the external angle bisector of $A I C$ is also an angle bisector of $U Z$ and $V W$. Similarly the external angle bisector of $B I D$ is an angle bisector of $U V$ and $Z W$. As $U V W Z$ is cyclic, these angle bisectors are perpendicular to each other, hence the result.

4 Number Theory

N1 (IMO #1) For each integer $a_0 > 1$, define the sequence a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots for $n \geq 0$ as

$$a_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_n} & \text{if } \sqrt{a_n} \text{ is an integer,} \\ a_n + 3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Determine all values of a_0 such that there exists a number A such that $a_n = A$ for infinitely many values of n .

Answer. All n divisible by 3.

Solution. For the first part we show that if $3 \nmid a_0$, then $a_i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ for some i . Notice first that if $3 \nmid a_i$ then $3 \nmid a_{i+1}$ (regardless whether a_i is a perfect square). If a_0 has remainder 2 modulo 3 we are done. Otherwise, $a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ so $a_0 + 3k$ is a perfect square for some k . Find the minimal such k , and we have $a_k = a_0 + 3k = c^2$ for some c , and $a_{k+1} = c$. If $c \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ we are done. Otherwise, we have $c \geq 4$ and $c - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ so $(c - 2)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, showing that $a_0 \geq (c - 2)^2 + 3$. With $c \geq 4$ we have $(c - 2)^2 + 3 > c$, so $a_0 > a_{k+1}$. Letting $0 = b_0$ and b_1, b_2, \dots be indices such that a_{b_i} is a perfect square yields that $a_{b_0} > a_{b_1} > \dots$, so this sequence must terminate, meaning that we have $a_i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ for some i . Now it's easy to prove that this a_i cannot be a perfect square, so for all $j > 0$ we have $a_{i+j} = a_i + 3j$, showing that all numbers appear a finite number of times.

For the case where $3|a_0$ we will do something similar: keep looking for the next square. Again let k be the least index with a_k a perfect square, say, c^2 . Then $a_0 \geq (c - 3)^2 + 3$ because $3|c$. Now if $c > 3$ then $c = a_{k+1} > a_0$, so again constructing the sequence b_0, b_1, \dots gives $a_{b_0} > a_{b_1} > \dots$, hence it must terminate. The only way to terminate is when $c \leq 3$, in which the equality must hold since $a_i > 0$ for all i . Hence the sequence goes $3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 9$, so each of 3, 6, 9 appears infinitely many times.

N2 Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime number. Eduardo and Fernando play the following game making moves alternately: in each move, the current player chooses an index i in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ that was not chosen before by either of the two players and then chooses

an element a_i from the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$. Eduardo has the first move. The game ends after all the indices have been chosen. Then the following number is computed:

$$M = a_0 + a_1 10 + a_2 10^2 + \cdots + a_{p-1} 10^{p-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i 10^i$$

The goal of Eduardo is to make M divisible by p , and the goal of Fernando is to prevent this.

Prove that Eduardo has a winning strategy.

Solution. If $p = 2$ or $p = 5$, Eduardo just have to choose $a_0 = 0$ and the games is his, forever. Hence from now on we assume that $\gcd(p, 10) = 1$. Eduardo first lets $a_{p-1} = 0$. Then he considers $10^j \pmod{p}$ for $j = 0, \dots, p-2$, and consider the minimum k such that $10^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Now two cases arise:

- If k is even, then it must happen that $10^{k/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Now pair the indices $0, 1, \dots, p-2$ in the following manner: if $j = ak + b$ with $0 \leq b < k$ then pair j with $j + k/2$ if $b < k/2$, and with $j - k/2$ otherwise. Now notice that if j, ℓ are a pair then 10^j and 10^ℓ are negatives of each other, and these pairs form a partition of the numbers $0, 1, \dots, p-2$. Now at each turn, Fernando chooses j and a_j , and is j is paired with ℓ then Eduardo chooses ℓ with $a_\ell = a_j$, so that $a_j 10^j + a_\ell 10^\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This will allow $p|M$ in the end.
- Otherwise, let $b_j = 10^j$ for all $0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Notice that $(p-1)/k$ must be even, so for each j there are an even number of indices ℓ with $10^\ell \equiv b_j \pmod{p}$. Now for each j and all such $(p-1)/k$ ℓ 's, we pair the indices arbitrarily (so that there are $(p-1)/2k$ pairs). Each time when Fernando chooses j and a_j , and suppose that j is paired with some ℓ , Eduardo chooses $a_\ell = 9 - a_j$, so that the contribution to $M \pmod{p}$ is $9b_j$. Therefore, the resulting M has congruence $\sum 9 * (p-1) * b_j / (2k) = 9 * (p-1) / 2k \sum b_j$. If $p = 3$ the factor 9 already implies $3|M$. Otherwise, notice that $(p-1)/k \sum b_j = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} 10^i = \frac{10^{p-1}-1}{10-1}$, which is divisible by p since $p|10^{p-1}-1$ by Fermat's little theorem, and $p \nmid 9 = 10-1$ for $p \neq 3$. Since $(p-1)/k$ is not a multiple of p , $\sum b_j$ is a multiple of p , and so is $9 * (p-1) / 2k \sum b_j$ and M .

N3 Determiner all integers $n \geq 2$ having the following property: for any integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n whose sum is not divisible by n , there exists an index $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that none of the numbers

$$a_i, a_i + a_{i+1}, \dots, a_i + a_{i+1} + \dots + a_{i+n-1}$$

is divisible by n . Here, we let $a_i = a_{i-n}$ when $i > n$.

Answer. All n that are prime.

Solution. Suppose first that n is composite, and write $n = ab$ with $1 < a, b < n$. We gave a counterexample that makes this statement fail. Consider the sequence $a, a, \dots, a, 0$, which has sum $a(n-1)$ which has remainder $-a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. If $1 \leq i \leq n-b$ then $a_i + a_{i+1} + \dots + a_{i+b-1} = a + a + \dots + a = ab = n$ which is divisible by n , so such i won't work. Otherwise, if $n-b \leq i \leq n$ then $a_i + \dots + a_{i+b} = a_i + \dots + a_n + a_1 + \dots + a_{i+b-n} = ab = n$, so such i won't work either. Thus we have just found a counterexample sequence such that none of the i 's work.

Conversely, if n is a prime, we consider the sequence on a circle. Let r to be the remainder of $a_1 + \dots + a_n \pmod{n}$, and we have $\gcd(r, n) = 1$. Consider the new sequence $a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + \dots + a_n, a_1 + \dots + a_n + a_1, \dots, \underbrace{a_1 + \dots + a_n}_{n \text{ times}}$. If this sequence is named as

b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n^2} then $b_{n+i} - b_i = r \pmod{n}$. Thus for all i the sequence $\{b_i, b_{i+n}, \dots, b_{i+(n-1)n}\}$ leaves distinct remainders mod n , and each remainder $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ appears n times in b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n^2} . Since $b_{n^2} = n(a_1 + \dots + a_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, we can, again, wrap them on a

circle. Now consider $\{i : b_i = 0\}$. Since there are n such i 's on the circle on n^2 numbers, there are two consecutive such i 's that are at least n steps apart. If there's no consecutive such i 's that are more than n steps apart, then any two consecutive i 's must be exactly n steps apart, so $0 = b_i = b_{n+i} = \dots = b_{n(n-1)+i}$, contradicting $b_{n+i} - b_i = r \pmod{n}$. So we have $b_i = b_j = 0$ with $j - i > n$, with $b_k \neq 0$ for all $i < k < j$. Thus we can choose $a_{i+1}, a_{i+1} + a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{i+1} + \dots + a_{i+n}$ that are exactly $b_k - b_i$ for all $i + 1 \leq k \leq i + n$, and none of them is divisible by n .

- N4** Call a rational number short if it has finitely many digits in its decimal expansion. For a positive integer m , we say that a positive integer t is m -tastic if there exists a number $c \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2017\}$ such that $\frac{10^t - 1}{c \cdot m}$ is short, and such that $\frac{10^k - 1}{c \cdot m}$ is not short for any $1 \leq k < t$. Let $S(m)$ be the set of m -tastic numbers. Consider $S(m)$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. What is the maximum number of elements in $S(m)$?

Answer. 807.

Solution. For each number cm , consider the function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(m)$ is the largest divisor of m that's relatively prime to 10 (e.g. the first few values of f are $1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 7, 1, 9, 1, \dots$). Notice that a rational number is short iff it's in the form of $\frac{p}{q}$ where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and q has no prime divisor other than 2 or 5. Thus, $\frac{10^t - 1}{cm}$ short if and only if $f(cm) \mid 10^t - 1$.

Now, t being m -tastic means that $\text{ord}_{f(cm)}(10) = t$ for some $c \leq 2017$. Therefore, for each m , the m -tastic numbers are

$$\{\text{ord}_{f(cm)} : c = 1, 2, \dots, 2017\} \quad (12)$$

Given that $f(m) = f(cm)$ whenever c 's prime divisors cannot be anything other than 2 or 5, i.e. $f(cm) = f(f(c)m)$. This means $S(m) \leq |\{f(c) : c = 1, \dots, 2017\}| = |c = 1, \dots, 2017 : c \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{10}|$, which we can verify there are 807 of them. It follows that at most 807 of those t 's have $\text{ord}_{cm}(10) = t$ for some c for any given m .

To show that equality can be obtained, let's show that there exists m such that $\text{ord}_{cm}(10)$ are different for each c . In fact, we'll show the following:

Lemma. There exists m such that $\text{ord}_{cm}(10) = c \cdot \text{ord}_m(10)$.

Proof: Choose $m = 10^T - 1$ for some T , then $\text{ord}_m(10) = T$. Let's choose T such that $\text{ord}_c(10) \mid T$ for all $c = 3, \dots, 2017$ such that $\gcd(c, 10) = 1$. That way $c \mid m$.

Now, to find the minimum k with $cm \mid 10^k - 1$, we first note that $m \mid 10^k - 1$ iff k is divisible by $\text{ord}_m(10) = T$, so $k = \ell T$. Consider the following:

$$10^{\ell T} - 1 = (m + 1)^\ell - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{i} m^i$$

and since $c \mid m$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{i} m^i \equiv \ell m$$

so the minimum such ℓ is indeed c , so $\text{ord}_{cm}(10) = cT$, as desired.

- N5** Find all pairs (p, q) of prime numbers which $p > q$ and

$$\frac{(p+q)^{p+q}(p-q)^{p-q} - 1}{(p+q)^{p-q}(p-q)^{p+q} - 1}$$

is an integer.

Answer. $(p, q) = (3, 2)$.

Solution. The aforementioned pair claims that $5^1 - 1 \mid 5^5 - 1$, which holds true because $5^5 - 1 = 3124 = 778 \times 4$. We now show there are no other pairs. For the rest of the part, $D = (p + q)^{p-q}(p - q)^{p+q} - 1$.

Now suppose that $D \mid (p + q)^{p+q}(p - q)^{p-q} - 1$, we also have $D \mid ((p + q)^{p+q}(p - q)^{p-q} - 1) - ((p + q)^{p-q}(p - q)^{p+q} - 1) = (p + q)^{p-q}(p - q)^{p-q}((p + q)^{2q} - (p - q)^{2q})$. We'll split into two cases:

Case 1. $q = 2$. Here, if $p > 3$, then $(p - q)^{2q} < (p - q)^{p+q} \leq D$. If $(p + q)^{2q} \geq D + 1$ then we have $(p + q)^{2q} \geq (p + q)^{p-q}(p - q)^{p+q}$, a.k.a. $(p + q)^{3q-p} \geq (p - q)^{p+q}$ which means $3q \geq p$, hence $p \leq 5$. For $p = 5$ we have $7 \geq 3^7$, which is absurd. Hence $(p + q)^{2q} \leq D$ in the primes we are considering, and with $(p - q)^{2q} < D$ we will need $(p + q)^{2q} = (p - q)^{2q}$, contradiction since this implies $p + q = p - q$.

Case 2. $q > 2$. We consider this.

Lemma 3. *If p and q are odd primes and r is an odd prime with $r \mid (p + q)^{2q} - (p - q)^{2q}$, then either $r \in \{p, q\}$ or $r \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.*

Proof. Otherwise, $\gcd(r - 1, 2q) = 2$ so there's k such that $2qk \equiv 2 \pmod{r}$, i.e.

$$(p + q)^2 \equiv (p + q)^{2qk} \equiv (p - q)^{2qk} \equiv (p - q)^2$$

so $r \mid 4pq$. So $r = p$ or q here. □

Now we see that our D has only prime divisors that could be p, q , or $r = qk + 1$ for some k . If $p \mid D$ then

$$0 \equiv (p + q)^{p-q}(p - q)^{p+q} - 1 \equiv q^{p-q}(-q)^{p+q} - 1 = q^{2p} - 1 \equiv q^2 - 1$$

so either $p \mid q - 1$ or $p \mid q + 1$. But this is impossible for $q < p$ and both being odd.

This reduces to q and those primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Since p, q both odd, we have the factorization

$$(p + q)^{p-q}(p - q)^{p+q} - 1 = ((p + q)^{\frac{p-q}{2}}(p - q)^{\frac{p+q}{2}} - 1)((p + q)^{\frac{p-q}{2}}(p - q)^{\frac{p+q}{2}} + 1)$$

and with each factor having primes in terms of q or $qk + 1$ each of these must be either 0 or 1 mod q . This is impossible as the factors differ by 2.