Solutions to Tournament of Towns, Fall 2019, Senior

Anzo Teh

O-Level

1.

A-Level

1. The polynomial P(x, y) is such that for any integer $n \ge 0$ each of the polynomials P(n, y) and P(x, n) either is the constant zero or has the degree not greater than n. Is it possible that the polynomial P(x, x) has an odd degree?

Answer. No.

Solution. Suppose P(x,x) is odd. If $x^m y^n$ is a term in P that gives the highest degree, then m+n is odd and therefore, $m \neq n$. Suppose w.l.o.g. that m < n. If n_0 is the highest exponent of y that appears in P then we can write

$$P(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_0} Q_k(x) y^k$$

where Q_k are polynomials. By the problem condition, $Q_k(\ell) = 0$ if ℓ is an integer with $0 \le \ell < k$. In particular, $Q_n(x) = 0$ for $x = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Since $x^m y^n$ gives the highest degree to P, Q_n has degree m < n. But then $Q_n(x)$ has roots $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ (i.e. at least n roots), which is a contradiction unless $Q_n \equiv 0$.

4. Consider a increasing sequence of positive numbers

$$\dots < a_{-2} < a_{-1} < a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$$

infinite in both directions. For a positive integer k let b_k be the minimal integer such that the ratio of the sum of any k consecutive elements of the sequence to the largest of those k elements is not greater than b_k . Prove that the sequence b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots either coincides with the sequence $1, 2, 3, \ldots$ or is constant after some point.

Solution. It's not hard to observe that

$$b_k = \lceil \sup \{ \frac{a_{x-k+1} + \dots + a_x}{a_x} : x \in \mathbb{Z} \} \rceil$$

and since $\frac{a_{x-k}+\cdots+a_x}{a_x} - \frac{a_{x-k+1}+\cdots+a_x}{a_x} = \frac{a_{x-k}}{a_x} \in (0,1)$, we have $b_{k+1} - b_k \in \{0,1\}$.

Suppose that $b_{\ell} \neq \ell$ for some k. Then given that $b_{k+1} - b_k \in \{0,1\}$ and $b_1 = 1$, we have $b_{\ell} < b_{\ell}$, i.e. $b_{\ell} \leq b_{\ell} - 1$. This means that:

$$\forall x : \lceil \sup \{ \frac{a_{x-\ell+1} + \dots + a_{\ell}}{a_{\ell}} \le \ell - 1$$

and since the sequence is strictly increasing,

$$\frac{a_{x-\ell+1}}{a_x} \le \frac{\ell-1}{\ell}$$

which then gives that, if $y \leq x - k(\ell - 1)$ then $\frac{a_y}{a_x} \leq (\frac{\ell - 1}{\ell})^k$. Now consider the infinite sum

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{x-k}}{a_x} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_x \cdot (\frac{\ell-1}{\ell})^{\lfloor \frac{k}{\ell-1} \rfloor}}{a_x} = \frac{\ell-1}{\ell} (\ell-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\frac{\ell-1}{\ell})^k = \frac{\ell-1}{\ell} (\ell-1) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{\ell-1}{\ell}} = (\ell-1)^2$$

which then shows that this infinite sum is bounded by $(\ell-1)^2$. We therefore have $\{b_k\}$ bounded above as well. However, given also that b_k are integers that are either equal or the one more than the previous term, so boundedness of $\{b_k\}$ also implies that it's eventually constant.

5. The point M inside a convex quadrilateral ABCD is equidistant from the lines AB and CD and is equidistant from the lines BC and AD. The area of ABCD occurred to be equal to $MA \cdot MC + MB \cdot MD$. Prove that the quadrilateral ABCD is both cyclic and circumscribed.

Solution. Let M_A , M_B , M_C , M_D be the projections from M to AB, BC, CD, DA respectively. Then $MM_A = MM_C$ and $MM_B = MM_D$. Let's now show that:

$$[MM_AA] + [MM_CC] \le \frac{1}{2}MA \cdot MC$$

Since $MM_A = MM_C$ and $\angle MM_AA = \angle MM_AC = 90^\circ$, we can consider combining the two triangles with the common vertex M coincide, and M_A and M_C coincide. Then the new triangle has area the sum of old triangles, $[MM_AA] + [MM_CC]$ with sides M, A, C. This also means that this triangle has area $\frac{1}{2}MA \cdot M_C \cdot \sin AMC \leq \frac{1}{2}MA \cdot M_C$ with equality iff $\angle AMM_A + \angle CMM_C = \angle AMC = 90^\circ$. Similarly we have

$$[MM_DA] + [MM_BC] \le \frac{1}{2}MA \cdot MC$$

and also

$$[MM_AB] + [MM_CD] \le \frac{1}{2}MB \cdot MD$$
 $[MM_BB] + [MM_DD] \le \frac{1}{2}MB \cdot MD$

which means that, summing all of these:

$$[MM_AA] + [MM_CC] + [MM_DA] + [MM_BC] + [MM_AB] + [MM_CD] + [MM_BB] + [MM_DD]$$

< $MA \cdot MC + MB \cdot MD$

The left hand side is the same as the area of ABCD, so for that to hold all inequalities must be equalities. This means

$$\angle AMM_A + \angle CMM_C = \angle AMM_D + \angle CMM_B = 90^{\circ}$$

which then means $\angle A + \angle D = 180^{\circ}$ and the quadrilateral is cyclic.

To prove the other statement, consider the combined triangle AMC above and we can also do the same by combining M_B and M_D instead (on the triangles AM_DM and CM_BM). The two triangles AMC formed will be congruent, and therefore the heights ($MM_A = MM_C$ for first; $MM_B = MM_D$ for second) will be equal too. This then shows that ABCD is circumscribed.